



ABOUT THE AUTHOR: Dr. Revilo Pendleton Oliver, Professor of the Classics at the University of Illinois for 32 years, is a scholar of international distinction who has written articles in four languages for the most prestigious academic publications in the United States and Europe.

During World War II, Dr. Oliver was Director of Research in a highly secret agency of the War Department, and was cited for outstanding service to his country.

One of the very few academicians who has been outspoken in his opposition to the progressive defacement of our civilization, Dr. Oliver has long insisted that the fate of his countrymen hangs on their willingness to subordinate their doctrinal differences to the tough but idealistic solidarity which is the prerequisite of a Majority resurgence.

SOME QUOTABLE QUOTES FROM *AMERICA'S DECLINE:*

On the 18th Amendment (Prohibition): "Very few Americans were sufficiently sane to perceive that they had repudiated the American conception of government and had replaced it with the legal principle of the 'dictatorship of the proletariat,' which was the theoretical justification of the Jews' revolution in Russia."

On Race: "We must further understand that all races naturally regard themselves as superior to all others. We think Congoids unintelligent, but they feel only contempt for a race so stupid or craven that it fawns on them, gives them votes, lavishly subsidizes them with its own earnings, and even oppresses its own people to curry their favor. We are a race as are the others. If we attribute to Ourselves a superiority, intellectual, moral, or other, in terms of our own standards, we are simply indulging in a tautology. The only objective criterion of superiority, among human races as among all other species, is biological: the strong survive, the weak perish. The superior race of mankind today is the one that will emerge victorious—whether by its technology or its fecundity—from the proximate struggle for life on an overcrowded planet."

AMERICA'S DECLINE

Order No. 1007-\$8.50
plus \$1.50 for postage and handling.

376 pp., pb.
ORDER FROM:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

Liberty Bell

ISSN: 0145 - 7667

SINGLE COPY \$5.00

The Most Evil Man

An Essay by
Richard C. Bentinck, M.D.
page 19

ALSO IN THIS ISSUE:

Professor R.P. Oliver
POSTSCRIPTS

Clarification
page 1;

Calvin Dishonored
page 2;

Divinest Poesy
page 6.

Letters to the Editor
page 39

VOL. 20 - NO. 10

JUNE 1993

Voice Of Thinking Americans

LIBERTY BELL

The magazine for *Thinking Americans*, has been published monthly since September 1973 by Liberty Bell Publications. Editorial office: P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA. Phone: 304-927-4486.

Manuscripts conforming to our editorial policy are always welcome and may be submitted on IBM-, Apple //e-, or Apple/Macintosh-compatible diskette, or in double-spaced, neatly typed format. Manuscripts can not be returned unless accompanied by stamped, self-addressed envelope. Manuscripts accepted for publication become the property of Liberty Bell Publications.

© Copyright 1991
by Liberty Bell Publications.

Permission granted to quote in whole or part any article except those subject to author's copyright. Proper source, address and subscription information must be given.

ANNUAL SUBSCRIPTION RATES:

SAMPLE COPY	\$ 5.00
THIRD CLASS-BULK RATE-USA only	\$40.00
FIRST CLASS-USA	\$50.00
FIRST CLASS-all other countries	\$60.00
AIR MAIL-Europe, South America	\$70.00
Middle East, Far East, South Africa	\$75.00
Sample Copy	\$ 6.50

BULK COPIES FOR DISTRIBUTION:

10 copies	\$ 22.00
50 copies	\$ 90.00
100 copies	\$150.00
500 copies	\$600.00
1000 copies	\$900.00

FREEDOM OF SPEECH — FREEDOM OF THOUGHT FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION

The editor/publisher of *Liberty Bell* does not necessarily agree with each and every article in this magazine, nor does he subscribe to all conclusions arrived at by various writers; however, he does endeavor to permit the exposure of ideas suppressed by the controlled news media of this country.

It is, therefore, in the best tradition of America and of free men everywhere that *Liberty Bell* strives to give free reign to ideas, for ultimately it is ideas which rule the world and determine both the content and structure of our Western culture.

We believe that we can and will change our society for the better. We declare our long-held view that no institution or government created by men, for men, is inviolable, incorruptible, and not subject to evolution, change, or replacement by the will of an informed people.

To this we dedicate our lives and our work. No effort will be spared and no idea will be allowed to go unexpressed if we think it will benefit the *Thinking People*, not only of America, but the entire world.

George P. Dietz, Editor & Publisher

POSTSCRIPTS

by Revilo P. Oliver

CLARIFICATION

Communications that I have recently received by mail and telephone oblige me to state precisely the extent of my responsibility for what appears in this periodical.

What I write appears in *Liberty Bell* without editorial intervention. I am therefore entirely responsible for the content and style of everything that appears under my name, except, of course, for any mechanical slip that may occur between the proof-sheets and the final printing. The editor is responsible only for the publication of what I have written.

Since I have not been blessed with the infallibility of evangelists, I am likely to be guilty of inadvertent errors and oversights. I shall be grateful for corrections, as I am grateful to the valued correspondents who send me useful information, whose names I do not disclose without specific permission.

I write for the tiny number of individuals who wish, not to be shocked by horrors, but to understand their antecedent and sometimes remote causes, so far as that may be possible. My concern is with facts and their causality, not with scribbling egotistic rodomontade. I have neither time nor inclination to disregard our desperate plight by indulging in the now fashionable distraction of lambasting fellow "racists" in billingsgate. And if you wish broadsides in simplified language that (you imagine) will startle a million Americans from their narcotized slumbers, you must apply to some other writer.

I do not finance *Liberty Bell*. I would not do so, had I the means. That would contravene my principles. I have never subsidized or otherwise contributed financially to the publication of anything that I have written. I do not enjoy composing these little articles. I do so only on the chance that they may help my readers identify strands of the

spider's web that has been woven about them and in which they are now held captive and helpless. I write with the hope of fostering in some small way the putative survival of our race and culture. To that end I employ whatever talent I may possess. It seems to me that when I have done that, I have done my part in a normal relationship between author and publisher. If our people do not see fit to arrange for the publication of what I earnestly offer them, then I am mistaken, either about a society that is no longer viable or about the value of what I have written, and it would be an exercise of either futility or vanity to try to force it on the attention of the public. Such is my standard. Other writers feel otherwise. They may be right, but I cannot emulate their self-assurance.

I do not edit *Liberty Bell*. I do not see articles (other than my own) before they are published in it. I do not want to see them. Such energies as I have left are fully occupied by tasks that I hope I may live to complete. And if that were not so, I would not presume to admonish the editor about what he should include or exclude. If there are articles which offend or disgust you, communicate with him, not with me. I may agree with you, but do not expect me to endorse your opinion. Long ago, when I was young, there yet lingered in the Western world a tradition of courtesy toward one's hosts. An author is a guest at the publisher's table. According to the etiquette I was taught, it would be impolite to throw dishes or silverware at him.

CALVIN DISHONORED

Calvin College in Grand Rapids, Michigan, was founded in 1876 by the Reformed Christians, a sect of dissidents who had left the Dutch Reformed Church about twenty years before. It was named, of course, in honor of the great heresiarch of Geneva, father of all the many sects that profess Calvinism. It is supported by that church, but probably has now also become in some way a leech on taxpayers. It has a faculty of about 280, which presumably

tries to teach some four thousand individuals who are charitably called students.

A recent outbreak of academic rowdiness at Calvin is reported by *Christian News*, 17 May 1993. According to that periodical and its press service, the occasion was a speech given by David A. Noebel to a campus audience of more than 1200 on 22 April under the auspices of the undergraduate Republican Club. The speaker, it seems, discussed the recent act of the citizens of Colorado in denying special privileges to perverts and degenerates.

I have had some correspondence with Dr. David Noebel, who was at one time, and may still be, President of the American Christian College in Tulsa, Oklahoma, and Manitou, Colorado, which he rescued from the evangelical pervert generally called Billy James Hoggis. He has written cogent articles and a book on such subjects as the psychological effects of pornography and of music, including nigger-noise and the other kinds of din that are now produced by abuse of instruments designed for music. He now publishes a small monthly journal called the *Summit Journal*. (Not a pretentious title; The Summit was the name of the once fashionable hotel (originally Bella Vista) on a high hill above Manitou Springs which was given to the College some decades ago.) Although he does not assume the title 'Reverend,' he is, for all practical purposes, a clergyman, one of the very few whom I credit with believing what they say.

To judge from the printed report, the burden of Dr. Noebel's discourse at Calvin College was an argument that the Christians' Bible condemns homosexuality. From the standpoint of a rational society, that is irrelevant, but oddly enough, none of his enemies said so—perhaps because Calvin still claims to be a "Christian college." Evidently, however, he also disapproved of degeneracy on other grounds.

The majority of his audience is said to have heard him with favor and applauded parts of his speech, but he infuriated the vociferous and probably numerous perverts

in the "student body" and on the faculty, and the result was a continuing uproar in the gutters.

As one would expect, the punks became hysterical. One self-proclaimed pervert, the Reverend [*sic!* Who, this side of Hell, would even respect him?] Jim Lucas of the Christian Brotherhood, yelled in print that the address was "poison." An undergraduate pervert claimed that he was "made in the image of God" and would certainly "inherit the Kingdom of God." (All of it?) He was so distressed by the college's failure to prohibit Dr. Noebel from appearing on the campus that he would not return next fall. The college thus received at least one benefit from the address.

What is really disturbing is the reaction of the faculty of the college.

Dr. Lambert Zuidervaart, chairman of the Department of Philosophy [!], demanded an apology from the President of the college for having permitted the statement of doubtless accurate facts that were "hate-mongering" which "acknowledged [the existence of] homophobia [*sic!*]" It is evident from the context that the savant is so ignorant that he does not know that 'homophobia' means 'having the same fears'¹ and thinks that it denotes reprehension of homosexual perverts and similar biological refuse.

Dr. Dale Van Kley, Professor of History [!], yowled in a full-page article that Dr. Noebel's speech was "the filthiest event in this college's history," and, of course, when a "Liberal's" suppurating ulcer breaks open, there is also some pus about the millions of Sheenies who came to infest the United States after the wicked Nazis exterminated them. The self-styled historian is quoted as having described Dr. Noebel's speech as "distilled hatred that would not and should not have been tolerated on any secular campus in this country." I am not sure that *all* colleges and universities are as rotten as Van Kley claims, but he is probably correct in

1. To know that requires no learning. One need only consider the meaning of the scores of English words of similar derivation: homologous, homomeral, homoethnic, homodox, homophyly, etc.—even 'homosexual'!

regarding most of them as incubators of virus more malignant than "AIDS."

The point is that we must tolerate perverts, but must not tolerate persons who do not love perverts. That neatly illustrates the hypocrisy of "Liberals," who plead for "toleration" to facilitate the work of destruction that will enable them to become as intolerant (and happy) as Torquemada. Like their famous ancestor, Robespierre, they yearn to do good with a guillotine.

Van Kley had a solution to the distressing toleration of rational discourse. The faculty should force the college to prohibit addresses for which the funds are supplied by alumni and friends, since the vile Republican Club's modest finances come from such sources. Of course, the college itself subsidizes speakers who are the avowed enemies of our race and civilization, whose spiels are called "political awareness."

A student named Ben Slenk, spokesman for the Republican Club, observed that "The administration here has been very good on this thing [permitting speakers that "Liberals" want to suppress]; the problem is that we've been having to deal with the faculty, not with the administration." He added that the only difference he could see between the faculties of Calvin and "any other state school" was that at Calvin perverts on the faculty are still more circumspect and do not noisily flaunt their leprosy, as their counterparts in the other schools do.

If you should be tempted to send to Calvin College a child of whom you are fond, remember that he will be taught "history" by Van Kley and his no doubt like-minded colleagues, and will be taught "philosophy" by professors selected by the hysterically ranting Zuidervaart.²

2. A following article, evidently by the editor of *Christian News*, admits that if an outspoken critic of degeneracy spoke at Valparaiso University, which is maintained by the Missouri Synod of the Lutheran Church, the same disgraceful hullabaloo would follow. He also reproduces a manifesto by the organized perverts at the Lutheran School of Theology in Chicago. They proclaim that, by God, they're going to be ordained as ministers and will "recruit" male and female perverts who will "openly affirm their God-given orientation."

DIVINEST POESY

A recently deceased nigger called Steve Biko was unofficially made the poet laureate of the African National Congress and the darling of "Liberal" churchmen and intellectuals in our rotting land. His masterpiece is a set of verses entitled "Uhuru Day," from which I copy the following inspiring lines:

I will rape their daughters.
I will kill the living;
I will murder the unborn,
And curse the Whites dead.
For it is they who raped Mother Africa,
For it is they who oppress my Black People.
I will hang them on trees, I will burn...
I shall kill with all the strength I have.
Kill! Fragment! And eliminate all that is white,
For I will be paving the way for uhuru day.
The time to free ourselves has come.
Blood will ooze! Blood will flow!
And blood will flood the rivers of Africa
And the Black Man shall have his freedom!

