



ABOUT THE AUTHOR: Dr. Revilo Pendleton Oliver, Professor of the Classics at the University of Illinois for 32 years, is a scholar of international distinction who has written articles in four languages for the most prestigious academic publications in the United States and Europe.

During World War II, Dr. Oliver was Director of Research in a highly secret agency of the War Department, and was cited for outstanding service to his country.

One of the very few academicians who has been outspoken in his opposition to the progressive defacement of our civilization, Dr. Oliver has long insisted that the fate of his countrymen hangs on their willingness to subordinate their doctrinal differences to the tough but idealistic solidarity which is the prerequisite of a Majority resurgence.

SOME QUOTABLE QUOTES FROM AMERICA'S DECLINE:

On the 18th Amendment (Prohibition): "Very few Americans were sufficiently sane to perceive that they had repudiated the American conception of government and had replaced it with the legal principle of the 'dictatorship of the proletariat,' which was the theoretical justification of the Jews' revolution in Russia."

On Race: "We must further understand that all races naturally regard themselves as superior to all others. We think Congoids unintelligent, but they feel only contempt for a race so stupid or craven that it fawns on them, gives them votes, lavishly subsidizes them with its own earnings, and even oppresses its own people to curry their favor. We are a race as are the others. If we attribute to Ourselves a superiority, intellectual, moral, or other, in terms of our own standards, we are simply indulging in a tautology. The only objective criterion of superiority, among human races as among all other species, is biological: the strong survive, the weak perish. The superior race of mankind today is the one that will emerge victorious—whether by its technology or its fecundity—from the proximate struggle for life on an overcrowded planet."

AMERICA'S DECLINE

Order No. 1007-\$8.50
plus \$1.50 for postage and handling.

376 pp., pb.
ORDER FROM:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

Liberty Bell

ISSN: 0145 - 7667

SINGLE COPY \$4.00

THE TRIUMPH OF THE CHRIST MYTH

by *Nicholas Carter*

page 33

ALSO IN THIS ISSUE:

Professor Revilo P. Oliver

POSTSCRIPTS:

Physician Extraordinary, page 1;

Tragedy and Terror, page 17;

More About the Jews, page 22;

Murder Mystery Solved, page 28.

Ivor Benson

War on a Racial Basis, page 49

Dr. Charles E. Weber

What is a Hate Crime?, page 55

Law Students for Equal Justice

Report Hate Crimes Against Whites, page 58

Dachverband Deutscher Interessen

An Appeal for Support, page 60

VOL. 18 - NO. 5

JANUARY 1991

Voice Of Thinking Americans

LIBERTY BELL

The magazine for *Thinking Americans*, has been published monthly since September 1973 by Liberty Bell Publications, George P. Dietz, Editor. Editorial office: P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA—Phone: 304-927-4486.

Manuscripts conforming to our editorial policy are always welcome, however, they cannot be returned unless accompanied by stamped, self-addressed envelope. Manuscripts accepted for publication become the property of Liberty Bell Publications.

©Copyright 1988

by Liberty Bell Publications.

Permission granted to quote in whole or part any article except those subject to author's Copyright. Proper source credit and address should be given.

ANNUAL SUBSCRIPTION RATES:

SAMPLE COPY	\$ 4.00
THIRD CLASS-BULK RATE USA only	\$35.00
FIRST CLASS-USA	\$45.00
FIRST CLASS-all other countries	\$50.00
AIR MAIL - Europe, South America	\$60.00
Middle East, Far East, So. Africa	\$65.00

BULK COPIES FOR DISTRIBUTION:

10 COPIES	\$ 22.00
50 COPIES	\$ 90.00
100 COPIES	\$150.00
500 COPIES	\$600.00
1000 COPIES	\$900.00

FREEDOM OF SPEECH—FREEDOM OF THOUGHT FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION

The editor/publisher of *Liberty Bell* does not necessarily agree with each and every article in this magazine, nor does he subscribe to all conclusions arrived at by various writers; however, he does endeavour to permit the exposure of ideas suppressed by the controlled news media of this country.

It is, therefore, in the best tradition of America and of free men everywhere that *Liberty Bell* strives to give free reign to ideas, for ultimately it is ideas which rule the world and determine both the content and structure of our Western culture.

We believe that we can and will change our society for the better. We declare our long-held view that no institution or government created by men, for men, is inviolable, incorruptible, and not subject to evolution, change, or replacement by the will of the people.

To this we dedicate our lives and our work. No effort will be spared and no idea will be allowed to go unexpressed if we think it will benefit the *Thinking People*, not only of America, but the entire world.

George P. Dietz, Editor & Publisher

POSTSCRIPTS

by Revilo P. Oliver

PHYSICIAN EXTRAORDINARY

I have just received a copy of a book that I overlooked for years, although it should have particularly attracted my attention. It is *Adolf Hitler: the Medical Diaries, the Private Diaries of Dr. Theo Morell*, edited by David Irving (London, Sidgwick & Jackson, s.a. [c. 1983?]).

In 1970-1971 I was concerned in an effort, on behalf of Dr. Röhrs, whose work I shall mention below, to locate Morell's diaries in the labyrinthine archives of expropriated German material in Washington, D.C. The attempt was unsuccessful, and I was inclined to suspect, it seems unjustly, that the diaries were being intentionally kept secret.

The eminent historian, David Irving, was more fortunate. The diaries, which he had long sought in vain, were found in the files of the notorious Department of Health, Education, and Welfare (often called "Phew!") in 1981 and finally sent to the National Archives.

The diaries are evidence in an important historical problem which, I am sorry to say, Mr. Irving has not directly confronted, and I am not sure whether his opinion is to be deduced from what he does not say.

When Adolf Hitler became Chancellor in January 1933, he was a man of forty-three, and had survived experiences that permanently impair or ruin the health of many men. He had undergone great privations in his youth and early manhood; had been gassed and severely wounded in the First World War, in which he exhibited such courage that he, although only a corporal, was awarded the Iron Cross, First Class.¹ And for eleven years he had dedicated himself to an

1. A summary account of Hitler's career can be found in any work of reference, e.g., the *Columbia Encyclopaedia* (the most complete work of its kind in one volume), and you will have no difficulty in seeing

arduous task that alone suffices to break the health of lesser men.² He converted a tiny group of assorted malcontents into a political party that won power with the ardent support of the overwhelming majority of the German people. That required not only political genius and the indefinable force of personality that we call charisma, but extraordinary powers of physical stamina, nervous energy, and mental vitality.

When Hitler came to power, he seemed to be, and probably was, in excellent physical health, and of his abundant nervous and intellectual energy there can be no doubt.

Although he bore a crushing and terrible burden of responsibility while he made a great, but impoverished and crippled, nation again prosperous and strong, although World Jewry, with its unappeasable hatred of our race, had immediately declared war on it, and bore an even greater burden after the world's vampires succeeded in forcing on Germany a war against nations that were certainly more wealthy and apparently more powerful, there is no sure evidence that Hitler's vitality was seriously impaired before July 1941, when, while visiting the Eastern Front, he contracted a contagious disease that is not infrequently lethal,

through the covering layer of Jewish slime that is mandatory in all publications that are intended for general sale to the misinformed public. There are innumerable works devoted to him alone. You will, of course, disregard the output of professional liars, such as Rauschnig's *Voice of Destruction*, and Yiddish forgeries, such as "Thyssen's" *I Paid Hitler*, but when you come to books written by men who were associated with Hitler, you must make careful allowance for the author's natural self-interest, for what he felt obliged to say to avert persecution or murder, and finally for what was dictated by his timorous publisher to avoid boycott or ruin. I can think of no more concise an antidote to prevalent lies than the memorial issue of *Liberty Bell* dated 20 April 1989 (available from Liberty Bell Publications, \$8.00 + \$1.50 for postage).

2. An acquaintance of mine was a close friend of the founder of one of the many ephemeral efforts to organize a popular movement that would make the United States again an independent nation; he quotes his friend as saying, "When I began, I did not consider myself even middle-aged [in his middle forties]; when I quit, four years later, I was an old man."

producing prolonged and violent dysentery, persistent fever, nausea, occasional periods of fainting and temporary unconsciousness, physical prostration, and, it appears, coronary sclerosis.³

Hitler was thus prostrated at the most critical juncture in the war, and he was unable to overrule and control his generals about the strategy to be employed in the thus-far victor-

3. The fatal timing of this virulent infection naturally arouses suspicion. None of the poisons in common use would produce the recorded symptoms, and while my very limited knowledge of toxicology does not extend to the more recherché, much less to the secret, poisons, I doubt that any *pharmakon* could have been the cause. If you are unwilling to accept the infection as a disastrous coincidence, you should think of the possible introduction of deadly bacteria in food as a means of disabling and possibly killing Hitler. Although it seems to have occurred to no one, the possibility that Hitler was the victim of an attempted bacteriological assassination should not be dismissed out of hand. The *Courrier du Continent*, September 1990, reports an article in *Figaro* by Mondher Sfar, an honest and courageous Jew, who knows that the "Holocaust" is just a gigantic hoax by the Zionist part of his race, and that the "gas chambers" were only containers for disinfecting the clothing of persons confined in the concentration camps. He cites the "Mitkiewicz Report" of 7 September 1943 as proof that a favorite weapon of the underground resistance to the German army of occupation in Poland was the use of the germs of typhus to contaminate anything that would come into the possession of the Germans, who, incidentally, were protecting Jews from Polish vengeance. (This underground consisted chiefly of Jews, many of whom had an inherited or acquired immunity to the dire disease, which was endemic among the Jews in Poland; see the article by Friedrich Paul Berg in *Liberty Bell*, May 1989. They could thus handle the germs of typhus with some confidence of impunity.) This proves the practice of bacteriological warfare in Poland, close to the Eastern Front that Hitler visited, and infections other than typhus could have been used for special efforts, perhaps seconded by German traitors, such as those which later attempted an assassination by planting a bomb near him. So far as I know, the disease that struck down Hitler could have been one of the several varieties of typhus. All varieties, if I am correctly informed, are caused by rickettsia (a peculiar kind of very minute bacteria), which in Poland was carried by the cherished body lice of which the heartless Germans so ruthlessly deprived the Jews and filthy Poles who had raised them on their unwashed bodies. The symptoms of typhus vary so widely in individual cases that no sure deduction can be drawn from Dr. Morell's record, assuming that it is accurate. On the treatment of cases of typhus by German physicians, see the article (really a booklet) by Mr. Berg in *Liberty Bell* and his earlier article in the *Journal for Historical Review*, September 1986.

ious war against the Soviet Empire. It is quite likely that Göring was right in his belief that had Hitler's original strategy been followed, "the eastern campaign would have been decided by early 1942 at the latest." It would be one of history's greatest ironies, if microscopic bacteria were responsible for the catastrophe that may have doomed our race and civilization.

From that infection, Hitler never fully recovered, and it at least contributed to recurrent maladies that occasionally prostrated him thereafter. His physique was shattered by the dastardly attempt to murder him on 20 July 1944, made by a pack of infamous traitors who are now honored as heroes by the pack of traitors that now misgovern the two thirds of Germany that were recently reunited. The injuries that he received were more serious than the public was permitted to know at the time, and from these also he never fully recovered.

To men who knew him in 1940 and 1941, Hitler appeared remarkably younger than his years; in the later part of 1944 he was a prematurely old man. According to Naval Captain Assmann, "The rot...set in on the 20th of July." In his last days, Hitler was, in Irving's summary, "a nervous ruin....a physical wreck who could barely walk, doing so with a stooped back and shuffling gait, his right leg dragging, his head shaking, and his left hand violently trembling on the limply dragging arm." That he retained, at least at moments, his old lucidity is proved by the "testament" in which he, shortly before his death, warned the American people of the consequences of their crime against civilization. They, however, do not even honor him as a prophet.

This record inevitably raises the question to what extent Hitler's physicians contributed to, or even caused, the rapid deterioration of his health and vitality. And that question focuses attention on Hitler's favorite and personal physician, Dr. Theodore Morell. Captain Assmann, whom I quoted above, went on to say that "the rot...was made only worse by the questionable treatments and methods of Dr. Morell."

The Captain, who had accompanied Hitler and, with him, survived the traitors' bomb, was not a physician, but his opinion was shared by all of the physicians who treated Hitler during the war, and a group of them went to Hitler and emphatically warned him that Morell's drugs were destroying him physically and perhaps mentally. The unexpected result was that Hitler affirmed his unlimited confidence in Morell and dismissed his critics, almost ruining some of them.

Unfortunately for our inquiry, the man best qualified to criticize Morell's medication of Hitler, Professor Dr. Karl Brandt, was murdered by the Americans. His assistant and colleague, Dr. Hasselbach, survived the *furor Americanus*, but seems to have written no medical critique of Morell's methods, although he, while admitting Morell's success in curing nervous complaints, charged that "in many cases he [Morell] suggested to patients that they were suffering from a serious malady which he then successfully cured." Professor Erwin Giesing, who tended Hitler immediately after the bomb plot and was close to him for two years, was alienated by what seemed to him Hitler's unfair and irrational reaction to his concern and rather courageous attempt to warn Hitler against a favorite whom he regarded as a quack. (Hitler, in fact, accused the worried physicians of jealousy of Morell and professional dishonesty in intriguing to take his place.) He wrote, however, in an unpublished manuscript that Mr. Irving used, that "Morell converted the largely healthy man that Hitler had earlier been into one constantly plied with injections and fed with tablets which made Hitler more or less dependant on him; he played on Hitler's neuropathic nature by spouting utter rubbish about how Hitler's extremely heavy work load meant he was burning energy at the same rate as people in the tropics, and that the lost energy had to be replaced by all sorts of injections like iodine, vitamins, calcium, heart- and liver-extract, and hormones."

The simplest question is one that commonly arises when celebrities in the theatre or arts die prematurely. One re-

members that the actress called Marilyn Monroe, whose aspirations to become a Mrs. Kennedy were terminated by her sudden and mysterious death, had been treated by two physicians (one also a psychiatrist), who gave their patient potent drugs, one of which produced effects that were precisely antithetical to the effects of the other, thus naturally causing physical and nervous stresses that gravely affected her health and may have destroyed her emotional stability. More recently the death of an attractive though gullible actress has been imputed, in part, at least, to the work of her physicians.

A problem in medical ethics is presented by "society doctors," who are often accused of pleasing the patient and perhaps multiplying treatments, even at the expense of his welfare. The accusations are sometimes, perhaps often, justified.

It was in the later 1950s, as I recall, that I met by chance a former student of mine, and when we had cocktails and dinner together, he was lavish in praise of his physician, who, he said, was a prominent "society doctor" and always made him "feel good." I learned that whenever the man felt less than buoyant, he went to the physician, who gave him an hypodermic injection of cortisone (or could it have been cortisol?). If you are a physician, I need say no more. If you are not, I will add that while cortisone, by accelerating the metabolism of carbohydrates and fats, does produce a sense of euphoria, when a healthy man receives frequent injections of cortisone, the almost invariable result, according to my medical friends, is deterioration of both kidneys and liver, which may trigger a wide variety of diseases.⁴ The man died about ten years later, but I did not learn the cause of his decease.

