

**ABOUT THE AUTHOR:** Dr. Revilo Pendleton Oliver, Professor of the Classics at the University of Illinois for 32 years, is a scholar of international distinction who has written articles in four languages for the most prestigious academic publications in the United States and Europe.

During World War II, Dr. Oliver was Director of Research in a highly secret agency of the War Department, and was cited for outstanding service to his country.

One of the very few academicians who has been outspoken in his opposition to the progressive defacement of our civilization, Dr. Oliver has long insisted that the fate of his countrymen hangs on their willingness to subordinate their doctrinal differences to the tough but idealistic solidarity which is the prerequisite of a Majority resurgence.

### SOME QUOTABLE QUOTES FROM AMERICA'S DECLINE:

**On the 18th Amendment (Prohibition):** "Very few Americans were sufficiently sane to perceive that they had repudiated the American conception of government and had replaced it with the legal principle of the 'dictatorship of the proletariat,' which was the theoretical justification of the Jews' revolution in Russia."

**On Race:** "We must further understand that all races naturally regard themselves as superior to all others. We think Congolds unintelligent, but they feel only contempt for a race so stupid or craven that it fawns on them, gives them votes, lavishly subsidizes them with its own earnings, and even oppresses its own people to curry their favor. We are a race as are the others. If we attribute to Ourselves a superiority, intellectual, moral, or other, in terms of our own standards, we are simply indulging in a tautology. The only objective criterion of superiority, among human races as among all other species, is biological: the strong survive, the weak perish. The superior race of mankind today is the one that will emerge victorious—whether by its technology or its fecundity—from the proximate struggle for life on an overcrowded planet."

### AMERICA'S DECLINE

Order No. 1007-\$8.50  
plus \$1.500 for postage and handling.

376 pp., pb.  
ORDER FROM:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

# Liberty Bell

ISSN: 0145 - 7667

SINGLE COPY \$4.00

## STEALTHY CONQUEST

*By Jim Taylor*  
Foreign Correspondent

page 28

### ALSO IN THIS ISSUE:

POSTSCRIPTS, by Professor Revilo P. Oliver: NO ESCAPE FROM TERRORISM, page 1; HORRID NEWS FROM RUSSIA, page 8; SUICIDAL BLUNDER, page 51; SOUTH AMERICAN PATRIOTISM, page 58; ANOTHER EPIDEMIC, page 59. THE GERMAN DECLARATION OF WAR AGAINST THE U.S., by Dr. Charles E. Weber, page 14. REVIEW OF THE FILM *BETRAYED*, by Dr. Charles E. Weber, page 20. TRIAL BY JEWRY, Chapter Four, by David McCalden, page 39.

VOL. 16 - NO. 3

NOVEMBER 1988

## Voice Of Thinking Americans

## LIBERTY BELL

The magazine for *Thinking Americans*, has been published monthly since September 1973 by Liberty Bell Publications, George P. Dietz, Editor, Editorial office: P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA—Phone: 304-927-4486.

Manuscripts conforming to our editorial policy are always welcome, however, they cannot be returned unless accompanied by stamped, self-addressed envelope. Manuscripts accepted for publication become the property of Liberty Bell Publications.

©Copyright 1988

by Liberty Bell Publications.

Permission granted to quote in whole or part any article except those subject to author's Copyright. Proper source credit and address should be given.

### ANNUAL SUBSCRIPTION RATES:

SAMPLE COPY	\$ 4.00
THIRD CLASS-BULK RATE USA only	\$35.00
FIRST CLASS-USA	\$45.00
FIRST CLASS-all other countries	\$50.00
AIR MAIL - Europe, South America	\$60.00
Middle East, Far East, So. Africa	\$65.00

### BULK COPIES FOR DISTRIBUTION:

10 COPIES	\$ 22.00
50 COPIES	\$ 90.00
100 COPIES	\$150.00
500 COPIES	\$600.00
1000 COPIES	\$900.00

### FREEDOM OF SPEECH—FREEDOM OF THOUGHT FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION

The editor/publisher of *Liberty Bell* does not necessarily agree with each and every article in this magazine, nor does he subscribe to all conclusions arrived at by various writers; however, he does endeavour to permit the exposure of ideas suppressed by the controlled news media of this country.

It is, therefore, in the best tradition of America and of free men everywhere that *Liberty Bell* strives to give free reign to ideas, for ultimately it is ideas which rule the world and determine both the content and structure of our Western culture.

We believe that we can and will change our society for the better. We declare our long-held view that no institution or government created by men, for men, is inviolable, incorruptible, and not subject to evolution, change, or replacement by the will of the people.

To this we dedicate our lives and our work. No effort will be spared and no idea will be allowed to go unexpressed if we think it will benefit the *Thinking People*, not only of America, but the entire world.

George P. Dietz, Editor & Publisher

# POSTSCRIPTS

by  
*Revido P. Oliver*

## NO ESCAPE FROM TERRORISM

As everyone now knows, a jury in Fort Smith, Arkansas, permitted thirteen White Americans to escape the horrors planned for them by the big machine of pseudo-legal terrorism officially directed by Reagan's henchman, Meese. The defendants escaped torture in prisons, but they were punished by financial penalties, fines, of which I do not know the aggregate total, which must have been at least one hundred thousand dollars and may have been half a million dollars, perhaps more. I do not know how much each defendant had to pay, but it is likely three or four of them paid almost all of that heavy penalty with the help of their friends.

The heavy penalty that was imposed on the victims whom the jury found to be innocent of all charges was, of course, imposed without the concurrence of the judge who presided over the courtroom and was therefore, so far as I know, unreported in any organ of the press. It was imposed in Washington by the great machine of oppression that the stupid Americans erected for their own destruction.

The severe amercement of the innocent victims of Soviet-style terrorism evidently did not satisfy Meese's masters, who wanted to see the accused suffer physically for their impiety in not worshipping Yahweh's Yids, for soon after the liberation of the defendants by jury and judge in Arkansas, Meese "resigned" and it may be conjectured that he was fired for his negligence in permitting the case to be tried before an honest judge and by a jury that had not been "fixed." The seemingly pointless quip current in the District of Corruption at the time of the "resignation," that 'meese' is the plural of 'mice,' may refer to that failure by Reagan's Commissar for Injustice.

It is a nice irony that Meese's departure from the cast of the great Variety Show evoked groans from some simple-minded "conservatives," who remembered that in some of his spiels he had said he didn't approve of pornography. One hot-headed

lawyer, who is scheduled to appear for the Jews in a debate about their Holohoax, even talked to old Yahweh about it, and proudly reported that the celestial Jew "has plans" for his dear old Meese.

That is just typical of the befuddlement of the nice, thoughtless persons who still call old Ronnie a "conservative," although he, as the star of the show, has done more than any occupant of the White House since the great War Criminal to ready the United States for openly Bolshevik rule and terrorism. It is only appropriate that Ronnie's stooge, Meese, revived Roosevelt's infamous Sedition Law (enacted in June 1940), which had been left in desuetude since 1945. And it must be admitted that Meese, thanks to his blunder in not arranging for a trial before a "Liberal" judge, who would have known that his function was to put into legal language the edicts of Reagan's employers, accomplished less than did his predecessor in 1944.

I refer, of course, to the infamous "Sedition Trial" by which the American Kerensky, who hoped and longed to become the American Lenin and rule by open terror and massacre, introduced Soviet terrorism through the courts. At the orders of the diseased monster in the White House, twenty-nine<sup>1</sup> American men and women, guilty of having spoken irreverently about Yahweh's Vampires, were hauled from their homes, shackled and handcuffed, dragged from all parts of the country to the city that was currently called Rooseveltgrad-on-the-Potomac, and thrown into cold and dark cells, in which one of them died of exposure. They were indicted on the basis of a legal theory worthy of Alice in Wonderland, and on the supposition that irreverence toward Sheenies might impede the stampeding of American men into Europe to fight and die for the Jews in their war against our race and civilization.

The victims were led for a judicial lynching before the infamous Edward C. Eicher, who had been made Chief Justice of the District Court of the District of Columbia, and who acted in open

1. The number of victims varied a little, since there were three indictments. The first two were unceremoniously thrown out by honest judges, so the Judaeo-Communists' satrap arranged for the third to be heard by a totally depraved and vicious hireling, appointed for that purpose, named Edward Eicher. I do not know whether he was a Kike or a White man with the mentality of a Thug. Dennis and St. George call him "Teutonic," but they are referring to his lack of humor, not to ethnological evidence.

collusion with an astounding Assistant Attorney General, Oetje J. Rogge, a protégé of the diabolical Felix Frankfurter and long notorious as a Communist agitator, who, during the trial, gloatingly reported its progress to the openly Communist liepaper, the Daily Worker.

I must not take space here even to summarize the brazen attempt to introduce the Soviet court-system in the United States. The most concise and trenchant summary is *The Sedition Case*, compiled and published by the Lutheran Research Society in Lowell, Arizona, 1953 (reprint available from Jane's Book Service, P.O. Box 3622, Reno, Nevada; \$6.00). A longer, more discursive, and cautious account, published before the case was officially ended, was written by one of the defendants, Lawrence Dennis, and his attorney, Maximilian St. George, *A Trial on Trial* (Chicago, National Civil Rights Committee, 1945; reprinted, Torrance, California, Institute for Historical Review, 1984). The last living survivor of the attempt to set up an American Gulag is David Baxter, whose recollections of the horror, "The Sedition Trial of 1944," appeared in the *Journal of Historical Review*, Spring 1985. Relevant in this connection is the little pamphlet, now entitled "A Jew Exposes the Jewish World Conspiracy," by Maurice Klein (available from Liberty Bell Publications, \$2.00 + postage). The author was an honorable Jewish attorney, who refused to betray his client, Colonel Sanctuary, one of the defendants, and was accordingly hounded to death by his race.

I was in the District of Corruption during the "Sedition Trial" and had some contacts within the bureaucracy and "Liberal" circles. The consensus of opinion was that although the infamous Eicher had been appointed as a judge expressly to ensure conviction of the victims, the Roosevelt gang, although anxious to make their victims suffer in every possible way, did not really expect to secure their continued imprisonment. There were still Justices of the Supreme Court who felt respect for the Constitution, and they formed a majority that had slapped down five previous attempts at Soviet-style terrorism by what was called the Justice Department. It was therefore taken for granted that the defendants would be convicted and would appeal to the Supreme Court, which would recognize that the Sedition Act was flagrantly Unconstitutional and was itself an act of subversion of American government. Furthermore, Americans still had some representatives in the Congress, who could not be entirely intimidated and whom it would have been awkward to murder at

that time, and they had already begun the impeachment of Eicher for his crimes; the result would have been a trial in which the whole Judaeo-Communist plot would have been exposed.

As everyone knows, what happened is that Eicher died suddenly and mysteriously before the trial was concluded, thus causing a mistrial and making necessary a new trial, which the foul Roosevelt's foul government, by every legal device, refused to begin, thus continuing to harass the victims for two years, until they finally succeeded in appealing to the Supreme Court, which, in November 1947, dismissed the outrageous act of Soviet tyranny in a decision in which the Chief Justice, Bolitha J. Laws, described the trial as "a travesty of justice."

The authors of *The Seditious Case* were Christians and so thought the sudden and unexplained death of Eicher an instance of "supernatural intervention." Observers in Washington were less credulous and remarked that the trial had largely accomplished its chief feasible purpose, and that the Administration was evidently content therewith. By arranging a mistrial, the government avoided having the Sedition Act held Unconstitutional by the Supreme Court, thus retaining its usefulness for continued intimidation of intelligent Americans and future acts of pseudo-legal terrorism. It was logical, therefore, to infer that the mistrial had been arranged by murdering Eicher. As everyone knows, Communists never hesitate to sacrifice their tools whenever it is advantageous to do so. When a dupe or hireling has served his purpose and become redundant, they dispose of him with no more compunction than you feel when you discard a broken wrench.

The recent trial in Ft. Smith, Arkansas, shows what powers were retained by our enemies by preventing the constitutionality of the Soviet law from coming before the Supreme Court in 1945, when that judicial body had not yet been converted into a Revolutionary Tribunal.

Informed opinion in Washington in 1945 felt confident that the Roosevelt government had attained virtually all of its primary objective before the mistrial was arranged. That the promoters of the trial were content with the result attained was demonstrated a few years later when the Chief Prosecutor, Oetje J. Rogge, became the personal guest of Stalin in the Kremlin and was awarded Soviet decorations.

The primary objective of the Administration, according to opinion in 1945, was dual:

I. To ruin the defendants financially. The Communists had been careful to select victims who lacked the resources needed to defend themselves from pseudo-legal persecution. Most of them were poor patriots of the middle or lower middle class; only two or three were college graduates; all were unknown to the general public; some were almost penniless; almost all of them were unable to compensate the attorneys who represented them, but even without attorney's fees, the other expenses of their imprisonment and trial were crushing and ruinous to most of them. Only one of the defendants, so far as I know, the dauntless Elizabeth Dilling, had sufficient resources to survive the ordeal financially. Lawrence Dennis told me some years later that he was hopelessly in debt as a result of the persecution and doubted that he would live long enough to pay all that he owed. Another defendant, the aged Charles B. Hudson, told me that the persecution had not only taken all that he had, but had, he firmly believed, caused his devoted wife to become a totally helpless invalid. Similar statements by other defendants came to me at second-hand, and I shall not quote them.

II. To terrorize and intimidate Americans who might express thoughts that were not kosher. They would be told, by intimation, "Of course you are not legally guilty of anything, but we will crush you, you American swine, if you annoy us, just as we would step on a cockroach. We'll take everything you have and ruin your family, you stupid boob, who gave us power over you. Consider how much you have in your savings account, you little pig, and compare it with the billions we take from taxpaying animals like you." And prudent Americans would acquiesce in their slavery to their alien masters.

You must be wealthy indeed to resist even the first blows of a tyranny that can extract from its serfs unlimited funds to maintain its staff of well-trained agents provocateurs, perjurers, and thugs.<sup>2</sup> And even the wealthiest American cannot resist the tenacious terrorists for more than a relatively short time before he is ruined. But that, of course, is as it should be in Soviet America, the Jews' New Canaan.

2. In addition to the thirteen White Americans persecuted at Fort Smith, there were several defendants who were brought from prisons in which they were serving sentences for crimes of which they had been convicted. They had been made co-defendants by Meese's criminal lawyers to prejudice the jury against the intended victims. One should remember that, given the operations of the Federal government at the

The results obtained in 1944 were repeated in 1988 at Fort Smith. As I have said, an honest and learned judge and an uncorrupted jury acquitted the defendants, but nevertheless they were, in effect, fined several hundred thousand dollars. I imagine they are all deeply in debt, although some have organizations that will try to rescue them.