These exquisite sentiments send our great humanitarians, who think themselves safe in North America, into tizzies of rapturous admiration. They were the inspiration of all the Jews' stooges who imposed "sanctions" and otherwise harassed the civilized people of South Africa in an effort to force them to abandon the rational policy of *apartheid* and commit suicide by tolerating insubordinate niggers and their blood-thirsty chiefs, including the pseudo-nigger Mandela,¹ the mass-murderer (chiefly of

1. He is a Griqua, the spawn of a group of not despicable persons, the result of miscegenation between Capoids (Bushmen, Hottentots) and degenerate Whites, who seceded from Cape Colony and made a home for themselves in a region they cleared by simply exterminating the Bantus. Mandela, so far as is known, has no drop of nigger blood, but the niggers are too stupid to know that. Capoids are a race that is entirely distinct from Congoids. They are noted for steatopygy, which serves the same purpose as a camel's hump, and for the grotesque deformation of the females' genital organs, which is biologically inexplicable. In South Africa to-

niggers, whom he, like his mongrel ancestors, must hate) who was given a purse of \$20,000 by blood-thirsty clergymen in New York and was reported to have a million dollars (probably from Jewish sources) waiting for him in Europe.

The verses above are a sufficient commentary on the insensate folly of the Aryan imbeciles who taxed themselves to give the black animal an education that enabled him to express his delicate sentiments in English. It is also a commentary on the insanity by which they permitted Jews and their degenerate White stooges to rouse the blood-lust that is an inherent part of Congoid nature.

Congoids are innately savages, but they are normally a feckless and docile people, among whom slavery is simply a natural part of life. Under pressure, at first coercion and now bribery, from White nations, the Congoids have officially renounced slavery and practice it only when they are unobserved.² You may be quite sure that as soon as the White people have reduced themselves to debility and poverty, the niggers will reinstitute slavery as a necessary part of their *uhuru*.

ay, the term 'colored' is restricted to mongrels resulting from miscegenation between Caucasians and Capoids, and is never used of niggers or mulattos. 'Griqua' is said to be a Hottentot word meaning 'bastard [i.e., mongrel].'

2. In Africa today niggers quite commonly trade a wife or two for a goat, cow, or other more valuable animal. They often give away their children, sell them for a small fee, or use them in sport. I have a copy of a letter from a "Liberal" ignoramus who went to Africa in the "Peace Corpse," and was astonished by the "culture" of the Balubas, who enjoy stringing a razor-sharp machete on a rope and whirling the rope, much as little girls in this country once whirled "jumping ropes." The game is a competition to see which player can toss the greater number of babies through the path of the whirling machete without having them sliced up. Some babies are bisected during the game, but no native is perturbed; there is an abundant supply: easy come, easy go. True to what he had been taught in his moron-mill, the "Liberal" nincompoop solemnly opined that we must not be so bigoted as to criticize adversely the Baluba culture, which is every bit as good as our own.

Congoids, when under the dominion of civilized people, are normally docile, but can be roused to murderous fury.

In the earlier part of this century there was current in nurseries an apologue which may or may not have had a basis in fact. According to the story, an Englishman obtained a tiger cub and domesticated it, keeping it so well-fed that he had the equivalent of a four-hundred-pound cat. All went well until one day the man cut himself, and the discovery that the master had blood aroused the tiger's appetite. He dined on his suddenly deceased owner. The apologue may be applied, as an apt analogy, to Congoids, although their blood-thirst may be aroused by a variety of stimulus, though usually by perception of some weakness in their victims.

Congoids are normally docile when controlled by a race they instinctively recognize as superior, and they, like dogs and horses, are capable of loyalty to their masters. When the savage in them is aroused, they, unless supported by White renegades, do not attack White men who have treated them harshly or even cruelly—they are afraid of them—but attack instead men who have been kind to them, thinking kindness a weakness.³

Very instructive is the event with which Clifford Downey begins his concise but comprehensive *History of the Confederacy, 1832-1865* (1955; New York, Barnes & Noble, 1992).

In 1831, Southhampton County in Virginia (on the border of North Carolina, east of Emporia and west of the Dismal Swamp) had a population of about 16,700—about what it has today. In 1831 there were 6500 Whites, 7700 slaves, and 1500 freed negroes. (The comparatively large number of the latter, although not at all unusual in the South, will astonish

3. Hybrids are, of course, a different matter, and their conduct is less predictable, since they are charged with the incompatible instincts of fundamentally different races. That is true of ordinary mulattos and even more of quadroons. In octaroons the White element is almost always predominant, and some are highly intelligent. The most vicious of all mongrels are said to be sambos, who mingle the blood of two radically different but innately savage races.

many readers.) There were no large plantations. The more prosperous Whites commonly combined a profession or craft with a 'plantation' that was no more than a farm.

A certain Joseph Travis was a skilled coachmaker and had a rather small farm. His was a simple household, and he had no domestic servants. He owned three families of niggers, of which the adults worked alongside him and his son in his shop and fields. The slaves had Saturday afternoon and Sunday off from work, and were encouraged to raise their own garden and to earn money. Turner was a kindly and perhaps too indulgent man. He treated all of his few slaves with kindness, but he had a favorite, a nigger boy named Nat, who seems to have grown up in Mr. Turner's possession.

As Christian, Mr. Turner felt charged with his slaves' spiritual as well as physical welfare and urged them to attend a local church. (Little is known of the White clergyman or his sermons.) Nat was taught to read and was an eager and apt pupil—in comparison with other niggers, of course. He was encouraged to read and "study" the Bible, which made a great impression on him, and he seems to have evinced pietistic or mystical tendencies. His religiosity pleased his owner and doubtless won him further favors.

On the principle of "monkey see, monkey do," Nat began to imitate the White clergyman by preaching to the niggers of the region, soon attracting quite a following, and he became known among his kind as The Preacher. Unfortunately, there seems to be no evidence whether his grandmother, who raised him, practiced Voodoo⁴ (secretly,

4. Perhaps the silliest etymology ever proposed and taken seriously is the derivation of 'voodoo' from *Vaudois*, the French name of the Waldensian sect (the Twelfth-Century 'Pauperes Lugdunenses,' early Protestants, related to the Cathari, whose cult spread from Southern France to Switzerland and the Piedmont; despite centuries of almost constant persecution, the cult survives today, chiefly in Switzerland, where its ministers usually speak 'Bernois,' said to be a dialect of German once spoken generally as Berne; it is unintelligible to Germans.) You will find this absurd etymology in some older dictionaries and it is even mentioned in the First Edition of *Webster's New International*. The word is common to the native languages of central West Africa, the region from which

of course), but that does not matter. Even if he had never heard of it, Nat had Voodoo in his blood. It is the natural religion of his race. And his savage mind was ignited by the Christian doctrine he began to cite, the potentially Communist poison attributed to Jesus, "the last shall be first."⁵

Nat's professed Christian piety doubtless contributed to the privileges given him by Mr. Turner: he was made the "overseer" of his few companions and he was probably exempted from most or all of the work required of the other male niggers, who had to work hard five days of each week. By his orating, he acquired a great influence over the negroes of the region, including, perhaps, some who had been freed. He may not have understood what he was saying; we may be sure that most of his audience did not: they liked the sound, admired what seemed mastery of the White man's

most slaves were brought; the various spellings, *voudou*, *vudu*, *vodu*, may represent dialectical differences. It is variously defined as 'sorcery,' 'a witch-doctor,' 'a spirit,' 'fetish,' 'spell,' etc. by Europeans, who want a clear definition, but the dim minds of Congoids do not make such distinctions and the word represents only something supernatural. The companion term, 'ju-ju,' is usually defined as 'a fetish,' and that is what it usually means, but when a Voodoo priest performs an important rite, such as the sacrifice of a 'hornless goat' (now usually a young child, gladly supplied by its parents), he is said to 'make ju-ju.' Voodoo is much practiced by niggers in the United States, not exclusively in the South, since it is popular in Detroit and similarly infested cities. The current occupant of the Papal See is trying to incorporate Voodoo in his "One World" religion, but has not yet pronounced whether the ceremony of a "hornless goat" is an acceptable substitute for the Eucharist.

5. The dangerous doctrine is proclaimed twice in *Mat.*, 19,30; 20,16; in *Marc.*, 10,31; and *Luc.*, 13,30. So long as this was explained as referring to an imaginary Heaven or to a "Kingdom of God" to be established on earth someday in a putative future, it was relatively harmless, but it obviously suggested to malevolent agitators the "social gospel" of Marx and the overthrow of civilization to produce at once the New Jerusalem and the New World that Jesus, according to the *Apocalypse*, was going to set up for homosexual Jews after he exterminated mankind.

language, and the flow of words to which they may have attributed a magical power.⁶

Nat nursed and matured his plans in secret for some three years until he was thirty. His own explanation of his conduct was that in the spring of 1828 he heard "a loud noise in the heavens" and "the spirit instantly appeared to me and said the Serpent was loosened, and Christ had laid down the yoke he had borne for the sins of man, and that I should take it on and fight against the Serpent, for the time was fast approaching when the first should be last and the last should be first." Note that this typical fit of religious insanity made Nat the appointed successor of Jesus, i.e., a nigger Christ.⁷

It was nicely ironical that on Sunday, 25 August 1831, the Travis family spent the day at what was called a "camp meeting," listening to the rant of evangelists, which they probably took seriously, and incidentally profiting from the opportunity to meet White families of the county who were not immediate neighbors. Nat, after giving his followers enthusiasm from a copious supply of brandy, organized the seven in whom he had confidence to carry out his plan,

6. In the Belgian Congo during the late 1920s there was formed a secret society of Congoids to promote *uhuru* or whatever may have been the equivalent of that word in their dialect. They attributed some mystic power to the ceremonies in which their leaders, dressed in clothing stolen from the Whites, enacted a banquet, to which niggers in evening dress ceremonially escorted male niggers in evening gowns, and delivered orations that consisted of jumbles of whatever scraps of orotund French they were able to remember, often with phrases in the most ludicrous juxtaposition, and entirely meaningless. But the creatures seem to have felt that they were thus making big magic in preparation for their "liberation" from civilized rule. This is a good index to the racial mentality of Congoids, on which see the excellent article by Noël Hunt that I extracted in *Liberty Bell*, May 1986, pp. 9-16. He reminded us of the basic truth that "A White man can no more think like a Black than he can think like a bee."

7. Recently a nigger named Tom Skinner, who had long performed for the famous hokum-monger, Billy Graham, broke ranks and repudiated a white Jesus, demanding a black successor. He called "for the abolition of existing institutions and the erection of new ones that will be relevant to the black community." The soul of Nat the Preacher goes marching on.

doubtless adorning it with typical rodomontade. Since Nat seems to have been a comparatively puny nigger, it may be significant that of the seven, one, Hark, who was devoted to Nat, also belonged to Mr. Travis and was "a magnificently and powerfully built black man," suggesting an alliance between cunning weakness and stolid strength, such as sometimes has sexual implications. Two of the other recruits belonged to Mrs. Travis' brother. The ownership of the rest is not recorded.

At ten o'clock the conspirators, one of whom had kept the Turners' house under observation, broke into the house without awakening the family. Nat, armed with a hatchet, tried to split the skull of Mr. Turner, whom he doubtless despised for his kindness, but the blow was a glancing one, and one of the Preacher's followers had to split Mr. Travis' skull with a broadaxe and then perform the same service for Mrs. Travis. It is to be noticed that on this and many subsequent occasions, Nat, who must have been both awkward and comparatively weak, was never able to strike a killing blow with either axe or sword, and his work had to be finished by his followers.⁸

The rest of the Turner family was speedily butchered. The black Christ and his seven henchmen dressed themselves in the White men's clothes and, finding some red cloth, tore it up to adorn themselves in keeping with their racial instinct.⁹ They also acquired four shotguns, several muskets, and powder-and-shot, and set out to surprise and butcher other White families in the neighborhood, with notable success.

It is unnecessary to detail the career of the rabid niggers. They succeeded in slaughtering a total of between fifty and one hundred White men, women, and children, usually mutilating the corpses with their instinctive

8. He is said to have succeeded once in killing a woman who was already wounded and unconscious.

9. In the days of the slave trade, a nigger, especially a young one, could often be bought from his family for a few yards of bright red cloth.

savagery. The series of attacks on isolated families is outlined by Clifford Downey, *op. cit.*, pp. 16-22, to which I refer you.

