

AMERICA'S DECLINE

THE EDUCATION OF A CONSERVATIVE

REVIVO P. OLIVER

defacement of our civilization, Dr. Oliver has long insisted that the fate of his countrymen hangs on their willingness to subordinate their doctrinal differences to the tough but idealistic solidarity which is the prerequisite of a Majority resurgence.

SOME QUOTABLE QUOTES FROM *AMERICA'S DECLINE*

On the 18th Amendment (Prohibition): "Very few Americans were sufficiently sane to perceive that they had repudiated the American conception of government and had replaced it with the legal principle of the 'dictatorship of the proletariat,' which was the theoretical justification of the Jews' revolution in Russia."

On Race: "We must further understand that all races naturally regard themselves as superior to all others. We think Congoids unintelligent, but they feel only contempt for a race so stupid or craven that it fawns on them, gives them votes, lavishly subsidizes them with its own earnings, and even oppresses its own people to curry their favor. We are a race as are the others. If we attribute to ourselves a superiority, intellectual, moral, or other, in terms of our own standards, we are simply indulging in a tautology. The only objective criterion of superiority, among human races as among all other species, is biological: the strong survive, the weak perish. The superior race of mankind today is the one that will emerge victorious—whether by its technology or its fecundity—from the proximate struggle for life on an overcrowded planet."

AMERICA'S DECLINE

ORDER No. 1007-\$8.50

plus \$1.00 for post. & handlg.

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

ABOUT THE AUTHOR: Dr. Revilo Pendleton Oliver, Professor of the Classics at the University of Illinois for 32 years, is a scholar of international distinction who has written articles in four languages for the most prestigious academic publications in the United States and Europe.

During World War II, Dr. Oliver was Director of Research in a highly secret agency of the War Department, and was cited for outstanding service to his country.

One of the very few academicians who has been outspoken in his opposition to the progressive defacement of our civilization, Dr. Oliver has long insisted that the fate of his countrymen hangs on their willingness to subordinate their doctrinal differences to the tough but idealistic solidarity which is the prerequisite of a Majority resurgence.

Liberty Bell

ISSN: 0145-7667

SINGLE COPY \$3.00

WHO WAS PLANNING TO ATTACK WHOM IN JUNE 1941 HITLER OR STALIN?

page 27

ALSO IN THIS ISSUE:

POSTSCRIPTS, by Professor Revilo P. Oliver: High Jinks on the High Seas, page 1; Who is Guilty?, page 11; Our Proximate Future, page 14; A Milestone, page 15; Sanity in Space, page 18; Yiddish Arithmetic, page 21; On Being Human, page 24. FED-UP TO THE POINT OF VOMITING, Translation by Dr. Charles E. Weber, page 40. THE GRISLY FETAL INDUSTRY, by LTC. Bud Farrell, page 47. THE RESPONSIBILITY OF GOVERNMENT IN FARM AND OTHER CRISES, by Eric Thomson, page 52. AMERICA IS DYING, by Michaels, page 56. INVASION!, by Aryan Press, page 58.

VOL. 13 — NO. 5

JANUARY 1986

Voice Of Thinking Americans

LIBERTY BELL

The magazine for *Thinking Americans*, is published monthly by Liberty Bell Publications, George P. Dietz, Editor. Editorial Offices: P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA — Phone: 304-927-4486.

Manuscripts conforming to our editorial policy are always welcome, however, they cannot be returned unless accompanied by stamped, self-addressed envelope. Manuscripts accepted for publication become the property of Liberty Bell Publications.

COPYRIGHT 1984

by Liberty Bell Publications

Permission granted to quote in whole or part any article except those subject to author's Copyright. Proper source credit and address should be given.

ANNUAL SUBSCRIPTION RATES:

SAMPLE COPY with several reprints	\$ 3.00
THIRD CLASS — U.S.A. only	\$25.00
FIRST CLASS — U.S.A.-Canada-Mexico only	\$32.00
FIRST CLASS — All foreign countries	\$35.00

AIR MAIL — Europe-South America	\$45.00
Middle East-Far East-So. Africa	\$49.00
Sample Copy	\$ 4.00

BULK COPIES FOR DISTRIBUTION:

10 copies	\$ 18.00
50 copies	\$ 65.00
100 copies	\$110.00
500 copies	\$400.00
1000 copies	\$700.00

These prices apply only to our standard 52-page editions.

FREEDOM OF SPEECH—FREEDOM OF THOUGHT

FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION

The editor-publisher of *Liberty Bell* does not necessarily agree with each and every article in this magazine, nor does he subscribe to all conclusions arrived at by various writers; however, he does endeavor to permit the exposure of ideas suppressed by the controlled news media of this country.

It is, therefore, in the best tradition of America and of free men everywhere that *Liberty Bell* strives to give free reign to ideas, for ultimately it is ideas which rule the world and determine both the content and structure of culture.

We believe that we can and will change our society for the better. We declare our long-held view that no institution or government created by men, for men, is inviolable, incorruptible, and not subject to evolution, change or replacement by the will of the people.

To this we dedicate our lives and our work. No effort will be spared and no idea will be allowed to go unexpressed if we think it will benefit the *Thinking People*, not only of America, but the entire world.

George P. Dietz, Editor & Publisher

POSTSCRIPTS

by Revilo P. Oliver

HIGH JINKS ON THE HIGH SEAS

According to the story that has been told a thousand times by the newspapers and the boob-tubes, four young Palestinians "highjacked," i.e., seized control, of a fairly large ocean liner, the *Achille Lauro*, which was carrying about four hundred passengers and a complement of which the numbers are variously reported, but which can hardly have been fewer than a hundred officers and crew. The four young men kept control of the ship until they were landed at the port of their choice.