Please note that the only way you can avoid guilt under the Sedition Act, as it was interpreted in 1944 and doubtless will be interpreted again, is to join the majority of Americans and stop thinking about your place in the world today. If you persist in thinking about reality, you will inevitably betray your thoughts in something you write or say on a recording. Now if you write a letter to some address in a given city, whether Washington, D.C. or Podunk, Kentucky, and if a person of whom you have never heard writes to another address in that city and expresses substantially the same opinions, the two of you are guilty of conspiracy, because, you see, although the two of you have never heard of each other and may be separated by two or three thousand miles, your thoughts met in that one area and conspired together. And if the opinions on which you and the unknown man or woman agree are not approved by your Jewish masters, your migratory thoughts have conspired to overthrow the present government of what is still called the United States.

The important thing to remember is that the Jewish government in Washington has the power to impose on you a ruinous penalty, regardless of what the courts may decide about you.

Old Meese accomplished almost as much as Rogge did in 1944, but he blundered. You may be sure that his successor after January 1988 will be more efficient, and the first stage of the Jewish Terror in the country your parents gave away will begin anew and with greater intensity.

I need not dilate here on the folly and infamy of the American people, who permitted the first imposition of Soviet law by Roosevelt. Twenty years ago, in *American Opinion*, September 1964, I wrote:

---

present time, and the efficiency of its perjurers and other agents, we have no means of knowing or even guessing whether or not the imprisoned men had in fact been guilty of the crimes for which they were convicted, perhaps because they lacked the funds needed to have themselves properly defended in court or because the attorneys they did employ found it more profitable to betray them.

"The 'Sedition Trial' deserves the attention of every American; it is a grim warning of what judicial corruption can do. It is also a matter that must weigh heavily on our consciences until the Congress of the United States has, by formal apology and indemnities to the victims or their heirs, made such atonement as is yet possible for the loss and suffering that was wantonly inflicted on innocent men and women by the repulsive creature to whom had been entrusted the powers of a federal judge." I expected the reader to remember that the repulsive creature had been appointed for that purpose by the even more loathsome and venomous hydra in the White House.

Twenty years ago, before the Jews had bought all of the Congress in Washington, it might still have been possible to make some gesture to expiate our nation's collective guilt, but, of course, American consciences are never troubled by outrages inflicted on their own people; they love only their enemies. And, needless to say, the Americans paid no attention to the warning forty-four years ago and they steadfastly disregarded it ever since.

The Sedition Trial in 1944 made it obvious, and indubitable to anyone willing to think critically about it, that the United States had been surreptitiously captured by the Jews and their Communist barbarians. At that time, the Americans, or a tiny but determined minority of them, amounting perhaps to one-tenth of one percent of the population, could have retaken their country. Instead, for forty-four years, the Americans watched in idiotic apathy the dismantling of their industry, the confiscation of money and its replacement by trading stamps of no intrinsic value, the sabotage and defilement of their culture, the use of their schools to abort children's minds and destroy innate character, their ever increasing subjugation to a ruthless bureaucracy, the gradual elimination of every right their ancestors had enjoyed as freemen, and the wholesale importation into their country of both anthropoid garbage and shrewd racial enemies by the Judaeo-Communist conspiracy.<sup>3</sup>

3. One of the most important articles ever published in *Liberty Bell* is Ivor Benson's "The Immigration Riddle Unwrapped" in the issue for April 1988, which makes it clear that the importation of niggers and wogs into Britain was organized and financed by a conspiracy. It is clear that the Jews, having found it impractical to carry out the plan to exterminate all Germans, which was prematurely announced by Kaufmann in *Germany Must Perish!* (reprint available from Liberty Bell Publications,

For forty-four years Americans watched in imbecilic incomprehension. Now they are helpless, and almost all of them are still unable to perceive, let alone comprehend, what has happened to them.

The decline and fall of America would be less distressing if there were any indication that Aryans in other countries had retained a willingness to defend themselves and their progeny, but from Sweden to Australia the Aryan peoples are blithely rushing with us to the precipice over which nations disappear from history. To us, who are naturally prejudiced in favor of our race, that is tragic. To an impartial observer, it would be only another proof that Aryans are no longer a viable species of animal life.

It is the unalterable law of biology that species too stupid or degenerate to survive in the unremitting struggle for life on this planet become extinct.

## HORRID NEWS FROM RUSSIA

Ever since 1927, gullible Americans have been treated to a performance staged periodically to convince them that the Bolshevik régime in Russia was "mellowing" and becoming more civilized. The early performances were so convincing that when the United States fell under the dominion of the unspeakable creature called Franklin Roosevelt, the American boobs, although reluctant, permitted their resources to be squandered and their young men to be sacrificed to effect the Communist conquest of

\$4.00 + postage), have resorted to the more gradual method of exterminating the Aryans in all of their countries by running in hordes of sub-humans and eventually dissolving Aryan blood in a fetid mass of mindless mongrels. Aryans, their minds rotted by Christianity, grin idiotically as they see prepared the biological graves to which their children are destined. — The present influx of Chinese and Japanese, who are buying up large parts of the United States and Canada, is, of course, an entirely different phenomenon. They belong to a highly intelligent and civilized race, and they, of course, feel only contempt for the Aryan idiots who have, in effect, given away their property by sabotaging their own industrial capacity; they will not debase their own blood by marrying Aryan fools. The influx of Mongolians is encouraged by the Jews, since it hastens the liquidation of the Aryan nation; what will happen when the interests of the two intelligent races no longer coincide is anyone's guess.

Europe in 1941-1945, and they have been the chief financial and industrial support of the Soviet Empire ever since.

The latest farce was enacted by the successors of Roosevelt and Stalin, our Ronnie and the currently popular Russian actor, Gorbachev, who probably played pinochle and told each other dirty stories while their aides mixed up kettles of hogwash for the world's liepapers. Their gamboling on the "Summit" has, however, produced some much publicized results, notably: Soviet troops have been "withdrawn" from Afghanistan—to permit the Soviets to consolidate their control of that hapless land at leisure.<sup>1</sup>

The hapless folk of East Germany have at last had to submit to the shakedown by which the Jews have exploited the nations of Europe and North America since 1945, and must now contribute hundreds of millions of dollars to compensate God's Race for the trouble of contriving their preposterous Holofoax.

Soviet military officers, whether Russians or Jews, are inspecting the Americans' "most secret" military installations to verify the accuracy of the reports they received from their spies.

Gorbachev warmed the hearts of American nitwits by making a complimentary reference to "Jesus Christ," and American suckers will be permitted to give away a million copies of a Russian translation of an English version of the "New Testament." This is making it even harder for American dervishes to maintain the pretext that "atheistic Communism" is opposed to religion, whereas it has always opposed only cults that were incompatible with the Marxian reformation of Christianity.

Russian "artists" have suddenly appeared to exhibit the crude daubs, worthy of schizophrenic children, that Western boobs have been taught to call "modern art."<sup>2</sup>

Russian wares are being marketed on an even larger scale in the United States. You will soon be busy, dropping expensively printed "bargain offers" into the waste basket.

More Jews will be permitted to flit from Russia and pounce on the hapless inhabitants of their New Canaan between the At-  
1. Cf. *Liberty Bell*, September 1988, pp. 5-10.

2. A good survey of this trash, which seems to have a morbid fascination for nouveau riche Texans and the jabberwockies of "literary" circles in New Jerusalem-on-the-Hudson, may be found in *Crud*, by the American artist, Margaret E. Stucki, published in 1973 by the Birds' Meadow Publishing Company in Cape Canaveral, Florida. I hope to return to this subject in a future issue of *Liberty Bell*.

lantic and the Pacific Oceans. The Jews now in their Old Canaan are reportedly trying to divert their exodist congeners from Russia to Israel for help in beating, torturing, and killing the Palestinians, who are to undergo, as soon as it is feasible, the fate of their Canaanite predecessors.

So much for the latest song-and-dance in the "Summit" show for the benefit of simple-minded Americans, especially females, who purr when told that everyone in the world is becoming lovey-dovey.

Another performance, designed to conciliate "anti-Communist" Americans, has been staged from time to time since 1927, when the malodorous Sheeny named Bronstein, alias Trotsky, fled, squawking, from Russia, supposedly expelled by a "real Russian," Dzhugashvili, alias Stalin.<sup>3</sup> Optimistic Americans have repeatedly been convinced that the Jews were losing control of the Russia they conquered by their usual racial tactics and occupied in 1917-1918.

Such reports have always been difficult to evaluate, because while they seem improbable, they do have a foundation in the fact that the Slavic population of Russia, which suffered most of all from the predators during the Nineteenth Century,<sup>4</sup> most bitterly resented them, and it seems likely that, despite the massacres of Russians known to resent Jews during the Terror that followed the take-over in 1917-1918,<sup>5</sup> this ingrained resentment has survived underground in the native population ever since and would be drastically expressed, if given an opportunity. In *The Enemy of Our Enemies* I tried to present objectively the problem as it then appeared.

Nothing to impair belief in Jewish suzerainty over the Soviet Empire was done by Ronnie and Gorbachev when they danced the Frigg in Moscow recently. On the contrary, their "Summit" was a thinly disguised Judenfest, as was most apparent to the unfortunate population of East Germany. But we again hear rum-

3. On Dzhugashvili's racial origins, see *Liberty Bell*, February 1988, pp. 55-59.

4. See, for example, the article by a Russian lady, Mme. Z. Ragozin, who tried to be painstakingly fair in her appraisal, published in the *Century Magazine* in 1882 and reprinted in *Liberty Bell*, June 1985, pp. 4-39.

5. For some instances, see the booklet by Paul Knutson, *Aryan Asses* (reprinted from *Liberty Bell*, May 1984), especially pp. 11-22.

ors that some Russians are officially permitted not to stand in awe of Sheenies.

The *Daily Telegraph* (London) maintains in Russia a reporter with the odd name of Xan Smiley, who contributes a column entitled "Inside Russia," and also signed despatches printed elsewhere in the paper. Xan is acutely worried because he discovered something horrible in Russia, and he has written about it more than once. The substance of his reports was in his column on 18 January 1988, since which he has more or less repeated himself.

There is, he says, a terrible organization that, unbelievably, is actually tolerated by the present government of the Soviet, and he claims to have bearded in his den and to have interviewed the monster who heads it, and who, incredibly, circulated privately to a chosen few a memorandum that attacked one of Gorbachev's closest supporters in the Politburo, and yet was not liquidated! The monster is a middle-aged Russian named Dmitri Vasilyev; his organization, which claims to have a membership of 20,000 with chapters in thirty cities, is called Pamyat ("Remembrance"); its symbol is a bell, which, as Xan failed to remark, is a specifically Christian symbol.<sup>6</sup> Vasilyev says, however, that since his organization is nationalistic, some members are "patriotic atheists."

(I must not digress to discuss the claim of priority for the Chinese; there is no reliable evidence that they cast bells of any size before the Fifteenth Century, which is the probable date intended in the romantic tale that was beautifully retold as "The Soul of the Great Bell" by Lafcadio Hearn in *Some Chinese Ghosts*.)

The tradition that bells were invented in the Fifth Century by Paulinus, Bishop of Nola, is undoubtedly a myth suggested by the fact that nola is a Latin term for a small bell, such as was put around the neck of a vicious dog; several nolae, mounted on a frame, were shaken in early Christian choirs (in imitation of the

6. It is so regarded in the Near and Middle East, where church bells excite hatred in orthodox Muslims and other non-Christian sects. They are right historically. Although tintinabula, small bells that give a tinkling or jingling sound, like modern sleigh-bells and the grelots lascifs worn by bayadères and other Oriental dancing girls, are found in the early stages of so many cultures that their invention must be attributed to some prehistoric people, it seems that Christians may legitimately claim to have invented large bells with musical tones, such as were heard in every city, town, and hamlet of the United States before modernized clergymen began to tear down the edifices of stone and brick and replace them with wooden sheds, suitable for night clubs or large garages.

sisra used in the worship of Isis). The Christians seem to have begun casting bells in the Seventh Century; these were comparatively small and rectangular in shape, like the cheap cow-bells now in use, and gave only a loud clanking sound. The first certain historical use of church bells rung from steeples was in the Sicilian Vespers, the famous massacre in 1282. Really musical bells of campanulate form may not antedate the Sixteenth Century.

Church bells may have a special significance for Russians, since the largest bell in the world, weighing about 190 tons, was cast in Moscow in 1734, but broken before it was used, according to the account that is generally accepted—not the one told to tourists who go to see it today. The largest bell in the world that can actually ring, weighing 128 tons, is also in Moscow, where it was cast around the middle of the Eighteenth Century.

A charming collection of information and stories about bells, accompanied by many illustrations, may be found in Bernadine Bailey's popular little book, *Bells, bells, bells* (New York; Dodd, Mead, 1978).

Xan is horrified by Pamyat and uses the techniques of defamation that are the stock-in-trade of journalistic hacks. Vasilyev has a "beer belly" and a "toothbrush moustache"; some of his young men wear black shirts and leather jackets; he is the "guru" of a "cult of hate," etc.

The horrible hate is obvious, because although Xan admits that Vasilyev never directly attacks Jews, only "Zionists" (as is, of course, proper in Soviet propaganda), what he says by innuendo makes it clear that he doesn't love Yahweh's Yids with all his heart.

Vasilyev says that a "Trojan horse" inside Soviet society, which forms only 0.69% of the population, provides 20% of the managers and 44 percent of the persons who hold masters' and doctoral degrees from the universities. To a mind such as Xan's, this is probably proof that Jews are persecuted in Russia, since, if they were not, they would form 90% of the managers and 99.9% of the holders of advanced degrees. Vasilyev perversely does not agree.

Vasilyev points out that about a hundred of the deputy heads of Stalin's key departments were not Russians; that "not a single Russian ran the labor camps or administered the building of the White Sea-Baltic Canal" (in which as many as a million Russian slaves, taken from the best and most civilized part of the popula-

tion, may have been literally worked to death), and that Czar Nicholas II was "ritually sacrificed." Since everyone knows to what race the non-Russians belonged, this proves that Vasilyev is so full of hate that he doesn't even want Jews to have fun.

Pamyat remembers the great achievements of Russia under the Czars, especially the battles in which the Russians repelled hordes of invading Tatars or fought valiantly against the Mongols. Vasilyev displays in his apartment portraits of Nicholas II, the last Czar, and of his only son, the young Czarevich, who perished when all members of the Imperial family were atrociously murdered in 1918 by Bolsheviks commanded by a Jew and at the orders of Bronstein ("Trotsky"); more significantly, he also has in a place of honor a portrait of Peter Stolypin, who, historians agree, was the "last truly effective and important [prime] minister of imperial Russia,"<sup>7</sup> until he was murdered by a traitor in the police force in 1911. He effectively repressed the Terrorists, mostly Jews and hybrids, who, using the methods now employed by the Jews' Mossad, were murdering loyal Russians at the rate of 3000 a year when Stolypin became Prime Minister in 1907. He also promoted an agrarian reform designed to create in Russia a class of independent farmers and farm families, such as were the backbone of the United States before the international usurers appointed Franklin Roosevelt to begin the liquidation of the country that then was ours.