We need notice only what is particularly significant. (1) The Preacher's success brought him many recruits, eager to join the fun, including—*nota bene*—some freed negroes, who owed their freedom to the kindness and generosity of the master who liberated them for meritorious service or liberated all his slaves by will. (2) Some slaves remained loyal to their masters, warned them and tried to help them hide; there are even instances in which they fought for them. (3) A certain Captain Barrow held off the whole gang of murderers for some time and until a nigger got him in the back. His courage and prowess so impressed the Preacher and his men that they cut off his head and drank his blood. That, of course, was only natural, although it may be odd that they did not also eat selected parts of him.¹⁰

The black Christ with sixty or more disciples met their first check when they encountered a band of eighteen White men, who opened fire. In the brief combat which followed, the boldest niggers were killed and the rest fled. The Preacher with some twenty followers escaped and headed for the next county, where he obtained some fresh recruits. They thought to creep up on the home of Dr. Blunt, a prosperous physician who had an establishment that, though modest, really deserved the name of plantation. After the marauders broke in the gate of the surrounding fence, Dr. Blunt, his fifteen-year-old son, and his manager opened fire with muskets and shotguns that were reloaded by the women of the family. A few volleys sufficed to kill some niggers and daunt the rest; then Dr. Blunt's slaves, armed with hoes and other improvised

10. You may recall that when Aryan idiots made the Ivory Coast a "free and independent republic," the leading natives so admired their Ambassador to the "United Nations" that when he returned home, they ate him, intending, of course, thus to acquire his talents.

weapons, set upon the assailants, who ran. Ned had only a dozen disciples left when the cavalry of the Greenville-County militia rode them down, killing or capturing all except Ned and two of his men. He managed to hide until October, when a poor White farmer captured him.

Ned and fifty-two accomplices, including five freed negroes, were brought to trial. They were given a fair trial. The freed negroes were allowed to appeal to the Supreme Court. Some were hanged, some transported, and a few acquitted.

Nat's apostolic effort was just an outbreak of nigger savagery, with "freedom" and Jesus no more than convenient slogans, but it was called a "slave revolt," as indeed it was in one aspect and on a small scale. It reminded everyone of the real insurrection of slaves on the island of Hispaniola, where, probably incited and certainly abetted by degenerate Frenchmen and British missionaries and soldiers too stupid to know what they were doing, the niggers succeeded in exterminating the civilized population of the part of the island known as Haiti, which has remained a festering jungle ever since.¹¹

In Boston, the news of "Nat Turner's rebellion" and the comparison with Haiti excited a misfit, William Lloyd Garrison, his mind seething with organic hatreds, who published a seditious newspaper called *The Liberator* and is usually called the founder of Abolitionism. It is only fair to notice that the revolutionary poison only slowly affected New England. Garrison was mobbed by sane persons in Boston, and for a long time Abolitionists were regularly run out of towns such as Lowell. Garrison, however, was able to enlist a wealthy, superficially well-educated, and idle young man named Wendell Phillips, who had some oratorical talent he yearned to display and a wife whose little head was crammed with feminist do-gooding.

11. On this bloody affair, see Lothrop Stoddard's *The French Revolution in San Domingo* (New York, Scribners, 1914; reprinted, s.l.& a., c. 1970).

Garrison and Phillips together launched what a judicious contemporary at once recognized as "a crusade in the name of liberty but with the purpose of plunder." That was the start of a revolutionary movement that was inspired by all the blood of the French Revolution but prudently used the fancied plight of niggers in the South as a means to power and outrage.

In Virginia and the South generally the reaction was essentially one of shock. Haiti was far away and in the past, and Southerners had grown complacent about the labor on which their economy depended. The demonstration of what the beasts were capable, if they got out of control was dismaying. It was as though horses had suddenly conspired to turn upon their owners.

In Virginia, where the economy was less dependent on crops that could be cultivated only by Congoids and the climate did not make field work by White men suicidal, there was among the planters a very considerable sentiment for a sane policy of emancipating the Congoids and shipping them back to Africa, on the model set by the Great Emancipator, who was not Dishonest Abe, but John Randolph of Roanoke: he emancipated by will his four hundred slaves and provided for their return to the continent from which they or their parents had been brought. That example naturally aroused the fury of Garrison and his fellow conspirators, who for decades decried, sabotaged, and frustrated every sane effort to terminate slavery, because they wanted freed niggers kept in the South so that they could make it a new Haiti.¹²

The subsequent disaster, the Americans' first fit of murderous righteousness, is beyond the purview of this article,

12. Garrison deprecated violence with an hypocrisy that should have deceived no one. So far as I know, no historian has tried to determine the extent to which the Sheenies instigated, fomented, and subsidized the blood-thirsty Abolitionists. God's People, you may be sure, did not overlook so efficient a means of carrying out their mission to destroy every nation they succeed in penetrating (e.g., *Exod.*, 23,27 in the Jews' story-book; cf. *Deut.*, 31.3 *et passim*).

in which I have adduced the events of 1831 in Southampton County only as an illustration of the natural behavior of Congoids, especially toward White men who show them kindness and generosity, when their blood-lust is aroused.

Ned the Preacher in 1831 and Steve Biko, a hundred and sixty years later and in a totally different environment, both responded to the same racial instinct, which had been acuminated, and perhaps aroused, by education given them by foolish White men. That is only what we should expect. Everyone knows that biological species do not evolve or change in periods of less than geological time.

A rose is a rose, and a nigger is a nigger. He is a nigger whether he is anointing himself with elephants' dung in a squalid hut on the banks of the Zambezi or is riding in an air-conditioned, custom-built, chauffeur-driven Rolls-Royce.¹³

Innumerable tons of paper have been wasted on discussion of what is now called "inner city crime" by one of the sneaking circumlocutions with which the prostitutes of journalism construct booby-traps for their readers. There is endless drivel about "poverty" and "education" and "prejudice" and "urban pressures," all leading to the conclusion that the nasty White people have not impoverished themselves enough. There was only one cause of "inner city crime": niggers—until our rulers added a second by importing millions of half-breeds from Mexico. And unless the now aroused niggers are exported or beaten into servile fear and obedience by measures that would make do-gooders scream and swoon, that crime, in the "inner city" and in the "exclusive" suburbs and even in small towns still preponderantly white, will constantly increase until the

13. For example, the witch-doctor's *purple* limousine (specially built for him, of course, at the expense of Aryan imbeciles) described by Isaiah Oke in *Blood Secrets* (Buffalo, New York; Prometheus Books, 1989), an important book on which I have yet to report in these pages.

jewspapers will no longer be able to fool their readers,¹⁴ who will have personally experienced the consequences of petting and cherishing innately savage animals.

Today, Americans gladly send their children to boob-hatcheries in which skilled educators grab the child by the neck, rub his face in garbage to extinguish his self-respect, and inject the diseased pus of "One World," to make the little imbecile deny the evidence of his senses and believe that there are no racial differences between species of talking anthropoids. My younger readers may not realize how recently this program of induced dementia has been carried out.

A good illustration of what was normal recognition of the obvious a few decades ago may be found in the work of the late Robert A. Heinlein, who was the most prominent and successful writer of the kind of stories that is called "science fiction." You must understand that Heinlein did not write literature, that is, works of verbal art created according to aesthetic and philosophical principles. He wrote, as he liked to say in both conversation and in print, "to buy groceries," i.e., to please and entertain as many readers as possible. He was anxious not to offend the sensibilities of any. That is why I now cite a story, *The Sixth Column*, which, after preliminary publication in a "pulp" magazine, was published as a book by the Gnome Press, c. 1949, and reprinted in 1951 under the title *The Day after Tomorrow* by the New American Library in New York.

As the story opens, the United States has been totally conquered by the PanAsians (i.e., the Japanese, who have obtained control of China and formed a Mongolian Empire). A sudden attack with atomic bombs has annihilated New Ycrk and Washington (the major pest-holes of the country, as Heinlein is careful *not* to say). All that has not been occupied

14. The jewspapers habitually suppress or falsify news of such crimes so far as possible, admitting the event only locally where the news would circulate by word-of-mouth and so expose their censorship. A sampling of current nigger crimes can usually be found in current issues of *Instauration* (P.O. Box 76, Cape Canaveral, Florida; 32920; \$30.00 per annum) and *The Truth at Last* (formerly the *Thunderbolt*; P.O. Box 1211, Marietta, Georgia; 30061; \$15.00 per annum). They can, however, report only a very small part of the horrendous total.

by the Mongolians is a secret military research laboratory located under one of the Rocky Mountains. The five men in that laboratory who have survived a disastrous experiment set out, under the command of a major of Military Intelligence, to reconquer the United States. To attain their seemingly mad purpose, they have only two resources:

(1) The genetically innate differences between the Aryan and the Mongolian minds, making it possible for an Aryan aware of those differences to outwit a Mongolian.

(2) A phenomenal scientific discovery of a "magnetogravitic spectrum," which is analogous to the familiar electromagnetic spectrum, and of means to control that spectrum, just as we now control light, Roentgen rays, and the rest of the electromagnetic spectrum. This makes possible seemingly miraculous effects, including a "death-ray" which may be attuned to the physiological differences between races and thus made selective, i.e., at one frequency it will kill only White men, at another, only Mongolians, etc.¹⁵

The entire story, you see, depends on the facts of fundamental racial differences, such as the boobies are now forbidden to perceive. I think it almost certain that it is no longer in print. A week or so ago, I mentioned the book in conversation with a friend of mine who had remarked that he particularly enjoys Heinlein's writings and collects them. He had never heard of this book under either of its titles.¹⁶

15. I need not tell you that the Americans are saved in the story, which was, indeed, prophetic in many details, since the PanAsians oppress the conquered Americans by imposing many measures (such as "social security" registration) to which those hapless creatures now unthinkingly submit.

16. Heinlein may himself have become diffident about this novel. Around 1960 he planned a series to be called "Future History," tracing the history of the United States and the earth from the Twentieth Century to the end of the Twenty-Second, and dealing largely with interplanetary and intergalactic travel, but including such things as a theocratic and Puritanic dictatorship in this country c. 2090. He omitted the *Day after Tomorrow* from the list of books already published in the series as he outlined it.

THE MOST EVIL MAN

An Essay by
Richard C. Bentinck, M.D.

©Copyright 1993 by Richard C. Bentinck, M.D.

In all groups of social animals, there is a ruler—a dominant individual, usually a physically powerful male. His purpose is to serve the group as its leader, its protector and guide to food and often he is the source of most of the male complement of its gene pool. He does not share his power. He rules because he is strong and only so long as he can, by physical prowess, defend his position.

Man brought a new essence to life. As his brain expanded, so did the scope of his curiosity and he added guile to his armamentarium. No longer did the leadership of the group necessarily belong to him whose strength was purely physical nor was leadership vested any longer in a single individual. In the beginning, man's curiosity extended from an investigation of what edible morsel might be found beneath the nearest rock to the world around him and the skies above.

But, even then, man went beyond. While nature decreed that he live in the present, his concept of self and his inability to accept the possibility of personal extinction, drove him to live also in the future. Thus, he supports two leaders; the one to protect and guide the needs of his physical being and another to assure him of meaning to his existence and to guide him into a later world where all his wishes are fulfilled and he may exist forever in ecstasy.

And, from the beginning, there always was one who said he had all the answers and knew well the path.

In the beginning, this 'spiritual leader', priest or medicine man probably was not malign although he was an opportunist. He was clever, guileful, probably physically weak or even congenitally deformed. If he should look or seem different in some way from his peers in the tribe, it is only human to hold him a bit apart and attribute to him facilities the others lack, particularly if he claims to have

powers beyond their comprehension. He fascinated others by exaggerating his difference. In all likelihood he was lazy. He grew fat. He enjoyed the soft bed he had made for himself and found succulent and tasty the sacrifices he required to the powers he claimed to represent. He had no wish to destroy the basis of his relative luxury. He lived for his lifetime alone. He was brilliant and sometimes even insane. He honed to a pinnacle a characteristic that is almost exclusively human. This man's prey was his own kind.

Agriculture was invented. As the tribes of man ceased their wandering and coalesced into stable city states, the lucrative business of religion was no longer left to the isolated medicine man. Religion became organized. It was the first privately held big-business corporation. As such, it assumed a life of its own that far exceeded the three score and ten allotted to its officers and their consumers. From the beginning, these corporate organizations were potentially immortal.

Just as no system of economics yet devised can see its immortal future as a mature state of static no growth, churchly religion, as a big business, is dedicated to perpetual growth.

Perpetual growth of a producing business enterprise requires an ever-expanding market for a product that fills a real or created need. It can achieve this either by building a limited useful life into its manufactured artefact so that it wears out and must continually be replaced, by continual "improvement" of its product, by increasing the numbers of its customers or by a combination of all three methods. But, the churchly religion business cannot achieve its desired growth by these methods. It does not market a material product than can be obsolesced or continually "improved" so that the full cost of a replacement must be expended repeatedly by the consumer.