Morell was undoubtedly a very popular and prosperous "society doctor," and proprietor of two profitable pharmaceutical plants, when he became Hitler's personal physician and

4. Furthermore, all corticosteroids are believed temporarily to inhibit or suppress the body's natural immune system.

began almost constant attendance on him.⁵ He was undeniably an *aficionado* of hypodermic syringes, and he continually injected his illustrious patient, sometimes daily, with glucose and a multitude of other drugs. In an appendix, Mr. Irving lists and briefly describes the physiological effects of the seventy-three drugs which Morell administered to Hitler in 1941-1945, according to Morell's records.⁶

Mr. Irving concludes that "Many of Morell's medicines were quite harmless, and he injected the others in such minute quantities [i.e., the comparatively small doses recorded in the diary] they would have been virtually useless." This despite the fact that one of these drugs, a sulphonamide (sulphamethylthiazol), manufactured by a Jewish pharmaceutical firm in Budapest in which Morell had a proprietary interest, was known as early as 1940 to damage the kidneys and nervous system, but Morell nevertheless administered it lavishly to all his patients, including Hitler, even for common colds, presumably hoping that its deleterious effects would be mitigated by a high intake of liquids. In this one instance, indeed, Hitler perceived how adversely the drug affected him and refused to take any more of it.

5. The immediate occasion was Hitler's frequent cases of nervous indigestion, which he himself sensibly recognized as probably caused by tension and worry. (A physician to whom I once mentioned the cases of Darwin, Carlyle, and perhaps one or two others, remarked, "Nervous indigestion is the occupational disease of men who work intensively with their brains, particularly if they do not take sufficient *pleasurable* exercise while excluding intellectual problems from their consciousness.") Hitler was also suffering from eczema, which, too, is sometimes of psychosomatic origin. Morell undertook to cure him of both within a year. Hitler thought that he did, and was accordingly grateful to him, even while his own health was constantly declining.

6. The list strangely omits strychnine and atropine, perhaps because their effects are so well known, or perhaps because Irving relied on a Dr. Schmidt, who seems to have pronounced them harmless (in small doses). The list includes a drug called 'Cortiron,' which Morell gave by hypodermic "a few times." I suspect that it may have been a corticosteroid, but do not know. Irving also notes that Morell often injected Hitler with a drug of unknown composition, called "Vitamultin-forte" in his records, presumably vitamins plus unnamed ingredients, but he does not speculate about what these may have been. Part of the compound *could* have been 'Pervitin'; cf. Note 7 *infra*.

Granting that the drugs listed in the diary were not likely to harm at any one time in the small doses Morell records, routine administration of some of them very frequently and sometimes daily could have produced a cumulatively adverse physiological effect as well as a psychologically deleterious dependence on them. Mr. Irving seems to accept Morell's clinical diary as an authentic record, although he notes that Morell compiled it and multiplied copies of it for use to defend himself, if he should be accused of misconduct. If Morell did dose Hitler with a noxious drug called Pervitin,⁷ as Captain Assmann suspected, he would naturally have refrained from noting it in a record he was keeping to justify himself in a possible investigation.

The question is complicated by Morell's personal traits. He had mastered the art of ingratiating himself with his patients and impressing them with the profundity of his iatrical expertise, but he was personally unprepossessing, especially to Aryan eyes. He persistently denied, perhaps truthfully, that he was a Jew.⁸ He had a swarthy complexion, was corpulent and even obese, and was a glutton who "ate like a pig." He was timorous, and when Hitler traveled by air, his physician remained on the ground; that was how Drs.

7. 'Pervitin' was the trade name of a stimulant similar to amphetamine; it was highly addictive and was found to cause serious and permanent damage, and was classified as one of the dangerous narcotics of which the use in Germany was restricted by law in 1941.

8. What the Americans did to Morell when he fell into their bloody hands is not evidence. Eichmann, whom God's terrorists kidnapped in Argentina to show their contempt for Aryan governments and Aryan law, took to the Holy Land, and eventually murdered to advertise their Holocaust, was almost certainly a Jew. The Chosen never hesitate to sacrifice members of their own race for the "good of the Jewish people," and, of course, they have only contempt for their White tools, scrapping them as soon as they are no longer useful. Morell had many wealthy Jewish patients, some of whom he continued to treat for years after he became Hitler's physician, and when he was first launched on his successful career in Berlin, he purchased a villa at Heringsdorf, a spa so infested with the Yids that Aryans shunned it. Neither of these facts is probative; they can be explained as natural in a "society doctor," moderately wealthy by marriage and determined to become more wealthy professionally.

Brandt and Hasselbach, who were Hitler's medical escorts, acquired their knowledge of him as a patient.⁹

Morell was a basically vulgar man. His childish vanity made him design for himself a polychrome uniform that would have won applause on the stage of a comic opera, and he was aggrieved when the Schutzstaffel would not permit him to adorn it with its proud symbol, the fulgurant SS. It was also vanity, no doubt, that made him the possessor of Germany's only electron microscope, which he never used and probably did not know how to use.

He was mercenary, perhaps avaricious. He used his illustrious patient to force on the German army the doubtless salubrious vitamins manufactured by one of his pharmaceutical plants,¹⁰ a drug that he falsely described as the equal of penicillin, and a worthless insecticide, manufactured in enormous amounts in a factory commandeered and given to Morell at Hitler's order.¹¹ And Dr. Brandt, before the Americans murdered him, described Morell as a "greedy business man, not a physician," and reported that Morell had proposed to send patients to him for surgical operations and mutual profit; although the practice is now common in the United States, Dr. Brandt thought it unethical and indignantly refused.

It will be obvious that if Morell was not a Sheeny, he certainly resembled one in both personality and conduct; he was the kind of individual whom healthy Aryans instinctively shun. Dr. Giesing, an ophthalmologist and otologist, was the specialist who treated Hitler for injuries caused by the assassins' bomb.

10. He sold these vitamins, which contained ascorbic acid obtained from the Wehrmacht's strategic reserves and lemon juice, probably from the same source, to the troops by the billion. On 23 January 1944, for example, his plant was working on orders for 460,000,000 vitaminic lozenges; on 29 April of that year, it was filling orders for 696,164,616 lozenges.

11. The exasperated Wehrmacht seems to have arranged for an empirical test of the marvellous and sickeningly malodorous insecticide; lice were confined in a box of the insecticide: "after twenty-four hours, the lice crawled out in rollicking spirits."

ively dislike and contemn. That fact must be taken into account in any sincere effort to judge him as Hitler's physician.

It cannot be denied that Morell, quite apart from his success with psychosomatic patients, did effect genuine cures, largely because he was willing to employ new therapeutic agents, such as vitamins,¹² especially if they were manufactured by one of his pharmaceutical plants. It is also undeniable that Morell often behaved as a quack.

I think we must concede that Morell's medical services were highly deleterious to his great patient. Mr. Irving twice remarks (pp. 140, 213) that on the rare occasions when Morell was ill or otherwise unable to attend Hitler, "his patient's health seemed to improve quite rapidly." Hitler, unfortunately, seems not to have drawn the inevitable inference from the fact that "as soon as Morell ceased visiting, Hitler's health improved."

Morell's ascendancy over Hitler from the first until shortly before the end,¹³ seems inexplicable, even when we know that the wily physician was wont to lecture Hitler on the magnitude of his burden of responsibility, and to avow that he, Morell, was the only physician in the world who

12. I do not know what was the general attitude toward vitamins among German physicians at this time, except that Göring's physician, Dr. Erich Hippke, knew how much of vitamins B and C were needed to meet an adult's daily requirements. That suggests that the efficacy of vitamins was recognized in Germany. At about the same time, the American Medical Association was engaged in a campaign to laugh away the use of vitamins as a silly fad sponsored by crackpots to the detriment of the medical profession, since the useless substances could unfortunately be bought without a prescription. I remember that an honest and competent physician, whom I liked, was taken in by the Association's propaganda, which ridiculed the notion that foodstuffs could affect health. He assured me that all one needed to do was eat in restaurants, all of which served balanced meals, planned by expert dieticians. He was taken aback when I asked him about lemons and scurvy.

13. When Hitler bade Morell to be gone, he was probably angered by the man's pavidity. Morell eagerly availed himself of the opportunity to escape from the bunker under Berlin and was flown to a place of temporary safety. He probably regretted his escape after he fell into the hands of the Americans.

understood the physical consequences of that burden and could enable Hitler to bear them. He even had the effrontery to claim that, but for him, Germany would have been finished in the first years of the war!

Hitler was certainly not a fool, although he was often uncritically indulgent toward men whom he esteemed and in whom he had confidence, and, as an Aryan, felt an obligation of gratitude and loyalty to men who seemed (e.g., Admiral Canaris!) loyal and devoted to him. But how can he have failed to be repelled by Morell's shameless vaunts? That, it seems to me, can be explained only as a continuation of the almost total ascendancy over Hitler that Morell established from the very first.

Disregarding the unverifiable report that Morell was a friend of Professor Haushofer, the friend and teacher of Rudolph Hess, and that Hitler thus knew him and accepted him as a patriotic German in the 1920s, long before he first became Morell's patient in the later 1930s, that ascendancy, it seems to me, can be explained only by Morell's early success in alleviating Hitler's nervous indigestion and his unorthodox medication, which, although medically certain to be eventually disastrous, gave his patient a temporary surge of energy when he was weary, calmed him when he was anxious, and induced euphoria when he was worried. I think of the former student whom I mentioned above and his virtual addiction to cortisone.¹⁴

14. Mr. Irving speaks of Hitler's willingness to accept such unorthodox medication as connected with his general scepticism about the competence of professionals. He does not observe that when Hitler insisted on strategy that petrified the veteran generals of the Army, he was invariably right and the professionals were proved wrong. The one exception is not, strictly speaking, a question of *military* strategy. He certainly made an enormous and fatal blunder when he chivalrously permitted the shattered British army to escape from Dunkirk, and we in retrospect can see that at that point he lost the war (given, of course, the sequence of future events that were, at that time, unpredictable). Why he did so is less commonly understood. His knowledge of Britain had been almost entirely derived from English culture (literature, art, philosophy, science) and British history (i.e., what Britain had been in the past). Even that knowledge was severely limited because he did not read fluently or at all the requisite languages (Eng-

That Morell's medication at least contributed greatly to, if it was not the primary cause of, the deterioration of Hitler's health seems certain.

That poses the question whether he did so as a quack or deliberately as an enemy agent. That, astonishingly, is a question that Mr. Irving ignores, unless we are to assume that his silence is to be taken as a rejection of *any* suggestion of Morell's guilt.

The historian's evasion of the crucial question is the more astonishing since he cannot but have been aware of the impressive and medically explicit book by Dr. Hans-Dietrich Röhrs, who, as one of the last living members of the Reichsgesundheitsführung, undertook to establish what he regarded as an historically certain fact in his *Hitler, die Zerstörung einer Persönlichkeit* (Nekargemünd, Vowinkel, 1965), of which the second edition appeared under the title *Hitler's Krankheit* (ibid., 1966). The first of these was translated into French, Portuguese, and perhaps other languages at the time, but no English translation has thus far appeared.

Hitler bore the awful responsibility of commanding the last great effort to save our race and civilization from the crazed members of that race who were determined to destroy

lish, French, Latin) and had never resided in England. (Although it is not applicable here, please note the distinction between residence and travel; mere travel in a foreign country, even if extensive, will not significantly augment your knowledge of it, and is quite likely to distort it.) With the exception of persons who have resided for some years in a foreign country, whether England or Arabia or Japan, and have paid close attention to quotidian events, especially in the behavior of its government (and that excludes most men who, for example, may have lectured at Oxford or been consultants on electronic equipment in Germany), our conception of a foreign country depends, first of all, on our knowledge of its culture and history (both largely of the past, and possibly obsolete now), and that in turn may well depend on our linguistic instincts; e.g., we have a preference either for languages that are analytical and only rarely form compounds (English, French, Latin) or languages that are synthetic and more or less freely admit compounds (German, Greek, Sanskrit). And even an extensive knowledge of a nation's culture and history is not likely to disclose the extent of covert Jewish sabotage in either past or present. These facts must be taken into consideration before we judge Hitler for his fatal blunder.

both, and it is admittedly difficult, almost impossible, to estimate the effects of that burden on a conscientious and resolute man, but Dr. Röhrs, an eminent physician of long experience, on the basis of careful consideration of the slow alteration of Hitler's health and personality, compared with the known effects of 'Pervitin' and similar narcotics, came to the conclusion that Morell deliberately and with malice premeditated gradually poisoned Hitler to cause the defeat of Germany and of all that depended on that nation. The book will, I think, convince any objective reader that Dr. Röhrs' conclusions must be taken into account.

I am equally astonished that Mr. Irving simply ignores another fact which can be variously explained, but cannot be simply disregarded by an historian.

Morell, as I have said, escaped from the bunker under Berlin, but he fell into the hands of the Americans, who not only subjected him to brutal interrogation, but tortured him atrociously. He was eventually imprisoned in Dachau, which is infamous, not for what the Germans are said to have done to Jews, but for what Americans there did to Germans. He said that, among other things, they pulled out his toenails, one by one. We may hope, of course, that the torturer was not an American, but one of the innumerable Sheenies in American uniforms and with commissioned rank that the Americans loosed on the German people, but we cannot be sure of that. After all, the Americans were engaged in a holy war for the holy people, and they normally lose all contact with humanity when they have been pumped up with righteousness.

Mr. Irving has seen the records of the interrogations to which Morell was subjected, and he must know that, according to reports which have never been questioned, Morell, when first arrested, averred that he loved Jews and that he had "deliberately poisoned" and destroyed Hitler with his drugs to procure the defeat of Germany.

Now, needless to say, that confession could be only a frightened man's attempt to ingratiate himself with captors whose savagery he may have already suspected. And it is

also possible that the atrocious tortures to which he was later subjected were attempts to elicit from him confessions that would implicate prominent Germans, defile the memory of the dead and traduce the living, whom the Americans intended to murder after an obscene parody of a trial to establish the legal rule that the comfort and whims of God's People are divine law that supersedes all human laws, ethics, and even decency.¹⁵

Mr. Irving must have known of this purported confession. His silence is astounding, the more because he does know that Major Cortez Enloe of American Military Intelligence contributed to *Collier's*, one of the two leading and most widely circulated weekly magazines, an article, published in the issue for 4 May 1946, in which he stated that "there is some evidence that Himmler, the Gestapo chief, Martin Bormann, Hitler's executive officers, and the ill-famed Dr. Morell conspired in a plot slowly to poison the Führer... It is certain that the daily dose of drugs hastened the collapse of Hitler's personality."