There is, of course, something wrong with that story. A few determined men can take control of an airplane in flight because everyone knows that they can, intentionally or accidentally, cause the plane to crash and thus kill everyone on board. Control of the plane, furthermore, is centralized in one small compartment, occupied only by the two pilots and one or two assistants. An ocean liner, on the other hand, is a large vessel, securely afloat, and could be sunk only by a torpedo or the equivalent in explosives.¹ The captain on the bridge is in command, but only so long as he is obeyed by his many subordinates, many of whom are beyond his power, e.g., in the engine room. At least half of the officers and crew are off duty and in their own quarters. And the ship is a maze of rooms and corridors on several decks, which it would take a company of marines to patrol effectively.

A plane in the air can be totally destroyed by even a small handgrenade or, if it is at a high altitude, even by a pistol shot that pierces the wall of the pressurized cabin. A dozen grenades would have no appreciable effect on an ocean liner, and bullets from portable weapons would spatter harmlessly against its steel sides and partitions. The story we are told is not quite impos-

1. A score of armed men could have sunk the ship by opening the sea cocks, but the sinking would have been a slow process, requiring half an hour or more, depending on the structure of the hull.

sible, but is obviously incomplete. The four young men could have captured the *Achille Lauro* with the connivance of its officers. Alternatively, it is just possible that its officers were such a pack of cowardly witlings that they permitted themselves to be bluffed by just four men who could not have remained together. Officers in merchant marines are not subject to courts martial, but they are subject to tribunals that determine their fitness and license them for duty at sea. If the officers of the Italian ship supinely let four young landlubbers take command of their ship, we shall probably next hear of them when they are peddling fruit from hand-barrows on the streets of Naples.

The very beginning of the story is strange, but let's assume that everything happened as we are told it did. What did the four Palestinians then do? Why, they killed a Jew—just one Jew, mind you—and that one a crippled Jew in a wheelchair, which they supposedly pushed over the side of the deck into the sea. At this point, the story comes apart at the seams.

The Palestinians, for reasons that American boobs seem unable to understand, are aggrieved because God's Chosen Predators have driven them out of their own country, massacred their countrymen, killed their families or reduced them to wretched penury, and are trying to exterminate their race—in Palestine now and eventually throughout the Near East. The Palestinians are at war with the Master Race, and if the four men had killed all the numerous Jews aboard the *Achille Lauro* or at least a score or two of them, that would have been entirely in keeping with their character and purposes, and everyone who has a brain that works would understand, whether or not he approved of their treatment of their enemies.

We are told, however, that the Palestinians killed only one Jew, a cripple in a wheelchair. Only one, so that attention would be concentrated on him. A helpless cripple, so that his death would seem most reprehensible and pathetic to Aryans.

At this point in the play, the mask falls off and we see a grinning Jew behind it.

It is only natural for Palestinians to want to kill their implacable enemies. The four young Palestinians—if they are Palestinians—are brave men, ready to give their lives for their nation. It is true that brave men can also be stupid. But it is unbelievable that they could be at once so clever as to select as their victim the *one* Jew who would perfectly serve to arouse a maximum of animosity against them in Aryan countries and at the same time so stupid as to kill him ostentatiously.

The killing—if it took place—was obviously contrived to exploit a racial peculiarity of Aryans.

It is a characteristic of our race—and only of our race²—that we innately prize a sense of personal honor that makes us instinctively believe that only a fair fight between equally matched opponents is honorable and that victory procured in any other way is a disgrace to the winner. In obedience to this psychic imperative, innumerable Aryans have risked and often lost their lives: they were impelled by their racial peculiarity to give their opponent an equal chance to win, to defeat them, if he prove superior in courage and skill.

This distinctive trait of Aryans runs through all our literature and history. In the great epic of our race, opposing armies stand as spectators while a Greek hero and a Trojan hero fight a duel to death. No Greek intervenes to save Patroclus; no Trojan intervenes to save Hector. That would have been dishonorable, and honor is more, much more, than life, even more than a nation's fate. And all our later epics³ turn upon duels between champions. In the partly legendary history of Rome, the Romans and the Albans let the issue of the war be determined by personal combat between the three Horatii and the three Curiatii, while the opposing armies watch the triple duel and loyally abide by its outcome. In Mediaeval literature, Christian paladins confront Moslem champions, and everyone knows the Arthurian code of duels between armed knights that was perpetuated in tournaments, in which even friends fought for honor. And for many centuries the code of personal honor, inherent in our racial soul, was stronger than all the supernatural terrors threatened by holy men⁴ and all the police

2. There are certain analogies in other races, notably in the *bushido* of the Japanese samurai, but the determinants in the racial psyche are quite different.

3. Unless, of course, one extends the term 'epic' improperly to include all long poems on noble subjects, such as the magnificent work of Lucretius.

4. It is worthy of remark that the Jesuits, the most supple of theologians, devised a proof that the duels of gentlemen were sanctioned by Christianity: since personal honor is of the soul, and Yahweh created souls, He must approve whatever is necessary to keep those souls unblemished. It is also likely that our racial feeling played some minor part in a famous incident during the Renaissance in Italy, although the Italians had by that time accepted both stealthy assassinations by hired *bravi* and treachery, such as that which Machiavelli admired in Cesare Borgia. When the Pazzi conspira-

powers of absolute monarchs: gentlemen fought duels by a punctilious etiquette, even in defiance of both church and state, until social changes made some duels obviously *unfair*.

Deeply implanted in our racial psyche is the absolute conviction that a man forfeits his honor by overcoming or killing a man who is unarmed or crippled or otherwise greatly inferior. An Aryan who slays a weaker man or who kills by stealth or treachery instead of open combat⁵ has done violence to his racial soul and become a villein, a villain, contemned by honorable men. That is the aristocratic code of our race, which other races, such as the Jews, regard as proof of the irremediable fatuity and childishness of our race, a proof of the stupidity that makes us a species of inferior mammals, fit only to be the subjects of more clever races: if you wish to eliminate a man, do it in the most convenient way, the one that involves the least risk to yourself; if you do it by deceit and treachery, so much the better: that is proof of your superiority.