Xan is perturbed that there should be anything so "nasty" as Russians who don't adore Kikes and he tries to account for that terrible phenomenon. His most serious suggestion is that Pamyat is promoted and protected by Igor Ligachev, a ranking and powerful member of the Politburo, who is said to disapprove of Gorbachev and to be an enemy of Yakovlev, Gorbachev's mentor and chief propagandist. It was Yakovlev that Vasilyev and six other officers of Pamyat attacked as "cosmopolitan" and "anti-Russian" in their ten-page memorandum privately circulated to all members of the Politburo in December 1987. The "nasty" Pamyat is therefore the instrument of an opposition that wishes to overthrow Gorbachev.

That is quite possible. When Ronnie and his Russian partner were dancing the Bunny Hug, everyone knew that the ball would

7. My quotation comes from Nicholas Riasanovsky's *History of Russia* (Oxford Press, 1963), p. 458.

*continued on page 50*

---

## THE GERMAN DECLARATION OF WAR AGAINST THE UNITED STATES ON 11 DECEMBER 1941

We have recently published two *Bulletins* which were concerned with the manner in which the United States became involved in the Second World War.

*Bulletin 26* reviewed Karl-Otto Braun's instructive book, *Pearl Harbor in neuer Sicht / Wie F.D. Roosevelt die USA in den Zweiten Weltkrieg führte*. *Bulletin 28* contained a translation of one of the Krogmann letters which summarized the development of the shooting war between Germany and the United States months and months before the Japanese attack on Pearl Harbor. By 15 September 1941 American naval forces received definite orders to fire on German naval forces in spite of specific orders from Hitler to his naval forces to avoid such a shooting war as far as possible. Faced with such a shooting war already posed by the Roosevelt administration, Hitler attempted to make the best of a very bad situation by securing what assurances of diversionary assistance he could from the Japanese. On 11 December 1941 Hitler finally recognized the existence of an actual war against Germany which had been going on for some months and called on the Reichstag to declare war against the United States. What was Hitler's thinking which caused him to take this step during a critical military situation on the eastern front? Did Hitler have any feasible alternative? Was there any way by which Hitler could have frustrated the Roosevelt administration, which was determined to involve the United States in a war in Europe, in spite of the overwhelming desires of the American people for peace and in spite of the fact that Germany was now facing the vast human and material resources of the USSR, a power which many Americans regarded as a threat far more evil and dangerous than whatever Germany could have posed?

To answer these questions, let us examine translations of some key passages from Hitler's very long speech to the Reichstag on 11 December 1941, in which he reviewed the course of the war in considerable detail. We have been advised by historians competent in this area that

this speech is not readily available in good translations into English. Perhaps, then, the following translations will throw some further light on a crucial development which is not widely understood by American historians. Our translations are based on the text presented in Dr. Karl-Otto Braun's *Pearl Harbor in neuer Sicht*, pp. 173-190.

"When, in the year 1939, the position of the minorities in the former Polish state proved to be ever more insufferable, I first attempted to remove the conditions which had become unbearable by means of a just compromise. For some time it seemed as if the Polish government on its own were seriously considering agreeing to a reasonable settlement. Here I might also add that in the case of all these proposals from the German side nothing was asked that had not been formerly owned by Germany; on the contrary, we were willing to give up claims to a great deal which belonged to Germany before the World War. You will still remember the dramatic development of that time, the continuously increasing number of victims in the German ethnic group. You, my delegates, are in the best position to assess the gravity of these murdered victims if you compare them with the number of victims of the present war, inasmuch as the campaign in the east has cost the entire German armed forces approximately 160,000 dead. Right in the midst of peace more than 62,000 ethnic Germans were murdered at that time within a few months, in some cases accompanied by the most gruesome tortures. [Hitler then continues with the specific terms which he offered Poland with regard to Danzig, railway and highway connections between western Germany and East Prussia, plebiscites, etc. As to the number of ethnic Germans murdered by Poles, see the *Liberty Bell* of July 1988, pp. 16-17. Was Hitler misinformed and was he really referring to the massacres of Germans which took place after 1 September 1939? There are a number of passages in the speech that would suggest that it was quite hastily prepared.]

\*\*\*

"Beginning in November 1938, he [Roosevelt] began systematically and deliberately to sabotage any possibility of the politics of peace in Europe. In so doing he hypocritically feigned an interest in peace, but he threatened every country that was ready to pursue a policy of peaceful understanding with a blocking of credits, with economic reprisals, with calling in loans, etc. In this regard the reports of the Polish ambassadors in Washington, London, Paris and Brussels afford a shocking insight. [Hitler seems to be referring here to the documents captured in the Polish Foreign Office in 1939. See the article by Mark

Weber, "President Roosevelt's Campaign To Incite War in Europe: The Secret Polish Documents," *Liberty Bell*, February 1984, pp. 1-38, with valuable notes and a bibliography.]

\*\*\*

"In September 1940 he [Roosevelt] got even closer to the war. He transferred fifty destroyers from the American navy to the English navy, for which, it is true, he took over military bases in British possessions in North and Central America. Just how is one thing ever going to be explained to posterity, namely to what extent, in addition to all this hatred against socialist Germany, there was an additional intention of being in a position to take over the British Empire safely and with a minimum of danger at the hour of its collapse?

"Now, after England was no longer in a position of being able to pay for American deliveries in cash, he imposed the Lend-Lease Law on the American people. He has now delegated presidential powers to support the countries whose defense seemed to him, Roosevelt, to be vitally important to America. In March 1941 this man alone took a further step after Germany was not to be moved under any circumstances to reacting against his continued provocations 1939, American cruisers within the safety zone maneuvered the steamship *Columbus* into the hands of British warships. As a result it had to be sunk. On the same day U.S. forces played a rôle in the attempt to capture the German steamship *Arauca*. Again contrary to international law, on 27 January 1940, the U.S. cruiser *Trenton* informed the enemy naval forces about the movements of the German commercial steamships *Arauca*, *La Plata* and *Wangoni*. On 27 June 1940 he ordered, completely in violation of international law, a restriction of movements of foreign commercial ships in U.S. harbors.

"In November 1940 he had the German steamships *Phrygia*, *Idarwald* and *Rhein* followed until these steamships finally had to sink themselves to keep from falling into the hands of the enemy. On 13 April 1941 there followed the opening of traffic through the Red Sea to U.S. ships for the purpose of supplying the British armies in the Near East. In the month of March, in the meantime, the confiscation of all German ships had already taken place by American authorities. In the process German citizens were treated in an extremely demeaning manner, ordered to stay in certain places, had travel restrictions imposed on them, etc., quite in violation of international law.

"Two German officers who had escaped from Canadian captivity were put in chains and delivered back to Canadian authorities, likewise in violation of all provisions of international law. On 27 March the

same president who is against any aggression sent greetings to the revolutionary clique of [General Dushan] Simovich and his associates, who had come to power by an aggression in Belgrad after the fall of the legal government. President Roosevelt had some months previously sent Colonel Donovan, a quite inferior person, on an assignment to the Balkans to attempt there to bring about an uprising in Sofia and Belgrad to the disadvantage of Germany and Italy.

"Thereupon, in April, he promised aid to Yugoslavia and Greece on the basis of the Lend-Lease Law. By the end of April this man recognized the Yugoslavian and Greek emigrants as exile governments, once more, and froze Yugoslavian and Greek assets, in this instance, too, in violation of international law. Beginning with the middle of April, moreover, a surveillance of the western Atlantic was taking place by U.S. patrols and their reports to the English.

"On 26 April Roosevelt delivered twenty torpedo boats to England and at the same time continuous repairs of British warships in U.S. harbors were taking place. On 12 May the arming and repairing of Norwegian steamships traveling in the service of England took place in violation of international law. On 4 June American troop transports arrived in Greenland for the purpose of constructing an airfield. And on 9 June there came the first English report that on the basis of an order from President Roosevelt a U.S. warship attacked a German submarine with depth charges in the vicinity of Greenland.

"On 14 June German Assets in the United States were frozen, again in violation of international law. On 17 June President Roosevelt demanded under false pretenses the recall of German consuls and the closing of German consulates. Further, he demanded the closing of the German press agency, Transocean, of the German Library of Information [located at the time at 17 Battery Place in New York] and of the German Railway Office. On 6-7 July the occupation of Iceland, located in the German Combat Zone, was carried out by American armed forces at Roosevelt's command. In so doing, he now definitely hoped

1. finally to force Germany into war
2. and in addition to make German submarine warfare just as ineffective as during the years 1915-1916.

"At the same time he dispatched American promises of aid to the Soviet Union. On 10 July Secretary of the Navy Knox suddenly announced that the United States had an order to shoot against Axis warships. On 4 September the U.S. destroyer *Greer* was operating along with English airplanes against German submarines in the Atlantic on the basis of an order given it.

"Five days later a German submarine identified U.S. destroyers as

vessels escorting an English convoy. On 11 September Roosevelt finally gave the speech in which he himself confirmed and renewed the order to shoot at all Axis ships. On 29 September U.S. surveillants attacked a German submarine east of Greenland with depth charges. On 17 October the U.S. destroyer *Kearney* again attacked a German submarine with depth charges while traveling in a protective escort for England and on 6 November U.S. forces finally captured the German steamship *Odenwald* in violation of international law, towed it to an American port and put its crew into captivity.

"I intend to pass over the attacks and provocations of this so-called president against me personally as being of no consequence. The fact that he called me a gangster is all the more unimportant, since this term originated in America, certainly not in Europe in view of the absence of such persons.

"But aside from this, I simply cannot be insulted by Mr. Roosevelt because I consider him of unsound mind, just like Woodrow Wilson in his time.

"The fact that this man, along with his Jewish retinue, has been fighting against Japan for years with the same measures is well known to us. I need not discuss them here.

\* \* \*

"Without any attempt at an official denial on the part of the American government, moreover, the president's plan to attack Germany and Italy in Europe itself with military forces, no later than the year 1943, has been published in America.

"The honest effort of Germany and Italy, attesting to an unprecedented forbearance, to prevent the spreading of this war and to maintain relations with the United States, in spite of the unbearable provocations by President Roosevelt which have been going on for years, has thus been brought to nought.

"In view of these circumstances, Germany and Italy have now considered themselves compelled, in keeping with the provisions of the Tripartite Pact of 27 September 1940, to carry on a common struggle, side by side with Japan, against the United States and England for the defense and maintenance of freedom and independence of their people and countries. [As to the actual legal obligations of the Tripartite Pact, See *Bulletin 26*, p. 4.]

"For that reason the three powers have signed the following agreement on this day in Berlin:

"In the unshakable resolve not to lay down their arms until the war against the United States and England has been brought to a successful conclusion, the German government, the Italian government and

the Japanese government have agreed on the following provisions:

#### Article 1

Germany, Italy and Japan will carry on in common the war forced on them by the United States and England with all means at their disposal.

#### Article 2

Germany, Italy and Japan obligate themselves not to make an armistice or peace with the United States or England without a complete mutual agreement.

#### Article 3

Germany, Italy and Japan will collaborate as closely as possible after a victorious conclusion of the war for the purpose of bringing about a just new order in keeping with the Tripartite Pact concluded by them on 27 September 1940.

#### Article 4

This agreement takes effect at once upon its signing and will remain in force just as long as the Tripartite Pact of 27 September 1940. The principal contracting parties will promptly inform each other before the expiration of this period concerning the further development of their collaboration provided in Article 3 of this agreement."

[After this, Hitler reminds the members of the Reichstag of the rejection of his peace proposals of July 1940 and praises the strength of the German armed forces as well as the efforts of soldiers, peasants and workers. He declares that neither the force of arms nor the passage of time will defeat Germany. He mentions the sacrifices of Germans of previous generations and the resultant moral obligation of his generation. He thanks the Lord of the Spheres ("Herr der Welten") for the successes of recent years and the historical opportunity of his time. □

#### DOES THE WEST HAVE THE WILL TO SURVIVE?

That is the obvious question posed by Jean Raspail's terrifying novel of the swamping of the White world by an unlimited flood of non-White "refugees." But there is also a less obvious and even more fundamental question: Must Whites find their way to a new Morality and a new spirituality in order to face the moral challenges of the present and overcome them? *THE CAMP OF THE SAINTS* is the most frightening book you will ever read. It is frightening because it is utterly believable. The armada of refugee ships in Raspail's story is exactly like the one that dumped 150,000 Cubans from Fidel Castro's prisons and insane asylums on our shores in 1980 — except this time the armada is from India, with more than 70 times as large a population. And it is only the first armada of many. If any book will awaken White Americans to the danger they face from uncontrolled immigration, it is *THE CAMP OF THE SAINTS*. For your copy (Order No. 3014) send \$9.50 (which includes \$1.50 for postage and handling) to: LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270

## A REVIEW OF THE FILM *BETRAYED*

by Charles E. Weber

Having seen three brief reviews of this film, one in the *Tulsa Tribune* (where it is characterized as a "political thriller that is shot full of holes in logic"), one in Michael A. Hoffman's *Ratiocinative Focus* and one in the *Oklahoma Separatist*, my curiosity overcame me and I went to see it, although the denigration of my race was the obvious propaganda objective of the film, an objective that one must expect in Hollywood products of this sort

Although the production costs of the film must have been rather high, its script shows signs of carelessness, incompetence and perhaps haste in its preparation, inasmuch as it contains glaring inconsistencies, improbabilities and above all lack of convincing or plausible motivation on the part of the chief characters, the basic weakness of the film. This basic weakness results from the fact that the film has a heavy-handed indoctrinational objective, namely the denigration of organizations which want to defend militantly and courageously the Aryan component of the American population. Only a casual effort is occasionally made to show any plausible motivation. Of course, if the people whom the well-financed producers of this film (United Artists) wished to denigrate had been shown as having well delineated and logical motivations, the propaganda objective of the film would have been defeated ipso facto.

The film opens with a couple of sparse shots in which a Jewish radio talk program host is shown in action. After Leaving the radio station he is shot down by a masked man who paints "ZOG" [Zionist Occupation Government] on the dead Jew's car. Now the location of the action shifts from crowded, urban Chicago to the rural vastness of Montana. A beautiful female migrant agricultural worker is shown skillfully driving a huge harvesting machine over a large wheat field. We learn later that she is an undercover agent of the Federal Bureau of Investigation who is trying to obtain evidence to convict an evil Aryan activist of murder. Nevertheless, she is approached in a beer hall one evening by the chief antagonist, a very handsome farmer whose wife had died, and she quickly falls love with him, apparently with some sincerity.