If we are Aryans and uninfected by the lofty idealism of Bushy and his kind, we must feel, as does Mr. Irving, compassion for Morell. When his wife was finally permitted to see him for a few moments, under the supervision of two uniformed thugs who enjoyed insulting and mocking her, he was no longer sane and could only repeat over and over again that he thought her dead. Mr. Irving plausibly suggests that he had been subjected to one of the Americans' favorite amusements. The prisoner was forced to listen, sometimes for hours, to piercing screams from the adjoining room, which, he was told, were the cries of his wife as she was being tortured and finally killed because he would not give

15. They may have wished to implicate all the Germans mentioned in Major Enloe's article, which I mention below. Morell would doubtless have obliged, but, terrorized and apparently seriously ill, he would have been unable to invent plausible perjury about matters of which he knew nothing. The confession, whether true or false, gave rise to absurd stories. The *New York Times*, 24 May 1945, reported from Flensburg that Morell had helped Hitler commit suicide by injecting him with a lethal drug, and had then, with the aid of Hitler's adjutant, secretly buried the body. Of course, when Hitler died, Morell had run as far away as he could.

them information he did not have—or just because they wanted some fun to relieve the monotony of their days.

Morell was no longer rational, could no longer read or write, could not speak intelligibly, and was partly paralysed when the Americans decided it wasn't worthwhile to murder him, as they murdered a number of German physicians to create an atrocity story for the boobs back home. They simply dumped him in the waiting room of the railway station in Munich. He was eventually taken by a compassionate woman to the hospital in Tegernsee, where the human wreck ceased to live on 26 May 1948.¹⁶

We pity him, but there remains the confession, which might, after all, have been true,¹⁷ and the record that Dr. Röhrs studied.

16. Note that if Morell's condition after his release is correctly reported by Irving, that invalidates one point in Dr. Röhrs' position: if a document submitted in Morell's name from Tegernsee contains a statement or intimation that he deserved gratitude for having poisoned and destroyed Hitler, he cannot have been capable of making such a statement rationally. It also casts doubt on the purported interview with him published in the *New York Times*, 22 May 1945, according to which he denied such guilt but was paradoxically terrified because "the Gestapo, the SS, and Heinrich Himmler were out to get him."

17. The callous disposal of Morell does not indicate anything about the accuracy of the confession. Righteous idealists, unlike the wicked "Nazis," never feel obligation or gratitude to their servants and simply scrap the tools when they are no longer useful. — Not all Americans were dehumanized. I am reliably informed that a certain Colonel Philby was at least human enough to be attracted by one of the prisoners, the famous German aviatrix, Hanna Reitsch, and to have wished to win her regard. He secretly showed her the text of Morell's confession, and agreed to her suggestion that it should be submitted, under a seal of absolute secrecy for a century, to a committee of physicians competent to judge its accuracy. She said that he made that suggestion to the commander of the prison and immediately disappeared, having presumably been courtmartialled for his indiscretion or humanity. Furthermore, Professor Robert Kempner, a Jew who was one of the "prosecutors" who performed in the obscene farce that preceded the murders at Nuremberg, is said to have affirmed, as from official knowledge, that Morell deliberately drugged Hitler with 'Pervitin' and made him addicted to that and other narcotics, and furthermore injected massive doses of dextrose to darken his powers of judgement disastrously. I have not seen a copy of Kempner's *Das dritte Reich auf der Anklagebank*, which may contain that statement.

Dr. Röhr's conclusions receive some substantiation from Morell's known connections. His closest friend was a gynaecologist named Dr. Wohlgemuth, who was eventually discovered to have been a member of the famous Communist espionage and murder organization, "Die rote Kapelle," and who somehow inherited Morell's office on Kurfürstendamm. Morell's friend and chief chemist at his pharmaceutical plant in Olmütz, Dr. Kurt Mulli, was identified, as Mr. Irving does not tell us, as a Communist (Jewish?) agent and accomplice in the escape of Dr. Otto John in 1954. A scrutiny of Morell's circle of associates would probably reveal other connections. There is finally the consideration that Morell, even if a cynical quack, was sufficiently competent in medicine to know what effects his drugs would have on Hitler. Even if he did not administer 'Pervitin' or a similar drug in his unexplained "Vitamultin-forte," he must have known the probable consequences of injecting a man with glucose and "harmless" drugs every day.

I do not affirm that Dr. Röhrs is correct. As I have said, every datum can be explained in more than one way. Morell's diary may be either an accurate clinical record or precisely what a scoundrel or traitor would prepare as evidence of his innocence. The disease that struck down Hitler in July 1941, whether contracted by accident or murderously induced, may have inflicted damage that no therapeutic art could repair, or it may have given Morell an opportunity to intensify and prolong its effects, and thus to begin the destruction of Hitler's body and mind in earnest. The same is true of the attempted assassination in July 1944, its effects augmented by the traumatic discovery of treason among his closest and most trusted associates, and the horror of having seen loyal friends horribly mutilated and dead or dying.

Dr. Röhrs, however, is a man of distinction and courage, and his work will impress you with his knowledge of pathology and his absolute honesty in his analysis of the record, whether or not he is right. I have written now because I want you to be aware of an important historical question, and, if

you have read or will read Mr. Irving's book, I urge you also to read the book by Dr. Röhrs that he so strangely fails to mention.

TRAGEDY AND TERROR

The great catastrophe of 1945, which may have doomed our race to extinction, is generally explained as the ineluctable result of the overwhelming force of crazed Aryans whom the Jews had mobilized against Germany and the great man whom Francis Parker Yockey perceptively styled the Hero of the Second World War.¹ But there are doubts. For one thing, there is the question of timing and the probable consequences of the accidental or contrived malady that immobilized Hitler at the most critical juncture of that War.²

Now undoubtedly the major immediate cause of Germany's defeat was her loss of superiority in the air, which exposed her cities and factories to destruction by the Anglo-Americans who had repudiated treaties and the concept of civilized warfare in their frantic advance to Judaic barbarism. It is not by any means clear that Germany's fatal loss of command of the air was necessarily due to the quantity or quality of airplanes produced by the Judaeo-Communists' factories in Britain and the United States. The fatal loss of superiority in air power must to some extent have been the responsibility of Hitler's second in command, Hermann Göring, who was the Minister in charge of the German Air Force, and whose strangely excessive devotion to dive bombers, which seemed to him to be a romantic revival of knight-hood, is well known.

In *Liberty Bell*, February 1989, I noted that Göring's biographer, Charles Bewley, admitted that an unnamed "technician among the [German] generals" prevented the new Heinkel bombers from being ready in time for use against Russia by insisting on militarily nugatory but aeronautically

1. In the dedication of his *Imperium*. Some believe that Yockey, who had foolishly trusted a Jew, did not commit suicide, but was suicided by technicians from the C.I.A.

2. See p. 3 *supra*.

drastic modifications of their design. Bewley did not see that this was almost certainly an act of sabotage, and that the "technician" was probably one of the covert traitors who contrived disasters to Germany.³

The sabotage consisted in requiring that the Heinkel plane be redesigned to permit its use as both a high-level bomber and a dive bomber. This was equivalent to demanding a horse that was both a Percheron and a Hunter. The aircraft thus produced, after a long and perhaps fatal delay, were less efficient as high-level bombers and I think it likely that no one was ever mad enough to use them for dive bombing.

For that sabotage Göring must bear the responsibility, since he obviously could have overruled the "technician," but we are inclined to attribute his acquiescence to his romantic notions about dive bombing, which, of course, is feasible only with much smaller and lighter planes.

Göring, furthermore, was incompetent. General Hans Bauer, who was the pilot whom Hitler trusted, in the book reviewed in my article, reports that German aviators were well aware of the incapacity and slothful blundering of the man who was chief of the Air Force, and that Göring himself was aware of his own disqualification for the post he held. He once said to Bauer, "I must honestly confess that I have little notion of contemporary aircraft and their capabilities. The newer developments are way over my head." But he did not resign, and continued to impose on Hitler's loyalty to an old comrade, probably telling himself that his own incompetence was not important, since he relied on experts who gave him advice he could not comprehend!

Bauer further reports that when the Jew-driven British and Americans, repudiating Western civilization, became savages and made war against German civilians, women, and children with disastrous effect, Hitler gave categorical

3. The terrible prevalence of treason by mad or suborned Germans in 1939-1945 is catalogued (incompletely) in the book by General Otto Ernst Remer which was reviewed by Dr. Charles E. Weber in *Liberty Bell*, June 1987, and which is mentioned at the opening of my article in February 1989.

orders that the great German aeronautical engineer, Messerschmidt be supplied with the material requisite for the manufacture of planes that could successfully defend Germany, but the order was not obeyed, although Bauer is certain the materials were available and in the possession of the Air Ministry. By implication, Bauer suspects that Field Marshal Erhard Milch, who had been Göring's friend in the First World War and who thus became Göring's second in command and the expert on whom Göring relied, was responsible for blocking Hitler's order. On the other hand, General Remer, who notes that there were many traitors ensconced in the Air Ministry, seems not to number Milch among them—possibly because Milch echoed Hitler's denunciation of the shocking inferiority of the German Air Force when the Anglo-Americans' savage bombing raids began, and openly accused Göring of being responsible.⁴

The question is now solved in the December 1990 issue of Hans Schmidt's *GANPAC Brief*, which reproduces photographically an article printed in the *Saturday Evening Post*, 8 April 1950, when the Yids' scaly claws had not yet entirely strangled American journalism and it was still possible for a prominent magazine to print articles that they had not censored. James P. O'Donnell reported an interview with Willy Messerschmidt, perhaps the world's foremost aeronautical engineer. The historical consequences of the revelations almost incidentally included in that article are drastic.

Americans are so proud of the jet aircraft they were able to build after the War, when they had access to German plans and German models, jet airplanes that had been manufactured in 1944 and 1945 and had survived the war, in which they, though few in number, admittedly achieved such success and evinced such great superiority over the British and Americans' propeller-driven planes that they terrified

4. See Goebbels' Diaries, translated by Lewis Lochner (New York, Doubleday, 1948), especially the entry for 9 April 1943. Part of the responsibility for the technical incompetence was unjustly placed upon General Ernst Udet, who was in charge of the technological part of the Air Ministry, and who committed suicide or was suicided early in 1941.

the barbarians' commanders, and the Anglo-American bombers were given as their important mission the destruction of the factory in which the revolutionary German planes were produced.

Messerschmidt revealed to the reporter the crucial fact that his jet planes were not developed late in the war, but were ready for production in 1939-1941. According to Messerschmidt, who certainly knew, Germany was denied planes that would have maintained and guaranteed her superiority in the air by Marshall Milch, who, even as late as the beginning of the German preëemptive attack on Russia in 1941, furiously denounced Messerschmidt's jet airplanes, declaring that the war had already been won (!), that too many planes were being produced (!), and categorically forbidding Messerschmidt to plan "radical" new types of aircraft, accusing him of "profiteering motives." General Udet, who was present, supported Messerschmidt, predicting that if Germany did not get the superior planes into production at once, the war that Milch thought won in 1941 would be lost in 1943. Milch even forbade Udet to talk to their superior, Göring! This was about two months before Udet committed suicide or was suicided.

Milch continued to prevent the production of jet and rocket aircraft through 1942, even threatening to have Messerschmidt arrested, if he criticized the Air Ministry's insistence on a limited production of planes already obsolete. And he successfully prevented production of planes vastly superior to anything the Anglo-Americans had or could learn how to build—prevented Germany from defending herself effectively against aerial assault until it was too late and the barbarians' destruction of Germany from the air was already proof that the war had been lost.

It is impossible to avoid the conclusion that Milch was almost certainly a traitor and, with Admiral Canaris, one of the principal architects of Germany's defeat and our race's disaster.

Milch was a *Mischling*, a hybrid, son of a Jew and a White mother, but his old comrade and good friend, Hermann Göring, had the records falsified to make Milch his mother's bastard son. And it is highly significant that Milch, who owed his position and high rank to Göring, constantly worked to undermine his dear friend and patron by devious intrigues.

Milch was ostensibly a "fanatical Nazi" and Göring, who had become a vain *bon vivant*, was confident of his loyalty. One suspects that Milch had inherited a racial talent for duplicity and treachery.

One wonders how many other traitors in Germany were really *Mischlinge*, perhaps even fractionally so, through a grandparent or great-grandparent. And one is reminded again of the most urgent of all problems in genetics, that of testing the terrible certainty of an eminent Jewish scientist, Dr. Alfred Nossig, that even a drop of Jewish blood will poison and deform the mentality of an Aryan family through many generations, enabling the Jews to use the members of the family to help them impose *jüdische Weltbewegung* on all mankind.⁵

Needless to say, the facts disclosed by Messerschmidt do not mean that, but for Milch, Germany would have won the War for our race and civilization, but they do indicate the distinct possibility that if Germany had achieved an irresistible superiority in the air in 1939-1941, she might have won the war before the great War Criminal in Washington was able to use hordes of American cattle against her. And it certainly reinforces the dismal conclusion that the principal authors of Germany's defeat were Germans.

Milch is an illustration of tragedy in the full and proper sense of that word. Hitler's heroic undertaking failed because

5. *Integrales Judentum* (Vienna, Berlin, New York, 1922), p. 76: "Ein einziges jüdisches Bluttröpfchen beeinflusst die geistige Physionomie ganzer Familien noch durch eine lange Reihe von Generationen. Es bewirkt die Befeeuerung ihrer Gehirnganglien und imprägniert diesen Geschlechtern vielfach den jüdischen Drang zur Erwicklung, zur sozialen Gerechtigkeit, zur Völkerannäherung."

he was an Aryan and had our race's instinctive morality, which means that a man should be loyal to men who (he believes) were loyal to him. Hitler could not forget that Göring, however incompetent he had become, had been his loyal supporter in the early days of his adversity. (Hitler made the same mistake about the cunning Admiral Canaris, who was almost certainly a disguised Jew or, at least, a *Mischling*, and attached himself to Hitler to betray him.)

We also wonder about the genealogy of the many presumably German traitors who contrived the great catastrophe, and we will have waking nightmares, if we remember the terrible possibility: "Even a drop of Jewish blood...."

MORE ABOUT THE JEWS

A German correspondent impatiently disclaims interest in recent books about the Jews. They all, he says, necessarily repeat what has long been known to everyone who has objectively observed and thoughtfully considered the vampire race, and add little or nothing that is new. And even if some book discloses significant facts that were previously unperceived, it has no practical utility. All that we need know about *hostes generis humani*, he insists, was clearly set forth in Henry Ford's *The International Jew*.¹ He could have added, or even substituted, Adolf Hitler's masterly analysis of the Jewish Problem in *Mein Kampf*.²

My correspondent is essentially right. What is needed is not more information about the terrible race that the Roman historian identified as "the enemies of mankind." The survival of our race depends on its will to act to preserve itself from an obvious and imminent threat to its very existence—depends, in short, on its racial will to live. If it has already

1. Available from Liberty Bell Publications, 4 volumes, \$26.00 + postage.

2. The reliable English translation by James Murphy (first published in 1939 by Hurst & Blackett, London) is available in a paperback edition for \$13.80, cloth-bound, \$17.25; reprint of the original German text, cloth-bound, \$38.50. All prices include postage and handling.

lost that instinct and become biologically degenerate, it is no longer a viable species and there is nothing more to be said.