Since Aryans have that racial eccentricity about honor, they regard killing a cripple as far more reprehensible than killing an able-bodied man. Aryans furthermore are prone to compassion, a noble sentiment (in *our* estimation) so long as it does not become fatuous, and our females have been excited to a kind of mawkish sentimentality about all persons whom it is now customary to call, by a silly euphemism, "disadvantaged." That makes it obvious that the reported killing on the *Achille Lauro* was designed to exploit what other races regard as our racial weakness. If the young men really were Palestinians, you may be quite sure that they were commanded, directly or indirectly, by one of the cunning minds with which God endowed his Master Race.

But now let's take the story as it is told and go on to Act III. The four Palestinians, of their own feckless accord, threw one

tors decided that it would be best to assassinate the Medici brothers while they were at the altar in a church, they thought it proper to hire priests to do the killing. The holy men, having little professional skill in such work, bungled the job: they slew Giuliano, but permitted Lorenzo to escape.

5. It is true that in the family feuds recorded in Icelandic sagas, it is permissible for a man to take an enemy by surprise and slay him, but the code requires him immediately to make public announcement that he did the killing, and thus invite the other clan to take him off *his* guard, if they can. But if he tries to escape responsibility for the deed, he will become a despised outcast. Even a plebeian society had its code of honor—in early Christian Iceland as in the Sicily of the *Cavalleria rusticana*.

of God's Own into the briny deep. A rational American would ask, What of it? The Palestinians are at war with the invaders of their country, and their capture of the ship was a *ruse de guerre* such as has always been recognized as legitimate. It is true that at one time "international law," the rules by which civilized nations regulated relations with each other and tried to attenuate the horrors of war, forbade the killing of unarmed civilians, but the British and Americans, beginning in 1915, repudiated international law and by 1942 completely effaced the distinction between soldiers and civilians and openly boasted of their advance to barbarism. Americans in particular commonly gabble about pacifism and such nonsense, but when they run berserk, they adopt the ethics of the Apaches and Comanches, to whom they once thought themselves morally and culturally superior. Only by the most odious hypocrisy could Americans today disapprove of the killing of enemy civilians. They set the example.

When the United States was a nation, Americans had some self-respect. A few, who have read something of recent history, even remember the ultimatum that Theodore Roosevelt sent to Morocco when an American citizen was kidnapped by a brigand and held for ransom: "Perdicaris alive or Raisuli dead," with, of course, the implication that an American cruiser could shortly be off the coast of Morocco to present other arguments, if necessary. But that was long ago, and Americans have now learned to feel for themselves the contempt with which the rest of the world regards them. The Jews attacked and tried to sink an American naval vessel, and they did kill 34 American seamen and wounded 171 others, while an American fleet nearby, under orders from Washington, did nothing to interfere with the Jews' sport. It is true that the occupation government tried to prevent the American public from finding out about the attack, but the news soon transpired, and Americans were not in the least perturbed. They evidently regarded the killing of 34 officers and men in their navy, and the wounding of 171 others as just a good joke on the dopes who think they are serving their country in the armed forces. To be sure, the killers belonged to that Holy Race before which Americans habitually cringe, but our compatriots have repeatedly regarded the lives of their fellow Americans as worthless when the aggressors belonged to races which they have not yet recognized as superior to their own.⁶ If Russians, for example, had seized a

passenger ship and killed fifty Americans, our Ronnie would have said "Tush, tush, they really shoudn't have done it and I am going to write a letter to chide them," and the American public would have shrugged its shoulders for a minute while waiting in suspense to find out who won the current football game. So why should they have become perturbed because Palestinians killed a Sheeny, whether crippled or not?

Were they really perturbed? I doubt it. Even today, after all the artful work by experts in preying on our racial peculiarities and in whipping up mindless emotions, I am told that when intelligent men who know one another gather in their coffee shops, bars, and clubs, and are sure no spy is eavesdropping, the general attitude is, "Why raise a rumpus because some Arabs⁷ threw a kike overboard?" But, of course, Americans are now being taught that what they think has little or no relation to what their rulers make them do.

Well, let's grant that killing the Jew was an outrage. It properly concerned just three nations: the Jews, the Palestinians, and

Communist operation called the "New Deal." When an American was killed in a riot in Havana, the foul thing merely grinned, flourished its long cigarette-holder, and remarked that he didn't care: who did Americans think they were, anyway? Americans abroad soon became accustomed to the novel principle that their government owed them no protection. There was an especially disgraceful episode a few years ago when an American who was fighting as a mercenary soldier in Angola was captured by a horde of niggers and mongrels belonging to the Communist government that had taken over the country that belonged to the Portuguese. The anthropoids announced that they would execute the American, and the President of the United States so demeaned himself as to beg them not to do it: they naturally thumbed their noses at the Americans. Now if the United States had still been an independent nation and a world power, there were just two attitudes that an American president could have taken. He could have assumed that the American, by serving as a mercenary, had forfeited his citizenship, so that no notice could be taken of his plight. Alternatively, he could have held that the man was still a citizen of a powerful and self-respecting Aryan nation. In that case, he would have demanded the release of the American, and at the same time several aircraft carriers would have started for Angola, and would have protested when the American was shot. There were five cities in Angola well within the range of planes from the carriers and especially suitable for incendiary bombs. Atomic bombs, I need not say, should not have been used, since the fall-out from them might have harmed elephants in the jungle and other valuable forms of mammalian life.

7. The Palestinians are Semites with some admixture of other blood, but they are not Arabs just because they speak a dialect of Arabic.

the Italians, who had a legitimate cause for indignation because the sovereignty of their territory, which extends to the decks of their vessels, had been violated. It is true that the Jew, a citizen of Israel by his race, is said to have held, by a special privilege accorded to God's Darlings, a concurrent citizenship in the United States, but, as we observed above, it has been many years since American citizenship meant anything.

Most Americans, their little brains stuffed with Yiddish righteousness, have long been accustomed to their government's sanctimonious meddling in other nation's affairs, and to going berserk from time to time in holy wars, but until recently they have abstained from open and notorious piracy, having been kept ignorant of crimes secretly committed by their rulers on the high seas. The Jews, however, used the reported incident on the *Achille Lauro* to order their *shabbat goy* in the White House to drop all dissimulation. The old ham actor, foaming at the mouth with a case of induced hydrophobia, ordered the American navy to become Pirates in the manner of Blackbeard, Captain Kidd, and their kind.