Its single product, a doctrinal notion asserted without regard to rational evidence, truth or basic need is based

upon an alleged one-time event that is not susceptible to proof of either occurrence, quality or utility. It is a unique invention that cannot be improved. Organized religion advertises that the delusional system it sells holds the answers to all of mankind's deepest questions while it promises the means to the fulfillment of his most arrogant hopes. It markets a lie dressed in pomp and ceremony.

It solves the marketing dilemma of a static product by requiring its consumers to buy the product in installment payments over their lifetimes with a balloon payment at death if the devout decedent has any remaining resources. This deathbed pauperization supposedly assures that the decedent will not face the same frustration as that stupid camel of "eye-of-the-needle" fame.

This is simply good marketing strategy as is used by any successful corporate enterprise whose claims and promises seldom confront reality.

One of the standard avenues to growth remains open to it. It can grow by increasing the numbers of its customers by converting existing populations to its product. This it does very effectively through hard- and soft-sell methods. But this does not solve its greed for consumers into perpetuity. Perpetual growth over time is assured and maximized only if subsequent generations of consumers can be enhanced in numbers and sensitized to desire an unchanging product and made to believe that it is unchanging because it always has been the ultimate in quality. They must believe that it could not be made better.

Organized churchly religion has devised a unique and highly effective means of increasing the numbers of its consumers into perpetuity that is available to no other business entity. It has incorporated into its product—its authoritarian dogma—the requirement that, in order to enjoy the full benefit package, the consumer must reproduce to his or her maximum capacity. This serves a two-fold purpose. First, it increases the numbers of consumers exponentially across generations. Second, by

requiring parents to allow their children to be instructed by the church about the benefit package from birth onward, its salespersons are able to establish lifelong allegiance to the product as a conditioned reflex. It is as if a producer of diapers were able to brainwash its infant customers so that they would feel fearfully guilty and undressed unless they wore diapers to the end of their lives.

Through this ploy, the churchly enterprise is virtually assured of an exponentially increasing market that, procreating mindlessly, eventually must overwhelm the ability of the earth to support life. The principal by-product of organized religion is people. The only way it can grow perpetually is to provide that more people are exponentially produced in perpetuity. People exist only as producers of yet more people and as consumers. As consumers, religion's by-product must, above all else, be prepared to consume religion's own dogma. The by-product of organized religion has, then, only the functions of reproduction and allegiance to its dogma. These aspects of its market, along with quantity or sheer numbers, organized religion monitors and controls closely. Aside from the reproductive efficiency of its by-product, its only other quality concern is that its consumers be docile and accepting towards the administration and exist in mindless ignorance.

Herein lies one of its most destructive tenets since it cannot tolerate any increase in what civilization always has referred to as the quality of man and his life situation. It cannot tolerate a thinking consumer because a thinking consumer, a thinking human being, is a danger to any scam. A human capable of logical thought is the only thing that could destroy the business of organized religion. And this is why its over-all influence is to halt, not only evolution of the human animal, but also any socio-cultural evolution that might advance the quality of life on earth for all organisms. It thrives on ignorance, filth and poverty.

Its consumers must scramble for sustenance. Whether the scramble is by legal or illegal means, is of no real consequence to the church. It can forgive. It leaves its consumers only sufficient leisure to perform the only act remaining to its pauperized mental and material resources: the act of fornication. This it holds sacred, next to allegiance, as the most basic of consumer rights and duties. It is an act, not to be enjoyed for its own sake, but only for the "joy" of having impregnated or conceived. The concept of responsibility for the consequences of the act is not to be considered by either party.

Organized religion is a monolithic hierarchical organization. Its Chief Executive Officer, its CEO, sits at the apex of a pyramid. It is anything but democratic and this, perhaps, is why it has lasted so long. There is no possibility of serious internal dissent. The CEO, the Pope, is not responsible to its Board of Directors, the College of Cardinals, for example. He may take their advice, but it is not binding upon him. He has declared himself infallible. Consequently, what he says, goes. He, then, is solely responsible for the actions of his organization. He, and only he, must bear the onus.

Organized religion is a system of beliefs supporting a monolithic factory. Its net product is reproducing machines from which it collects a profit. Its dogma, or by-laws, does not spring, full-fledged as a revelation from somewhere on high. It is an invented thing. All organized religions have been invented by a person or group of persons in the name of a person, either real or distorted or wholly invented, to whom, in retrospect, mystical powers have been attributed. The inventor—or inventors—had a reason to invent and establish, through the development of an elaborate system of deception, their organized delusional system. The reason, consistent with all human motivation—even of altruism—must be personal gain of some sort such as personal ease, luxury and power. While the person of talent may meet his expectations through his talent, the

man with no talent and great expectations, like a politician or a priest, must exploit the abilities of others.

Unless these inventors were insane—and through the ages, they could not all have been insane—they had to know that what they had invented was just that—invention. They had to know that their invented doctrine had no basis in reality and was, in fact, as false as the attributes they had given the mythological figures they had named as the founders of their organizations.

But they also knew, as practice proved, that the increasing multitudes would accept their delusional system as fact. They, the priests, had to have become the ultimate cynics. They developed a profound contempt for all of mankind because of the self-seeking gullibility mankind demonstrated by their subservience to themselves, the priestcraft. This contempt, transmitted to the organization they served, became in the organization's grand and immortal view, contempt for all life. Bound by its own perverted ideology, it has no moral imperative and in no way is the fount or origin of moral precept and behavior. Mindlessly, it seeks to destroy that which it has come to abhor.

Paul, the inventor of Christianity, was just such a person. Today, he would be a successful television evangelist. Then, he was the opportunist par excellence. Upon the dogma he concocted from the myths of the world that preceded him and an otherwise obscure political activist, rose the Catholic Church in its awful eminence. The Pope who, under many aliases, serves this monolithic monster is the most evil man the world has known.

His hatred for life is unbounded. In theistic terms, he is opposed to all that god has made. In secular terms, the "good" that god has made is all of nature—the universe and all that it may be and hold including the tiny particle upon which we exist, planet earth. And the greatest god, in earthly terms, must be the freedom for all that is natural of planet earth to realize its potential. The greatest evil

must be that which opposes and frustrates the greatest good. Throughout man's history, good and evil have been portrayed as locked in mortal combat. Depending upon the viewpoint and motives of the identifier, more often than not, each has been misidentified as the other. Within the bounds of human knowledge, neither good nor evil can be defined in the absolute sense since we know neither the meaning nor the purpose of the universe or even if there be purpose or meaning. We do not know for sure whether or not there has been a beginning nor if there will be an end. After millennia of delving, science can say with certainty only what is not; things are not as they appear to be. Reality is ephemeral. Perhaps, even, there is no reality!

In order, then, to define both good and evil in terms that have meaning to our macroscopic conception of reality as cognizant beings existing in a minute portion of unmeasured vastness, an assumption must be made. It must be assumed that the reality of life on earth is "good." And that assumption must refer to each and all of the living things that compose the biosphere. This is the ultimate equality, a unity against entropy. Each and every living thing has equal rights to pursue its destiny before the judgement of time. These rights are enforced by the precisely balanced interdependency of each upon the others. Disturb this balance and all suffer. Whatever promotes the balance of nature and permits every form of life, each species, to exist within a natural biosphere and to pursue its evolution to either perfection or natural extinction must be assumed to be the ultimate good. Conversely, whatever may act by unnatural means to frustrate or interfere with this balance of nature in a manner or to an extent that overwhelms nature's ability to recover or brings to unnatural extinction a species, must be the ultimate evil.

Man had nothing to do with the creation of this homeostasis. Nevertheless, as painfully recent arrival upon this scene, he has been informed by Christian edict that it was all created specially for him and it was his right to use

nature in any manner that he wished. What can make possible such a misinterpretation of all that surrounds him?

What is evil of this magnitude? It is not merely "bad." What is 'bad' may befall anyone or anything at any time simply as a matter of circumstance. Even if contrived, 'bad' tends to be relatively minor. It does not send chills to the depths of being, it does not repel with horror when found. A shrug of the shoulders, 'it was just bad luck'. It just happens. Unmitigated evil, on the other hand, must be contrived by an informed and highly intelligent mind. The ultimate evil must contemplate the ultimate harm and eventual destruction of the ultimate good. There must be malice and deception, deliberation and calculation of fiendish proportion. What is done must be done knowingly with full intent to utterly destroy its target. For centuries, the Pope's unhurried aim has been directed against all that is good.

What qualifications must this paradigm of all that is evil possess? What character of man is he? Male he must be—and have been—because the doctrine that supports him reviles and demeans women as lesser creatures whose sole function is to serve the male as a receptive vessel of all which may be tossed her way.

He cannot be a mental defective. The mental defective cannot be evil. While he may do 'bad' things, isolated acts that conceivably could be as bad as an isolated act of the evil man, he could not, with limited abilities, integrate over the millennia these individual acts into a plan which at its fruition will utterly destroy the earth's ultimate good. Forgive of doing evil him of low intellect, for he knows not what he has done. His limited span of attention could not allow him to devote himself single-mindedly over his many lifetimes to such a goal.

No, the paragon of evil is not a retard. He is not stupid. Nor is he ignorant. While ignorant man may have a superlative brain, his mind is undeveloped either because

the will to know and learn is lacking or the opportunity never occurred. Without a broad and deep knowledge, the evil man could never have selected and then maintain through the changes of the ages the single thread that surely must bring about the end of all life on earth.

It takes intelligence. It requires an informed, educated mind to have selected and maintained with dogged purpose a means of destruction that can masquerade as the greatest good. While 'good' has no reason to masquerade as that which it is not, the repugnance of evil will always require that it cloak itself in a mantle of 'good.'

Perhaps this man may be forgiven because he is insane. After all, the destruction of all life on earth cannot be the goal of a sane man., can it? Perhaps he needs help.

While he may be the ultimate psychopath or sociopath, he is not insane. He knows what he does. He does it consistently, without variation except superficially in order to adapt his malevolence to changing conditions. There is none of the unreliability of behavior that is the mark of insanity. Insanity could never bide its time, could never persist through generations so unrelentingly to its goal. With the charisma that often marks the sociopath, he inspires the ignorant masses to worship and follow him blindly in their march to his hell.

He knows the meaning of the "balance of nature" and what could most surely upset it for he has always had at his disposal all the knowledge that man has laboriously gleaned over the millennia. He is always a highly intelligent, educated man. And he is jealous of his knowledge.

Over the centuries, his organization has systematically sought out and destroyed those who delved into the workings of nature. Writings, contrary or dangerous to his own dogma, were buried or burned. Cleverly, he selected himself as the appointed guardian of all that is true and right. Those who disagreed were, quite simply, wrong. If they could be made through torture to retract their

writings and admit their wrong, they were spared further torture and killed quickly. If not, they were killed horribly and their writings burned or secreted from unauthorized scrutiny where knowledge that could change history still exists today. If, at times, it became awkward to maintain older ideas for which men had been killed, he would reverse himself in effect while cleverly mouthing circumlocutions that made him paradoxically seem correct in each of his opposing views. He promulgated ignorance and has always looked upon education, other than that sanctioned by himself and given by his minions, with displeasure.

Why should this man who enjoys the adulation of billions and the fantastic wealth and power mulcted from them through devious deceit wish to destroy the basis of his position? Through his many lives, he has lived in ultimate luxury with every material benefit that life could offer. He has denied himself nothing though he professes poverty and abstinence from all earthly pleasures. Strangely, his duality blinds the eyes of his adulators. Somehow, they fail to see his deceit. But he has more. When all the material things of life are at hand, man still has the capacity to desire more. Power is both the ultimate aphrodisiac and the ultimate orgasm. The power of life and death, the power to destroy, is absolute power. In his little way, the terrorist feels that the sacrifice of his own life is worthwhile if he is able to inflict even greater sacrifice upon others. The greater the damage, the greater his happiness in his own death. The greater the evil created, the greater the evil creator. Through the millennia, it is this that has sustained this man of many lives in his direction of the organization called the Christian Church. At once, its evil transcends him as it creates him while it chains him to its contorted icons.

The doctrine of total death, the ultimate power of powers, has marked his single-minded purpose of his dominance of this diabolical entity. The end of all life is

simply the final manifestation of united hatred and contempt for the billions who, in their blind ignorance, had adored him and had followed his church to their deaths, bringing all living things with them. He is the most successful huckster of all time.

This most evil of all men whose brilliant mind is twisted by hatred and power-lust cowl his ugliness with the ultimate arrogance of dominion over all of nature by right of his personal goodness. His practical sense advises him to avoid naming himself as god. He retains the idea of a remote and all-powerful being upon whom he can blame, as misinterpretations of unclear commands, his own machinations to retain his status in the face of the results of scientific inquiry. But he leaves no doubt that he is god's right hand man.