After the two commence an explicitly shown sexual relationship, the farmer takes the woman out at night for the sake of hunting. They arrive at a remote spot in which a group of local men with guns not generally used in hunting are standing around in a forest clearing. Suddenly a car arrives and from it is brought a terrorized young Negro. Now the hunt begins. The young Negro is given a gun and a sporting chance to flee into the forest, where he is eventually hunted down and killed. This sequence, of course, has the purpose of showing what evil, sadistic villains the killers of the Negro are and thus establishing the mood of the whole film. As in the case of a number of other sequences in the example, that the Negro was accused of thievery, molesting a white woman or the like which would have provoked his being abducted and killed. On another occasion the enamored FBI agent is taken at night to a rally and encampment of the Ku Klux Klan, their families and men wearing swastika armbands. After sleeping in a tent the heroine and her lover wake up in the beauty of the forest. There are vigorous military exercises involving target practice employing silhouettes of Negroes and Jews. One of the many inconsistencies of the film takes place at this point, too. The agent's lover reproaches the men wearing the swastika armbands because his father had fought men wearing them during the war, hardly a reproach that a dedicated "white supremacist" would make, another unconvincing aspect of the film.

The supposedly enamored FBI agent, who is now living with the antagonist and caring for his two children, finds excuses to leave the neat farm house from time to time to keep up contacts with her office staff. Her superiors note that she is not conducting a very effective investigation. One member of her staff who is in love with her is especially angry.

The handsome lover is shown behind an elaborate computer in his house which is hooked up to an extensive network. The heroine had gone out that day on the pretext that she wanted to shop, while in reality she was making contact with her FBI staff. Nevertheless, she had purchased a seductive garment into which she changes. Subsequently a bank robbery takes place in which the heroine and her lover along with several of his associates participate. They have driven to Chicago to rob a large bank there. The robbery is successful and brings in several million dollars for the "white supremacist" cause but an FBI agent on the scene shoots one of the robbers to death. The agent is a noble Negro, of course.

The heroine discovers papers hidden under a bedroom floor which reveal plans to assassinate Henry Kissinger, Senator Metz-

baum, and other prominent ZOG Jews. She shows the papers to members of the office staff with whom she rendezvous in an abandoned farm house.

One night the heroine and her lover drive out over a long bridge and stop at a lonely place, where a helicopter lands. The man runs toward and enters the helicopter, where he is shown a (stolen or captured?) file which identifies the heroine as an FBI agent. The "lovers" do not sleep well that night.

The heroine's lover and she again drive to Chicago, where they go up into a partly completed building. He takes a gun out of a briefcase in order to assassinate a politician on the street below. The motivation for assassination is not really made clear, another confusing, incompetently developed aspect of the film. Suddenly the lover, while waiting for a chance to perform the assassination, tells the heroine that he knows her real identity, hardly a likely or plausible thing for him to do under the circumstances. Thereupon she declares that he is under arrest while holding a previously concealed revolver against him. After a brief exchange of words she kills him, after he had refused to lay down his gun. In a brief, quite improbable denouement the heroine returns to her dead lover's town in Montana, where she is seen holding his little daughter, to whom she had formed an attachment and whose antipathy toward Negroes and Jews she has tried to destroy. A congregation at some distance is seen leaving a church and its members see the heroine holding the child. She is reproached as a "Jezebel."

Did the producers of this expensive film achieve their objectives? Will they sustain a financial loss? I saw only several people in the large theater where I sat through two showings of the film. A viewer with any degree of sophistication has to be struck with the heavy-handed nature of the indoctrination objectives of the film, its improbabilities, inconsistencies and above all a lack of convincing motivation on the part of the chief characters. A disclaimer at the end of the film makes the hypocritical assertion that the action was not related to actual persons or events, although some of the episodes in the film call to mind events which have been in the news within the past several years. If Aryans loyal to their race can find any lesson of value in this film it is the depth to which Zionist Occupation Government can sink in order to destroy their defensive organizations and its ability to corrupt and intimidate Aryans to the extent that they are willing to betray their own people. It is in this sense that we should understand the title of the film. □

---

# STEALTHY CONQUEST

By Jim Taylor  
(Foreign Correspondent)

I have additional facts about Israeli operations in the Republic of South Africa. The Zionists of the world now own that nation—lock, stock and barrel. American Jews are constantly complaining about the exploitation of people and the violations of human rights throughout the world—except in Israel, of course. Yet the Zionists themselves are guilty of the most flagrant acts of outright slavery seen on this earth in hundreds of years. And, this time, I am not referring to the sad plight of the displaced Palestinians whom the Israelis have literally made into slaves in their own homeland. I want to inform American readers about the cruel cabal of world Zionists in South Africa and what they are doing to the black tribes there.

After reading this column, you will better understand why I no longer have any sympathy for the South African government. For this government has allowed the world Zionists to control the destiny of the last white-ruled country on the African continent. And these same white officials have allowed the Israelis to exploit both white and black residents of South Africa.

But first, allow me to mention two related matters which clearly reveal how the Israelis have penetrated *every* nation on earth—something no other force in the entire history of the world has ever accomplished.

As most people know, a Jew in the U.S. or in any other nation is never truly a genuine citizen. He will always place the welfare of the state of Israel before the country in which he resides. This was graphically illustrated by a treasonous act earlier this year on the part of Senator David Durenberger of Minnesota, one of the most radical-fringe Jews in Congress today. Of course, he will not be punished for it since his kind are not subject to any American laws nowadays, including our Constitutional process for dealing with traitors. You see, our U.S. legal process has been altered so drastically to cater to the whims of the powerful Zionists that today Julius and Ethel Rosenberg would not be executed for their crimes against America. No, they would get off easily and even be promoted to sainthood for betraying this country.

Anyway, the Senate Ethics Committee, which is bound by law to

do something about such cases, criticized Mr. Durenberger but declined to recommend any disciplinary action, let alone legal prosecution. If they had dared do what they were sworn to do in this case, they would have become the ones being investigated and would have suffered greatly for trying to discipline a Jew in Congress. So the investigation ended quickly. You might even say that it closed before it even opened. This is just another clear example of who runs Congress.

A chairman of the Senate Intelligence Committee, Senator Durenberger was privy to all the secrets of the CIA, which now is required by law to report on all their activities to Congressional committees. In this capacity, he learned from the director of the CIA that during the Israeli attack on Lebanon the U.S. had recruited an Israeli military officer to spy upon Israel for the United States intelligence service. When the traitorous Minnesota senator attended a dinner of the American Israeli Public Affairs Committee on March 15, 1987 at Palm Beach, Florida, he told the Israelis all about the American spy in the Israeli Army. Apparently, Mr. Durenberger saw nothing wrong in giving the Israelis CIA secrets, and, in doing so, disclosing secret information vital to American national security. Of course, if any U.S. senator who was not Jewish had committed such a crime against his own country he would end up in a Federal prison.

Senator Durenberger not only let the Israelis know that an Israeli officer had passed along sensitive Israeli data to the U.S., but it was also revealed that the Minnesota senator knew all about Jonathan Pollard's spying in Washington for Israel and never saw fit to inform either the CIA, with whom he worked closely, or any U.S. officials. What does that tell you?

So, you see, as I have always maintained, having American Jews in Congress is tantamount to having Israeli spies there, sitting in on all the secret meetings of the CIA or the President and his cabinet. No American Jew can be trusted *not* to inform Israel of every single secret of the United States government of which he can learn. But, of course, you cannot intern all Jews as we did the Japanese-Americans in 1941. You just have to ignore these traitors; and people reading this right now will probably vote for Mr. Durenberger again and send him back to the Senate.

Also, I might add, Senator Durenberger is in the vanguard of the current movement afoot to pardon his good friend, Mr. Pollard, so this spy can retire on a large Israeli pension in Israel as a national hero there. Look for Mr. Reagan to pardon Pollard before his (Reagan's) term ends.

Remember back in 1981 when the Israelis came up with those lies

about an imaginary Libyan "hit-squad" that fooled both the American press and the American people? Well, the canny little Zionists are at it again. The Israeli government has unsavory agents in the Philippines who have tried to convince the U.S. that Palestinian terrorists are all over that country, intent on blowing up American bases.

Lieutenant Colonel Orlando Mascaspack, a Philippine police chief, says that MOSSAD gave him the names of four Palestinians who soon are going to blow up Clark Air Force Base, near the city of Angeles.

All these actions clearly illustrate the world-wide, subversive operations of the Israelis, who are responsible for spreading more lies than any other country since the beginning of time.

Now this leads me to revealing the present exploitation of South Africa by Israel. At a time of increasing international pressure for more sanctions against the Republic of South Africa, many foreign investors, mostly Israelis well-endowed with American money, are streaming into that besieged country to take advantage of the cheap labor pool in Botshabelo and other places. The Israelis have set up factories and large resettlement camps and millions of blacks have been relocated, so they can work in very profitable Zionist enterprises. I mean profitable for the Israelis, not for the workers.

Talk about slave labor in the Twentieth Century and gross exploitation! The Israelis are using labor practices in South Africa which the civilized world hasn't seen in over 200 years, or even prior to the Industrial Revolution in Europe.

I thought I had seen oppressive Zionist labor practices in the West Bank and other places; it is even worse in South Africa, according to British researcher William Corbett. His findings, recently published in London and throughout Western Europe, have revealed some dire conditions indeed. It goes without saying that his research reports have been carefully censored out of the American establishment press, except in this magazine—the only place in the U.S. you are going to read about it.

Mr. Corbett wrote that black workers are paid seven dollars per week. But even that is misleading because the South African government—not the Israeli manufacturers—pays most of that small amount of the slave wages.

The Israelis, the first people in the world to call for workers' rights and benefits in other people's countries, offer in South Africa no medical aid at all, no sick pay or sick leave, no paid holidays, no pension program, no maternity benefits, and not even any canteens or lunchroom facilities. In fact, these overworked employees are not even al-

lowed to eat inside the factories but must go outside, no matter what the weather, and sit on the dusty ground to eat their home-made lunches. It goes without saying that there are no toilets, and no coffee or tea breaks either.

In South Africa, while other nations are withdrawing investments, the Israelis are moving in, and gleefully reaping huge profits from the massive subsidies paid by the Pretoria government to lure black workers away from the crowded cities. Israel is the only nation in the world which does not engage in some sort of boycott or sanctions against South Africa. Yet, both Israeli and American Jews march in Washington in front of the South African Embassy protesting *apartheid* and other actions of the white government. Ironic, isn't it, that the very people condemning South Africa most vociferously are in reality working hard at helping to maintain *apartheid*, while at the same time reaping immense profit. No other nation on earth could possibly get away with such duplicity and brazen double-dealing.

But the government of South Africa is equally to blame. Pretoria sent business agents to Israel, the only real "friend" of South Africa left in the entire world, to solicit Jewish firms with absolutely no scruples at all about running these slave factories. Knowing full well that no European or American firms would engage in such oppressive exploitation of labor, government officials of South Africa also knew that just one small but very greedy country of born exploiters—Israel—would agree to take part in such an inhuman venture solely for profits. Pretoria had judged the unscrupulous Zionist businessmen correctly. The Israeli firms fell over themselves to accept the offers to batten on black workers in South Africa.

The declared aim stated in press releases by the South African government turned out to be pure fiction. Pretoria, to justify its new policy, declared that the only purpose in allowing the Israelis to use slave labor was to "bring employment to poverty-stricken areas." In other words, South Africa is going around with checkbooks open to counter the growing momentum of sanctions and disinvestment by countries operating in that country. Only Israel, it seems, accepted such an unscrupulous deal.

Israeli businessmen today are involved in at least 48 "decentralization areas" established by the South African government. Some industries from Hong Kong and Taiwan have located small operations near South African cities, but they are not as involved as the Israelis in the subsidized inhuman working conditions.

Naturally, to hide and protect themselves from world scrutiny, neither the South African Decentralization Board nor the Israelis will

disclose the exact number of such factories now operating in various parts of the country. These factories are off limits to foreigners, except Israelis, of course. Since the Israelis now run just about every phase of South African government, openly or from behind the scenes, no secrets are, or could be, kept from them. Just like President Reagan, South African officials are glad to don yarmulkas and pose for photos for the press with prominent Jews.

In order to fend off questions about these "hidden" factories, they are now officially listed as "strictly confidential" and "vital to the national security" of South Africa. How absurd! Since when is a dress factory considered to be vital to the security of any nation? Despite the secrecy, I have learned that the Israelis have established themselves on a large scale in both the "independent" Ciskei homeland and in the volatile Eastern Cape province of South Africa.

Mr. Corbett, an economist, found in his comparative analysis that the Israelis in South Africa are now paying the lowest wages of any commercial enterprise any place on earth, even including the most backward of black African states. They also maintain the poorest working conditions ever devised by man on the Dark Continent. Many black workers complain that their Israeli bosses are extremely arrogant in their condescending attitude toward blacks, many of whom are beaten or assaulted at their work place. "They are using severe tactics never even considered by the worst of the Boers of 100 years ago," said a black labor leader and foreman at a gem company. The word 'Boer' is commonly used by the blacks to describe all the politically dominant white Afrikaners of Dutch descent.

The Israelis counter this criticism by saying that the Black workers are lazy. This is true to a certain extent; I have watched them work in South Africa and I must admit that black Africans are not as hard-working as Indians, Chinese, or Arabs. One Israeli businessman said that most blacks are not only lazy but also unskilled, unproductive, and do not deserve any sympathy whatsoever. This is an extremely harsh viewpoint and in my opinion should not be applied to all black workers.

In today's world, with great sympathy for South African blacks paramount throughout civilized countries, only the Israelis could get away with such radical generalizations without being condemned for it. No one dares be so bold as to dispute or criticize statements made by Zionists—certainly not U.S. officials or the American press in general. I should like to add that the White House and U.S. officials know about these Israeli slave factories but are too cowed ever to admit it publicly. It is no secret. In my estimation, the Zionists are now kings of

the universe. They can do no wrong.

Aviv Sport, which manufactures sportswear, starts workers at a little higher wage—\$9.50 per week. And some of the better workers have been paid as high as \$11 per week. But I'd like to point out that even these pay scales are only one-third of the legal minimum wage allowed by law in South Africa, which is currently pegged at \$36 a week. How, then, does Israel get by with paying less than one-third of the legal minimum wage? For exactly the same reasons that an Israeli terrorist once blew off the heavy doors of the U.S. capitol building and was never arrested for this crime. He was merely deported to Israel where he became an instant national hero with a guaranteed income for the rest of his life. By comparison, what do you think would happen to an American, or an Arab, who tried to do the same thing? My point is that in South Africa today, just as in Washington, D.C., laws do not apply to Israelis. They are exempt in both countries by a kind of blanket diplomatic immunity for an entire race.