Although the United States had not declared war on Egypt, American warplanes swooped down on an unarmed Egyptian airliner and forced it, at gun's point, to land in Italy. That is simply piracy under international law, according to which Italy should have seized the American airmen, put them on trial, and hanged them when they were convicted of aggression against an unarmed passenger liner in time of peace.

Egypt properly demanded redress and, when it was refused, would have been entirely justified in declaring war on the United States, if it had the power to oppose the world's outlaw nation. The United States is still officially an independent country, although the whole world now knows that it is just a Jewish colonial possession. If anyone still had doubts about that, he was convinced when the Jews' stooge in Washington howled at Egypt and addressed to the President of Egypt a letter said to have been couched in the billingsgate which, however familiar to gutter-snipes, is never used in communications between civilized or even semi-civilized nations. The Egyptian President, having some self-respect, is said to have put the insulting verbal garbage in the wastebasket, unread.

The pirate nation naturally expected the Italians to be as lost to human decency as itself or, at least, to be overawed by the brute strength of the Twentieth-Century golem.⁸ Having violated

8. According to Jewish tradition, a *golem* (GLM) is an anthropoid monster

the sovereignty of Italy by invading its territory with warplanes that forced their Egyptian captive to land, old Ronnie expected the Italian government to submit to him just as unarmed wayfarers commonly submit to brigands. He ordered the Italians to hand over the four young men, who had been passengers on the plane, to the Israelis, to be tortured to death if they are Palestinians or rewarded if they are Jews. To the senile mime's chagrin and fury, Italy, under Premier Craxi and what is probably the best government the nation had since the American invasion and occupation in 1945, considered herself an independent and sovereign nation. What was even worse, Premier Craxi actually said aloud that the Palestinian people are human beings and have a right to be reluctant to be exterminated by the Yids.

The "American" Ambassador to Italy is a kike, said to be one of the most disgusting specimens of the breed. According to Jim Taylor, the only American journalist who dares to disclose news that has not been approved by the Kosher censorship, Maxwell "Mad Dog" Rabb, is an uneducated and coarse lout who can scarcely speak English and lapses into Yiddish jargon when he is excited. An American who has met the uncouth alien who disgraces the United States in Italy says that he was reminded of what he was told by the officers of the Swedish steamer *Gripsholm* when he crossed the Atlantic several times on it. The *Gripsholm* had been used, as a neutral vessel in the 1940s, to carry hordes of international parasites, each of whom had doubtless been gassed and incinerated several times by the

fashioned out of clay and animated by magic. The first *golem* was Adam, made by Yahweh, and several hundred miles tall until an apple from the tree of knowledge started him to thinking; he was cut down to more moderate size (c. 35 feet) when Yahweh punished him for having ceased to be an idiot. During the Sixteenth Century quite a number of learned rabbis learned how to make a golem out of clay and animate it by writing any one of Yahweh's names on a piece of paper, which was then put in the forehead or breast of the gigantic figure. The monster was used to do menial work and kill *goyim*, but in most tales, especially the celebrated one about Great Rabbi Loew of Prague, when the golem enjoys killing, it runs amuck and even turns on its creators, so that the rabbi who made him has to retrieve the piece of holy paper in some way and thus make the monster lifeless. The last golem of that kind was made by Rabbi Jaffe in Grodno (northeast of Warsaw) around 1800, but "Neo-Nazis," who are so wicked they don't believe the Holohoax, disbelieve that story, too. Fashioning a golem out of millions of Americans instead of clay is a modern improvement. It remains to be seen whether the monster the Jews have now created will eventually run amuck.

wicked "Nazis," to the United States to complete the occupation of that country. The Swedish officers said that after the ship had carried so many cargos of Jews, they almost despaired of being able to clean the vessel of the filth and stench and make it again fit to carry civilized passengers. It had to be fumigated, scrubbed with antiseptics, entirely refitted, and repainted before it could be returned to normal service.

According to Mr. Taylor, "Mad Dog" Rabb spent two hours in screaming insults at the Italian Foreign Minister, Giulio Andreotti, in the name of the American people. When the Italians refused to cringe, the C.I.A., the agency that is often indistinguishable from the Mossad and, equipped with unlimited funds extorted from the taxpaying animals in the United States, works ceaselessly to incite and finance assassinations, insurrections, and terror in all countries of the world that do not acknowledge Jewish dominion, went to work to undermine and overthrow the government of Italy and obtained an initial success by procuring the resignation of Premier Craxi. What greater damage they will inflict on Italy to punish that nation for not coming to heel when Jews speak is still uncertain.

Under international law, which was observed by all civilized nations until the world's great outlaws, the Americans become Apaches, repudiated all the conventions of civilization, Italy has the obvious and exclusive right to try the four young men for a crime committed on Italian territory. Yahweh's Pet Bandits, who have openly avowed their intention to make Jerusalem the capital of the world Yahweh gave them, so that dissident American serfs can be hauled to it for exquisite torture, naturally demand that the four young men be handed over to them. Their ostensible reason is that Italy no longer imposes a death penalty, but it is more likely that the Israelis are afraid that the young men, if tried in Italy, will confess to the way in which the show was arranged.

Old Ronnie boasted that his act of piracy would teach the world a lesson. It did: It ended the world's last hope that the United States might become again an independent and civilized nation.

* * *

There is, sad to say, another chapter to the nauseating story. The pantaloons in the White House is going to bestow the Congressional Medal of Honor, our highest military decoration, *January 1986*

on the crippled Sheeny whose wheelchair was reportedly pushed overboard on the *Achille Lauro*. This, needless to say, is a calculated insult to every American who was given the Congressional Medal for some heroic deed, and a calculated insult to all Americans. It is intended to remind them of their serfdom. But at least the *shabbat goy* should be consistent.