How best accomplish the destruction of all living things?

Life on earth is a syncytium that makes of the earth itself a living thing. In this delicate balance of nature, life makes life possible. The biosphere is a marvelous interdependency between the living and the non-living, the animate and inanimate, between organism and stone. The elements themselves, the atoms of the earth—even the nature of the universe—are parts of this balance. Without the precision of proportion of everything from the force of gravity to the metabolic requirements of a bacterium, life could never have existed. Science now recognizes this incredible coincidence of conditions necessary for the existence of living things as the "anthropic principle." The "anthropic principle" holds that if the universe had been only slightly different in any of many ways to do with the laws and constants of Nature and to do with the properties of the substances to which those laws give rise, neither we nor any other living thing would be here to wonder at the marvel of it all. The existence of life on earth seems to be due to the delicate interplay of an immense number of individually incredible coincidences. A perversion of any of

the innumerable permutations and combinations of the elements and forces of nature from the instant of the "big bang" some fifteen billion years ago to the beginnings of life in the murky waters of our early earth some three billion years ago and these words would never have been written. The biosphere, that thin layer of living things at the surface of our earth, would never have existed. And even now, we now can so vividly see if we but look, the perversion of that delicate balance which is destroying it.

In this marvelous interdependency, each species has its niche and by its existence provides a niche for yet another. No species that lives within the bounds of nature's law is redundant. Each owes its existence to another and is essential to the survival of all. Each has its consumptive quota, its share of the earth's resources. Sooner or later, the numbers of each, the very existence of each, is under the control of natural forces in a relationship so complex as to be beyond human understanding. It may be through a feedback mechanism as simple as rabbits multiplying beyond their food supply leading to a massive die-off or as complex as the flutter of a butterfly's wings in central Mexico resulting in a flood in Bangladesh as 'Chaos Theory' tells us. Chaos Theory tells us, in fact, that we can neither know nor predict the ultimate effects of our actions. We cannot—and will never be able to—predict the weather with accuracy more than a few days in advance. We cannot know when the despoliation of our biosphere by our sheer numbers will kill us—only that it will.

We should never have allowed ourselves to be lulled by a false dogma into believing that we are the ultimate of all evolution, that all of nature's bounty exists only for the willful profligacy of human beings and that we, of all creatures, are exempt from nature's laws. As living beings, we are only part of a being that is all of life and living things. When a species passes into extinction because our numbers have expanded into its living space or have poisoned its ability to survive, all of life loses a part of

itself. It is maimed and the whole being suffers. It has become a little less that it was.

When ingenuity, misidentified as intelligence, has allowed a species to temporarily overcome nature's constraints, that species becomes deformed, a tumorous thing that no longer can function as an organ of the greater body of living things. As a cancer invades and destroys the solitary body, a species gone cancerous invades and destroys the very being of life on earth. And as its malignancy spreads, it destroys the mindless thing it has become. The touch of a man was the touch of death; the touch of mankind, diabolically managed, can be the death of all life. Because of his ingenious power to kill, mankind will at last remain as the solitary lord of the lifeless devastation he has created only moments before he too passes into oblivion.

Long ago in one of his earlier lives, the Pope had seen the way to the goal of his organization. He had seen the rains come and the earth blossom. He had seen the flocks multiply and then he had seen the dry years when death reaped the flocks of their excess. He had seen men ingeniously tear at the earth for the wealth and power it brought today with no moment given for intelligence to consider that a bill might be tendered tomorrow. Shrewdly, he saw that in each man there existed a greed that, coupled with ingenuity, could accomplish his purpose. Go forth and multiply. The Pope's existence, his power and his goal depended upon numbers of a being whose contemplatory intelligence could be dulled as his ingenuity was sharpened. No matter were his "flock" to consist of the poor in spirit, he realized that sheer numbers, even of paupers, under his aegis would give him control of princes and kings, of tribes and nations. Numbers would make him greater than all. In the beginning, that much he knew. Rule them, he would, but not by the sword. He would rule by word alone.

He is clever, devilishly clever. He would rule, not by his word, but by HIS. He studied the myths of many cultures and then in his own image he created the single god who would reveal to him the laws by which he would rule as that god's representative on earth. As god's special intermediary, he reasons, he has the best of all possible worlds. Rule by revealed law sets a divine and mysterious basis for a hierarchical authoritarian structure with him at its earthly summit. It gives his word unquestionable authority since no person could possibly be in a position to question that which he concocts in the privacy of his own brain. His own word is beyond refute. He has at once become infallible. Yet he leaves himself an avenue of escape should he, in his many lives, contradict himself. He can always claim that as a mere human, he had misunderstood the divine revelation—or that his petulant god was testing him.

Since he had placed himself beyond scientific or worldly proof, he realized that he must build his edifice upon a different rock. Its validity must be based upon belief. Belief—faith—must be established as a valid route to truth—as the only way to the ultimate truth. The critical senses of his followers must be overcome and replaced by faith and trust that his words were true and divinely revealed to him alone.

To overcome the critical logic of human reasoning and intelligence, he knew that his dogma must appeal to the most basic of human desires, exploit the most fundamental of human drives, stunt the development of human intelligence, foster ignorance, and channel natural human curiosity of the origins and meaning of life into seeking the answers through him alone. He knows that as long as he can control these modalities of human existence, his power will grow and his evil purpose ultimately will be accomplished.

With care and precision, he designed his dogma to conflict with natural laws of survival while hiding its

depravity behind a veil of goodness. Its appeal was directed to the weak, the poor in spirit, the slaves and the downtrodden because there are so many of them and because, in their ignorance, they are so gullible. To them, in their misery—and because of it—he promises a reward proportional to the degree of misery they suffer. He made of earth a vale of tears. He abolished joy and established a darkness upon earth that it might contrast with the brilliant realm that he promised lay beyond. He knew that the true rulers of the secular world would not oppose his dogma since it made the masses tractable and docile in their misery. It gave them a currency with value in a later world while the currency gained by their masters in this world became, to them, an insurmountable barrier to the next. Cleverly, he made it possible for the rulers of the secular world to pass miraculously, like a camel through the eye of a needle, into the kingdom of his heaven by deathbed surrender of their earthly wealth to him.

Preservation of human life regardless of its value to either the individual, though he lived in pain, or to society, though he brought only pain and harm to its members, was commanded. The tenet of infinite compassion assured that nature's unfit might prosper and multiply at the expense of the strong. He feared the strong since only the strong in mind and knowledge might overthrow him. Devolution of the species was inevitable.

He is the first true master of doublespeak. He took hate and called it love. He perverted love into a sick thing that is the ultimate nemesis of individual and group survival. He taught submission, not just to himself, but to all. To insult and injury, turn the other cheek. Submit and in submission, reap your glory. Love your enemy—and when he kills you—or those you love—love him most of all. This concept of love destroys the process of natural selection upon which evolution is based. It replaces survival of the fit by survival of the unfit and makes inevitable that man will become more brutish as he descends into the pit of

inviability where he will perish taking all other living things with him. Bitterly, he attacks any concept of human evolution while holding that mankind is the ultimate of all creation and exists as its perfection. From this stand, it is but a short step to his doctrine that all living things have been created for the celebration of man, for man to use, exploit or kill however he sees fit. It is the ultimate song of hatred for all that is living—including humanity.

Throughout this dogma, he wove one of the most intensely basic of human drives, the sex drive. Into this tapestry, he wove his doctrine of original sin into a complex pattern that imposed a vague, over-riding guilt that attached itself to every action his believers might attempt. From birth to their death, he rode their backs like a life-sucking incubus. Like a fish twisting in torment from the pricks of a swallowed hook, the devout can never escape the barbs of implanted dogma.

Women, he reviles. Yet women worship him. He made of women mere property, vessels into which man could pour his sperm in order to later withdraw his image and servant. Conceived in sin and corruption, he taught, each must seek his salvation through devotion to him. And between birth and death, he placed as hazard those most unavoidable of human functions and named them as sins for which only he could give absolution—always at a price. In the name of morality and as its fount, he perverted life processes to his own ends. From requiring that his believers seek his forgiveness for having followed the natural dictates of physiology, it was but a short step for him to grant absolution, usually through his minions, of any crime, no matter how heinous. Forgiveness always comes at a price, but always could be bought.

He seemed to require so little of his believers compared to overlords who could only promise so much less. He demanded above all absolute loyalty and, something new that had not been required in the annals of folklore. He imposed an obligation upon his followers to recruit others

into the ranks of his subjects. The absolute requirement to proselytize in order to remain in good standing in his organization coupled with the assurance that it was the recruit who had the most to gain by being recruited was a master-stroke of evil genius. If, one way or another, an outsider could be brought into the fold, he was guaranteed all the promised delights while his recruiter, no matter what his method of recruitment had been, stacked up currency for his own future. It was, perhaps, the first “win-win” situation even though it began the collapse of civilization. The organization grew as it must—and as its evil brain had willed—to a world-wide scourge.

As sales staff, enforcers and interpreters of his authoritarian rule, he recruited an army of often deluded, more often depraved deviates, lazy and greedy in their parasitic existence. Under his tutelage, most became masters of dissimulation to the extent that few of the laity can believe the obscenity of their secret lives. Over the centuries, his dogma was polished and preened. While his autocratic rule was able to stifle the natural tendency of humanity to advance itself during the centuries now known as the “Dark Ages,” human ingenuity ultimately overcame his suffocation. Cleverly, he gave way and adapted his dogma to changing circumstances. But always, unchanging, was the doctrine calculated to bring about his ultimate goal: total destruction of life on earth. To maintain his authority, like a chameleon he at times enticed and cajoled; at other times, he tortured and killed. All the while, he wrapped himself in a mantle of treacherous goodness. All his transgressions were done for the ultimate good of the transgressed, he taught. And the ignorant masses deprived of their souls, of their minds, by the pomp and splendor, the power and promise of this great organization, believed. He had the wile, the cruelty and the viciousness of a Satan—and the patience of Job. He knew he would win. He knew his target and his instrument. He fostered the natural ignorance and cruelty of man while he

destroyed the singular man who might rescue his brothers from the bottomless pit.

Only one crime he could not, would not forgive. He will not tolerate the crime of disbelief. Disbelief could provoke critical analysis of his dogma and this, he knew, it could not survive. This was heresy and this he punished unmercifully with the most hideous of tortures his cruelly depraved priests could contrive. At times of stress in order to squelch dissent and demonstrate his power, he named as heretics innocent women. He called them witches and turned them over to his depraved priests that they might vent the hatred of their own impotence in obscene tortures.

Whether or not these victims recanted, they died. He knew the falsity of belief bought by torture. The ability to believe without logic or reason cannot be willed. The ability to believe or to disbelieve a falsity, is innate. It is genetic. Those who could disbelieve his dogma are dangerous. Over the millennia, his organization would identify and weed out any dissenters and his dominion would grow as the genes of intelligent dissent passed into oblivion.

From birth, he takes charge of the brains of his followers before the neural pathways of independent thought have begun to function. Here and now, he instills his message. Like Pavlov's dogs salivating to the sound of a bell, he has created an automaton who responds to his bidding without thought or question. The reflex arc has been solidified in place, unchangeable unto death. Thought, not only forbidden, not only unnecessary, has become unthinkable. The hardware of the brain, usurped by religious dogma, will never know the freedom to develop a mind and become the individual that nature had intended. Mindless, his followers exist a few short years to do his bidding before they vanish into the dust of forever. He has robbed them of the greatest reward life has to offer; the right to freely develop one's own, unique mind.

As mindless things locked into an organized deception, robbed of the uniqueness that had lain dormant in each,

never-repeated combination of genetic elements, his followers literally cease to exist as independent, sovereign beings. By being no more, they forfeit even that illusion the most evil of all men promises them. As non-entities, as non-individuals, they cannot look forward even to the dream of immortality by which he had trapped them. While it is unknown whether or not there may be more to life than the strictly physical machinery allows, the patterns of nature dictate that any such potential, should it exist, would be selective. It is not reasonable that such an option would be open to one who had never come into existence or who had voluntarily submerged his individuality into a mass delusion.

His worshipers are an immense army of all-consuming breeding machines. Control of fertility without limitation of sexual activity is forbidden upon penalty of excommunication and everlasting torment. Effective birth control is a sin. This is his method—so simple, so terribly effective.

Within limits, planet earth can survive the destructiveness of man. But earth's life force is not inextinguishable. It can be overcome. Through his metabolic needs alone, even without the production of mountains of industrial poisons and wastes, man by his numbers can defeat the defenses of earth.

Through the numbers of mankind, this evil being proceeds to pollute the earth beyond the capacity of life to recover. The intelligence that could overcome his evil is powerless against his massive attack. He has created a brainless mechanism of terrible ingenuity with an infinite capacity to desire and the ability to kill all living things including itself. Catholic mankind has lost sight of all earthly beauty in his fascination with his own ugliness.