Mr. Moshe Grembeck, manager of Aviv Sport, was asked by researchers about this gross exploitation of labor. He answered, after hesitating briefly, "I have to say, yes, I do not know how workers survive on such low wages. It is not my business. But when a husband and wife both work, their combined wages make up a reasonable income." Well, if Mr. Grembeck considers about \$19 a week a reasonable income for an entire family, then his statement is correct. But would Mr. Grembeck like for his own family to have a weekly income of only \$19 per week? That is the question. A company from Hong Kong, Electronic Associates, manufacturers of video equipment, pays the highest wages in any of the new factories, about \$22 per week to skilled workers.

Israeli industrialists, with permission of their government, freely admit that "virtually free labor" and the absence of even a semblance of any labor union was the deciding reason for them to invest in South Africa and to set up these exploitative shops.

Here is why the Zionists call it *free* labor. It is truly that as far as the Israelis are concerned. Well, almost, since out of wages of \$12 or less, the South African government pays Israel all but 60 cents or five percent, whichever is greater. So, in reality, the greedy Israelis are not even paying the going rate of \$7 to \$12 a week. They are paying from Israeli pockets only 50 or 60 cents a week to each worker. Now if that isn't a Jewish bargain-basement deal, I never heard of one. In this century, even under the very worst conditions, did you ever hear of a company's getting by with paying workers no more than 60 cents a week? And in South Africa they never heard of such things as 40-hour

work week either. And, bear in mind this is being done by the same people who are loudly demanding "human rights" for exploited workers all over the world.

And don't forget that the Israelis in Washington are still carrying placards proclaiming they are against *apartheid* in South Africa. If the public only knew what the Israelis are really doing in South Africa! It is similar to the Israeli viewpoint on the separation of church and state. They are for it for all other religions in every nation in the world—but not in Israel, where the Jewish religion and the government are entwined by the law of the land.

As one Israeli plant manager confessed, "When we first heard about the idea of moving to South Africa, it sounded crazy. But when we looked at the figures and the giant amount of profits, it then made a lot of sense to us." And rightly so. Who wouldn't like such a profitable deal with no risks? These Israeli businessmen can get rich in a hurry with nary a hint of any complaint from Congress.

That's not all the South African government did to make it profitable for the Israelis. They not only invited but even begged the Zionists to build shops and factories in the last white-ruled state in all of Africa. Easy loans were granted by Pretoria officials to their "friends" from Israel so that the greedy Zionists were actually not out one red cent in getting established and building plants for their slave labor. Not only did South Africa grant loans that did not have to be repaid, it also furnished about \$200,000 of operating capital to each company for the initial purchase of equipment and machinery. However, instead of buying new machines, the crafty Israelis bought used ones and pocketed about half of the \$200,000.

The Israeli bosses require the workers to bring their own lunches, which they are required to eat outside the plants on the grass or at the side of the dusty roads. And the Israeli plant managers had the gall to say that the workers preferred it this way and they were merely complying with the wishes of their employees! Isn't that nice? The managers even went so far as to say this was a part of black culture to eat *al fresco*. Naturally, the Zionists furnish them with no tables or other such frivolities.

At first some of the Israeli factory owners put on an act for the press by stating that they felt guilty about profiteering from *apartheid*. But did you ever hear of an Israeli who wouldn't exploit foreign workers?

Even the South African government showed some minor embarrassment at the deal with the world Zionists of Israel. Mr. Henry Strydom, a South African government spokesman, suggested strongly

when they negotiated the deal with Israel that the starting wage should be \$17 per week instead of only \$7. I guess I don't need to tell you that the Israelis quickly rejected this as being "too high" for paying labor. Then the South African government beat a hasty retreat and issued a statement to back up the Israeli decision on low wages by saying, "We see nothing wrong with Israeli pay scales because the workers are 'raw', unproductive, and often absent." Mr. Strydom heads the Development Trust Corporation which administers these industrial grants to foreign governments.

Mr. Clive Mendelsohn, another South African spokesman, said that the workers are being paid in accordance with their abilities.

"What the government [of South Africa] is doing," said an official, "is paying us compensation in this manner for the disadvantage of setting up shop here in the sticks where most people have never done any kind of work."

When I spent about four months in South Africa studying conditions there, I wondered why high-ranking South African officials always changed the subject when Israel was mentioned, just as your own U.S. Congressman will do. Now I know why.

As I have always tried to inform Americans, the Israelis are not just holding sway in Israel and the United States. They are active world-wide and they can influence any country. However, their extreme greed and their Never-failing desire to cause harm to others sometimes backfires. It happened recently in their close relationship with the Communist Chinese. Israeli military experts worked secretly in China to engineer an enormous improvement of the guidance system for Chinese missiles. Then the improved missiles were sold by the Red Chinese to Saudi Arabia. And now Israel wails that these same weapons are a potential threat to the security of the Zionist state. Ironic, isn't it?

What this means is that Israeli technology and expertise thus contributed to the security of a country which the Zionists say, might have a "destabilizing" influence in the Mideast by possibly standing up against Jewish aggression. Israeli leaders have expressed alarm about the presence in the Saudi kingdom of the medium-range missiles, which the Chinese had developed to carry nuclear warheads. They have a potential range of 1600 miles, enough to reach Israeli military bases.

I'd like to point out that both Iran and Iraq also buy weapons from Red China.

The Saudi Arabian ambassador to the U.S., Prince Bandar bin Sultan, conducted the arms sales agreement with China. He refused to

discuss it.

As they generally do, the Israelis resorted to stealth and deceit. They used fake Philippine passports in Red China in order to prevent the U.S. from learning about their devious and clandestine cooperation with Communist countries. But the CIA managed to trace those passports to the Philippine Consulate in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, which is strange, because it appears that neither Israel nor Saudi Arabia wanted to the U.S. to learn about such an outlandish deal involving our supposed friend and our Communist "enemies."

The missile involved is the CSS-2. The Saudis told American officials that they wanted the missiles mainly to create a deterrent to Iranian threats of attack.

An Israeli official was arrested last December in Hong Kong with five of the false Philippine passports which are being used by Israeli experts to travel back and forth to China. The Israeli, Mr. Svi Gafni, was sentenced to two years in prison on a variety of charges, including possession of false passports and an illegal weapon. The U.S. is secretly trying to persuade the authorities in Hong Kong to pardon and release him. Perhaps Hong Kong may be one of the few spots in the world that have not yet learned that you do not let your laws interfere with Zionists. They are to be treated differently than any other nationalities because of the "Holocaust."

The *Sunday Times* of London published a detailed story on the Gafni case. The *Times* said five Israelis were involved, including Israel Radomsky, who told the press that he and his colleagues had gone to China on fake Philippine passports and that the mission was cleared at the highest level of the Israeli government. He stated that the reason for using false passports was to prevent the U.S. from learning about the mission. And some people in Washington still maintain that Israel is a dear friend and ally of the U.S.! Does it seem that way to you?

The cowed and very docile pro-Israel American press has printed almost nothing about Israel's flourishing business of selling arms and technology to the Red Chinese, just as the military deals between South Africa and Israel were not mentioned. But the London newspapers have carried several stories, disclosing such facts as that Israel has used American technology to provide China with advanced missile technology and the details of U.S. armour-piercing devices. It was also revealed that Israel is now assisting the Reds in developing a combat fighter plane using technology from the canceled Lavi fighter project, bought and paid for entirely by the good ole American taxpayers.

Morton S. Miller, writing in a Washington military publication,

said that Israel had helped China obtain licenses to build foreign arms, notably from U.S. weapons patents. Mr. Miller believes that Chinese Communists are now using Israel to obtain for them knowledge of sophisticated American weapons, which the U.S. has flatly refused to give the Chinese.

Mr. Miller said that the first Israel-Chinese arms co-production was a modernized version of China's T-59 tank equipped with an Israeli 105-mm cannon. Mr. Miller has recently retired from the State Department's Bureau of Intelligence and Research, where he was a senior arms-transfer intelligence analyst for ten years. Now that he is out of the State Department, he is able to speak and write about these affairs, which is why I was able to get this information.

On the African front, Mr. Reagan at the last summit meeting tried to put in a good word for his Communist pals in states bordering South Africa. The President wants more aid for both Mozambique and Botswana, both run by dedicated Marxists.

Still imprisoned in South Africa for advocacy the violent overthrow of the government and plotting murder of the country's white statesmen, the black Marxist thug Nelson Mandela, now 70, was recently honored by many Americans at rallies attended by movie stars, Congressmen, and "rock" performers. These galas were called "Freedomfests" by their publicity-hungry sponsors. Senator Ted Kennedy is a personal friend of Mandela and has visited him in his prison cell several times, once while I was in South Africa.

Mandela, who is being portrayed by prominent Americans as some sort of hero, is not only a Communist agitator but also a vicious criminal. He should not be let out of prison just to please liberal Americans. For those Americans with poor memories, I remind you that when he was arrested in 1962, Mandela had in his possession 210,000 hand grenades, 48,000 land mines, 50 tons of high explosives, the plans of 107 government buildings scheduled to be blown up, and copies of a 21-page document from Moscow entitled "How to Be a Good Communist." And yet powerful American organizations are still trying to tell you that he was a misunderstood "patriot" of some kind whom the U.S. should honor as a martyred saint. He is described as being a peace-loving individual, a "non-violent protester" like the sainted Martin Luther King. So I have one question for these American imbeciles who say he is a second Ghandi. What would a man of peace be doing with several hundred thousand hand grenades and other weapons in his possession? He is about as peaceful as the Israeli terrorist armed forces in Lebanon who murder people right and left every day as this is being written.

In every part of the world, wherever I travel, I am confronted by people who want to know why U.S. government officials are such troublemakers. I have already mentioned the vast amount of unnecessary trouble created by the U.S. in Central America, the Philippines, and the Mideast. But I have also found that anti-American sentiment is just as vehement in far off Singapore. An American diplomat named E. Mason Hendrickson was caught trying to stir up a revolution in Singapore to overthrow the legitimate government.

Prime Minister Lee Kuan Yew was forced to expel Mr. Hendrickson for encouraging leftist dissidents to run for elective office as a means of changing the extremely conservative government of present-day Singapore. This American diplomat, undoubtedly under the auspices of the CIA, also took advantage of his diplomatic status to give aid and comfort to the hard-shell revolutionaries who are always lurking about, ready to rise up against the government if and when it shows the least sign of weakness.

Of course, the U.S. government retaliated with a petty show of spite by kicking out Mr. Robert Chen, First Secretary at the Singapore Embassy in Washington.

Now take the case of Panama, where General Manuel Noriega stood up to American harassment bullying which was ordered by President Reagan himself. General Noriega, who happens to be a tyrant, drug king, and a leech on his nation's treasury, is not someone I would ordinarily admire. But I do admire his will to hang in there and defy his former friend in the White House, the born sniveler who deftly turned against him. He has shown great courage by flatly defying threats to him for drug cover-ups and other alleged infractions that were thought up in Washington to get rid of him after he no longer wanted to play ball with U.S. officials. Lesser men would have paled at U.S. threats, turned tail and run for safety in Switzerland or some place. But not General Noriega. He stayed put. Even sanctions, the cutting off of food supplies and banking funds failed to remove him. Now the world admires the little crooked dictator who called America's bluff. And bear in mind that the American officials who worked with him for many years and paid him over \$200,000 per year for his "services" were just as crooked as the general. They too should be tried—and for treason since they, in effect, were hiring him to harm their own country.

I believe that most Americans will agree with me that it was futile, illegal, and un-American, as well as self-defeating for the United States deliberately to try to ruin Panama's economy and to starve men, women, and children, just in an attempt to impose its capricious will.

It was unlawful because it broke our signed treaty with the little Central American state, which specifically prohibited the use of any type of economic force, boycotts, or sanctions.

Mr. Reagan's actions were self-destructive because the U.S. has now invited other nations to treat us the way we are treating Panama; and because Panama, while hungry and desperate for supplies, may now go the way of Cuba and turn to the Soviet Union. Then the Russians would be in a position to slow down and control traffic through the Panama Canal, which we gave away a few years ago. Panama has stated that it will accept aid from any place, including the Communist bloc.

Isn't it about time for the U.S. to act legally, humanely, and constructively in foreign policies? How long will Washington choose to starve and injure thousands of people just to prove a point, an illegal one at that?

Americans are generally a "take it for granted" nation. They believe this once-proud country will not change much, let alone go under. Right now Americans are like wild animals surviving in a zoo. Animals can adapt and live in zoos only at the cost of losing the physical vigor, alert intelligence, and behavioral splendor they possessed in their natural habitat.

Human beings crowded into a poorly ventilated room usually fail to notice that their they breathe becomes stale and ever staler. They are unaware of the slow deterioration because the change takes place so gradually.

It is the same with political changes which slowly reduce the freedom of all Americans. Most of them will not notice the constant erosion of their rights and values until they end up behind a fence they cannot break through—just like the animals once proud and free now trapped in the zoo.

#### A FINAL WARNING BEFORE NOVEMBER 8

I am not an admirer of George Bush. However, I must ask, beg, insist, and exhort all voters to go to the polls and vote for the Vice President.

This may sound contradictory. It isn't. Not when one considers that placing Michael Dukakis in the White House would be the worst catastrophe ever to befall this nation. I cannot think of anything worse than electing this Massachusetts phony to our highest office. It would hasten the total destruction of the U.S. by about twenty years.

Mr. Dukakis is not the poor son of Greek immigrants that he

claims to be in his publicity campaign. He really comes from a wealthier and more pretentious segment of society than does Mr. Bush. Dukakis has a Harvard background and even taught there at the John F. Kennedy School of Government.

Even his claim that his parents were both born in Greece is false. His mother was born in central Greece. His father, however, was born in Edremit, a town in turkey—not Greece. According to the best available Turkish records, his father was of mixed Greek-Turkish-Jewish ancestry.

His wife Kitty is, of course, Jewish, as are their two children. He goes to the synagogue with them and the prelate of the Greek Orthodox Church has stated publicly that Mr. Dukakis is not what he claims to be, a member of that religion, giving three valid reason: 1. His position in favor of abortion. 2. His love and promotion of the homosexual way of life. 3. His marriage outside the church to a Jewish divorcée. Cartoonist Steve Benson of the *Arizona Republic* in Phoenix illustrated these three precepts with a cartoon showing little Mike looking up at the priests of the church while saying, "It's all Greek to me."