If a Yid deserves the Congressional Medal of Honor because he was used to feed the fish in the Mediterranean, each and every one of the 6,000,000 darling Kikes whom the nasty "Nazis" gassed and incinerated over and over again is far more deserving of the medal, which would, of course, be bestowed posthumously on those who have died in the United States, and their graves should be decreed National Shrines. And the Congressional Medal is even more deserved by each and every one of the 800,000,000 of God's Chosen whom the nasty Romans slew in the tiny town of Bethar.

Yes, indeed, let's be just in bestowing the Congressional Medal. It is certainly deserved by the brave Jews who planted the bomb that blew off the legs of Alex Odeh in Santa Ana, California. He was an Arab, born in the United States and therefore an American Citizen, and he was a Professor of History in one of the state colleges of California, but his death, reported in the *Orange County Register*, was given a few lines on back pages in a few newspapers and ignored by all the rest. Suppression of the news, however, should not prevent us from honoring the Jews' heroic exploit, which almost coincided with their trick on the *Achille Lauro*. And the Medal must also go to the valiant Jews who burned down the Institute for Historical Review and bombed the home of Professor Ashley, even though they regrettably didn't succeed in killing any White pigs.

Most indisputably of all, needless to say, the Congressional Medal of Honor belongs to the doughty Jews in their squadrons of fighter planes, (supplied by taxpaying animals in the United States), who, with cannons, torpedoes, napalm bombs, high explosives, and machine guns attacked the virtually unarmed American vessel, killing 34 American officers and men and wounding 171 others, thus teaching American nitwits who owns their country. And the Congressional Medal of Honor that is bestowed on Jews should not be the dinky little things that were given to lowly *goyim* who thought they were fighting and dying for their own people. As is only proper, the Jews' Medals should be solid gold and the size of a dinner plate, so that Americans can see them in the distance and be ready to make
10

proper obeisance before their masters.

As for Americans who now have the old-fashioned Congressional Medals, they can sell them to some collector of curios. That would help them pay their taxes, and, I think, would also make them feel better.

* * *

WHO IS GUILTY?

Apropos of my comments in the May issue of *Liberty Bell*, p. 23, a reader sent me an account of the experiences of a close relative who was an officer in our Air Force and stationed in West Germany from 1973 to 1978. This man and his fellow officers had frequently to fly to West Berlin and thus fly over a part of Germany that the Americans gave to the Soviet Union in 1945. As is well known, the Russians permit American planes to follow only certain prescribed narrow paths in the air, and, of course, the lick-spittle Americans are grateful to the big-hearted Russians for permitting them to fly in those paths rather than simply forbidding them to fly over the land that America gave the Soviets as part of her program of self-abasement.

The American pilots had to cope with many attempts to lure their planes away from the prescribed path so that the Russians could have the fun of shooting them down. "The technique used was to cut in with high-output transmitters and thus override our Air Navigational Aids and thus lead aircraft out of the corridor to be then shot down by Mig fighters. These fake signals were a fairly common occurrence," but, so far as the American officers knew, "no aircraft were lost as a result of the attempted deception"—not, at least, before 1978, when my correspondent's kinsman was transferred out of Germany. Presumably, the American officers were wary and too acute to be deceived by the Soviet tricks.

My correspondent suggests that the Soviets, perhaps with improved equipment, successfully lured the Korean airliner, on which Congressman McDonald happened to be a passenger, from its prescribed path so that they could enjoy shooting it down. That, of course, is possible, although one would suppose that the Russians would have chosen an American plane to have a better laugh at the Americans, whom they justly despise for their cowardice and servility.

It is also possible that the Soviets wanted to test some new

missile or other military device. Some of my readers will remember that in 1963 the *Thrasher*, one of our newest atomic-powered submarines, was inexplicably lost in the Atlantic, and that a group of officers in our Navy were certain that the *Thrasher* had been destroyed by some of the Soviet submarines that were tracking it, to test some device that can be used to eliminate our submarines whenever desired. That was officially denied, but everyone knows that the government in Washington would naturally cover-up for their colleagues in Moscow almost as readily as they cover-up for their Jewish masters, as, for example, after the Jews failed to sink the U. S. S. *Liberty* and were thus estopped from blaming the Egyptians for it, as had been planned. What is more, some of the naval officers believed that Washington had done more for the Soviet—had actually set up the *Thrasher* as a target for the experiment. The assassination of the government's mouthpiece, Kennedy, later in 1963 prevented further inquiry into the destruction of the *Thrasher*, and so far as I know, there is no actual proof that the Russians used our submarine for target practice, although they certainly would have done so, if they had a weapon to test.

Although I think negligence on the part of the Korean pilots a little the most probable of the several possible explanations of the destruction of the airplane, I certainly grant that it is entirely possible that Soviets did lure the plane from its prescribed path, as they so often attempted to do in Germany, and they may have done so to test an improved means of electronic deception or a new missile—or just for the fun of it and to hear the Americans whine. Our dogs sometimes resent being kicked, but Americans never do. They just cringe and babble that the kickers are not nice people, are, well, rather brutal.

That, however, is not the most interesting thing about the destruction of the airliner and hundreds of similar incidents. A bundle of patriotic jabber that reached me today laments the shooting down of the Korean plane and says it proves that Communists don't love everybody and are, in fact, brutal, so we really hadn't ought to trust them overmuch.

That reminds me that I have never heard anyone assess the guilt for the destruction of the plane, to say nothing of a thousand similar incidents. On whom does the real gravamen of guilt fall? To that, there is but one answer, although you may not like it.

It is a settled principle of our racial ethic that guilt for a crime falls first on the prime mover of it. If an arsonist piles

incendiary materials in the basement of an apartment building and then ignites them, he is morally and legally guilty of murdering all the persons who die in the fire or as a result of it. If a man puts a hungry tiger in a kindergarten, he, not the tiger, is responsible for the death of the children who are eaten. So who is primarily responsible for the death of the passengers on that plane? Obviously the people who put the Soviets in their present position of power. And who are they? They are the American people, who are morally guilty of every crime committed by the Soviets since 1939.