That is true, but the author of each book hopes that his presentation of the known facts, with whatever he can add to the record, may somehow be miraculous and awaken an instinct that he hopes is yet dormant in an effective part of our race. I have even convinced myself that there is some practical value in the series of notes by which I try to explore the complex web of bonds that make Gulliver a helpless captive in Lilliput.

In the present treatise in two volumes, Dr. Ratibor-Ray M. Jurjevich, who is both a recognized professional psychiatrist and a Christian—rare combination!—necessarily repeats much of what you and I know and have long taken for granted, but which has been totally concealed from the American public by the predatory race's tight control over all the media of information, the schools, the state and Federal governments, and virtually all of the churches.

That, however, is not Dr. Jurjevich's subject in his *Fear-of-the-Jews Syndrome in America* (2 volumes, Ichthys Books, P.O. Box 1701, Glenwood Springs, Colorado; paperback, \$22.50; cloth-bound, \$33.50). He undertakes a clinical study of the "Brainwashing of Americans into a Special Neurosis, Fear of the Jews." The first volume bears the subtitle, *Bamboozled Americans and Their Vile Brainwashers*; the second, *Americans: a Nation of Dupes, Sheep, and Wimps?*

When one reads the works of Dr. Jurjevich, Nesta Webster, the Abbé Barruel, and comparable writers, one must naturally allow for the author's Christian premises and his or her consequent misunderstanding of atheism.

It is quite true that so long as organized Christianity was a force in maintaining social stability, the Jews were pleased by atheism and strenuously promoted it in their Communist attack on our nations and race, and perhaps in other ways, just as they likewise encouraged the Protestant Reformation as a means of undermining the social power of the Roman Catholic Church, which at that time did foster stability in

several European nations. So long as a feudal aristocracy was the dominant power in Europe, the Jews naturally fostered Monarchy and the centralization of power in the hands of a king whose self-interest made him reduce the territorial magnates to submission and service on his behalf, but when monarchical governments seemed securely established, the predators as naturally promoted "democracy" and every other disruptive tendency within each kingdom. The merits of any ideology, its truth or falsity, are simply irrelevant to the vampires, who are interested only in utilizing it to sabotage a nation and paralyze their prey. It is not at all remarkable, therefore, that they now foster every kind of religion and pseudo-religion to counteract the rational implications of atheism and its threat to their dominion over their hebetated *goyim*.

I have, for example, no information that would even suggest that our enemies directly participated in the demise of the once-excellent periodical, *The Truth Seeker*,³ which can be satisfactorily attributed to the greed of persons unwittingly Judaized by the society in which Americans live. But the death of that journal as an atheist publication undoubtedly gratified the Sheenies.

It is the great merit of Dr. Jurjevich's book that it covers all aspects of the Jews' intimidation of intelligent Americans and promotion of a mindless and dispossessed majority who even take pride in their enslavement to ruthless masters, who think of them as pigs, raised for the profit and nourishment of their owners.

You will find in this book, scrupulously documented, hundreds of specific instances of the Jews' precautions against the emergence of a rational perception of their nature and activity. Librarians, either puffed up with Yiddish hokum or aware of vultures watching over their shoulders, refuse to accept even donated books whose authors had not done obei-

3. Cf. *Liberty Bell*, August and September 1989, pp. 22-28 and 1-6 respectively. A thoroughgoing atheist will necessarily have emancipated himself from even the residue of Christian superstitions, and will therefore perceive and understand the cunning and insidious devices of the Self-Chosen People.

sance to Yahweh's Master Race.⁴ Publishers, if not already merely employees of alien owners, know that their solvency depends on not offending the race of vicious Conquerors by Deceit. In a society that has been so Judaized that the only thing that counts is current income and in which tyrannical taxation has left few persons who are not dependent on their quotidian income to avoid being crushed by the insatiable usurers who are their real masters, the predatory race's dominion over their befuddled slaves is virtually absolute.

By patient, unremitting, and often covert efforts over centuries the vampires have so forced their own standards on their helpless victims that the latter have come to consider them normal and indisputable. Some clear instances of their subversion of our race's ethical and commercial standards would shock the average American into a coma, if he is not already in one.

He would, for example, be stunned by the discovery that all commercial activity in his home town, even the small part of it that is not yet owned by the invaders of their newest Canaan, is totally Judaized. How completely that has been done, he will discover from p. 513 of Dr. Jurjevich's second volume, which contains a long quotation from *The International Jew*, Vol. I, p. 51, which should be read both in the context in which Ford wrote it and with Dr. Jurjevich's comment, on his p. 514, n. 1, which also adduces the case of Rudy Stanko.⁵

4. For an added illustration, note that D. J. Goodspeed's *The German Wars, 1914-1945*, which was mild enough for Houghton Mifflin to publish it without fear of reprisal, but which failed to repeat some fundamental Jewish lies about those wars, was given a derogatory and derisive review in the official *Library Journal*, 1 November 1977, obviously concocted with sneering, but vague generalizations to prevent librarians from purchasing copies of the book for their libraries. The boobs must be kept in a state of collective hallucination.

5. Stanko was imprisoned by the Federal government under various pretexts but really for not giving his flourishing business to Jews. His trenchant volume, *The Score*, is available from Liberty Bell Publications, cloth-bound, \$17.25 postpaid.

I shall not take space here to reproduce Mr. Ford's essentially accurate summary of the ethics of Anglo-Saxon and Scotch merchants before the international parasites infiltrated and corrupted Great Britain and reduced it to the Little Britain they own today. I will observe, however, that the British merchants were merely following Aryan ethics, as is obvious from the Greeks' disdain for buying and selling that involved bargaining, i.e., an effort by the seller to obtain more than the goods were worth, and by the buyer to obtain them for less than their worth—an effort that is necessarily dishonest, and accounts for the usually contemptuous connotation of Greek terms for small retail trade that was conducted (as it still was in Mediterranean countries until recently) by bargaining, and for terms that would translate "a bargain," as that noun is currently used, both with reference to a transaction and to a thing purchased.⁶

The average American today will have difficulty in comprehending his race's commercial morality, which was still dominant at a time when a respectable merchant would never have thought of advertising, which was justly regarded as demeaning, disgraceful, and probably dishonest. He will, however, understand at once his enemies' financial practices, most recently and emphatically illustrated by the "junk bond" swindle that has served to enrich many vampires while furthering the race's plans by wrecking Savings & Loan Agencies—a notorious scandal⁷ from which War Lord

6. If you have read Greek writers in translation, you probably missed the satirical thrust of such words when used metaphorically, as in Theognis's reference to marriages between a nobility and a wealthy lower class as 'retailing.' Such marriages are essentially bargaining, by which the noble acquires money and the *parvenu* acquires social standing—and both are almost invariably cheated. (Incidentally, it was by the marriage of sons of greedy or necessitous peers and gentry to richly endowed Kikesses that the Sheenies corrupted the blood of the British nobility.)

7. Cf. *Liberty Bell*, November 1990, pp. 1-6. Old Americans may recall that when the proto-Communist trick called the "New Deal" was foisted on American nitwits in 1933, there was legislation that was advertised as preventing swindles in the stock market. They will understand, however, that American laws do not apply to the divinely authorized thieves of God's Race.

Bushy's endeavors in the Persian Gulf opportunity distracted public attention—and by preparing the coming insolvency of many insurance companies and banks.⁸

Dr. Jurjevich does not neglect this aspect of the activities of Yahweh's pet freebooters, but, of course, he could not include the admirable article, "War on a Racial Basis," by Ivor Benson in *Spearhead*, October 1989 and reprinted in this issue of *Liberty Bell*. Mr. Benson, taking his departure from the activities of four Sheenies, all disguised by seemingly Aryan names (Lyons, Ronson, Saunders, Parnes), whose attempt to grab Scottish distilleries was so malodorous that even Maggie Thatcher's government, which usually holds its nose and disregards the pervasive stench of Jewry, could not ignore it.⁹

Mr. Benson goes on to consider the now infamous Sheenies, Boesky, Milken, and others who operated the great "junk bond" swindle and used it to grab control and virtual ownership of some of the largest corporations that were still American. He notes in passing a significant facet of the Jews' technique: when the Guinness scandal broke and the fact that the fight for the control of the Scottish distilleries was fought on a racial basis became well known, a tabloid owned by the enormously wealthy Sheeny who calls himself Rupert Murdoch published an article denouncing the conspiratorial band of Jewish financiers whom it called "Kosher Nostra," on

8. Lawrence Patterson, in *Criminal Politics*, estimates that Bushy's government plans to liquidate eight hundred or more banks in 1991 as a stage in a progressive transfer of ownership of all American banking to the notoriously predatory international financiers in New Jerusalem-on-the-Hudson.

9. Some believe that the Guinness scandal may have contributed to the recent decision by the "Tory" party to retire mannequin Maggie, who, doubtless on orders, had tried to shelter the guilty. She has been replaced by a comparatively young man, who, according to John Tyndall, is an unprincipled and unscrupulous *arriviste*, such as is normal in "democratic" governments. — The celebrated brewery in Dublin was founded by Arthur Guinness, said to have been of Scotch-Irish ancestry, in the early Nineteenth Century; he left numerous descendants, but today all of them together own only 2.5% of the company, which is operated by Kikes, although a Guinness, the third Earl of Iveagh, is hired to pose as President of the now huge and avaricious corporation.

the analogy of the old Mafia's "Cosa Nostra." Murdoch's fellow tribesmen understood and applauded his gesture. You must not be so foolish as to suppose that their race has self-respect, a notorious weakness of Aryans.

Most significant of all is the complacent remark in the *Jewish Chronicle*, quoted by Mr. Benson: "Only fifteen years ago sociologists reported that the ownership of major U.S. corporations was largely restricted to a small hereditary class of White Protestants. Now, *largely as a result of corporate raiding*, some of the most powerful names [*sic*] in the U.S. business world are Jews, many of them graduates of Mr. Milkin's seminars." [Mr. Benson's emphasis.]

In Dr. Jurjevich's massive compilation you will find, and, unless you are very cynical, be astonished by, many examples of the success of our invaders in overawing and befuddling the Americans. And you will have at hand for use in your own writing vivid illustrations of their cunning and covert war against our race. A characterization that the late Professor William Abbott Oldfather once applied to university faculties is now applicable to the American people as a whole: "We constitute the only known species of animal life that is both mammalian and invertebrate."

I would add that we are also the only known species that has lost interest in perpetuating itself and surviving on this planet—the only known species in which its natural enemies have induced a racial death-wish.

MURDER MYSTERY SOLVED

In 1888-1889 a number of women were atrociously murdered in the poorer quarters of London by a sexual maniac who boastfully styled himself Jack the Ripper. The murders are still officially unsolved, but they are still remembered, partly because they were so gruesome, the murderer having so obscenely mutilated and dissected the bodies of his victims that the details were long withheld from public knowledge, and partly because the British police, then justly esteemed for their integrity, admittedly suppressed some evidence. Furthermore, the sudden cessation of the series, which Jack had promised to

continue indefinitely, warranted a suspicion that the police had secretly apprehended him and put him under restraint.

The mystery thus created naturally aroused various conjectures and surmises, and someone started a rumor that Jack the Ripper had been the Duke of Clarence, the eldest son of the Prince of Wales (who later became King Edward VII). The rumor gained credence among persons who should have known better because it seemed to provide the only plausible explanation for the extraordinary behavior of the police.

The rumor has been revived from time to time.¹ You may have seen or even read a fairly recent story by one of the writers who specialize in producing pastiches of Sir Arthur Conan Doyle's stories about Sherlock Holmes. In the novel, Holmes is engaged to investigate the murders committed by

1. A somewhat amusing revival of the story was by a Polish defector from the Soviet, known as Colonel Goleniewski, who is said to have provided much accurate information about Soviet spies and saboteurs in western Europe, but who, after some years, was either coerced by the C.I.A. to discredit himself or concluded, not without justification, that American boobs will believe anything. He pretended to be the Czarevich who was murdered by Jewish Communists in 1918, and for a time published a periodical entitled *Double Eagle*, to advance his claim to be the legitimate Czar of Russia. The periodical was chiefly noteworthy for the phenomenal credulity of its subscribers. Among the great historical revelations contained in it was an article on the Duke of Clarence, who, indeed, had been Jack the Ripper, but did not die in 1892; protected by the police, he went into a luxurious retirement until he went to Germany and became Adolf Hitler. Naturally, he did not die in the bunker under Berlin, but was smuggled to safety and was then (in 1980) living happily under an assumed name in Britain (and hence flourishing at the ripe age of 126, thus outdoing the celebrated Count Waldeck). Another of the bogus Czarevich's revelations was that the celebrated Reinhard Heydrich was not murdered by a team of assassins despatched from England, but was instead smuggled out of Germany by the conspiratorial team of Hitler & Churchill and sent to the United States, where he became Guy Richards, editor of the *New York Journal-American*, a journalist who, despite my explicit warning, espoused Goleniewski's cause and labored mightily to vindicate his claim to be the heir of the Romanovs—until he did something that displeased His Imperial Highness and was accordingly unmasked and identified as being Heydrich in disguise. If I were to go on and name the prominent American anti-Communists who put their minds in cold storage so they could bask in the radiance of a real live Czar, I would destroy what is left of your faith in mankind.

Jack the Ripper and is harassed and thwarted by Scotland Yard, so there is a clear intimation that a royal personage (i.e., the Duke of Clarence) is involved.

In Britain today, as in the United States—and quite naturally, indeed inevitably, given the infestation of both countries by “Liberal” clergymen and “intellectuals”—every month a few impuberate White children of both sexes mysteriously disappear without a trace or until their bodies, often drained of blood or savagely mutilated, are found. In Britain, however, the press does not muffle such incidents, which accordingly are causing no little concern among racial bigots and other reactionaries.

It was remarked, therefore, that after the police reportedly exhumed the body or part of the dismembered body of one victim who had been deeply buried in an open area behind a Jewish synagogue, there was a sudden silence, and two months later the police, under pressure from the public, announced that the remains were those of an animal not human. This aroused suspicion, and a bulletin demanding clarification of the mystery was published by the Campaign for Gentile-Jewish Reconciliation, under the title, “Another ‘Blood Libel’ or Ritual Murder?” The bulletin points out that quite reasonably a ritual murder² will be suspected, unless the strange incident is officially clarified.

The bulletin reports two very enlightening statements. At the time of Jack the Ripper’s merry exploits, the police were known to have suppressed evidence, and Sir Charles Warren, Commissioner of the Metropolitan Police, admitted that he had done so, but claimed that he had done so justifiably “to avert an anti-Jewish uprising.”