Of course, during the campaign, his Jewish family life has been kept in the background. But Mrs. Dukakis, a very outspoken and overbearing woman, is not like Nancy Reagan. In a magazine interview, she declared that she would have a say in running the country. She plans to have an office right next to that of the president and would sit in on all meetings. So it looks as if you could have a Jewish female chief executive in the White House even before you get a male one. Kitty says that she will outdo Rosalyn Carter in this department.

The latest polls at this writing show Mr. Dukakis leading the Vice President by about 15 points. This is ridiculous. American voters can't be that stupid—or can they?

Mr. Dukakis is a card-carrying member of the American Civil Liberties Union, a Jewish organization which is bent on the destruction of this nation. Need I tell you that he vetoed a bill permitting the Pledge of Allegiance in public schools of his state? He let a convicted murderer out of prison ten times on leave, even after the man had raped and robbed on each of his furloughs. Do you really want this irresponsible liberal in the White House? Think about it.


Not only is Mr. Dukakis the carefully-selected choice of the Israeli Lobby and the world Zionists; he is also a high tax, anti-defense, criminal-coddling, ultra-liberal who hates the old-fashioned American values, or what we have left of them today.

You don't have to like George Bush. I am not asking you to do so.



*Christophersen/Roeder*

# Auschwitz



**THE BOOK  
THAT MADE THE JEWS SO MAD  
THEY HAD TO INVENT THE  
MOVIE HOLOCAUST!**

Order No: 1017 single copy \$2.50 + \$1.00 for postage  
5 copies \$10.00 + \$1.00 for postage.

Order from:

**LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA**

---

---

## TRIAL BY JEWRY



**THE  
GREAT HOLOCAUST TRIALS  
IN TORONTO 1983 - 1988**

*by David McCalden*

---

---

*TRIAL BY JEWRY*  
The Great Holocaust Trials  
in Toronto 1983 - 1988

by *David McCalden*

First Edition 1988

© Copyright 1988 by Liberty Bell Publications  
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

Additional copies available from:  
Liberty Bell Publications  
P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA  
or  
Truth Missions  
P.O. Box 3849, Manhattan Beach CA 90266 USA

Printed in the United States of America

## CHAPTER FOUR

### The Preliminary Part Three

In order to Give Raul Hilberg the interrogation he deserved, Dr. Robert Faurisson and I regularly burned the midnight oil, laying out questions, and strategies, for Zündel's attorney, Lauren Marshall, to put to Hilberg next day.

This procedure was not without its difficulties. First of all, Faurisson was—and is—affiliated with the Institute for Historical Review here in California. Now, although it was I who dreamt up the entire IHR concept, and was its Director for the first 2 1/2 years of its existence, I split from the IHR in April 1981, on account of the corruption of its *éminence grise* Willis A. Carto. Since that time, relations between the IHR and myself deteriorated rapidly, reaching an all-time low in March 1985, when the IHR seized my mailing-list records (due to a delivery mix-up), delayed my outgoing mail (a promo for Ernst Zündel!), and filed a \$500,000 lawsuit against me for “unfair competition” (eventually, the courts ruled in my favor, and the IHR paid me handsome compensation for their impudence). Consequently, all of my discussions with Faurisson had to be confined to issues of Revisionist research; he categorically refused to engage in any small-talk, or normal conversation, regarding his own attitude toward the IHR's administration. Ironically, Faurisson eventually became so disgusted with the IHR while he was in Toronto that he quit their so-called “Editorial Advisory Committee” by telephone; however a few days later he was persuaded to change his mind by the IHR's “Director” Tom Marcellus, who used his Scientology brainwashing skills to bamboozle Faurisson, who at that time (1984-85) was suffering severe nervous stress and depression, on account of Zionist harassment in France. It is common knowledge that Scientologists zero-in on those who are psychologically vulnerable; they have been known to scour the newspaper obituary columns, seeking out the (especially vulnerable) bereaved relatives for their financial overtures.

Secondly, besides staying up late with Faurisson to map out the next day's strategy, I had to arise early the next morning to run downtown to present the materials to Lauren Marshall at her office, and then escort her across the street and into the side door of the Old City Hall courthouse. Mrs. Marshall and I seemed to hit it off pretty good, even though she was a chain-smoker, and probably, a heavy

drinker. (Several months later she suffered a severe heart-attack; stress, booze, and cigarettes were undoubtedly the combined cause.) Because her old drinking-partner, Judge Wally Hryciuk (presumably of Ukrainian descent), Ukes are the third-largest ethnic Group in Canuckistan) had decreed that the Prelim proceedings need not begin until 10am each morning, we had ample time to go over the day's projected strategy. However, it quickly became apparent that Lauren Marshall had no sympathy whatsoever for Revisionism, and even less interest in learning about our arguments. Her private advice to me was to "get out of it" before I got much older, and that I "didn't belong" with the Zündel support group, whom she regarded as red-necks. (To be honest, at that time they were largely red-necks; it was only through dedicated, persevering, unwavering, expensive, Revisionist donkey-work that Ernst Zündel was able to gradually attract to his banner the kind of intellectual, intelligent, sophisticated Revisionists, who would eventually make his case a watershed.) I daresay there was even a personal factor at work also: a few days into the Preliminary, Mrs. Marshall invited Faurisson and me to swim and play tennis with her and her British *au pai* girl. After I let it slip that I was married, my invitation somehow got lost in the shuffle. (Faurisson went and had a good time!) Zündel confirms this interpretation, telling me that after I returned to California, Lauren Marshall was "out to lunch" and could barely follow the step-by-step instructions laid out for her. (However, an alternative explanation would revolve around Zündel's illegible hand-writing, and Faurisson's [then] unintelligible French accent; after I left they shared the rôle of lawyer-liaison.)

The Crown's examination of Hilberg had been extremely skimpy, and no one was very impressed with the performance of Prosecutor Peter Griffiths. Even Jewish commentators would later sneer at the fact that his "only experience was with breaking-and-entering (burglary) cases, and this was the first case he had ever handled involving Holocaust Denial litigation" (Irwin Cotler. *Boston College Third World Law Journal*, Winter 1988, p. 69). To be fair, it would be rather difficult for Griffiths to have had such experience: Ontario had ever prosecuted only one "race hate" case before, and it had turned into a circus. Two Québec nationalists had been tried for distributing anti-Québec flyers; the flyers were spoofs, and the prosecution collapsed in chaos. This was one of the reasons why the authorities were so reluctant to take action against Zündel until they were railroaded by Mrs. Citron—they didn't want to get their fingers burned a second time!

Faurisson and I, with some input from Bradley Smith, and of course the defendant Ernst Zündel, had prepared reams of charts, in-

terrogatories, and tables, for Mrs. Marshall to use in her cross-examination of Hilberg. We started off by asking him if the Nazis had an "extermination plan." He said that it was not anything like the Soviet four-year plan, or whatever, it was just something which evolved, step by step. Like Topsy, it seems, the Gas-chambers jes' grew.

We confronted him with a feature on "Concentration Camps" which he had published in the 1968 edition of the *Encyclopedia Americana* (Vol.7 p.49g). Therein, Hilberg had listed the camps with "Gas-chambers" (as opposed to the western camps which were not so equipped, as "Auschwitz, Treblinka, Belsen, Sobibor and Chelmo." Under prodding, Hilberg claimed that the inclusion of "Belsen" was a mistake, and that he had actually written "Belzec." Presumably the typographer was to blame.

We inquired why he had not included Lublin-Majdanek in his list, and he replied that the "primary method of killing [at Lublin-Majdanek] was shooting...gassing operations were limited" (Prelim. p. 117). This will come as a big surprise to anyone who has ever visited Lublin-Majdanek. Unlike at Auschwitz-1, there is no "shooting wall" presented; only "gas-chambers" which the Germans cleverly disguised as 1) a disinfection block, and 2) a crematorium. In 1987 I led a team of Revisionists to Poland to investigate the current Exterminationist claims. We traveled throughout the country, and made three 2hr videotapes of our investigations, which I have entitled *The Holocaust Revisited*. (The three tapes are available in VHS North America only, at \$50 each, from Truth Missions.) Inspired by my amateur attempts at empirical study of the camps, Ernst Zündel sent a professional team of forensic scientists and engineers on the same trip in 1988. Their scientific report, entitled *The Leuchter Report* is available from David Clark, Box 726, Decatur AL 35602. Fred Leuchter himself appeared as a witness at EZ#2, but his *Report* was only partially admitted into evidence.

Cynically, Hilberg also argued that he had omitted Lublin-Majdanek from the list of "death camps" because he was limited to 1700 words; presumably the addition of one or two more words would have despoiled his entire meter.

Then, when we started to quiz Hilberg about the first "gassings", and the "gassing totals" Judge Hryciuk intervened to point out that after all, this was only a Preliminary, and he didn't need to be bothered with argument about whether it was six million or five million, and whether they were gassed by Zyklon or by diesel fumes.

Mrs. Marshall was right on the ball, when she pointed out in reply that Hilberg's book claims over 100,000 people done to death in a mere three Chelmo "gas vans." The physical plausibility of such

claims is a factor in weighing the credibility of the Expert<sup>®</sup> witness, she argued, in a rare turn of skill.

Judge Wally Hryciuk allowed her to continue on this basis, but quickly interrupted her again (p.125) when she dared to inquire of the esteemed professor, whether the "gas vans" emitted [toxic] carbon monoxide (CO) or [the somewhat harmless] carbon dioxide (CO<sup>2</sup>). "What's the difference?" he wanted to know. One hopes that if Judge Hryciuk ever contemplates suicide by auto exhaust, that he does not choose a diesel Mercedes to asphyxiate himself. Although diesel exhaust does indeed give off a hideous black smoke, it is not really very toxic (see; Fritz Berg, *Journal of Historical Review*, Spring 1984, p. 15).

We continued to play cat-and-mouse with the judge. He obviously wanted to get the case over and done with as quickly as possible without tarnishing his reputation with spurious accusations of objectivity. And Mrs. Marshall was anxious to preserve her own legal career, by inserting qualifying remarks about the Revisionist position, such as "I am told that..." and "My information is that..."

Conscious of Fritz Berg's forthcoming research, we asked Professor Hilberg whether the "gas-chambers" used carbon monoxide (CO) or hydrogen cyanide (HCN). He claimed (p. 125) that Belzec, Sobibor, and Treblinka all used carbon monoxide (presumably engine exhaust) while Lublin-Majdanek could utilise both means. For some reason, Hilberg forgot to list the biggest "mill of death" itself: Auschwitz and its subsidiary, Birkenau, where, according to the Polish authorities, "four million" were done to death; Hilberg's research indicates "barely one million" (Prelim. pp. 133-135).

We asked him if he had ever encountered any construction plans for said "gas-chambers." He said "We have not found the plans. We have got the descriptions from German personnel that served there" (Prelim. p. 126).

We pointed out to Hilberg that one of the very civic-minded German personnel whom he cited in his book *The Destruction of the European Jews* was one Kurt Gerstein, a sanitation officer of questionable mental balance. According to Gerstein's post-war confessional, he witnessed the gassing at Belzec of 700-800 people, crushed into 25 square meters, or 32 people per square meter. We put it to Hilberg that such claims represented a physical impossibility; in fact one French "historian", Leon Poliakov, was so struck by this ridiculous claim, that he arbitrarily "corrected" Gerstein's estimate of the room size to "93 square meters" (*Harvest of Hate*, Holocaust Library, p. 195). During Professor Faurisson's interminable legal battles with Poliakov in the Paris courts, Poliakov defended his use of "93m<sup>2</sup>" by

producing a xerox of a xerox of a xerox of Gerstein's "original" confession, which was introduced at Nuremberg, without its "author." The copy was so poor that the actual figures were impossible to read, so Poliakov had merely exercised his historical expertise in attributing the "correct" dimensions to Gerstein's account.

Just as the Christian will dismiss the skeptic's queries about the physical impossibility of miracles, resurrections, raptures, and the like with the smug, all-encompassing claim that "with Jesus, all things are possible", so Hilberg responded that with the Holocaust, "it is quite amazing how many people can be squeezed in" to such small spaces. However, he conceded that he had "approached the Gerstein affidavit with very Great caution." For example, Gerstein claims that Hitler and Himmler visited Lublin on 15 August 1942; trips which are contradicted by their diaries and itineraries. Gerstein also claims a grand Holocaust total of "25 million" and that August is in "winter." Although Hilberg insisted that he had just used the Gerstein affidavit "for only one thing...namely for the existence of the camp...for the existence of gas chambers" (p. 129), a detailed study of Hilberg's book shows that he cites the Gerstein affidavit in his footnotes almost a dozen times, mostly to provide a lurid description of a "gassing" operation. Hilberg was not bothered by the fact that if Gerstein's description of the dimensions was so implausible, how, then, could one rely on his account of the "gassing" process itself?

Of course, there is considerable doubt that Gerstein actually wrote his own "confessions." He was never produced in person at Nuremberg to testify to the authenticity of his affidavit, and to be cross-examined on his claims; he had disappeared, and was presumed dead. Furthermore, many of his whackier claims had actually appeared one year earlier, under the authorship of one Jankiel Wiernik, a survivor of Treblinka. Wiernik's survivor yarn claimed that "500 persons were crowded into a 25m<sup>2</sup> gas chamber" and later that "1200 persons could be crowded into one 50m<sup>2</sup> gas chamber." Wiernik says that the "gas chamber" had a Star of David on the roof and looked like a synagogue; Gerstein claims there was a Star of David on the roof, and that it looked like a synagogue. Wiernik has Himmler visiting Treblinka; Gerstein has Himmler [and Hitler!] visiting Lublin. Wiernik's loony pamphlet was published in Polish after he escaped from Treblinka; copies were smuggled to the west, and an English-language edition appeared in New York in 1944. It seems plausible that copies were available as resource material, to whoever wrote "Kurt Gerstein's" confessions in 1945.

In keeping with his policy of only using the most credible sources, Hilberg cites Wiernik's testimony in his footnotes on pages 585 and 586 of *Destruction*. He concludes that only "about 12" survived Treblinka, which will come as a surprise to the U.S. Office of Special Investigations, which interviewed almost 50 Treblinka alumni for their deportation suit against John Demjanjuk!

Hilberg testified that he was certain that the "death camps" were just that, because he had consulted the German railroad records, and had found that many people were being shipped there, and did not return. Since "there was no industry" at the sites, therefore they must have been gassed.

Of course, if Hilberg had bothered to visit any of the "Holocaust" sites he would have known that this claim was simply untrue. Auschwitz in particular had—and still has—a vast array of petrochemical plants in the vicinity, all of which give off stinking smoke and fumes. Majdanek is likewise on the outskirts of Lublin, a major city with industries, commerce, and a historic university. Both Auschwitz and Majdanek have the remains of acres upon acres of *living* accommodations; Au-Birkenau could have held almost 200,000 people if it had been completed. Why would the Germans have provided *housing* for so many people, if their main purpose was *killing*?