Of this, there can be no possible doubt. It is universally admitted. It was the United States that saved the Soviet Union from defeat by a civilized nation that was trying to save our world from feral barbarism. To save the Soviets, who were known to have committed every possible crime against civilization since 1917, the United States squandered the lives of many of its best men and forever ruined its own economy and society, and having done that, the United States lavished all its resources to equip the Soviets for further depredations against all mankind.

Now, of course, you can blame the fetid monster in the White House and the Jews whose filthy instrument he was, but the fact remains that it was the people of the United States who did the deed. They are therefore morally responsible for it. They were deceived, no doubt, but again it was their responsibility to know what they were doing before they ruined the world in which they wanted to live.

Such is our guilt, our inescapable guilt.

We were deceived? We didn't intend to kill the many thousands who have died and will die in Afghanistan last year and this year and, no doubt, next year? We didn't intend to murder so many Romanians? Perhaps we didn't even intend to murder the Sudeten Germans? We didn't intend to inflict outrage and suffering on many millions of our race and hundreds of millions of others in the world?

Well, when an Aryan has committed a crime of which he did not intend the consequences, he feels remorse, an instinctive reaction that has nothing to do with superstitions about ghosts in the sky. He tries, as best he can, to atone for his blunder.

When an intelligent man of any race has mistakenly committed an act that has brought dire consequences on himself, he tries to learn from his blunder and make certain that he will not commit it again.

What damns the Americans is that they do not show the slightest remorse for having created the Soviet beast and loosed it on the world. It never even occurs to them to atone, so far as that may be possible, for their crime against their own civilization and their own children. In terms of the morality of our race, they have become morally imbecile.

What dooms the Americans is that they do not show even the slightest velleity to learn from their appalling blunder. Instead of execrating the folly that brought calamity upon them and execrating the masters of deceit who induced them to commit that folly, they grin mindlessly and compound their folly every day for their own destruction. That is why they are going to disappear from the earth.

There is no proverb more true than the one that says that even the gods themselves would strive in vain to save fools from their folly.

That is why Americans so blithely send their young children into the boob-hatcheries they so lavishly endow with their taxes, in which expert "educators" inject the one-world pus into the defenseless child's mind to coagulate the part of the brain that carries the instinctive wisdom of our race, incidentally making the youngster feel guilty because his parents are not providing the niggers who multiply like flies in Africa with all the luxuries they cannot afford to give their own offspring. White children come out of the menticidal machines with feelings of factitious guilt that are racially suicidal, but no one ever tells them about the awful burden of real guilt, moral and mental, that is their inheritance and will be their doom.

If there were angels, they would weep.

* * *

OUR PROXIMATE FUTURE

The Spring issue of *Mankind Quarterly* contains a concise (pp. 261-273), lucid, and coldly objective article on Evolution and World Population by William P. Stevens of the Population Research Center.

The author examines the simple and indisputable facts of biological evolution, which act uniformly on all species of organic life, and then considers their inevitable consequences in determining the population of the earth in the immediate future, that is to say, the space of two generations, say sixty to

seventy years.

He lumps together the several White races under the collective term Caucasoid. He observes that, as no one can deny, "The Caucasoids of Europe and North America, who have the technological and economic potential to eliminate all rival peoples, . . . today appear self-doomed," since they are confronted by races that "still believe that their social duty requires self-multiplication and a dedication to the economic welfare of their offspring." Our race has simply become unfit to survive.

He concludes that "The evolutionary unfitness of the contemporary Caucasoids lies not in their genes but in their culture: not in medical or intellectual unfitness but in ideology and ethics. . . . They direct their altruistic impulses not to the well-being of their own subspecies, but to saving and nourishing the offspring of those subspecies that are outbreeding them. They are refusing to compete, as a biological unit, for survival . . . because their culture inclines them to eschew ethnic self-consciousness and to direct their altruism towards biologically dissimilar subspecies, rather than to their own subspecies."

The Caucasoid races, therefore, are deliberately committing suicide. They are not genetically inferior, but they are suffering from a mental disease more disastrous and deadly than the Black Death. The author does not name the terrible epidemic of suicidal superstition and hallucination with which the Jews infected our race many centuries ago and which gradually undermined and eventually destroyed our racial immunity system. He doesn't have to.

* * *

A MILESTONE

The epidemic of Immunity Deficiency,¹ now in its early stages, incidentally directs our attention to a primary problem in biological evolution..

The dread and deadly disease was first identified in 1981, appropriately enough in the multiracial cesspools of Los Angeles and San Francisco. It is known that it originated among the niggers of the Congo some years earlier, and if it is not a

1. Is it not time that the awkward and misleading acronym "AIDS" be replaced by a specific medical term? Acronyms are always objectionable, and this one is incorrect, since Immunity Deficiency is not a syndrome but is a specific and terrible disease. Why not call it *aphylactosis*?

coincidence that it closely followed the idiocy of "anti-colonialism," it is a nice irony that American boobs brought the disaster on themselves.

The invariably lethal infection is caused by an extremely minute virus that is now believed to have first appeared, by some process of mutation, in one or more species of Central African monkeys, to whom it is harmless. It is assumed that the virus was transmitted from the monkeys to Congoids and, perhaps by a further mutation, became epidemic in what is next to the lowest extant species of human beings.² No one seems to have considered the alternative explanation, that the virus was transmitted from niggers to monkeys.³ However that may be, White homosexuals, so depraved that they were without "racial prejudices," carried the disease from the Blacks to the White races. From that point, everything is clear.

Now, as everyone, except persons whose minds have been congealed by epidemic superstitions, well knows, the development and evolution of organic life on earth has been determined by two processes, the gradual process of natural selection and the sudden appearance of mutations, which may be caused by some reaction between organic compounds and some form of cosmic radiation. The mutations may take place in the deoxyribonucleic acid that determines the character of the higher organisms, or a mutation in a kind of virus may indirectly affect the evolution of the organic species by eliminating or altering the character of the species it infects.