The bulletin continues; “In 1908 the Assistant Commissioner of Police, in reference to the identity of Jack the Rip-

2. On this subject, see Arnold Leese, *Jewish Ritual Murder*, available from Liberty Bell Publications, \$4.00 + postage. You may think it significant that such murders have been reported so frequently in so many Aryan countries over many centuries, but, if you are a well-trained American, you will know that, despite appearances and despite all evidence, God’s Holy Race can do no wrong.

per, declared flatly, *In stating that he was a Polish Jew, I am merely stating a definitely established fact.*” [Italics as printed.]

This, then, solves the supposed mystery of Jack the Ripper. It is reasonable to assume that the Polish Jew was apprehended by the British police and secretly placed in confinement, probably in a comfortable asylum for the insane, to avert adverse criticism of the godly race that is everywhere persecuted for its sanctity. It remains uncertain whether he was acting from religious motives or merely obeying the sage advice in the Talmud: “When one finds that evil appetites are taking hold of his senses, let him repair to some place where he is unknown, let him dress himself in black and follow the impulses of his heart.”³

So many readers of *Liberty Bell* will recall an incident that took place a few decades ago that I will not take the time to ascertain the exact date. The corpses of two impuberate White boys, aged eleven and twelve, as I remember, were found in a wasteland west of Chicago. The bodies had not been seriously mutilated, but they had been totally drained of blood while resting on a metal table adorned with cabalistic or Kabbalistic⁴ symbols, which, as the bodies cooled, impressed themselves on the flesh.

3. Cited from *Mo’ed Katan*, 17a.

4. In English, ‘cabalistic’ applies to any esoteric doctrine and secret practices that resemble the mystic doctrine of the Kabbala, the system of Jewish theology of which the best known exposition is the *Zohar*, which was probably concocted in the Thirteenth Century in Spain by a well-known Jewish theologian, Moses de Leon. It is—naturally!—a hoax, purporting to be a secret doctrine expounded to select disciples by a prominent Galilaeen Rabbi, Simeon ben Yohai, the favorite pupil and comrade of the famous Aqiba, who was the most fervent disciple of the christ called Bar-Kokhba, until the Roman legions proved him wrong in A.D. 135. Simeon revealed to his disciples cosmic secrets that had been transmitted only orally since the time of Adam. The doctrine was really filched from the Persian Sufis and Judaized. ‘Kabbalistic’ would imply that the symbols impressed on the bloodless corpses of the boys were actually taken from that theology, but, so far as I know, they were not identified as such by a person competent to judge.

The symbols were photographed and published in an early edition of the *Chicago Daily News*. Within half an hour after that edition was on the streets, the newspapers' trucks were dashing about frantically to collect all unsold copies, which were immediately destroyed, obviously at a heavy financial loss to the newspaper. The father of one of the boys demanded an elucidation of the symbols and the murders so persistently that he was kidnapped by the police and incarcerated in an insane asylum.

The facts require no comment. There is in the United States only one race so mighty that it could instantly frighten and coerce what was then the second most influential and potent newspaper in Chicago and compel the police to commit the crime of silencing the dead boy's father.⁵

In the meantime, you will be glad to know that the "mystery" of Jack the Ripper was solved, and that Scotland Yard around 1890 deserved its reputation and operated with an efficiency that would be unthinkable today.⁶

5. Many of the children who disappear are doubtless taken to be educated sexually by "paedophilic" males, and, unfortunately, cannot be released to return to their parents, who might be so bigoted as to disapprove. A noteworthy "paedophil" of exceptional accomplishments was the once famous Albert Fish, a creature of uncertain race who identified himself as a reincarnation of the famous Jesus, who asked little children to come to him. Fish was so fond of children that he ate about a dozen of them, after raping the girls and castrating the boys. Since the Supreme Court in 1935 was not sufficiently progressive and humanitarian to turn the gourmet loose, Fish was electrocuted. Some children are probably used in the ceremonies of ju-ju (commonly called voodoo in this country), which require sacrifice of a "hornless goat" to make really big magic. But although perverts and niggers are powerful politically, they could not have wielded the authority that was exercised in Chicago.

6. On the disgusting twerp who is now Commissioner of Metropolitan Police and therefore boss of Scotland Yard, see *Liberty Bell*, December 1987, pp. 8-9. The punk was proud that his sister-in-law and his niece were so depraved that they had, by 1987, given birth to six Congoid mongrels to afflict Englishmen and pollute their island.

THE TRIUMPH OF THE CHRIST MYTH

by
Nicholas Carter

The story of the salvation-deity is one of the oldest myths in the recorded history of mankind. Prior to the emergence of the Christ, there was hardly a god in four continents who hadn't been born of a virgin, persecuted by wicked rulers, condemned to death, and sacrificed for the benefit of his followers. The melodramatic rise and fall of the savior-god was essentially the same everywhere: A lowly human creature is elevated to the level of a deity. He is then dragged down to the lowest depths of humiliation and degradation. He is then murdered so that he can come to life again and offer forgiveness and salvation to the very people who denounced him.

To pave the way for a more complete understanding of how mythological Christianity was created, consider for a moment the fabrication of a less complicated scenario: The Apostle's Creed—an obvious forgery exposed long ago by a scholarly gentleman named Lorenzo Valla. If we attribute the name Dionysus or Krishna or Hercules or Osiris or Attis for the name of Jesus, and instead of Mary insert the name of Semele or Devaki or Alcmena or Neith or Maya, and for Pontius Pilate use the name of any terrestrial tyrant who comes into the corresponding story, we will have a creed that fits in all particulars into the rites and worship of any of the savior-gods of the Hellenistic Orient and beyond.

The Gentile theologians who came to be known as Catholics created, developed, and elaborated a Christ myth at the expense of the Gospel of the original Jewish Hellenists who were quickly dismissed as schismatical and forgotten. They accepted the form of the Hellenic Jewish sect without the substance; they presided over the gradual assimilation of that faith to the mystery religions; and they grafted upon that form the incredibly complex layers of orthodoxy that would eventually result in the establishment of an oppressive theocracy. It was only logical that Gentile theologians would concern themselves with shaping their new faith after the pattern of the salvation-cults so popular at that time. Could the masses be convinced to believe in the validity of a god on the esoteric basis of faith and love alone? No. But convince them that the god had been born

of a virgin, had walked on water, and had even ascended from the grave, and the new god-cult might have a chance to succeed.

Ironically, priests and witchdoctors dedicated to *spirituality* and *non-materiality* have never been able to “sell” an abstract god to the human species. In lieu of the “unknown god” whose silence is more terrifying than his wrath, they have had to create gods out of the sun, the moon, thunder, lightning, and animals with human heads and vice versa. “Prophets” and “servants” of God with personal pipelines right to the heavenly kingdom have been invented. Even totem poles and stone idols have found acceptance as deities. Through the manifestation of the presence of the Great God in *material* form, the deity is personalized. God-surrogates are materialistic bridges designed to, span the gap between man and the invisible “spiritual” god.

Gentile Christianity began with the trappings of just another messianic-cult as it rearranged the patterns of fear, tradition, superstition and motivation. By the turn of the 3rd century, it had bloomed into a full-fledged mystery religion—a Graeco-Oriental creed offering salvation, more exclusive claims than its competitors, the promise of immortality for repentance in the form of fasting and a little prayer, and shortcuts to heavenly kingdom through baptism and other sacraments so magical and powerful that they could change human nature—or so simple faithful believed. Through the sacraments they could be “born again” and “become the children of the eternal and unchangeable God instead of the children of mortal men.”

How did it all come about? How did this curiously convoluted religion, rooted as it is in both the mystery-cults of Asia and orthodox Judaism, evolve into the slave of dogmatism and the tyrant of souls?

By the time Justin Martyr was a young man—A.D. 130 to 135—the New Covenant, with a martyred, Hellenized Jew having been accepted by that time as a Jesus or “savior” and a Christ or “anointed one,” had spread widely enough among Gentiles to attract the attention of Greek and Roman mystics. Over the following decades, scholastic theologians from Clement to Tertullian joined in the movement with Justin. They were not the best educated men outside of their theological studies. Augustine, who came later, best exemplifies the type of thinker who engineered the Catholic revolution. He had a profound contempt for all educational traditions. And his cynical epigram, “*Inter faeces et urinas nascimur*,” was obviously the product of one who had developed an exaggerated doctrine of total depravity.

In light of the fact that the Founding Fathers of Catholicism were transcendentalism-intensive creatures who regarded all the things of earth

as vile in comparison with the priceless treasures of heaven, it isn’t difficult to understand why their spiritual heirs delivered over without hesitation to dungeons, flames and slaughter, a far greater part of the human species than any other religion; and why Christianity prevailed to produce such a “melancholy record of human error and folly.”

The eventual success of Catholic Christendom can be accounted for only when we consider the intense longing on the part of the people, and in particular the illiterate masses, for a heroic figure more divine than human to take the darkness from their eyes and lead them to salvation. *Marana Tha*, “Our Lord Come”—not “Our Lord has come”—was the watchword of the day. It was a common occurrence during that era to apply the term Son of God to the hero-prince of Graeco-Roman mythology. To the Jews, Sons of God was simply an idiom conveying nothing more than the truth of the spirituality of man. As a mythical conception, Son of Man, on the other hand, originated in those Gentile religions in which the protagonist was in some sense “the second man.” Among the Phrygian Ophites, “A Man and a Son of Man” were said to be the origin of all subsequent things; and in the Avestic literature of Persia, Gayomort the son was the pattern and the source of the whole human race. When the Catholic authors of the New Testament attributed these words to Jesus, “The Son of Man has no place to lay his head,” they were describing their Messiah in the Phrygian sense of his being the Son of the Creator of all things, or God.

The all-important issues confronting the Gentile Christ-folk once they decided to transplant the New Messianism into the Graeco-Roman world were: 1) whether he was to be perceived as a man who became human; 2) how to provide both prophetic and miraculous confirmations for the existence of the first Jewish savior-god; and 3) how the moral and spiritual worth of their savior could be supported by great moral apothegms.

The task they set for themselves was a far-ranging project calling for the nearly endless borrowing of ideas and rites from other religions, the rearrangement and fertilization of myths, the divorcing of the new religion from that of the Jews to as great a degree as possible while retaining the Jewish God, the laws supposedly given to Moses on the holy mount, and the writings of the prophets. Rather than fabricating just another virgin-born Tom, Dick or Harry, their dedicated purpose was to create a savior-god in the greatest measure of them all—a “true” Son of God who would save mankind. An effective reflection of sublimity would emanate from *The Christian hell, it seems, is always just around the corner from the Christian heaven.

THEIR Christ. Every aspect of HIS divinity would be validated by the holy writings of the ancients. HE would be the Mystical Body of the new Church. HE would be the eternal vine—his worshipers the branches.

The methodology they adopted was both creative and ruthless. In time they began to master the art of molding words and ideas—Savior, Epiphany, Illumination, Mysteries, the most sacred Heart of our Lord Jesus Christ, Logos, etc.—to suit Christian dogma. The Logos is of cardinal interest because some scholars content that the notion was the proper formula for uniting the Christian religion with Greek thought.

“Logos” was coined by Plato to describe possibly both the thought and the will of God—although the word has no single equivalent in modern language. Defining the genealogy of the new savior-god involved the Logos Christologists who regarded Jesus as the eternal Logos or Word of God, a pre-existent god who became human, and the Adoptionists who claimed that Jesus was first a mortal man. After a raging controversy, the first group prevailed. Jesus was assimilated to the Greek Logos; and from that point on, the Christians identified the Logos with the Son of God: “In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God...And the Word was made flesh, and dwelt among us.”

In their attempts to bring the fabled Jesus and the cosmic Christ into a single personality at once historical and raised above time, the mortal Savior had to be shoved aside and virtually ignored. So little about the biblical Jesus—his appearance, his brothers and sisters, and so on—is included in the Gospel, that his “life” might have been sketched in as an afterthought. The “Jesus” of history was far less important to the builders and shapers of the new cult than the “Christ” of dogma. Nor could Jesus be the mere son of a *man* and a woman.

By the end of the 2nd century, however, that decision had still not been made. The manual of church ordinances known as the *Didache* (the word is Greek, and it means “teaching”) had appeared by that time with its strong emphasis upon the Davidic line of descent leading from David to Joseph to Jesus: “We give thanks unto thee, O our Father, for the holy vine of thy servant David which thou hast made known to us by thy servant.” Notice that the title *servant* is applied to both David and Jesus. To the Jews, their Messiah, “born of the seed of David according to the flesh,” would have been the *Servant* rather than the *Son* of God; for such was the designation of the “men of Yahweh.” And some of the spurious writings attributed to Saul stated that even though Jesus was the son of Joseph, he had been declared the Son of God “through the Spirit of Holiness.” It seems evident that an *evangelium infantiae* wasn’t considered to be a necessary part of the early development of Catholicism. A Sinaitic

Syriac manuscript discovered in 1894 stated simply that “Joseph begat Jesus.”

But more astute Catholics were soon to realize that if Jesus was to be the exclusive Son of God, he could *not* be the son of Joseph. “Is not this the carpenter, the son of Mary?” they eventually wrote in the Gospel—thus specifying the mother to the exclusion of the father. And in *Matthew* we find this exclusionary form of expression: “And Jacob begat Joseph, the husband of Mary, and of her was born Jesus called the Christ”—the implication being that Joseph was only the *apparent* father of the child of Mary. The conclusion that a savior-god born not of the blood, nor of the will of the flesh, nor of the will of man, but of God alone, was far more significant than a messiah cast in the limited Jewish image, meant that a different god and an entirely different messianic system would have to be created. The new god *had* to be a savior. The new religion *had* to offer salvation. Only if the man Jesus died and was then resurrected could he become the supernatural, divinely glorious judge. Only in that way could his death be made acceptable.

The first step in the creation of the Christ was to assimilate Jesus to the sun-gods of Asia. Only then could the resurrection of the Christ become a central part of the faith. Then, like Mithra, he could be entombed, and the circulation of the story of the empty grave or tomb could then become a logical part of the legend. For the day of his death they chose a time when a partial eclipse of the sun had occurred. And soon, Mary (Myrrh, Maia, Maya, Maira, all the same as *mare*, meaning the sea)—the mother of numerous other gods—became the virgin mother of Jesus. By now, the movement from the Hellenic ethics in which there were *no* sacraments, ceremonies, sin-offerings or guilt, to a faith which redeemed man from his slavery to sin by an obscene and bloody sacrifice, was well on its way.

Of the many mystery-religions, Isis and the Magna Mater (the Great Mother, Cybele, whose Phrygian cult was introduced in Rome in 204 B.C.), were most influential on the Catholic creed. They all had characteristics in common: a purification rite of initiation, a communion with the deity, a look to the future life, a foundation dealing with a god’s life, death and coming back to life; the mourning of the dying god; the celebration of resurrection; the glorification and exalting of the god; the promise of personal salvation through sacraments; and the triumph of the God following suffering and death.