The case of the "pure death camps" is somewhat different. At Treblinka, Sobibor, and Belzec there is little trace of any housing, or any permanent or semi-permanent structures of any kind. However, all three camps are adjacent to enormous sand quarries, and lumber plantations. Also, they are each located near the River Bug, where East-West railroad gauges change from European to Russian. Hilberg simply chose to ignore the possibility that the three camps might have been transit centers, where Jews and others stopped while arrangements were made for their expulsion further eastwards, and where they were put to work at the sand or lumber projects, while they were waiting. In western archives there are very few Nazi documents relating to the three camps; Mark Weber has discovered some which speak of small-scale munitions workshops at Treblinka. And another investigator has recently found some German aerial photos of Treblinka from 1944, which show the camp as still in existence, and not "dismantled" and "sent into oblivion" [a place in Ukraine?] as is claimed by the Exterminationists. The news that the Soviets are now going to turn over their Nazi archives *in toto* (instead of just sporadic items here and there) is certainly encouraging. Maybe at long last we will be able to examine some of the Nazi records for the "death camps" and bury the ludicrous Holocaust claims once and for all. Although the Exter-

minationists have been trumpeting about the announcement's great value for "Nazi-hunting", they may well have the smirk wiped off their faces once the Revisionist scholars get a hold of the documents.

As his cross-examination progressed, Hilberg became more and more aware that we were "onto him." Previously, he had testified at various OSI deportation cases in the United States, where he could vent forth for hours on end, without fear of contradiction or challenge from the small-town, hillbilly defense lawyers, which were all the OSI's victims could afford. Gradually it dawned on Hilberg, that this time he was up against something else. He had come to Toronto anticipating that he would just present his usual OSI-style testimony at \$150 an hour, and then he would hop on a plane back to his university cloisters. Thanks to our rigorous grilling, he quickly began to concede more and more Holocaust doctrines, sometimes in anticipation of the actual questions!

Looking back over Hilberg's testimony, it seems quite clear that he had carefully studied Dr Faurisson's research—and since he recognized him in the audience—was anxious to wriggle out of any potential problems well in advance. Hilberg would patronize Mrs. Marshall by offering to "save you a lot of labor" whereas in fact he was trying to save himself a lot of embarrassment, by conceding Holocaust whoppers in advance of their being put to him; something he had rarely done in his book. He voluntarily conceded (p. 131) that there were all kinds of rumors about the eastern "death camps"—Belzec especially. Gerstein claims "diesel gassings." Polish Righteous Gentile Jan Karski insists that the Jews were killed with "quicklime." Dr. Stefan Szende (*The Promise Hitler Kept*) insists that the Jews were "electrocuted" to death.

Similarly with Treblinka: modern authorities claim diesel gassings. But back in 1942-46, survivors variously claimed that Treblinka victims were either "steamed" or "vacuumed" to death. (See: *The Black Book [of Polish Jewry]* 1943 & 1946; also Nuremberg exhibit PS-3311.)

Hilberg insisted that these way-out rumors were traceable to "simple Polish peasants" in the vicinity of the "death camps" who did not possess the expertise of Holocaust<sup>®</sup> Experts<sup>®</sup> such as himself, who know how to differentiate between rumor and reality. He blamed the Polish peasants for this "misinterpretation" even though the Polish Jews' allegations were every bit as ludicrous as those of the Polish Gentiles. (Hilberg was not of course as candid as his British counterpart, Gerald Reitlinger. In the Bibliography of his Holocaust tome, *The Final Solution*, Reitlinger suggests that Holocaust students should take with a grain of salt the allegations of "Eastern European Jews"

who are "natural rhetoricians, speaking in flowery similes.") Hilberg volunteered that the "soap rumor" was just that, a rumor. Although his "soap" references in *Destruction* are almost always qualified by a disclaimer, the exact same sources are used to verify equally ludicrous claims of "blood extractions." Although Hilberg repudiated the "soap" rumor in a footnote to his book in 1961, it was not until twenty years later that the allegation would be firmly rejected by Dr. Deborah Lipstadt [then] of the University of California (*L.A. Times* 16 May 1981). Dr. Lipstadt alleged that the "soap rumor" had been thoroughly investigated after the war, and repudiated. Yet, requests for verification of this claim to her (213-655-5008) go unanswered.

Dr. Lipstadt is herself an enigma. She was let go from UCLA for unknown reasons. Then she took a position as director of the Brandeis-Bardin Institute of Jewish Studies in the Los Angeles suburbs; she lasted only a short time. Now she is employed by both the Vidal Sassoon Center for Holocaust Studies, and the prestigious Wilshire Synagogue, as a "Holocaust scholar." She is currently engaged in writing a book on the "Revisionists" and has been quoted as insisting that she would like nothing better than to sit down with the Revisionists to discuss the scientific realities of the "gas chambers" (*L.A. Reader*, 16 Sep 88 p. 1). Unfortunately for Ms. Lipstadt's position, it was she who had me thrown out of a Holocaust conference at Oxford University, in July of 1988, for suggesting just such a discussion.

We next asked Hilberg about his Holocaust totals. He acknowledged that the initial [1945/46] Auschwitz figures had indeed been "much higher" than his own "definitive" figures. (In fact, the monument at Auschwitz-Birkenau claims "4,000,000" who "suffered and died" there.) He also repudiated the much higher figures of George Wellers, the French-Jewish Holocaust historian, preferring his own figure of "approximately one million" (p. 135).

We taxed Hilberg about his allegation on page 626 of his book that arrivals at Au-Birkenau (for some mysterious reason, misrepresented as "Buchenwald" in the Zündel transcript) could see "great flames belching forth from chimneys" and how this could be reconciled with the CIA's photo-pamphlet *The Holocaust Revisited* which specifically refers (p. 11) to the lack of smoking, flaming, chimneys in its aerial photographs? Hilberg successfully waffled around the issue and gave no explanation as to why the CIA's photos depicted not a single "flaming chimney", despite their irrational insistence to the opposite.

(Of course, Hilberg was/is not unique in this approach. Lili Meier's liberated *Auschwitz Album* also claims in its text (p. 14) that

the Auschwitz chimneys were daily "belching forth flames and smoke"; yet her photos (pp. 15, 59 & 107) quite clearly depict the opposite.)

Hilberg quickly conceded the current fakery of the "gas chambers" at Auschwitz and Au-Birkenau. On p. 138 of his testimony, Hilberg acknowledged that the "Auschwitz-1 gas chamber" had been "partially reconstructed after World War Two just for tourists and for record-keeping [!] and just to show what happened."

After the lunch break, Hilberg had seemingly recouped his impetus, and gave Mrs. Marshall the runaround for the first two hours of the afternoon. She quickly threw in the towel, and let him go.

Ernst Zündel deserves our support! He can be reached at  
206 Carlton Street, Toronto ONT M5A 2L1, CANADA,  
or by phone at 416-922-9850.

### WHICH WAY, WESTERN MAN? SURVIVAL MANUAL FOR THE WHITE RACE

William Gayley Simpson has spent a lifetime of keen observation, careful analysis, and deep reflection developing the principal thesis of his book: that the single, undying purpose of all human activity should be the ennobling of man. In support of this thesis he looks at the foundations of Western Society, at the structure of our government, at the effect of technology and industrialization on man, at the roles of the sexes, at economics, and at race. The book goes to the roots of the problems facing the White Race today, and it shows the ways in which White society must be changed if the race is to survive. *Which Way Western Man?* is an encyclopedic work whose conclusions can be ignored by no one with a sense of responsibility to the future. For your copy of *Which Way Western Man?* send \$17.50 for the deluxe, clothbound edition (Order No. 22004), or \$14.00 for the softback edition (Order No. 22003) including postage and handling to:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS  
Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA.

soon be over. Reagan will be retired from the cast of the great American burlesque show in January 1989, when he will certainly be replaced by either another shabbat goy or a person of dubious antecedents, the lackey of a Jewess. Gorbachev's future was less predictable. At any time, whenever he has served his purpose, which may have been to make propaganda to facilitate the planned amalgamation of the United States with the Soviet Union or to cover some really secret operation, he can be thrust back into the obscurity from which he came.

If Xan's guess is right, and IF Pamyat is more than an instrument cynically used by the "conservative" and supposedly "nationalist" faction in the Politburo, then this is the first real evidence of a serious evaluation of Jews in Russia.

Xan also suggests that Pamyat has not been condignly liquidated in blood because it is a promotion of the Soviet secret police (KGB), who, as he does not remark, are, so far as anyone knows, still predominantly Jews. That also is possible. An organization appealing to Russian historical pride and patriotism would be a perfect device for luring into the open Russians who are still capable of thinking for themselves. Thus identified, they could then be inconspicuously murdered at leisure.

There is, however, the alternative possibility that Pamyat was created and exhibited to Western journalists to induce once again in credulous Aryan "racists" a soothing hope that the Russians will soon liberate themselves from their Yiddish masters.

Xan's reported discovery must be taken into account when we try to estimate the chances that Russians may possibly recover possession of their country. But while you are pondering that problem, remember that several years ago the *Observer* (London), said to have the second or third largest Sunday circulation in Britain, solemnly assured English boobs, on the faith of its reporter in Washington, that all the Sweet Sheenies in the United States were trembling with fear lest the awful Robert White, publisher of the "Duck Books," start stuffing them by the millions into gas chambers.

Mr. White, who was recently murdered in Central America, presumably by robbers,<sup>8</sup> never once even breathed an unkind hint

8. Some suspect that the murder was a successful operation by our bungling C.I.A., but after White gave up his promotion of the "Duck Books" and the loose organization based on them, he cannot conceivably have

about Yahweh's masterpieces, but he did publish the "Duck Books" before giving them up, and he did denounce the plundering of the United States by greedy financiers and the predatory politicians they hire—and all Jews know that anyone who champions the American people is their enemy, whether he knows it or not. But just the same, I will bet that the *Observer's* talented reporter never saw a single shivering Sheeny. He was just an up-to-date journalist. Is consternated Xan another?

## SUICIDAL BLUNDER

Every few days the newspapers report another massacre in India or Ceylon, reminding us that such massacres have occurred continually ever since the British made India independent. The news doubtless delights the "Liberal intellectuals" who prated about the evils of "colonialism," for we all know how the delicious aroma of fresh blood stimulates the ideals of "do-gooders."

We may note in passing that the success of the silly propaganda for "anti-colonialism" in this country and elsewhere was largely made possible by a linguistic error. The word 'colony' had been applied ambiguously to two radically different things, between which low-grade minds did not discriminate. The British 'colonies' in North America, South Africa, Australia, and New Zealand were outposts of empire: territories occupied by natives of vastly inferior races were taken from those natives and opened for settlement by Englishmen and such of their racial brethren in Europe as wished to join them; the inferior races were naturally pushed into areas that were useless to white men to avoid the trouble of exterminating them. A real colony, such as India, was a vastly different enterprise: a huge and more or less civilized native population was to be protected, ruled, and exploited for the benefit of the conquerors, and no one was so mad as to think of replacing it with English settlers.

The use of one word to designate two entirely different things made it possible for our enemies to induce feeble-minded Americans to think of even squalid savages in Africa as somehow like the contemporaries of George Washington and Thomas Jefferson. And the senseless confusion was, of course, facilitated by

represented even a remotely potential menace to our enemies, and it seems unlikely they would take the trouble to plan and finance an assassination which was not even made a warning to other dissatisfied Americans.

the Christian superstition about Yahweh's One World and by the poisonous residue which that fiction had left even in the minds of professed atheists.

## I

Persons who are sincerely concerned for the welfare of the decent inhabitants of India perceived decades ago that the shameful British retreat from their colonial possession was a calamity for that country. If a labile memory does not betray me, it was the late Lord Littleton who, in an address delivered in the 1940s, remarked obiter that India had never been so well governed as it was when its governors wrote Latin verse, i.e., were the well-educated English gentlemen who ruled India in the period that began with the British conquest and ended in 1921, when the muddle-headed English sent the Marquess of Reading, a wily Sheeny disguised as a British nobleman, to India as the Viceroy, to demoralize the British administration, traduce British officers, and stir up continual disorder and rioting with promises of "independence," which, naturally, every ethnic and ethnico-religious group in India envisaged as a glorious era in which they would kick all the other groups into their proper places.

This is not to say that the British, always harassed by their Christian rabble, did not make grave mistakes in the administration of India. One of these is pointed out by a Hindu woman, Margaret Bhatt, who is a regular contributor to the *American Atheist*, in the issue for February 1988. When the British occupied India, they fenced off the various sites in India that were regarded as especially sacred and suitable for suicide, and attempted to prevent Hindus from killing themselves whenever they deemed it best to end their lives.

That act of misgovernment and gratuitous oppression must, of course, be sharply distinguished from the equally resented policy of the British in suppressing the Thugs, who worshiped Kali by winning the confidence of travelers and decoying them into places where they could be treacherously murdered.<sup>1</sup> That was a

1. It would seem that the worship of Kali, a native (non-Aryan) goddess, usually depicted as a black female with blood-smeared breasts and a necklace of skulls, was originally conducted with weapons, such as she is shown brandishing in most representations of her. There is extant in Sanskrit a hymn of uncertain date which begins, in my translation, "Kali! Kali! My sword is naked in the night," and ends with the pious adjuration, "Kill, O Kali, kill!" Worship was evidently then conducted by a

measure necessary to maintain order and protect inhabitants who wanted to live, and distinct from cruel denial of death to those who wanted to die.

The two examples illustrate perfectly the fundamentals of colonial government. A wise colonial power never interferes with the religion and customs of its subjects except when a minimum of interference is absolutely necessary to impose its total authority on them.

## II

The Hindu authoress, who in her regular contributions to the magazine sometimes shows herself imperfectly informed about the history and literature of her own people, disapproves of the British rule that, on the whole, brought so many benefits to India, but she oddly seems to approve of what was an outrageous act of gratuitous despotism; and although she is presumably an atheist, and certainly is not a Christian, she evidently approves of laws against suicide and deplores the failure of Indian authorities to enforce those laws against persons who profess to commit suicide from religious motives, which she contemns.

The attempt to deny individuals the right to end their lives when they so choose is a prime example of Christian nastiness and of the fakirs' tropism for malicious meddling with the lives of individuals who are so unfortunate as to be exposed to the morbid zeal of holy men.

doctrine that forbade the shedding of blood, the later Thugs developed the technique of strangling with a noose victims whom they had lured into some suitably solitary place with professions of comradeship, regarding the process of trapping and killing as an exciting hunt. When the British suppressed the Thugs, some of them, immune for acts committed before the law was enacted, gladly admitted they had attained the distinction of equalling the record of the one who boasted that he had murdered more than nine hundred travelers whose confidence he had won, and that the successful conclusion of each hunt gave him a delightful thrill as he tightened the noose about his surprised victim's neck, after which he concealed the corpse in a hastily dug grave to avert publicity that might have made travelers less gullible and thus made it harder for him to fulfill joyously his religious obligation. The Thugs usually operated in pairs to make sure that no victim would escape by belated resistance, and often other Thugs simulated groups of travelers on the same road, so that the victim would think that he was safely in public.