The process of natural selection was discerned by the best minds of Classical Antiquity, as is generally known from the great poem by Lucretius, who, in the last part of Book V, observes that the force that created life from inorganic matter must have produced many species that became extinct, because, by the inexorable law of nature, only the fittest survive, and that the extant species of animals (including the human species) survived because they were able to cope with the competing species in their habitat, preying upon some and defending

2. The distinction of being absolutely the lowest extant species called human must be reserved for the Australoids, over whom Australians, made feeble-minded by Jewish propaganda and implanted superstitions, are now waxing sentimental.

3. It is assumed that the monkeys transmitted the virus to Congoids by biting them, but it is also possible that the monkeys ingested infected blood from the bites. Since the virus is harmless to the monkeys, it could have spread rapidly through a species in a given area.

themselves from others. In the modern world, the law of the survival of the fittest was formulated by the great Charles Darwin in his *Origin of Species* in 1859. The biological effect of genetic mutation was first expounded by Hugo de Vries in *The Mutation Theory* (1901-1903). A virus was first isolated and studied by William M. Stanley in 1935.

Historians try to trace the development of human knowledge in the civilization created by our race, and I write this note to call their attention to a work that should have suggested some anticipation of the mutation of virus, although it was written long before the discovery of mutations and virus. So far as my necessarily limited knowledge extends, this work has been totally forgotten for more than a century and has escaped the notice of all historians of science.

In 1869 Charles Anglada, Professor of Pathology in the University of Monpellier in southern France, published at Paris an impressive tome of 648 pages: *Étude sur les maladies éteintes et les maladies nouvelles pour servir à l'histoire des évolutions séculaires de la pathologie*. He was, to the best of my knowledge, the first to perceive that Darwin's biological evolution should be extended to the minute and still imperceptible organisms that caused disease in the complex forms of life.

He based his conclusions on a critically analytical study of the terrible epidemics which, generated in the multiracial fetor of Asia, swept through Europe and destroyed a large part of the Aryan population. The best known of these are the fearful epidemic of which the outbreak at Athens in 430 B.C. was described by Thucydides (and Lucretius); the great epidemic in the time of Antonines that hastened the decline of the Roman Empire and may have triggered the outbreak of Christianity; and the Black Death, which reached Europe in 1334.

Anglada proved that the first of these plagues eventually became extinct as the survivors developed some resistance to it. We would say that their immunity systems were, or became, able to cope with the infection. He also proved that the Black Death was a new disease which appeared in the world for the first time some years before it was transmitted to Europe, and that it must have been in some way generated in a district of the Orient, whence it spread by rapid contagion. We would now say that the virus underwent mutation.

I do think that Anglada deserves credit for having anticipated, so far as he could with the data available to him,⁴ identification. Incidentally, a modern reader may be astonished that Anglada considers

tion of one of the crucial factors in biological evolution.

* * *

SANITY IN SPACE

The *Scientific American* for January 1986 contains a highly significant article by Professor James A. Van Allen of the University of Iowa, who is famous for his discovery of the Van Allen Belt of radiation about the earth, which profoundly changed our conceptions of the perimeter of our planet above its atmosphere, thereby augmenting the sciences of geology, hydrology, and astronomy. In this article, Professor Van Allen considers the current craze for building manned "space stations," including the one on which work is now in progress and for which the estimated cost of eight billion dollars is but a small downpayment. Three more such devices are projected, including one that is to be placed in orbit around Mars, with suggestions of one that is to gyrate about the inner part of the solar system, encompassing both Mars and the earth.

These undertakings and projects move the distinguished author to remark, "The acceptance of such grandiose proposals by otherwise rational individuals stems from the mystique of space flight, as nurtured over many centuries*" by early writers

what is now called the psychosomatic factor as possibly contributing to resistance to some infections. He quotes as his source A. Schoencke's *De peste Periclis aetate Athenienses affligente*, Lipsiae, 1821.

* In the interests of strict accuracy, I must note that Professor Van Allen's "many centuries" is an exaggeration. Before the last third of the Nineteenth Century, tales of travel to other worlds were satirical (e.g., Lucian's *Vera historia* or Cyrano's *Voyages*) or humorous (e.g., Poe's *Hans Pfaall*); they suggested to no one romantic dreams of journeys through space to other planets. Verne's *De la terre à la lune*, published in 1865, was a description of the moon's uninviting surface as it might have been seen from a vehicle in parabolic flight about it, seasoned with a burlesque satire on the manners of Americans. The outburst of fantasies about visits to other inhabited planets was evidently triggered by the observation of lines, imagined to be rectilinear, on Mars by Schiaparelli, who called them "canals," in 1877. Percival Lowell's *Mars* was published in 1885, and H. G. Wells' *War of the Worlds* in 1898. That released a flood of realistic fantasies that were more or less plausible and within the bounds of possibility until our spacecraft photographed the surface of Mars and ascertained the climate on Venus. Now we are again alone in the universe, denizens of the only known planet on which organic life is possible.

of science fiction and their present-day counterparts. Indeed, to the ordinary person space flight is synonymous with the flight of human beings. The simple taste for adventure and fantasy expressed in that sentiment has been elevated in some quarters to the quasi-religious belief that space is a natural habitat of human beings. According to this belief, the real goal of the space program is to establish 'man's permanent presence in space,' a slogan that does not respond to the simple question: 'For what purpose?'"

The article documents another of the deleterious effects of "science fiction" on rational scientific research, on which I commented in *Is there Intelligent Life on Earth?*, pp. 43-55, and elsewhere. The present craze to put men into outer space is drastically impeding the accumulation of scientific knowledge and even some merely utilitarian projects.

Professor Van Allen lists sixteen projects of the highest scientific importance and one that is merely utilitarian which were aborted or crippled by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration in 1981 so that funds for them could be diverted to the construction of the "space shuttle," which, at enormous expense, chiefly benefited newsmen and the operators of boob-tubes, and produced virtually no increment of either scientific knowledge or usable technology. One has only to look at the list to see that a vast amount of highly important knowledge about the solar system, the universe, and even the earth itself was prevented or indefinitely postponed, just to give a few men an adventurous and exciting joy ride and to fascinate the audience that stares at television screens in a semi-hypnotic trance.