The Catholics even borrowed from the Jewish Sibylline Oracles, the works of the legendary prophetesses who tried to foretell events for their illiterate and superstitious followers. In particular, the Christ-folk were

impressed with the prophetic declarations that "he shall satisfy five thousand men in the wilderness with two fishes" and "he shall raise the dead." And thus it was that Jesus had to raise the dead and feed a multitude with fish. Then they learned about the legendary Babylonian Ebani, a wild and shaggy man who lived in the wilderness while preaching that the Kingdom of God was near. One day, he entered a boat with his disciples and a storm developed and, miracle of miracles, he stilled the waters. So Jesus had to be placed in a situation where he could still the waters when they became rough.

Like the other savior-gods, the Jesus of legend had to rise from the grave and ascent to heaven. (Among the Egyptians the ascension of Osiris was called the *passion*.) Like Attis, Dionysus, and Mithra, Jesus had to rise on the third day. Like Osiris, Adonis, and Attis, Jesus predestined, prepared, and assured the salvation of those who became partakers in his *passion*. And, naturally, Jesus had to measure up to the Greek god Poseidon and the divinized Buddha who walked on water.

Catholicism owes to the mystery-cults its ideas of secrecy, symbolism, mystical brotherhood, sacramental grace, the three stages of the spiritual life, and more. From Buddhism, for example, came these observances: monasticism, the tonsure, the use of beads, confession, penance, and absolution, relic worship and miracles wrought by the church, the purchase of salvation by gifts to the church, and the pilgrimage to sacred places. Various other so-called pagan rites, symbols, and conceptions were adopted by the church, including the form of her sacraments and the periods of her fasts and festivals.

One of the bottom lines to this inquiry encompasses the actuality that the Christ-cult *did not develop as a single religion, or as the result of one, or even a few men*. It became a product of the ages and a complex of many religions through the intermingling of Persian, Greek, and other doctrines, with the Mosaic elements being attached to, but not well-blended with, the myths of the Aryan and Oriental peoples. The result: a chosen Jesus, or savior, was transformed into a solar deity like Ra, the Egyptian god, and like Mithra, the Persian deity buried in a rock tomb, and like countless other savior heroes all wrapped up in one. Even the language attributed to Saul, but written long after his death, reveals a strong similarity between Christian sacraments and the terminology used in the worship of Dionysus and Mithra: "Oh mysteries truly holy...the Lord is the hierophant and seals the mystes for himself, himself the photogogue." For these reasons, it is safe to assume that there wasn't a great deal of controversy on the part of those individuals among the masses who switched their faithful allegiance from one savior to another. In Antioch, for instance, where the identifying term

Christian was first used, the people regularly celebrated the death and resurrection of their savior-god, Adonis. Once they converted to the new cult, they didn't lose their old customs, they merely transformed them to Jesus called the Christ.

The second step in the development of Christism involved the ferretting out of distinctive words and statements expressing or implying prophetic and miraculous vindications of the new faith in the holy writings of the Israelites. The Catholic Doctors did it with the aid of the only basically Jewish "Old Testament" available to them, the *Septuagint*. Once the Gentiles accepted the Jewishness of Joshua, they discovered, as if by miracle, the "Version of the 70"—a kind of spiritual anatomy of Jewish faith, observance, culture and nation—a wealth of "inspired" Scripture that could be used to prove that their new Christ had the spiritual power and fitness to be chosen as the Son of God. And, lo and behold, it was written in the popular Greek language. Regardless of the degree of Old Testament accuracy or lack of same within the *Septuagint*—depending upon which critics are considered—it is important to recognize that the Septuagint was a treasure-trove of inestimable value to the Catholics for the singular reason that they were able to "fulfill" their theological legends through the duplicitous use of the holy writings of the Israelites.

Legend has it that King Ptolemy II of Egypt, in response to a suggestion by Demetrius Phalareus, ordered each of 70 Greek-speaking rabbis to make, on his own, a full Greek translation of the available Scriptures of the Israelites in exactly 72 days. The manuscripts would then be compared with the hope that they would all be exactly alike, showing therefore, God's approval or miraculous assistance in the project. Obviously, they wound up with 70 garbled and variant versions of Holy Writ, since at least 250 years passed before the powers-that-were finally put the stamp of approval on the most "inspired version, which was accepted near the beginning of the Common Era. By the 2nd century, a number of laboriously transcribed copies were probably available to the Gentiles.

In the Old Testament, prophecies are made according to events. In the New Testament, events occur according to prophecy. It was a common practice then to tailor ancient writings to fit the emotions of the day, even if a great deal of "culpable temerity"—to use Origen's words—had to be employed in translating and/or interpreting the writings in question. The *modus operandi* of the Catholics was to provide the new messianic faith with a historical background on a prophetic warrant by claiming for their very own every *Septuagint* passage that might be interpreted in a "revealing" way that would vindicate and illustrate the object of their faith.

For this reason, the words, "that the Scriptures might be fulfilled," are often repeated in the New Testament.

Hand in hand with the creation of a NEW Testament—designed primarily for the purpose of proving their Christ was the same Davidic Messiah whose coming had been predicted in the Jewish Bible—the Christians began to forge their own OLD Testament, a "Christianized" version of the *Septuagint*, with the books aligned in exactly the same order—no coincidence, to be sure. Over hundreds of years, the Catholics fabricated, forged, edited and molded their very own HOLY BIBLE, with the Christ being the bridge linking one testament to the other, until it reflected the entire package of Christian dogma.

The Higher Critics who have studied both Judaism and Christianity have concluded that Catholicism could *never* be supported by straight-forward interpretations of the Jewish holy writings. Because the original Old Testament was essentially a Jewish book, any and all attempts to find in it a series of prophecies foretelling the coming of Christendom were radically wrong. The Jewish Bible never contained anything even remotely resembling the appearance upon earth of such a personality as a savior-god born of a virgin, for instance. In fact, the concept of a savior was so little Jewish that even the word was unknown to the Israelites; and the word messiah as used in Jewish Scriptures did not have the supernatural significance which it came to possess when used by Christians to describe their Christ. Only by means of forgery, misinterpretation and clever allegorization could orthodox Judaism be used to validate the existence of Gentile Catholicism. Only through duplicity could Psalm 22, for example, become the fountainhead of the Christian legend.

To create a "One Lord Jesus Christ, the Son of God, God of God, Light of Light, very God of very God, begotten, not made, being of one substance with the Father," the Christ-folk rewrote the Scriptures of the Jews so that the Christ could be called "the creator even of themselves who spoke to Him"....so that he could be "David's Lord, as being Lord of all"....so that he could be called at one time "the Angel of great counsel," and "a Man" by Ezekiel, and "like the Son of Man" by Daniel, and "a child born of a virgin" by Isaiah (rather than born of a "young woman" as originally written), and "Christ and God to be worshiped by David." They rewrote Isaiah even further for the purpose of describing the Coming One as having been "wounded" and "bruised" for the transgressions of Israel. By having to share "his grave" with the wicked and "his death" with the rich, Jesus is subjected to the same degradations as the savior-gods who preceded him. But the true Servant of Yahweh with the divine spirit upon

him *could never have been bruised or degraded*; nor would have been *chosen as a scapegoat for the sins of both Jews and Gentiles*.

One of the more significant Jewish texts purloined by the Catholics was the Moses' statement, "God will raise up for you a prophet from among your brethren..." Foreverafter, Jesus the Christ was proclaimed as the prophet whose coming was predicted by Moses. Moreover, it didn't matter to the ruthless Catholics that when the prophets personalized their appeals to Yahweh, they were always speaking of *all* Jews, not *one*, and certainly not a messiah. "Redeem Israel, O God, out of all *his* troubles" (Emphasis added.) "My God, my God, why hast thou forsaken me?"—meaning why have you forsaken Israel? "O Yahweh, how long shall I cry, and thou wilt not hear?"—meaning that Israel is crying out to Yahweh. "Out of Egypt have I called my son," wrote Hosea. But Hosea meant that Israel was the son being called from Egypt. "Thou art my son [meaning Israel]; this day have I begotten thee." "O ye sons of men, how long will ye turn my glory into shame?" was not David's cry; it was Israel's cry. No! Nothing mattered to the Catholic Christians as they appropriated and twisted any and all Jewish texts that appealed to them as grist for the "prophecy" mill.

The light shining on Jesus, the interpreting of Shiloh to mean the Christian Lord, the scepter rising out of Israel, the betrayal of a familiar friend, the offering of a pain-killing drink ("they made me eat gall..."), the curing of the sick, the cries in the wilderness, the parting of garments among them, the piercing of the hands and feet, the desolation of the high places, the circumcision of Abraham (supposedly looking forward in spirit to the coming of Jesus), are choice examples of the many concepts taken from writings of the Jews never intended as support for anything outside of their own totally obsessive religion, and twisted and corrupted by Catholics in a fanciful effort to fulfill everything that they could conceive of being a "prophecy." And then they had the mind-boggling gall to not only call *their* savior-god the son of the Jewish Yahweh, they salted the wound with the declaration that Israel had been abandoned by the Jewish God.*

Within the boundaries of their NEW Testament, the Catholics emphasized that the words and actions were commanded by God: "The spirit of the Lord spake unto me..." They implied that what others had said or done was similarly commanded: "The words which the Lord of hosts hath *Israelites were the Chosen People in the Old Testament; but their special status ceased after Christ began his ministry. From then on, God's Chosen People are those who believe in Christ." Thus speaks the invincibly ignorant Christian, blissfully unaware of the fact that he is spitting in the face of Judaism.

sent by his Spirit by the former prophets..." They had the Apostle Peter set forth the full inspiration of the Jewish-inspired Scripture and definitely assert that it was the direct word of God, or the Holy Spirit. They placed into the mouth of Jesus the claim that the Pentateuch was the very word pronounced by God himself; and they claimed that the Holy Spirit's assistance in recalling the words God spoke was guaranteed to the disciples of Jesus, enabling them to say that the statements were not to be received as the words of men, but as the words of God himself—that they had been taken up by *his* Holy Spirit and brought by *his* power to the goal of *his* choosing. The expression "it is written" introduces between 80 to 90 quotations in the New Testament; and many quotations from the Old Testament are introduced as having been spoken through the prophets. For the purpose of repudiating the significance of mortal men, they placed these potent words into the mouth of Saul: "That your faith should not stand in the wisdom of men, but in the power of God." And then they enunciated the "gigantesque" claim that the prophetic element in *their* Bible—so obviously fraudulent—was *unique* in that the Bible itself "made the fulfillment of prophecy the test and proof of its own validity." No other word to which the inscription of "sacred literature" is given, they claimed, "makes, or could make, this claim."

In other words, via the process of underwriting their Graeco-Roman creed with Judean theology, the Catholic Christians raised theological obfuscation to the level of an art form.

The concluding step in the creation of Christism involved the adoption of the moral precepts of the wisest thinkers of Western Asia and beyond to be blended into the legend of Jesus the Christ. There isn't a single teaching in the Gospel that cannot be paralleled practically word for word in the ethical literature of Greeks, Romans, Hindus, Jews, and others.

The passage in *Matthew* 5:28 ("Whosoever looketh on a woman to lust after her hath committed adultery with her already in his heart..."), was taken almost verbatim from one of the treatises of the Talmud called *Challah*. In the little Jewish book called the *Sotah*, the Catholics found the worst words about the son turning against the father, the daughter against the mother, and the daughter-in-law against the mother-in-law in the time

* The patent use of Jewish propositions to support non-Jewish doctrines backfired when a Bishop tried to influence the King of the Khazars to convert to Christianity. Rejecting both Christism and Islam, the Khazars converted to Judaism around A.D. 740. The common denominator shared by the three faiths; the Old Testament, which originated with Judaism, indicated to them that the Jews were "nearer

of the Messiah, "when a man's foes shall be they of his own household." Hence, in *Matthew*, those terrible words about hating one's relatives are placed in the mouth of Jesus.

In other parts of the contemplative forest, Lao Tse, Buddha, Socrates and Pittacus had been teaching that good must be returned for evil; the Jews said, "Cast not your pearls before swine..." first; "He who would do injury to another has already done it," declared Seneca; "The Gods regard with delight the man who when struck does not strike back," says a Hindu proverb—and, lo and behold, Jesus repeats them all. Two hundred years before the Common Era, Tiberius Gracchus said that the foxes had holes and the birds had nests but man had no place to lay his head. So the Christians give the words to Jesus. As if it was his, they have Jesus repeat the old Jewish maxim about those who exalt themselves being humbled, and those who humble themselves being exalted. From Seneca, Plato, Buddha, even from the Sibyls, the Christians purloined words and ideas and used them to provide their fabricated Jesus with ethical and speculative credence.

The Christian Establishment denies the issue of plagiarism by claiming that the disciples and their proselytes would not have been capable of "inventing the remarkable statements of Jesus, which are so obviously stamped with personal originality combined with profundity of thought." The evidence indicates, however, that the redactors had no intention of *inventing* anything. They *confiscated*, instead, the wisdom of philosophers both ancient (such as Buddha), and contemporary with the genesis of the Common Era (such as Hillel and Seneca).

Throughout the evolution of the Catholic system *antiomianism*, the doctrine that a state of spiritual perfection exempted men from the obligations of the moral law, circulated unchecked within the "Mystical Body of Christ." With a scarcity of fair dealing and truthfulness that would shock an unregenerate cynic, the Christians became adept at ignoring truth or misinterpreting it to fit the latest dogma. This plethora of duplicity led the deliberate and completely fraudulent creation of the literature of the Church.

The golden age of Christian deceit began in the 2nd century and continued well into the Middle Ages. Flying in the face of Job's words, "God does not need our lies," the Catholic Doctors of theology professed to believe that it was an act of virtue to deceive and lie, when by that means the interests of religion might be promoted. Eusebius, for example, freely avowed that he would omit whatever might tend to the discredit of the Church and magnify whatever might contribute to her glory.

"It is not we who take our opinions from others," argued the Christians in response to critics, "but they who take theirs from us." The "deceiving serpent," they claimed, had counterfeited Catholic practices in the mysteries of Mithra, Osiris, Buddha, *et al*, religions that antedated Christianity—some of them by centuries. Some Christians even presumed to declare that Greek philosophy was derived from what they called Hebrew wisdom, and they supported their thesis with spurious quotations from ancient Greek poetry.

To be sure, nothing in this grand procession of orthodoxy occurred quickly. Catholicism developed slowly, evolving by minute steps toward the completion of the basic Catholic foundation with its emphasis on predestination, original sin, the trinity, the incarnation, the sacrifice by God of a Son for the sins of mankind, and the resurrection. Because the Catholic cult speedily divided into quarreling sects—nearly 90 by Augustine's time—every step of the way was marked by double-crossing, dissembling, and at times bloodshed, activities so ferocious that the emperor Julian was moved to describe theologians divided by dogma or opinion as being worse than savage beasts. For hundreds of years to follow the Catholics continued to edit, expand and fine-tune the results of their labors, in addition to squabbling over the settling of such dogmatic "innovations" as purgatory, indulgences, limbo (*limbus infantium*), the immaculate conception, the ascent of the Virgin Mary into heaven, and the *modus operandi* to be employed by inquisitors during the questioning and torturing of witches, heretics, and heathens.