If there is such a thing as a natural and inalienable right, it is an animal's right to its own body and life. In more civilized and rational societies, no one ever attempted such outrageous tyranny as the Christians thought their superstition authorized—certainly no one attempted to curtail that inalienable right of free men, and efforts to prevent the suicide of slaves or captives were regarded as a form of vicious selfishness.<sup>2</sup>

Before our racial mentality was added by the Christian hoax, it was always recognized that suicide was the right of every man, the door that was always open so that life could not become a dungeon in which we were hopelessly imprisoned, the door through which one could escape at will from intolerable suffering and from dishonor. As Seneca said, the power that had shaped the universe had done nothing more beneficent than to provide that while there was only one entrance to life, there were many exits.<sup>3</sup>

For men of our race, suicide was the ultimate guarantee of a man's freedom and honor. Qui mori didicit, servire dedit: he who knows how to die will never be a slave.<sup>4</sup>

In our race, only Christians were capable of the cruelty of trying to deny a man's right to leave life when it became or seemed insupportable to him. One memorable example of pious malignancy was recorded by Mark Twain in his notes: "I knew a man who....suffered partial paralysis, lay in his bed for thirty-eight years, unable to speak, unable to feed himself, unable to write; not even the small charity of quenching his mind was doled out to him—he lay and thought and brooded and mourned and begged for death 38 years."<sup>5</sup>

2. Seneca commented on the immorality of masters who prevented their slaves from committing suicide.

3. *Epist. mor.*, 70 (=VIII.1), 14: Nihil melius aeterna lex fecit, quam quod unum introitum nobis ad vitam dedit, exitus multos.

4. Seneca, *ibid.*, 26 (=III.5), 10. A slightly different phrasing of the thought by the same author was the motto of the Romanian Iron Guard, which was a specifically Christian organization, but, like National Socialism in Germany, fostered in its members the Aryan manhood that is execrated by Jews and "Liberal" punks (cf. *Liberty Bell*, May 1988, pp. 1 ff.).

5. *Notebook*, ed. A. B. Paine (New York, Harper's, 1935), p. 363.

Such fiendish cruelty is enough to make one despair of the race that is capable of it. Today, many men and women are being held prisoner in hospitals and forced to die slowly and in agony, because they are denied the means of ending their suffering. Others are, in fact, already dead and can never recover consciousness, but their corpses are forced by ingenious apparatus to mimic life so that the hospitals and their technicians can collect a few more thousands of dollars. Persons under sentence of death or imprisonment they are unwilling to endure are denied their inalienable right to end their own lives, just to torture them<sup>6</sup> and waste the money extorted from taxpayers by our great machine of 'democratic' corruption.

Throughout the Christian era, despite all perversely foolish laws, men and women not imprisoned have ignored the raving of holy men and found in death surcease of pain or dishonor,<sup>7</sup> and the utmost ingenuity of Christian sadists was unable to devise a means of inflicting torture on a corpse. The practice of punishing suicides by burying their bodies at crossroads so they would be constantly disturbed by the traffic passing over their heads deterred no one who wished to die. It was possible, of course, to torment persons who unsuccessfully tried to kill themselves, and that was the only way in which the sinister fanatics could find the pleasure of inflicting their innate cruelty on their betters.

6. I remember a case that excited the newspapers when I was a child. A murderer who had been condemned to death found a means (possibly by the technique used by Hindu fakirs) to induce in himself a state of permanent catalepsy, and experts were summoned from all over the country and perhaps from Europe to restore him to consciousness so that he could be made to suffer before he was executed. After much frantic and prolonged ado about nothing reasonable, his unconscious body was finally carried to the electric chair.

7. Some little restraint may possibly have been exerted by a belief in immortality; cf. John Addington Symonds:

There is a doubt drearier than any deep

Thought's plummet ever sounded....

The curse of this existence, whence it came

We view not; only this we view, that naught

Shall free man from self's robe of sentient flame.

There is no cunningest way to murder thought.

Stab, poison, strangle: yea, the flesh hath died!

What further skill yields souls their suicide?

### III

The gross blunder of their administration of India was one of the comparatively few indefensible aspects of British colonial policy. I could wish that the Hindu authoress, writing in a frankly atheistic periodical, had championed a rational view of her subject, instead of compromising with the Christian "do-gooding" that is the bane of our civilization.

She is especially worried by a problem to which she returns in a later issue, the custom of "suttee," i.e. sati, 'a faithful wife,' by which widows perish by mounting their husband's funeral pyre and dying in the flames, thus assuring themselves that they will be married to the same man in the next reincarnation. Suicide by fire is invariably accounted holy, and it cancels the karma of all sins committed in the life thus ended, but Hindu theologians are embarrassed to explain the justice of the wife's fate when the husband, who has died a natural death, has committed offenses which doom him to a much lower level of existence. Hindu women sometimes do not sacrifice themselves voluntarily and are coerced by their families, and that, when considered abstractly, does present an ethical problem, although the authoress has to admit that the life of a widow who survives her husband is scarcely worth living, and she cannot deny that there is no ethical problem for those who have faith in any of the dominant religions of India.<sup>8</sup> Mrs. Bhatta can only inveigh against the religions that ordain the rite, while she deplores the current revival of the custom, for evidently the immolation of widows by "suttee" is becoming increasingly common throughout India, as was to be expected, of course, after the Hindus were liberated from colonial oppression.

### IV

We should perpend one aspect of the revival of "suttee" in India; it is a phase of a phenomenon that has appeared in the greater part of the world. India was created by its Aryan invaders,<sup>9</sup> and ruined when the pessimistic and despairing philo-

8. She mentions one nice example of the prevalent mentality: when the wife of Arthur Koestler committed suicide with him, the Indian press suggested that she was a paragon of sati.

9. It would be profitless here to review the many conjectures (including one of my own) about the fate of the civilized people, probably akin to the Sumerians, whom the Aryans presumably found in the Indus Valley

sophy of a too sentimental Aryan princeling, Gautama, was converted into an egalitarian and (of course) baleful eligion.

Although there is still a considerable amount of Aryan blood dispersed in the polyphyletic population and even a few individuals who seem to be chiefly or entirely of uncorrupted Aryan ancestry, the huge population, with the possible exception of some tribes that are still virtually savages, is almost entirely composed of diverse but undifferentiated hybrids. India, indeed, is a perfect instance of the Integration Americans now hope to achieve by intensive mongrelization in the United States when they have suppressed wicked "racism" and drowned their children in an enormous swamp of depraved and coffee-colored anthropoids. Our "do-gooders," if capable of thought, are especially inspired by the example of the huge and mephitic compost heap of pullulant and diseased mongrels called Calcutta: by whichever route you approach it, you can smell it long before you can see it.

Despite the historical origins of Hindu civilization, therefore, the population of India regards the Aryans of the West with a natural and instinctive racial hatred.<sup>10</sup> The revival of faith in the native religions, shown inter alia by the increasing practice of "suttee," is comparable to the great revival of religion in the Moslem nations, and, mutatis mutandis, to the religious revival produced by the boob-tube in our country. The new religiosity, wherever it appears, derives its inspiration from a hatred of Aryan civilization, of the great tradition of rational thought that runs from Thales, Democritus, Aristotle, and the New Academy to the genuine science of today.

The epidemic religiosity so manifest in the greater part of the modern world is a blind urge to abolish the rational and scientific thought that is the only resource of our race, while eagerly adopting and exploiting our technology as a weapon against us. At present, it seems likely that we shall be destroyed by the technology we fecklessly gave away while intoxicated by a maudlin superstition. That fatal blunder is now irreparable.

and subdued when they invaded India. We do not even know whether that people was still flourishing at the time of the invasion or had been destroyed by some revolt of the barbarians and savages they ruled or attack by tribes they had neglected to conquer.

10. The Hindus have also just and valid reasons for hating the United States; see *Liberty Bell*, September 1988, pp. 4-8

It will be a nice irony that the religion which strove to deny to individuals the right to suicide eventually drove to suicide the race on which it had imposed its delusions.

## SOUTH AMERICAN PATRIOTISM

*Temple*, the little tabloid published by a singularly courageous man in Peru, Dr. Gastón Ortiz Acha (Unión 1087, Oficina 501, Lima), was noticed in *Liberty Bell*, December 1984. The issue for July 1988 is especially interesting.

The lead article, "Si yo fuera militar" ("If I were an officer in the Army") reminds one of a cardinal difference between the nations of South America and the United States. In South America, commissioned officers are traditionally white men of some culture, fairly well educated, drawn chiefly from the upper class, cognizant of Spanish standards of personal honor, and normally patriotic. When the stench of "democratic" corruption becomes utterly intolerable, they are willing to take action and they have the power to impose a responsible government on their polyphyletic country. That is why "Liberal intellectuals," with their Christian love of whatever is diseased and decadent, always squawk so loudly about "military governments." Dr. Ortiz exhorts the officers to live up to their responsibilities today and liberate their countries from Judaic crime and treason.

The next article outlines the success of one of the Jews' recent operations. The head of the military government of Argentina was cozened by cleverly planted suggestions that he revive Rosa's old claim to the Falkland Islands, and the traitors who staff the British Colonial Office encouraged him with quasi-official hints that the rulers of the ruins of once great Britain would be delighted by another step in the liquidation of the Empire, if Argentina would simply take possession of the islands.<sup>1</sup> He stepped into the trap, which Prime Ministress Maggie then closed by sending a British expedition that defeated the Argentinians.

1. The "legal right" to possession of the Falkland Islands was variously argued, but the only pertinent consideration was that the Islands were inhabited by Englishmen, who were imprescriptibly entitled to protection by the mother country, assuming that Britain is still a civilized and viable nation. That, however, may be doubted, since the persons in the Colonial Office, who, on behalf of the Jews, enticed the military government of Argentina into the trap, thus squandering British lives and resources, have not been shot.

That precipitated the fall of the military government, which had shown itself unappreciative of the honor of having the nation looted by its parasites. So Argentina now has a "democratic" government, with a shabbat goy as President and four plump Sheenies in the Cabinet to give him orders; and, what is really crucial, the Army has been subjected to venal and corrupt commanding officers of the calibre of Generals Marshall and Eisenhower, who naturally have no objection to treason.

Other articles give a proper estimate of the alien government of Canada's quasi-legal attempt to lynch Ernst Zündel; detail the current attempts of the world-destroyers, chiefly through Washington and the Vatican, to undermine responsible government in Chile and precipitate the bloody chaos that delights champions of "human rights"; recognize that the present Pope is simply an anti-Catholic Communist agent; and expose the childishness of simple-minded people who are again taken in by one of the periodic farces intended to convince them that the Soviet Union is becoming awash in humanitarian treacle.

Another article reports news that American liepapers are concealing from Americans, that Castro, whom the United States covertly installed in Cuba, has just shipped ten thousand anti-Communist Cubans to Siberia, where they will be worked to death for the convenience and glory of the Soviet branch of the Judaeo-Communist Empire.

Finally, a little article on Alan García Pérez, "el hipócrita," may interest my older readers, who will remember when our "intellectuals," who will believe anything, provided that it isn't true, were gabbling about the "Aristas" as a wonderful and genuinely Spanish-American form of "democracy," although anyone who read and understood the propaganda put out by the "Apra" movement could see that it was just the old Communist line disguised by a few superficial sophistries and the Spanish names borne or assumed by the promoters.

If you are seriously interested in South America and tired of the hogwash in our newspapers, *Temple* merits your attention.


## ANOTHER EPIDEMIC

I have learned that in many towns of the Middle West, and doubtless elsewhere in this benighted country, when the school day is over at two or two thirty in the afternoon, the nigger boys and girls are sent home in taxicabs to prevent them from stealing

or otherwise causing trouble on the way. In some towns, all the available taxicabs are mobilized to carry the little and big pick-aninnies homeward in state.

The White boobs tax themselves not only to pay for the schools the pests disrupt and defile, but for taxicabs that many of the boobs cannot afford for themselves.

Can you doubt but that kuru, a disease caused by a virus that literally eats away the brain, has become epidemic in the United States? □



## Hitler painted in red

**LENINGRAD:** An extraordinary account of a neo-Nazi movement in Leningrad, complete with posters of Hitler, martial music and live target practice, has emerged in the Soviet press.

The weekly Krokodil magazine says about 12,000 youths have joined the movement in Leningrad.

The neo-Nazis apparently emerged about a decade ago when disillusion was widespread among young people living under the Brezhnev regime.

About 10 days ago, 4,000 members attended a meeting in a forest clearing 20km from the city. The scene was apparently dominated by a giant poster of Hitler.

To commemorate Hitler's birthday on April 20 last year, sympathisers converged on Kronstadt Island in Leningrad harbour and daubed walls with swastikas and "Heil Hitler" slogans in red paint.

(Ed Stevens)

From *The Sunday Times*, London, 3 July 1988

## KEEP THE LIBERTY BELL RINGING!

Please remember: *Our Fight is Your fight!* Donate whatever you can spare on a regular—monthly or quarterly—basis. Whether it is \$2., \$5., \$20., or \$100. or more, rest assured it is needed here and will be used in our common struggle. If you are a businessman, postage stamps in any denomination are a legitimate business expense—and we need and use many of these here every month—and will be gratefully accepted as donations.

Your donations will help us spread the *Message of Liberty and White Survival* throughout the land, by making available additional copies of our printed material to fellow Whites who do not yet know what is in store for them.

Order our pamphlets, booklets, and, most importantly, our reprints of revealing articles which are ideally suited for mass distribution at reasonable cost. Order extra copies of *Liberty Bell* for distribution to your circle of friends, neighbors, and relatives, urging them to subscribe to our unique publication. Our bulk prices are shown on the inside front cover of every issue of *Liberty Bell*.

Pass along your copy of *Liberty Bell*, and copies of reprints you obtained from us, to friends and acquaintances who may be on our "wave length," and urge them to contact us for more of the same.

Carry on the fight to free our White people from the shackles of alien domination, even if you can only join our ranks in spirit. You can provide for this by bequest. The following are suggested forms of bequests which you may include in your Last Will and Testament:

1. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the sum of \$ . . . . . for general purposes.

2. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the following described property . . . . . for general purposes.

**DO YOUR PART TODAY—HELP FREE OUR WHITE  
RACE FROM ALIEN DOMINATION!**