Now, the Pope can see the imminent fruition of the plan he has spent millennia in bringing to a conclusion. It is the beginning of the end. His worshipers have consumed

and bred themselves to approaching extinction. The beautiful earth is dying, smothered in filth.

Were this evil man simply an ignorant fool, the remaining intelligence of earth might convince him of error, despite his claim to infallibility, and convince him to advise his followers how to reduce their burgeoning billions before all is gone. But he knows full well exactly what he does. He cannot fail to know that his billions of followers, living, starving in misery while they breed yet more of their kind are surely destroying all that lives. He knows, for this is his mission. Earth abides—for a time. By whatever power may lie behind the cosmos, this truly evil being can never be forgiven.

Mankind, by his sheer volume of pulsating, all-consuming protoplasm, has conquered the earth. It is not a magnificent conquest and it will not be a magnificent passing. As man has long demonstrated his ability to kill anything that lives, as species after species vanishes at exponentially increasing rates, he has brought nature to bay. He nears the goal of the most evil of his kind. The earth itself, its fragile beauty sullied, its skeleton laid bare, its waters and atmosphere made poisonous, is in its death throes.

And yet the most evil of all persists, against all reason, in promoting the exponential increase in human population so that even more may live a short while with hunger and pain to complete the murder of all life. He refuses any benefit of science and knowledge to his mindless billions that could alleviate their sufferings and their numbers while he, with evil illogic uses that same knowledge and technology to add to their numbers.

He has many imitators, this servant to the embodiment of all that is evil. There are many priests of many systematized religions of man who serve organizations devoted to the same ends. Evil they may be, but less successful and therefore, perhaps, less evil than the man who always had ruled from the Vatican. □

Anti-Defamation League
823 United Nations Plaza
New York, NY 10017

LETTERS to the EDITOR

Dear Sir:

I understand that one of your publications is now equating those who are refuting Zionist propaganda with "Hitler's Apologists."

It so happens that I am an American-born veteran whose duties involved "denazification" during 1945-1948 in Europe. I was trained in the army intelligence center at Camp Ritchie, Maryland. Being in "denazification" afforded me the opportunity to hear both sides of the history of the Second World War.

Let me inform you about something that you might not know. Many of those who are refuting the Zionist tales are delighted by the newly opened "Holocaust Museum," located near the very modest memorial to the nearly 58,000 fallen *Americans* who gave their lives in combating the forces of evil in Asia. This museum is going to be a memorial to Zionist arrogance, financial power and ability to corrupt the American political process. And it might last for centuries!

Already there are many Americans who doubt the "Holocaust" tales, even though the historians and scholars who are refuting them have only the most modest means at their disposal, quite in contrast to the huge resources of the tellers of the tales. I understand, for example, that the production costs of the television series, *War and Remembrance*, ran into something like \$140,000,000, which makes whatever resources the revisionists have at their disposal seem like a drop in the bucket.

The Zionist propagandists have become so stupidly arrogant that they persist in telling tales that many people readily recognize as being false, such as the tale that Negro units liberated a number of German relocation camps. Zionists have become so arrogantly stupid that they think that they can fool the American people just because they have virtual control of the television networks. But they have gone so far that many Americans of some intelligence are doubting the whole fabric of the "Holocaust," and these doubts seem to be growing. Zionist power has been so great in Canada, Germany and France that objective historians have been imprisoned or even murdered. Such actions simply show up the falsehoods to a broad mass of people. The 1985 trial of Ernst Zündel caused a sensation in Canada that opened up the minds of many Canadians, even if the details of this trial were given the silent treatment in the United States.

I predict that the "Holocaust" Memorial Museum is going to become a millstone around the necks of all Jews in this country, including righteous Jews who want nothing to do with some of the nonsense being propagated by stupidly arrogant Zionists.

Sincerely,

Dr. Charles E. Weber

2446 East 22nd Place, Tulsa, OK 74114

Something to think about.

Around a hundred years ago, a man named Sanford Dolc migrated from New England to Hawaii. He somehow learned of the pineapple plant, and saw its potential as an item of food. First he developed agricultural methods of raising pineapple, then he developed techniques for processing and canning pineapple, so to be able to sell his new product he had first to DEVELOP A MARKET.

Before 1940 the use of narcotics in this country, and in most of the world was quite small. Today, the annual sale of narcotics in this country alone is some five hundred billion dollars, over a trillion dollars world wide. In dollar volume of sales, the narcotics industry is reported to be the largest industry that there is. The megabankers in New York City who have a monopoly on international currency conversion are reported to be making more than a hundred billion dollars a year just from laundering drug money. In order to bring about such a gigantic volume of sales, SOMEONE? had to DEVELOP A MARKET!!!

WHO? HOW? This looks like an important area of interest for some capable researcher, doesn't it?

Wonder what the dollar volume of sales of pineapple may be these days?

Ancient Patriot, N.Y. State

Dear George:

Thoroughly enjoyed John Campbell's response to Gritz. Great! Campbell really turned him every way but loose! Will send copy to *Criminal Politics* (Patterson will read it!).

Enclosed is my check for a one year subscription for David Tate. This is the Tate who wrote letter to ed—April LB—I assume he is the David Tate who was in the order.

I certainly admire and respect you for what you are doing. Thank you! I know it has not been easy these many years. I have the same re-

spect for Lawrence Patterson. I have been warning him about Gritz ever since I read *Called to Serve* but I am having trouble convincing him. Hopefully Revilo Oliver and John Campbell will do it for me.

My best to you and yours,

Mrs. E.M.S., Oregon

Hello George:

So there you have it! In Bo Gritz's own words! He is a "Christian" first (worships a Jew-created God). He is an "American" second (pledges allegiance to a Jew Government).

He is a "fellow white racial comrade" not at all.

Yours, R.W., Idaho

Attn. Mr. George P. Dietz:

The article entitled "Their Capitalism and Ours," that appeared in the March 1993 issue of *Liberty Bell*, represents the undeniably best description of "capitalism" that I have ever had the privilege of reviewing. Hector Rodgers, Ph.D. and his second-person knowledgeable mathematician friend are to be congratulated. An added bonus, of course, was the Zionist camouflage exemplified by the writings and true ideology of the late Ayn Rand. Your readers should read and re-read the article...

Fraternally, W.H.E., M.D., Maryland

To George Dietz, *Liberty Bell*

It is a mistake to focus on David Irving as the #1 revisionist historian and "our hero."

It is time to shift focus to the #1 ANTI-revisionist historian, David McCullough who has just won the Pulitzer Prize for his biography, *Truman*.

David McCullough has earned his Prize: he is a prostitute. He has rendered long and faithful service to the cause of Zionism and he is living proof that no one gets this Prize who refuses to grovel before "our" Zionist Occupied Government.

Revisionism is not something abstract and irrelevant to our everyday life. Witness the adulation of anti-revisionist David McCullough here on Martha's Vineyard where he lives as a homespun "neighbor."

McCullough's skill is in avoiding the basic issues suppressed by the Zionist Thought Police including those issues raised in the 5 minute revisionist speech I yelled out on April 4, '93 to homosexual Congress-

man Gerry Studds and his enraged Zionist/Goy audience of 120 supporters (all McCullough fans) and reported in the local press:

Congressman Studds,

All our health problems can be readily solved when you and your fellow-congressmen stop giving our wealth to our enemies. You recently gave 10 billion dollars to Israel plus billions in interest to the bankers.

The Israelis don't have a health problem; they have the Americans and the Germans to provide for them.

Once we get the Israelis off our backs, we can then get other countries off our backs. We currently give billions to Egypt—as bribe money—to guarantee that Egyptians will be obedient to the Israeli tyrant as they commit genocide against the Palestinians.

Mental health is the first requirement of a healthy democracy. That means there can be no taboo subjects when democracy itself is threatened, such as the subject now being raised.

Pres. Clinton said his appointments would reflect the real America, but Prof. Charles Weber and many others report that more than 50% of his major appointees are Zionist Jews. If we are a healthy people not ruled by taboos, we will be able to discuss this publicly—not just privately in our homes.

Since Jews make up some 3 or 4% of the population, we should be able to ask, "How many Jews in government are too many? 20%? 30%? 40%? How many?"

Is Pres. Clinton already guilty of treason by these appointments?

On December 10, 1992, the FBI raided the San Francisco office of the so-called Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith for treasonous activities against this country. The police dept. of Los Angeles refused to cooperate with the FBI in a raid on the Defamation League in that city. Are they now guilty of treason also?

If we are to be a healthy people, we must talk about this and ask why the media in the Mid-West and on the East Coast blacked-out this treason by the Anti-American Defamation League of B'nai B'rith.

We are here to discuss the suffering of people who need proper health care. To do this effectively, we must not be guilty of saying or implying that the suffering of one ethnic, religious, national group is important and others are not.

And yet, that is what is happening in Washington D.C. right now! On April 22nd, a museum will be dedicated on the Mall in Washington which will say in effect that the suffering of one people of Asian origin is important but the suffering of American Indians, African slaves and many other groups is not important.

It's time we heard from you, Mr. Studds, on these issues, unless your policy is, "All people are equal but some people are more equal than others."

The above speech intruded into the world of fantasy exemplified by David McCullough who at the moment is a hero of lies in the service of Zionists, Jews and Israel. He excels in the lies of omission and daily reinforces moral, spiritual and intellectual corruption here on the island of Martha's Vineyard.

To say that revisionism is irrelevant is to say that the daily pollution of the minds of school children by the many McCulloughs is also irrelevant.

I am constantly pressing local librarians, school principals, etc. in a revisionist direction and currently I am suing my home town in Federal Court for discrimination that springs from anti-revisionism.

I am convinced that most readers of LB will never enter the real world of revisionism until they publicly challenge the sanctimonious, hypocritical anti-revisionists *where they live*. It's great sport exposing the Miss Goody Two-Shoes frauds and watching them howl in pain as they slink away.

Enclosed is an audio tape of my 5-minute speech, with Studds trying to stop me, dozens of hate-driven Zionists trying to shout me down and finally, goy women trying to drown me in soft, sanctimonious song. Please feel free to offer copies of this tape to your readers as a How-to-do-it lesson in exchange for a contribution to LB.

Best wishes,
David Wayfield, Box 699
Vineyard Haven MA 02568

✻ ✻ ✻

Dear George:

Food for thought: When as a young man I was once jokingly told, in reference to a bunch of queers, that "It takes one to know one." I have long thought about that little piece of advice and have applied it to many things in life such as, it takes a thief to know a thief, a liar to know a liar, etc.

After reading the booklet, *New Light on the Protocols*, that I recently obtained from you, the above saying again came to mind. It was either in this booklet or the one before it (*Jewish Ritual Murder*) that the supposition was made that "Gentiles" were either mesmerized or hypnotized by the Jews because they seemed powerless to resist them, or words to that effect.

Perhaps so, but I see it differently: Since it takes one to know one, I believe the White man is unable to cope with the Jewish juggernaut simply because he is not capable of understanding it. White people are the builders of civilizations not the destroyers of them. To plot to destroy a civilization would be the last thing to enter a White man's mind. Why would he wish to destroy the very thing his instincts instruct him to create?

Conversely, since the Jew is incapable of creating civilizations, what does it matter to him that they are destroyed? His *Protocols* tell him only to destroy; not once do they instruct him to build. One might wonder what kind of a world the Jew visualizes for himself after he has succeeded in having his own way. Who, for instance, will do the creating, the building and the managing after the Jew has won? Surely he has thought about that little problem so perhaps, just perhaps, he will be forced to keep a few White people around on tethers just to handle these mundane affairs after his destiny has been fulfilled. But what will the White slave do then? Will he continue to curry for the favor of his slave masters and in the process carry on the destruction to its inevitable conclusion? Et cetera, et cetera, ad nauseam. As I said, just a little food for thought.

I see from the last issue that things are heating up in the *Liberty Bell*. I have not yet had a chance to read it completely but when I do I am likely to have something to contribute to the debate.

Enclosed is a check for next year's subscription. *Liberty Bell* is above all others my favorite publication. Keep up the good work.

Very truly yours,
J.M., West Virginia

✠ ✠ ✠

To the editors at *Liberty Bell*,

I recently received a copy of *The March Up Country* by Harold A. Covington from a good friend of mine. Although I had heard of the book, I was never able to obtain a copy.

Now that I have read the first two pages, I had to write and inquire about buying my own copy. A catalog or price list would be greatly appreciated. As a college student, I face the liberal, multicultural bias of the American school system every day. To hide my true beliefs and intentions during class discussions has become increasingly difficult. Books such as *The March Up Country* are incredibly important to the movement in my opinion; they provide education as well as motivation, both very powerful tools.