Catholicism began with the conviction that "all kingdoms of the world and the glory of them" were the proper possession of Satan; but as soon as it appeared that the Emperor Constantine was on the brink of becoming a Christian, the hierarchy quickly came to the realization that the Roman idea of the State—government and religion should be one—was not an altogether bad thing. Not surprisingly, the Christian historian Eusebius described Constantine as a man "adorned with every virtue of religion." On the other hand, Constantine, whom some historians call willful, voluptuous and self-conceited, may have realized better than most that religion is the only conceivable sanction of despotic power; ergo, he embraced Christianity for the sole purpose of enhancing his *own* power. In any event, it didn't take him long to conclude that additional benefits could be accrued from his cozy relationship with the new theocracy. He and his successors were subsequently *divinized* by the Senate; and if with the Emperor Gratian the custom ceased, the Christian emperors were for some time afterward still spoken of as gods.

In the final analysis, the "apostolic faith" that eventually came to be known as Christianity was not the religion of Philo and his students, or of Stephen and Saul and their disciples. Instead, everything that had been achieved during the 1st century was swallowed up by the incomprehensible mysteries of Catholicism—the Christ of the Apostle's Creed, "the only Son of God the Father Almighty"...the Christ of the Nicene Creed, "Very God of Very God, being of one substance with the Father"...and the Christ of the so-called Athanasian Creed, "at once perfect God and perfect Man"—with the long dead prophet of the 1st century having been transformed into the Alexandrian Christ. What was achieved was not the gathering of the Gentile world into the religious/ethical world of Hellenistic Judaism, but an overthrow of the very basis of that Gospel, with the word *faith* coming to be transferred from simple trust in one good and loving God to the acceptance of a series of complex propositions in abstract metaphysics that led to the virtual elimination of creative mental effort, the complete subordination of intellectualism to the dogmas and institutions of religion, a rigid fixity of institutional character, and a deadening imposition of external authority on the consciousness of men.

Once their savior-god had been created, the Catholic Christians recognized him as the divine head of their mystery-cult and themselves as his initiates and God's chosen people. The word Christ was turned into a proper name with Jesus *the* Christ becoming Jesus Christ. Many minds working under an obsessive religious impulse created the legend of the pre-existent god who descended from heaven in order to become a sacrificial goat for humanity.

Christianity succeeded because out of the man sacrificed for an idea, it created the ideology of a willing self-sacrifice of one who dies for all others and for that reason alone is himself immortalized. In following this tortuous god-building path, the Christ-folk reduced the esoteric, poetic myth that developed around the original messianic legend to dogma. They debased it to the level of common understanding until it became only another superstition—and they did it, as French historian and critic Ernest Renan describes the process, "with mere baggage brought from the pagan mysteries."

From a great feast of religions—in other words—the Christians stole scraps.

HISTORICAL COMMENTARY

CARPENTER, E.: The Nicene Creed had nothing to propound except some extremely futile speculations about the relation to each other of the *Religious myths are conceits, born of metaphors. They are produced by poets and corrupted by power-hungry theologians. 'Twas ever thus.

Father and the Son, and the relation of both to the Holy Ghost, and of all three to the Virgin Mary—speculations which only served for the renewal of shameful strife and animosities..."

CARPENTER, J.E.: "At the opening of the 3rd century Christianity stands at the parting of the ways...the two great sacraments, Baptism and the Eucharist, were soon set in the light of the mystery-cults of Greece, Egypt, and the East, and the sanctity of an exclusive priesthood gradually enveloped in them."

CELSUS, AULUS CORNELIUS: "Let no educated man enter, no wise man, no prudent man, for such things we deem evil; but whoever is ignorant...unintelligent...uneducated...simple, let him come to Christianity and be welcome."

COBB, J.H.: "The Catholic scholar begins with Scripture and tradition, the total deposit of the faith as, and only as, this is officially interpreted by the living *magisterium* of the Church...when the Catholic scholar writes about Jesus, messianic prophecy, and the doctrines expressed in the various New Testament books, he is controlled at every point by loyalty to the teaching Church."

CUMONT, FRANZ: "During the first five centuries Christians felt an unconquerable repugnance to the representation of the Savior of the world nailed to an instrument of punishment."

DREWS, ARTHUR A.: "It is little wonder that the Fathers, from the 2nd century, saw in Mithra the most formidable foe of Christianity. Indeed, the resemblance between the two religions...were very striking."

ELLIS, HAVELOCK: "The ascetics, those erratic and abnormal examples of the variational tendency...knew that every natural impulse of a woman is the condemnation of ascetism. All true lovers of the artificial and perverse find women repulsive..."

GOGUEL, M.: "The Hebrew text has a word which signifies 'young woman' and not virgin. It has no relation whatever to the Messiah. The prophecy of Isaiah relates to the deliverance of Jerusalem besieged by the king of Syria."

HATCH, E.: "Prophesying died when the Catholic Church was formed. The voice of the prophet had ceased, and the voice of the preacher had begun...when one generation was bound to accept the symbolical interpretations of its predecessors..."

MANSON, T.W.: "The 80 or 90 sects into which Christianity speedily divided, hated one another with an intensity that provoked the wonder of Julian and the ridicule of the Pagans."

MILL, JOHN STUART: "It is one of the most tragical facts of all history that Constantine, rather than Marcus Aurelius, was the first Christian emperor. It is a bitter thought how different the Christianity of the world might have been, had it been adopted as the religion of the empire under the auspices of Aurelius..."

MOORE, G.F.: "Christians found the distinctive doctrines of Christianity expressed or implied in all parts of the Old Testament. With the weapon of allegorical exegesis in her hands, the Church was able to defend the Old Testament, and to read into it whatever she judged to be 'spiritual' truth."

MURRAY, GILBERT: "The Gnostic redeemer has various names which the name of Jesus or 'Christos', 'the Anointed', tends gradually to supersede...in some sense Man, or 'the second Man' or 'the Son of Man'...He is the real, the ultimate, the perfect and eternal Man, of whom all men are feeble copies."

PFLIEDERER, O.: "Not because the heathen were irreligious, but because they were so incurably and tenaciously religious, Catholic propagandists actually made little headway with them at first."

REYNOLDS, REGINALD: "In its first embraces with Roman civilization, the Christian Church would appear to have done little to preserve, and a great deal to undermine, the standards of cleanliness among the people. Thus we read of the Blessed St. Jerome rebuking the dames who followed him *for too much washing*."

ROBERTSON, J.M.: "It was the insistence on the imminent end of the world, the preaching of celibacy, the disparagement of earthly dignitaries, the vehement assault on the standing cults of the State, no less than the refusal to sacrifice to the emperor's statue, that had so long made Christianity seem the natural enemy of all civil government."

SILVER, ABBA: "The major effect of most of the New Testament writers was to 'Christianize' the Bible, and the Rabbis were constantly engaged in opposing their views."

SMITH, G.B.: "When One recalls the innumerable stories of sacred dramas, serious or comic, narrated in *The Golden Bough*, with the killing of their kings of masquerade, or the mysteries of Osiris, one asks by what somber coincidence the execution of Jesus repeats most of the features of those terrible festivals..."

SMITH, ROBERTSON: "In almost every case, the myth was derived from the ritual, and not the ritual from the myth."

TAYLOR, HENRY OSBORN: "The Fathers of the Church were accustomed to a historical tradition and practice in which facts were presented so as to conduce worthy ends."

Ibid.: "Whether a Church which stands convicted of having forged its Creed would have any scruples of forging its Gospels, is a problem that the reader will solve according to the influence of prejudice or probability on his mind."

TENANT, F. ROBERT: "Christians were not persecuted because of their creed, but because of their universal claims...With sublime audacity the followers of Jesus proclaimed that Christ must be all and in all...emblazoned on its banners its loathing and disdain for all the cults around."

TERTULLIAN (Roman theologian—*circa* 150 to 230): "I believe because it is unbelievable...the Son of God died; it is by all means to be believed because it is absurd. And He was buried and rose again; the fact is certain because it is impossible."

THE LATE GREAT BOOK:

THE BIBLE

AN ACCOUNT OF
CHRISTIAN AND BIBLICAL ORIGINS.

Nicholas Carter feels that it is time for us to sit down and do what many Christians rarely do: Study the Holy Bible. In doing so, we may discover whether or not the Bible is indeed "Holy" and the "Word of God." In strict biblical order, the author methodically, and scathingly, examines the various claims made in the "Holy Book" and shows how many of them bear no relationship to reality whatsoever. For your copy of *The Late Great Book: The Bible* (Order No. 12006) send \$8.00 + \$1.50 for postage to:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS
P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

THOSE WHO WILL NOT READ
HAVE NO ADVANTAGE
OVER THOSE
WHO CANNOT READ!

WAR ON A RACIAL BASIS

By
Ivor Benson

This article was first published in the September 1990 issue of *Behind the News*, obtainable from P.O. Box 1564, Krugersdorp 1740, South Africa, and is reprinted here from *Spearhead* No. 260, October 1990.

THE TRIAL of Ernest Saunders, Gerald Ronson, Anthony Parnes and Sir Jack Lyons on various charges in connection with the Guinness company takeover of the Scottish Distillers Corporation momentarily lifted the lid on what we have in this service down through the years described as a 'criminal overworld,' corresponding in many ways to a widely publicized criminal underworld.

Momentarily revealed to a startled British public was a weird combination of high finance, politics, corruption and philanthropy, but few who read the newspapers or watched the television would have realized that the Guinness case was only a small portion of a vastly greater drama involving giants of business and high finance on both sides of the Atlantic, nor would they have understood what bearing all this has on national and international politics.

What we have witnessed is a tumble of the mighty on an Olympian scale, all brought about by one of them, the New York wheeler-dealer Ivan Boesky, who over-reached himself on Wall Street and then ratted on the others, for which he was rewarded with a sentence which, for him, was a virtual let-off—namely three years of 'soft' imprisonment and a \$100 million disgorging of ill-gotten gains.

The nearest any British national newspaper came to an explanation of it all was a short piece in the *Sunday Telegraph* of October 18th 1987, when that paper's assistant editor Graham Patterson drew attention to what he called "a racial element in the takeover battle for Distillers." "This

greatest of all Scottish companies," he said, "—owner of such quintessentially Scottish brands as Johnnie Walker, White Horse and Haig whiskies—was fought over on a racial basis."

One fact ignored by all the media but discussed in every City wine bar, Pall Mall club, etc., said Patterson, was that the accused were Jewish.

So what does it all mean? Those who are able to put together all the pieces of a giant jigsaw puzzle, and not only those directly connected with the Guinness case, find themselves in front of a grand tableau for which these words would be a suitable title: "War: the Jewish Nation versus the Rest."

'UNDECLARED WAR'

Pieces which were hitherto inexplicable are then suddenly found to make perfectly good sense. It explains first of all why the 'baddies' on both sides of the Atlantic were all Jewish and, almost to a man, fabulously wealthy. It also explains the fact that all the giants of business and high finance involved in the recent scandals in Britain and America were huge donors to Jewish charitable and other causes and all enthusiastic supporters of the state of Israel.

But the multi-millionaires Ronson and Lyons were also massive supporters of certain non-Jewish causes. Ronson was a leading light on the advisory council of the Prince of Wales's charitable organization Youth Business Trust, a trustee of the Natural History Museum, etc., and Lyons was for many years much in the public eye as a supporter of the London Symphony Orchestra, the Royal College of Music, the Shakespeare Birthplace Trust, etc.

How could those who have done so much good also be guilty of doing so much harm?

The apparent contradiction vanishes as we consult the big picture of what has been going on, and will no doubt continue to go on, for we see with the utmost clarity how 'good works' can be used to mask consciously evil or hostile intentions.

Where a state of war exists, whether declared or undeclared, a dual moral code is inevitably practised, a code

which draws the clearest distinction between 'we' and 'they', amity, co-operation and benevolence among 'us' and enmity towards 'them.'

In such a war, conducted almost exclusively in the realm of the mind, concealment and deception are factors of major importance; and it goes without saying that easy access to those most prominent and influential among 'them'—Prince Charles, Margaret Thatcher, etc.—and universal acceptance as 'friends', confer an enormous secret advantage on 'us', the Jews.

But is it true that a state of war exists? Is it not possible that the word 'war' should be understood rather in a metaphorical sense, as when such words are used by the sports writers?

Part of the answer to such questions will be found in a report in the April 7th issue of the London *Jewish Chronicle* which began as follows:

Following one of the largest investigations in the history of the US has brought charges against the man considered an architect of the last decade's countrywide takeover craze.

The man concerned was 42-year-old Michael Milken, a Los Angeles investment banker and reputed to be one of America's richest men, who had been charged with illegal stock manipulations and irregularities in connection with his work as head of the so-called 'junk bonds' department of Drexel Burnham Lambert, one of the nation's top investment banking houses.

What happened to Saunders, Ronson, Parnes and Lyons in Britain is only part of the huge chain-reaction of consequences produced by the downfall of Milken.

The *Jewish Chronicle* reminds its readers that Milken and others involved in the junk bond scandal were munificent contributors to Jewish charitable and other causes, and the paper's New York correspondent sums up as follows:

It may be, however, that Mr. Milken's greatest impact on the Jewish community life is not through his gifts *but through his corporate raiding*. Only 15 years ago sociologists reported that the ownership of major US corporations was largely restricted to a small hereditary class of White Protestants. Now, *largely as a result of corporate raiding*, some of the most powerful names in the US business world are Jews, many of them graduates of Mr.

Milken's seminars. Moreover, unlike earlier generations which have made good, the Milken generation has maintained—and even increased—its public identification with Judaism. (Emphasis added.)

What is this if not war? What does it mean if not that 'we, the Jews' have succeeded by evil means, legitimized by a state of war, in dislodging from positions of power many of 'them, the White Protestant Christians'?—those Protestant Christians being, of course, the heirs of the pioneering families, now largely defenseless against cunningly contrived 'combined operations' in the realm of high finance.

Viewed in this context, the 'good works' of big operators like Ronson and Jack Lyons acquire a meaning very different from that which gets through to the public and to leaders like Prince Charles, Margaret Thatcher and the Queen, and through them to innumerable others immediately below in the echelons of power and influence.

This simple fact of power politics was demonstrated in the Guinness case by the personal letter which Lyons wrote to Prime Minister Mrs. Thatcher and was reckoned by Saunders and his associates to be worth every penny of three-million pounds.