I hope to hear from you soon, and I thank you for the work and dedication *Liberty Bell* has offered.

In Truth, H.R., Michigan

✠ ✠ ✠

PRAY FOR ME

I am about to incur the wrath of Bob Frenz. I want to add to the list of recommended racial nationalist political groups I ran last issue the Institute for Historical Review, P.O. Box 2739, Newport Beach CA 92659. I tore my hair at the thought of plugging anything to do with Willis Carto. But the I.H.R. is the best revisionist organization, bar none. Why is historical revisionism vitally important? Bob says it isn't. His position, (and I dare not state it incorrectly, else I'll wake up with a horse's head in my bed) is as follows: A) the whole Holocaust shtick is the historical equivalent of a Rube Goldberg invention, so patently outlandish and ridiculous that only an idiot would believe in it to begin with; B) Holocaust revisionists are an eccentric, nit-picky, argumentative lot of eggheads who irritate him; C) the whole thing is increasingly irrelevant to our present crisis.

I must agree with proposition A. wholeheartedly. Even as a kid I never bought it. Unfortunately, the vast majority of White people are empty vessels who hold whatever ideas or convictions are poured into them by the media. This blind belief is a problem for us. I also agree with Proposition B to some extent. For instance, I have always found Bradley Smith to be an insufferable, conceited ass. He is also married to a Mexican. But he has elected to take on the Holocaust establishment, and he does so with vim and verve. I can't quite bring myself to recommend him—there is a limit, and race-mixing is it with me—but not recognize his contribution towards our cause would be equally dishonest.

"...And the Truth Shall Make You Free."

Proposition C I must disagree with, and thus incur the thunderbolt from Buffalo which will doubtless descend upon my hapless noggin efts-oons. First off, I happen to be one of those curmudgeons who believes that truth is important for its own sake. Silly, antiquated, impractical idea, I know, but there it is. It has always struck me that the greatest enemy of mankind is the *lie*. When men do evil it almost always goes back to a lie somewhere along the line. Sir Edmund Hillary climbed Mount Everest because it was there, an explanation which has always made perfect sense to me and which expresses a deep-rooted aspect of the Aryan soul. We need to battle the Holocaust myth for the simple reason that *it didn't happen. It is a lie.* Aryan man needs no other reason.

But there *are* very pressing, practical considerations which dictate that we do everything in our power to smash the Holofoax. I have repeatedly said that we see our primary enemy every morning in the mirror, but by this I certainly don't mean to say that world Jewry and their incredible power are peripheral.

Jewish power today is a tripod. The three legs are money, Israel, and the Holocaust. Knock away one leg of a tripod and the other two collapse. The Holocaust is the most vulnerable leg, because it is based on a fabrication of such complexity and such gossamer-thin credibility that, slowly but surely, it *can* be destroyed. Take away the Holocaust, the sympathy and moral capital it provides for world Jewry, and what have you got? A sleazy, half-demented little race of paranoid schizophrenics whose antics would no longer be tolerated by a world outraged at the massive historical fraud which these people have perpetrated.

The key issue is those damned gas chambers. Destroy the gas chambers and you destroy the Holocaust. Destroy the Holocaust and you destroy the entire moral foundation of Western society since 1945. Destroy the post-1945 Western ethos, and you have the Jews by the throat.

From *Resistance*, Issue #27, April 5th, 1993
Dixie Press, Box 37001, Raleigh NC 27627.

✠ ✠ ✠

Hateful "Live-8"

Two talking media heads, with a Jewish dweeb on remote assignment in Reedy, West Virginia, doing a four-part exposé on George P. Dietz and Liberty Bell Publications [*February 15, 16, 17, 18, 1993* — "*Mountain State Swastika*", *WCHS-TV News 8*, "*That's Shocking!*—*Uncle*" Tom McGee, *News Anchor*; Steve Levine, *Reporter*. 1301 Piedmont Road, Charleston WV 25301, Phone 304-345-4115]. "Live-8" consulted the ADL and the NAACP, finding George Dietz guilty of publishing views contrary to official opinions about Jews and non-whites. Liberty Bell Publications prints in four languages, it was charged. But it was the German editions that most worried the thought policy of the "Live-8" crew. Nazi propoganda gets into the hands of young Germans who hate foreigners! They "Sieg Heil" and goosestep while reading The Liberty Bell in German. To prove it, "Live-8" scanned a pile of Liberty Bell publications and pictures, which demonstrated that "Live-8" is being fed materials by the ADL.

Four nights in a row, "Live-8" protested that although Liberty Bell Publications was violating no U.S. laws, the literature was in contravention of German laws which forbade National Socialist symbols and pro-

motions. David Irving was recently thrown out of Canada because a German court had found him guilty of "defaming the memory of the [Jewish] dead." This is how the ADL and its stooges think: find a way to get around George Dietz's First Amendment rights in America because the Bonn regime does not permit The Liberty Bell to freely circulate in Germany.

The First Amendment is a fragile principle. The Jews have been chipping away at White speech since World War II. Using local police agencies and the FBI, the ADL have been able to come down on Aryanist publishers and distributors. Send a Fax over a telephone wire that some Jew or darky finds offensive, and the cops may come barging in on you at 6:A.M. with drawn guns. It happened to a friend of mine in Wisconsin. Launch a computer bulletin board for White racial communications, and the media and press go ballistic. Then the FCC is complained to by God's sheeny pets to have you fined or imprisoned for having "unlawfully" used the long distance lines and the communication satellites.

There is an ADL office and a branch of the NAACP in every state. They are the thought police. When Hillary and Billary Rodham Clinton get their claws into free speech for Whites, the thought police will be into everyone's business. Then a cell awaits anyone who dares to express himself. "Live-8" will one day say something to offend the thought police. Watch the whining when the FCC comes down on them because a few Yids decided it is time to pull their plug.

Maj. Donald V. Clerkin, Euro-American Alliance, Inc.
Box 21776, Milwaukee WI 53221.

✠ ✠ ✠

Dear George:

April 20, 1993

...I viewed the "Mountain State Swastika" series you sent me. It was a typically Jewish hatchet job, with a jar of Jewish soap, a Jewish lampshade, etc. They even pretended that Rockwell's old "Communism is Jewish" pamphlet was really an attack on Irishmen. I was surprised to see such lies on WV TV. Where will we ever find enough Zyklon-B to handle all of these would-be Jews in addition to the real Jews?

Sincerely, William L. Pierce
National Alliance
Box 330, Hillsboro WV 24946

✠ ✠ ✠

Sirs:

March 2, 1993

The ATF "general" who ordered his two hundred men to storm the self-sufficient compound of the Branch Davidian "cult" in Waco, Texas with guns blazing is a nigger. The Davidians, a multi-racial group, had been in their Sunday morning worship service on their own property many miles out of town. Like so many of the Christian nuts, they had been anticipating Armageddon. When they heard the unprovoked attack coming at them, they scrambled to defensive positions and returned the fire. From under cover they took out four brave young white agents who were just following orders by running across an open field with guns blazing. Sixteen other agents, all white, were seriously wounded.

General Coon watched the action from the safety of his attack helicopter. When the chopper took twenty hits from small arms fire he quickly retreated to a safe distance of two miles to re-think his battle plan. After forty five minutes of intensive gun battles, the surviving 180 agents negotiated a cease fire that required them to leave their weapons on the ground and retreat with their hands up.

By Tuesday the ATF had called in the FBI who quickly assembled fourteen Abrams tanks, twenty Sikorsky combat helicopters and fifteen thousand combat troops. The bets are on the side of the FBI who have vowed to "stop this insidious cult from inflicting further terrorism on the community."

America—defender of democracy, religious freedom, tolerance, brotherhood, and innocence until prove guilty, is literally on the ropes.

This was just a training mission, still the brain-dead white ATF agents will never ever suspect that General Coon was out to kill some white people that day. It did not matter whose side they were on.

H.D., Texas

Dear George:

Re: The Museum of Unprecedented Chutzpah.

I hope that you are in good health and spirits. Hospital stays—both for my wife and myself keep me from sending any monies right now.

But, I have a suggestion to make in regards to that monstrosity getting ready to open in Washington, District of Corruption: How about calling it **The Chutzpah Museum**? This by way of description of Chutzpah as given by Victor Ostrovsky in his book, *By Way of Deception*. Sooner or later they will have to get rid of the stink.

Uncle H.B., N.Y. State

Dear Landsmann:

I'm sorry to have to tell Mr. EHE [see *Letter to the Editor in April Liberty Bell*, pp. 37/38] that everyone who took the flu shots in 1991 and 1992 *does* test positive for AIDS. The standard test for AIDS detects anti-bodies formed by the body as a response to viral infection. The flu vaccine causes the formation of the same type of anti-bodies thus leading to what the media calls "false positives." This was discovered after the 1991 flu vaccination season when blood banks were forced to throw out large amounts of blood that tested positive thus causing a blood shortage. The flu vaccinations have just about invalidated the standard AIDS test in the New York area. This was in all the papers here and on the radio. I sent some articles about it to a friend and so don't have them on hand. I guess this news didn't make it down to Virginia where EHE lives.

Now the media say that this is a false positive and that the vaccination didn't really give people AIDS. I hope this is true for the sake of those who got the shots. AIDS is a racially specific disease of coloreds and Jews but the jewsmedia have been pretending that "AIDS does not discriminate." Fortunately, it does discriminate and Whites are largely immune to it but they can get it via injection or sodomy. I have thus been fearful of an attempt to spread AIDS to Whites via vaccination in order to make the jewsmedia's lies come true.

Vaccination is an extremely dangerous and suspect procedure. Recent discoveries indicate that the entire germ theory of disease, on which vaccination is premised, may be false and that vaccinations don't protect anyone against anything. They do however poison the victim. I can still remember how 79 men out of 200 in my Army company were admitted to the hospital with pneumonia several weeks after our shots in basic training. During the swine flue vaccinations 279 people died immediately and some 4,000 more suffered severe reactions, many of whom have since died. Information gathered by Nord Davis indicates some 17,000 veterans of the Gulf War have suffered severe reactions from an experimental anthrax vaccine given them before the fighting. There is said to be a whole ward of deformed babies at Ft. Bragg, N.C. due to this vaccine. This is the one that put the Defence Secretary, Les Aspin, in the hospital a few weeks ago. He got it before his planned trip to Somalia. People interested in this subject should read E.L. McBean's books, *The Poisoned Needle* and *Vaccination Condemned*. Vaccination is a useless and dangerous procedure even without the chance of getting AIDS from it. It should be avoided whenever possible.

Jews have a long history of being well poisoners and plague spreaders. This is not just hearsay but was proven in numerous court cases in earlier times. The Talmudic school of Safed, in the 1800s, actually declared it to be a sin if a Jew doctor treated a patient and did not poison him. The AMA (like the ABA and NEA) is totally in Jewish hands and I note that almost 100% of the chemotherapy poisoners in this area are Jews. This AZT that they are selling to the AIDS infected is so poisonous that it was declared too dangerous to be used for chemotherapy 25 years ago. McBean gave it as her opinion that vaccination campaigns are conducted simply to drum up business for the medical racket as a wave of illness and death follows every vaccination campaign. The medical establishment's response to her findings was to classify public health statistics as secret!

I don't know it all, but I am always trying to learn whatever I can. *Liberty Bell* and your other publications have been a big help in that. I also try to pass on what I discover. Recently I read a very interesting account of how the German 1918 offensive was stopped by the U.S. During the battle of Chateau Thierry the American artillery was said to have bombarded the Germans with bacteriological shells carrying an airborne flu virus. The battle was a tactical defeat for the Americans with over 4,000 men shot down by only 800 or so Germans but, within a few weeks, the flu had incapacitated the German Army and stopped the German offensive until the tide of American reinforcements and the revolution overwhelmed Germany. The flu, of course, could not be limited to the Germans. It spread around the world killing some 20 million people including 500,000 in the U.S. If this was possible 75 years ago, one can imagine what is going on today!

Sincerely, S.R., New York State

✻ ✻ ✻

Dear George:

Things are sure moving fast out here. The new slate of politicians aren't wasting any time putting the squeeze on the people (fools) who put them in office. Gov. Lowry is adding 20 cents a gallon to gasoline and agitating for a state income tax, plus a rise in the already choking 8% sales tax. (I happened to be in his home in 88 when he had it on the market and there was a huge picture of Golda Meir on the wall—so what else is new?) The media says we must all do our share, you know. That's getting to be the new American motto. Only the Gods can get us out of this.

Best regards, Mrs. M.M., Washington

✻ ✻ ✻

THE LIBERALS IN THE GOVERNMENT AND THE MASS
MEDIA ARE LIARS.

THEY'RE

using the public schools to teach our children that homosexuality is good and desirable. They're literally

KILLING

us with disinformation on the AIDS epidemic. (Examples: Have the mass media informed you of the fact that the HIV virus can easily pass through a latex condom? Have our

YOUNG GIRLS

been warned that sex with a Black is more than 14 times as likely to infect them with the AIDS virus than sex with a White?) Listen to our weekly radio program, *American Dissident Voices*, to get the facts that the liars of the media have kept from you:

NATIONWIDE/WORLDWIDE Saturday 9pm Eastern Time, 6pm Pacific on 7355 kHz shortwave via WRNO; **ARKANSAS** Saturday 10am, via KMTL Little Rock, 760 on your AM dial; **FLORIDA (central)** Saturday 11:30am, via WTIS Tampa, 1110 on your AM dial; **NORTH CAROLINA (eastern)** Sunday 10:30am, via WNCT Greenville, 1070 on your AM dial; **OHIO (Cleveland Area)** Saturday 6pm, via WHK Cleveland, 1420 on your AM dial; **VIRGINIA (southeastern)** Saturday 10:30pm, via WKGM Norfolk, 940 on your AM dial. More stations are being added; write for updates!

NATIONAL ALLIANCE • BOX 90 • HILLSBORO • WV 24946

George,

You probably heard that our favorite Right-Wing Short Wave station burned to the Ground April 4th. WWCR - 7435 khz in Nashville carried the Bill Cooper and Tom Valentine (Liberty Lobby) broadcasts.

Cooper was able to get space on WRNO - 7935 at 1 AM EST. Valentine is still looking for a station. We also have Pete Peters on 7315 khz at 10 PM EST.

H.H., Michigan

✻ ✻ ✻

Dear Mr. Dietz,

You misread my scrawl so there was an error in my letter published in the April 1993 edition of the *Liberty Bell*. In the sentence "You never know and my judgement in Russian character has often been wrong" the word "Russian" should have been "human." I can recall only meeting four Russians in my lifetime. Hardly a sufficient sample to make any judgements.

Mr. Frenz, whose credentials are impressive, criticizes Revisionism, more or less, as a useless exercise. I do not agree.

The goal of Revisionism is to correct history. David Irving writes history books. That is his vocation. The IHR was organized to review history. They succeeded in uncovering new information; and in distributing books not available in establishment outlets.

My plunge into Revisionism was to find information that I could use in political discussions with friends and associates who believed in the holocaust which I knew to be untrue. It then became a hobby of sorts that I prefer over other distractions.

Through Revisionism I have:

1. Purchased many interesting books and publications including the *Liberty Bell*.
2. Met many fascinating people, mostly at the IHR conferences. Where else could you meet those who personally knew Adolf Hitler and Heinrich Himmler?
3. Studied the provocative philosophies of National Socialism.
4. Participated in the exposure of the Allies' World War II propaganda lies.
5. Influenced the thinking of some in regard to the Holocaust and the Third Reich.

Why should we listen to and accept the hallucinations of some lunatic Jews? Many Germans, some of my relatives included, cannot think clearly about contemporary events because of the stigma of "their history."

Revisionism is only one battle in a larger war. The persecution of Zündel, Faurisson and others is a direct measure of their success, and uncovers the fraud of Democracy (that irreversible disease) that attracts mostly liars, swindlers and plunderers.

Presently there is no real alternative to Revisionism. How do we further they "Aryan Cause?" There are no guidelines to coordinate an effort. Instead, what we have is mostly bickering.

Obviously, the Leuchter Report is not clean but it is still an early edition. It is imperative that all errors and contradictions be corrected. Those valid discrepancies published in the *Liberty Bell* should be consid-

ered as helpful to those publishing the report. The report does underline the technical weakness of the Holocaust and especially the lack of cyanide traces in the alleged gas chambers. The burden of proof of the Holocaust belongs to those who believe in it. The Holocaustians can set up and carry out any experiments to support their claims. Why should we? Besides, Diesel exhaust makes me sick.

The article "Gas Guessing" refers to the California's execution gas chambers being operated at a negative 10psi vacuum as apparently stated in the Leuchter Report. Incidentally, the term "negative pressure" is commonly used in industry. Pressure gages operate in relation to atmospheric pressure as zero. The 10 psig must have been a misprint somewhere along the line. 10 psig on an 8 x 8 foot wall would result in a total force on that wall of 92,160 pounds. The wall would need to be extremely strong in order to keep the seals. The chamber vacuum is necessary, I suspect, so that there are no HCN gas leaks. This is a common technique to control any undesirable leaks of dangerous gases. Boiler brick set furnaces are kept negative (0.05" W.C. to 0.10" W.C.) to prevent the flue gases from entering the boiler room; and electrical sheet metal enclosures in hazardous locations are held slightly positive (1.0" W.C.) to keep out potentially explosive gasses. (1 Psi=27.7 inches water column). I suspect that the -10psi should have read -10 inches water column or as low as 1.0" W.C. negative.

A comment on the Diesel Vans: No one claims that you cannot die from Diesel exhaust fumes, only that a Diesel engine is a poor producer of carbon monoxide. The Germans did use some small trucks that ran on wood gas. You needed to start a wood fire in some contraption to produce that gas (CO). The starting procedure took over an hour and special precautions were taken because of the lethal hazard of carbon monoxide. Why wouldn't the Germans use this handy inexpensive device to operate the extermination van? The Diesel engine is a ridiculous execution device and is only promoted because "Diesel" has a nice German propaganda ring.

Those who want William Schockley to write for the *Liberty Bell* need to start with a shovel. He died several years ago.

Yours truly, R.T., California

✻ ✻ ✻

Dear George,

First, please renew my sub. Enclosed, my check for \$50.

Second, with regard to Gritz. He suffers from a case of arrested de-

velopment. How can anyone wander around the U.S. today and not be alarmed at the demographic changes taking place against the wishes of the onetime majority? What is the nature of these changes? Racial! Gritz has bought the "anti-racists" racist propaganda and is unable to identify with anyone or anything other than that which meets the approval of the Hebe media masters.

Now, with regard to the controversy over FAEM and Robert Frenz. I understand how the man feels. I have been involved now for 18 years trying to interest my neighbors in what is happening to their country, the Holohoax, immigration, race, etc.... The majority are braindead, reduced to the level of robots by their religion (the ultimate form of hedonism) and I refer, of course, to Christianity (the worship of Jews). Yes, it is ridiculous to have to talk about the Holohoax but Frenz himself has evidently done considerable thinking about the problem. I have met and enjoy the company of many of those who have written for the IHR. Their objective is of course to debunk the ridiculous extermination garbage. Our opponents have had years to fabricate all kinds of garbage, "testimonies," "confessions," photographs, drawings, etc. We know that it is garbage and that it is used against us, yes, all of us of European descent. Where Frenz can point to error in analysis, all to the good. I understand his frustration. I am a commercial pilot who must abide by certain laws of physics to survive so I don't understand the journalist mental type who believes that putting words together in a certain order constitutes proof.

Frenz's criticism of the intellectual crowd may have some validity. I have handed out leaflets at local colleges and universities, run for public office, written letters to the local newspaper, offered a \$1000.00 reward to local high school teaches for proof of one gassing, donated books to high schools and colleges, picketed the University of California at Davis *AGGIE* newspaper. I haven't heard from one area academic, not one! This system will have to crash before they can resume thinking.

We should do everything to prevent this country from going to war against people of European descent, regardless of their political system. Ultimately we will have to confront all of the problems which are the result of our meddling in Europe in this century. What will happen here on this continent will make Bosnia look like a Sunday picnic.

To all those who debunk the Holohoax, hats off!

Regards,
H.A.T., California

✻ ✻ ✻

Dear Landsmann:

Considering what was written about Col. Gritz in *Liberty Bell* and elsewhere and in view of the mass murder of the Christians in Waco by the government, I come to the conclusion that the US government regards the American people just as it regarded the Viet Cong in Vietnam. There, any armed civilian not on our side was an enemy to be killed. The government clearly regards White Americans as enemies and is now trying to set the precedent that possession of arms by them is a capital crime. This is the viewpoint of an alien invader occupying a country and Gritz apparently shares this basic outlook. Some have long called the Washington regime a "Zionist Occupation Government" [ZOG]. It is certainly behaving like one.

Soldier of Fortune magazine had an interesting series of articles on the ATF attack on the Waco Christians. According to their story, one of the ATF agents had special orders to murder Koresh at all costs. When the ATF assault group in trucks and trailers pulled up to the door of the compound, Koresh came to the door to see what they wanted. When he opened the door an ATF agent opened fire on him with a silenced machine pistol wounding him and killing his 2-year old daughter. Men with rifles covering Koresh from inside the building then shot and killed the ATF murderer. Another account says the first shot was fired as one of the ATF idiots jumped off a trailer and accidentally shot himself in the leg. Probably both events happened together.

If the story is true that an ATF man was especially assigned to kill Koresh, then this puts an even more sinister light on the whole affair. In that case, the raid was not intended from the start to capture the church members. The intent was to provoke resistance by shooting people. The resistance was then to be used to justify a general massacre. This scenario would explain why other agents broke into a nursery on an upper floor and opened fire on a bunch of children killing a 6-year old girl. The resistance there came from two 70+ year old grandmothers who took up shotguns in defence of their grandchildren and killed two of the ATF gangsters. *SoF* notes that the ATF killers were using special armor piercing ammunition not available to the public and that a good number of the agents shot each other through the walls. The distinctive bullets made it easy to tell who was hit by "friendly fire." After 20 minutes of wild shooting the ATF ran out of ammunition and had to flee in disgrace. They left their wounded behind and three unwounded prisoners in the hands of the Christians.

Unfortunately the Christians bandaged them and then let the three ATF agents drag them and the dead away. Considering later events, this was a big mistake as prisoners and wounded should have been held as hostages against a peaceful surrender.

After the disgraceful fiasco of the ATF attack, anyone familiar with the way the US government now operates knew that they had to redeem their prestige by murdering the Christians and destroying the compound to conceal the evidence of their crimes.

It is interesting to compare the government's actions at Waco with the way the surrender of the rioting convicts at Lucasville prison was handled. Here were a bunch of black convicts who had murdered a bunch of white prisoners and a guard in what are obviously racial crimes. Yet these black bandits were allowed to go on TV, were promised immunity for their crimes and allowed to surrender peacefully to authorities. No such kid glove treatment for white slaves! If the policemen who beat up Rodney King deserve punishment, what do the ATF and FBI scum who murdered 80 people deserve?

Col. Gritz's intervention did prevent the murder of the Weaver family by fire in the Waco manner. Please note that the black smoke above the compound is typical of an oil fire, not of dry wood burning.

Sincerely,
S.R., New York State

Dear George,

As always, your magazine is a model for others. Dr. Oliver and others are great. I must give support to Robert Frenz. I support the idea that revisionism is not a panacea for the salvation of the Aryan race. In fact, several revisionists I know would not pass the race test. I also agree that it is odd that anti-Semites would care if the story were true.

In a Woody Allen movie I once watched, Eric von Sedow was asked by his daughter how such a thing as the Holocaust could have happened. Von Sedow replied, "The question is, why doesn't it happen more often?" Perhaps that is a new field of revisionism to wrestle with. Given the nature of Jews, why doesn't it happen more often?

Keep smiling!
Tom Metzger, W.A.R., Box 65
Fallbrook CA 92088

KEEP THE LIBERTY BELL RINGING!

Please remember: *Our Fight is Your fight!* Donate whatever you can spare on a regular—monthly or quarterly—basis. Whether it is \$2., \$5., \$20., or \$100. or more, rest assured it is needed here and will be used in our common struggle. If you are a businessman, postage stamps in any denomination are a legitimate business expense—and we need and use many of these here every month—and will be gratefully accepted as donations.

Your donations will help us spread the *Message of Liberty and White Survival* throughout the land, by making available additional copies of our printed material to fellow Whites who do not yet know what is in store for them.

Order our pamphlets, booklets, and, most importantly, our reprints of revealing articles which are ideally suited for mass distribution at reasonable cost. Order extra copies of *Liberty Bell* for distribution to your circle of friends, neighbors, and relatives, urging them to subscribe to our unique publication. Our bulk prices are shown on the inside front cover of every issue of *Liberty Bell*.

Pass along your copy of *Liberty Bell*, and copies of reprints you obtained from us, to friends and acquaintances who may be on our "wave length," and urge them to contact us for more of the same.

Carry on the fight to free our White people from the shackles of alien domination, even if you can only join our ranks in spirit. You can provide for this by bequest. The following are suggested forms of bequests which you may include in your Last Will and Testament:

1. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the sum of \$ for general purposes.

2. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the following described property for general purposes.

**DO YOUR PART TODAY—HELP FREE OUR WHITE
RACE FROM ALIEN DOMINATION!**