Sir Jack's cunningly worded letter, asking only for 'even-handed' treatment of the Guinness request to be allowed to put in another bid for Distillers, placed Mrs. Thatcher in an invidious situation. The reply her 'friend' should have got was a note from her private secretary informing him that the Prime Minister fully trusted her Department of Trade and Industry to be even-handed in its dealings with Guinness. Knowing, however, what enormous power hovered behind Sir Jack, she answered quite differently, as any realistic politician would feel bound to do: she asked if she could refer his letter to an official of the department, whom she named. Whatever happened thereafter, Sir Jack's letter was considered cheap at the price, for the Guinness bid was *not* referred to the Monopolies Commission, as had been feared.

There we see how political leaders are compromised. At Lyons's invitation—in the circumstances almost a com-

mand—Mrs. Thatcher also attended a lunch at the offices of Bain & Co., the firm of management consultants that was actively involved in the Guinness takeover bid, another guest so honored by the mighty being Jeffrey Archer, the novelist and former deputy chairman of the Conservative Party.

In fact, Britain's Prime Minister, like political leaders *in all western countries*, finds it expedient, on a more or less regular basis, to make genuflections towards a great power outside and above party politics. For example, quite recently she traveled to Israel to lay a foundation stone at a hospital built by Ronson, who is not only a multi-millionaire in his own right but heads a Jewish foundation which owns some 60 per-cent of the financial empire that goes by his name.

'THE NEW UNHAPPY LORDS'

Those who are aware of such realities were not surprised to learn that less than 48 hours after they had been sentenced Saunders, Ronson and Parnes were moved from Brixton Jail, the worst in Britain, to Ford Prison in West Sussex, the best in the country, more like a health farm, where they will have access to telephones and enjoy many other privileges. Nor was that all; while they were in Brixton Jail they were not required to 'double up' with other inmates in a cell but were placed in a more comfortable hospital wing.

What this uneven-handed treatment signifies is that the executives of British party politics acknowledge that the three men are regarded by their own community and its leaders, not as common criminals but as 'warriors' who have suffered the misfortunes of war and must be treated accordingly.

"A good man goes to jail," says the heading over an article in which the London *Jewish Chronicle* discusses the verdict and sentences in the Guinness trial, great emphasis being placed on what the judge said about Ronson's services 'to the community'.

There is no derogatory reference to any of the accused, and for Ronson nothing but the warmest praise. Nor in two separate pieces about the trial is there any reference to the

essentially criminal character of the offences committed. On the contrary, the laws contravened are referred to as belonging to a 'grey area' of the law.

Language of condemnation and indignation is reserved for *Today* newspaper, which is accused of printing a piece about the trial "which reeks of anti-Semitism." Rupert Murdoch's mass-circulation tabloid had alleged that a 'Kosher Nostra', the Jewish equivalent of the Mafia's 'Cosa Nostra', would support the wives of the Guinness men. The *Today* paper had added: "It was through this close-knit band of Jewish businessmen and financiers that the guilty Guinness quartet carved their paths as captains of industry."

Our comment is that there is far more to it than a 'Kosher Nostra' crime syndicate, as has been explained.

Postscript

- In April this year Boesky was released after completing two-thirds of a three-year sentence, served in the poshest minimum-security prison in California, where he worked in the prison dairy and found leisure time enough to win several tennis trophies on its clay courts.
- Milken comes up for sentence in New York on October 1st. In plea-bargaining, a regular feature of American trial procedure, he has admitted six criminal charges and offered to pay \$600 million in fines and restitution. Meanwhile, the judge has been inundated with letters from the chief executives of major companies singing his praises as a donor to 'good causes'.
- The junk bond exercise can now be seen as one of the major causes of the failure of hundreds of savings and loan banks all over America. In many cases there were collateral deals in which the owner or manager of the bank was richly rewarded for investing at great risk the savings of his clients.
- Like the heirs of almost all the great western pioneering families who launched huge enterprises, the descendants of Arthur Guinness have lost all control over the great company that bears their name. For cosmetic reasons, the head of the family, Lord Iveagh, figures as non-executive president, but all of Arthur's descendants combined—and there are many—now own only 2.5 per-cent of the shares.

WHAT IS A HATE CRIME?

By

Charles E. Weber, Ph.D.

On 3 December 1990 *The Tulsa Tribune* published an editorial by a certain Neal R. Peirces, who purported to be writing in Washington. His article bore the title, "Hate Crimes on the rise." Peirce writes as if "hate crimes" are only those committed by Aryans against members of other races, "blacks, Hispanics, Jews and Arabs," and happily notes that some 40 states "have responded on every front [...] from sophisticated information systems to radically stiffened penalties" and that "prosecutors are moving to throw the book at hate-crime perpetrators."

What is a "hate crime?" When a Negro rapes an Aryan woman or kills an Aryan man defending his home during a burglary, must it be assumed that no racial hatred is involved? The example par excellence of hate crime was the ritual murder of Aryan children by Jews in previous centuries. Jews, in fact, have a long tradition of hatred against their host populations, a hatred manifested in our days by Jewish attacks on the mores and institutions of their host populations by means of corrupting them and by means of insidious denigration in the media which they so largely control, especially television. The wise, gentle, suffering non-Aryan contrasted to the evil, ignorant Aryan has become a standard plot in motion pictures and on television.

I am neither a Skinhead nor a member of the Ku Klux Klan, but out of curiosity and concern I have talked with many Skinheads and Klansmen in order to understand their motivations. Since I am myself a victim of what is designated by the cynical euphemism, "affirmative action," my approach to them was with considerable empathy. Many, but not all, Skinheads

and Klansmen are from families whose breadwinners are (or would have been) employed in industry or who are involved in agriculture or small businesses. Most of them have not had an education beyond the high school level but have a certain healthy astuteness and idealistic desire for the survival of their race.

In recent years they have watched giant corporations create unemployment amongst young Aryan males by employing foreign labor, either by exploiting illegal ("undocumented" is the cynical euphemism) aliens or by actually building production facilities in poor ("developing") countries, especially Mexico. They have also watched governments, both federal and state, encourage hiring practices prejudiced against Aryans.

They have watched a flood of illegal aliens, a flood which the federal authorities could easily stop but seem to tolerate or to make only half-hearted attempts to stop, while military forces that could be employed to patrol our borders are sent all over the world for purposes that are not readily comprehensible or justified in the minds of most Aryan Americans. They have watched the demographic decline of the Aryan proportion of the American population, a decline which is no doubt due to anti-Aryan immigration policies and laws and to homosexuality and abortion. They have suffered from taxation which is largely employed for the benefit of non-Aryans, including generous aid and "loans" to the criminal Jewish state in Palestine and chaotic Negro states in Africa. They have seen public schools corrupted by their use of anti-Aryan indoctrination and by the busing of pupils to schools in which Aryan children are harassed and assaulted by hate-filled Negroes. They have noted the anti-Aryan policies of "their" government, to which they are forced to pay taxes, with regard to South Africa.

It is only natural and indeed healthy that there is a growing feeling of desperation, frustration and anger in the minds and hearts of young Aryans, even if these feelings are sometimes

manifested by acts which are of dubious value to the Aryan cause by being met with ever more repressive measures.

Skinheads and Klansmen typically refer to the federal government by the acronym ZOG (= Zionist Occupation Government) with good reasons, even though with some measure of rhetorical exaggeration. They see "their" representatives in Washington corrupted by huge funds from Zionist organizations, as described in detail by the former member of Congress, Paul Findley, in his important book, *They Dare to Speak Out*, published in 1985. Many Skinheads and Klansmen debate the use of the American flag at their meetings because of a growing feeling that the American flag no longer represents a government dedicated to their welfare and protection. For that reason their rallies are often decorated by the flags of the Confederate States of America or of National Socialist Germany.

Instead of simply condemning the anger of young Aryan Americans and occasional acts that manifest that anger, those in power should seek to understand the reasons for their anger and then act to remedy the conditions which cause it.

SURVIVAL MANUAL FOR THE WHITE RACE

William Gayley Simpson has spent a lifetime of keen observation, careful analysis, and deep reflection developing the principal thesis of his book: that the single, undying purpose of all human activity should be the ennobling of man. In support of this thesis he looks at the foundations of Western Society, at the structure of our government, at the effect of technology and industrialization on man, at the roles of the sexes, at economics, and at race. The book goes to the roots of the problems facing the White Race today, and it shows the ways in which White society must be changed if the race is to survive. *Which Way Western Man?* is an encyclopedic work whose conclusions can be ignored by no one with a sense of responsibility to the future. For your copy of *Which Way Western Man?* send \$17.50 for the softback edition (Order No. 22003) including \$1.50 for postage and handling to: LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA.

**STOP GOVERNMENT FROM DISTORTING
"HATE CRIME" STATISTICS CALL
TOLL-FREE TO**

REPORT HATE CRIMES AGAINST WHITES!

The recently passed National Hate Crime Statistics Act requires the Justice Department to gather statistics concerning crimes, including "verbal harassment" and "intimidation", in which the victims were singled out because of their race, color, national origin, religious affiliation/beliefs, or sexual orientation. One of the co-sponsors of the bill which led to this law, Rep. Coyne of Pennsylvania, while speaking at the University of Pittsburgh earlier this year, openly admitted that he plans to use the statistics collected to press for a federal law which would impose special penalties for "hate crimes."

While common sense indicates that Whites, Christians, and heterosexuals must be, by their overwhelming majority in the population, the most frequent victims of "hate crimes," it appears that crimes against these groups are being ignored in the statistics. The way the law is worded, it requires the Justice Department to collect statistics on *all* "hate crimes," and certainly, given the Constitutional guarantee of equal protection under the law, Congress could not have intended the Hate Crimes Statistics Act to apply only to some groups and not to others. White Americans should therefore help the government gather accurate, balanced and meaningful statistics by calling the Justice Department Community Relations Service toll-free phone number to report any and all hate crimes committed against Whites.

If you have been the victim of verbal harassment which included anti-white remarks because you refused to give a panhandler money or because you are a woman who refused sexual advances; if you have been threatened or attacked by ethnic street gangs because you were a white person on their turf; if your property has been vandalized and you suspect anti-white motivations; if groups of homosexuals intimidate you or make

you afraid to let your children to go alone into public restrooms; call to report it. The toll-free number is 1-800-347-HATE. They may try to discourage you from reporting the crime. Be persistent—the law requires they take your report. They may want you to file a complaint with your local police, but they can hardly insist on this in cases of minor crimes such as verbal harassment. You may, of course, want to report all hate crimes to your local police also, and if they refuse to take your complaint or refuse to include your claim that it was hate inspired, you can call the Justice Department to report police abuse of your rights as a hate crime victim.

**REPORT PAST, CURRENT, AND FUTURE
HATE CRIMES — CALL 1-800-347-HATE**

Law Students for Equal Justice
University of Pittsburgh School of Law
Pittsburgh PA 15260

THE ANTI-HUMANS

by D. Bacu describes what was done to the young men whom Corneliu Z. Codreanu, the founder of the Legionary Movement in Romania, inspired, when seven years after his brutal murder, Romania was delivered to the Bolsheviks. They were subjected to what is the most fully documented Pavlovian experiment on a large number of human beings. It is likely that the same techniques were used on many American prisoners in Korea and Vietnam. *THE ANTI-HUMANS* is a well-written document of great historical and psychological importance. Reading it will be an emotional experience you will not forget. "A sequel to Orwell's 1984" —R.S.H. "A searing expose of Red bestiality!" —Dr. A.J. App). *THE ANTI-HUMANS* 307 pp., hb. Order #01013. Single copy \$7.00, 3 for \$15., 5 for \$20.00. For postage and handling add: On domestic orders, \$1.50 for orders under \$10.00, 15% of order total for orders over \$10.00. On orders from abroad, \$2.00 or 20% respectively. Sample copy of our monthly magazine *Liberty Bell* and copy of our huge book list containing hundreds of "Eye-Openers," \$4.00. Subscription for 12 hard-hitting, fact-packed issues \$35.00 (U.S. only). Order from:

**LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS
P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA**

Dachverband Deutscher Interessen
Postfach 1545
W-4980 Bünde, Bundesrepublik Deutschland



Wähler machen Parteien stark
wir machen die Wähler stark!!!

Georg Dietz
Liberty Bell Publications
P.O. Box 21
Reed25270 USA

1. Oktober 1990

Dear Mr. Dietz,

enclosed are an invitation and some other correspondence regarding our noble undertaking to unite (finally), the many different conservativ and national-democratic organizations, clubs and even political parties in Germany.

Never before has there been such a call for unity in our different ranks, all throughout the lands of West- and Central-Germany. The response has been good so far, even though many are waiting to see what the state-elections (Landtagswahlen) will bring on October 14th in Bavaria, Hessen and the liberated states of Central-Germany.

Several well-known patriots have already declared their willingness to work with this newly formed Union of German Interests (Dachverband Deutscher Interessen) but we want to spread this unifying enterprise throughout the world, to all the Germans, wherever they now live. Thus we need the support of all organizations and publications to accomplish this task.

Our financial resources are still very limited, but we would like to get from you (Liberty Bell Publications) some of your material and publications, in German or English.

At the same time we would like to ask you to please help us spread the word and support our undertaking. If you know of any German organizations in the US who might be willing to join in this Union, please let us know. An introductory article in your publication would be of great help, I am sure.

Hoping to hear from you very soon, in German or English.

Mit freundlichen Grüßen und deutscher Verbundenheit,

Josef Schaller
Generalsekretär

We urge our German- and English-speaking readers in the US and across the world to lend their support by writing to Mr. Schaller for more information. —Ed. *Liberty Bell*

60 — *Liberty Bell* / January 1991

KEEP THE LIBERTY BELL RINGING!

Please remember: *Our* Fight is *Your* fight! Donate whatever you can spare on a regular—monthly or quarterly—basis. Whether it is \$2., \$5., \$20., or \$100. or more, rest assured it is needed here and will be used in our common struggle. If you are a businessman, postage stamps in any denomination are a legitimate business expense—and we need and use many of these here every month—and will be gratefully accepted as donations.

Your donations will help us spread the *Message of Liberty* and *White Survival* throughout the land, by making available additional copies of our printed material to fellow Whites who do not yet know what is in store for them.

Order our pamphlets, booklets, and, most importantly, our reprints of revealing articles which are ideally suited for mass distribution at reasonable cost. Order extra copies of *Liberty Bell* for distribution to your circle of friends, neighbors, and relatives, urging them to subscribe to our unique publication. Our bulk prices are shown on the inside front cover of every issue of *Liberty Bell*.

Pass along your copy of *Liberty Bell*, and copies of reprints you obtained from us, to friends and acquaintances who may be on our "wave length," and urge them to contact us for more of the same.

Carry on the fight to free our White people from the shackles of alien domination, even if you can only join our ranks in spirit. You can provide for this by bequest. The following are suggested forms of bequests which you may include in your Last Will and Testament:

1. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the sum of \$ for general purposes.

2. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the following described property for general purposes.

**DO YOUR PART TODAY—HELP FREE OUR WHITE
RACE FROM ALIEN DOMINATION!**