Of the present budget of the Space Administration, only fifteen percent goes for scientific research and technological applications of it, while billions of our counterfeit dollars are being squandered on more "men in space" projects, which, as Professor Van Allen clearly shows, have in themselves little value except for publicity, and in any case cannot be expected, even imaginatively, to accomplish anything that could not be done much better and at far less cost by devices sent into space and controlled from the earth, bearing instruments that make observations that no human being could make with his own eyes and other faculties.

Rational beings make a rational use of their resources. Contrary to the faith of "Liberal intellectuals," the amount of work that can be extorted from the taxpaying animals in the

United States is not infinite, so it would be silly to suggest that we can please the devotees of "science fiction" and still do everything of scientific value by just squeezing more blood out of the Americans who threw away their freedom to please their enemies and their own "do-gooding" nitwits. There are abundant indications that the vampires are already sucking so much blood that they are gradually killing their victims, and the law of diminishing returns is already in operation.

After Americans have toiled four months out of each year to pay for such holy work as helping the Jews exterminate Semites who have land or property they want (i.e., eventually all of the Semitic states of the Near East and Northern Africa), inciting terror, massacre, and chaos throughout the world, trying to sate the insatiable maws of international usurers, accelerating the multiplication of biological refuse in the United States to hasten the liquidation of the White population that pays taxes, and increasing the crime rate to make the lives of that population hazardous pending the final extermination—after Americans have shown their Christian imbecility by financing such projects, there is only a very limited amount left for useful purposes.

One of the sixteen projects abandoned in 1981 was a space-craft to meet Halley's comet and investigate its composition and electrodynamic characteristics. I submit that that one project would have been worth much more to us than fifty "space shuttles" floating around the globe and landing to please readers of "science fiction" and amuse addicts of the boob-tube.

I cannot assure you that the increase of scientific knowledge will have any *permanent* value. Scientific thought is a product of the Aryan mentality, from its first recorded appearance in Greece in the seventh century B.C. to the present time, a fact that is not in the least impaired by the ancillary fact that we have been able to train a few selected members of other superior races to follow our methods and emulate our devotion to knowledge for its own sake. There has also been a considerable and ominous effort by other races to appropriate our technology for use as a weapon against us. The only people who have done so with conspicuous success thus far are the Japanese, who have now attained a technological superiority over us, but who, so far as I can see, have no instinct that would drive them to ascertain, as we have done, what lies on the surface of Mars, or, as we are about to do, the exact size and nature of Uranus.

Now at present everything except hope seems to indicate that our race has lost the will to live and to conquer, and, its col-

lective mentality hebetated by poisonous superstitions and proletarian rancors, is headed for extinction by the immutable biological law that the fit survive and the unfit perish. It is likely therefore that with the disappearance of our race, not only will truly scientific investigation of nature cease, but the vast body of knowledge we have accumulated will gradually wane away or suddenly be extinguished. When that happens, it is not unlikely that our technology will be partly discarded or totally repudiated. One could weigh the relative probability of the numerous projections that could be made from the present, but frankly, if our race destroys itself, I don't give a damn about what may happen on this planet thereafter.

* * *

YIDDISH ARITHMETIC

As is well known, the earliest Christian sects were followers of a Jewish christ named Jesus, who, although he had bungled his attempted revolution and had been executed, was said to have promised that he would return with celestial reinforcements in a few years and smash up the whole universe. They naturally followed the rule laid down by that Jesus and retained in the "New Testament" (*Matt.* 15.27; *Marc.* 7.28), and either limited their membership to Jews or admitted "converts" from the hated *goyim* to the status of "whining dogs" at the feet of their masters. These sects were known as Nazarenes and Ebionites.¹ Jews are interested only in a sure thing, and they have always denounced and execrated christians who failed, e.g., Simeon ben Koshbah, known as "bar Kokhba." When the Jesus of the "New Testament" failed to make good his boast, faith in him naturally declined, although small and insignificant groups of such Christian Jews were still to be found in Asia Minor as late as the Fourth Century.

As soon as Christianity was successfully peddled to gullible *goyim*, it became greatly to the interest of the Jews to separate themselves from the Christians as widely as possible. They were thus able to blame sects of *goyim* for revolutionary attempts to subvert and overthrow the Roman Empire, such as that of the Jewish Bolsheviks called *Chrestiani*,² who, when arrested,

1. The relation of these sects to the *Chrestiani* mentioned below is problematic.

2. These Bolsheviks were called *Chrestiani* for the same reason that their

confessed to having tried to burn down Rome in A.D. 64 and, no doubt, were guilty of many other acts of terroristic violence against civilization. Furthermore, the Jews, by denouncing Christianity and feigning to hate it, greatly facilitated the spread of the cult among populations who naturally hated the Jews who were preying on them. Some Jews, of course, served their race by feigning to be Christians and, as marranos, making certain that the Christian sect headed by the wily Fathers of the Church lugged with it the "Old Testament" to impress on the *goyim* that Jews were their god's Chosen Race.

Although some Christian sects may have taken the cross as a symbol earlier, the use of that symbol did not become common before the Fourth Century.³ After the Fathers of the Church managed to get their hands on governmental power and start exterminating the other Christians, the cross, supposedly representing their crucified god, became the common symbol of the cult, completely supplanting the fish, which had been used because the letters of the Greek word for 'fish' were taken as

modern counterparts are called Marxists, being given the assumed name of the Jew who formulated their policy. The Jew revered by the ancient Bolsheviks had been given, or had assumed, the Greek name Chrestos; his real name is unknown.

3. Christian holy men are perpetually alert to seize any bit of evidence that they can twist into evidence for the superstition they vend. On the wall of a house in Herculaneum, which was destroyed by the eruption of Vesuvius in A.D. 79, there is a cruciform indentation in the plaster, probably where the support for a figurine or small shelf was affixed to the wall of a small rental apartment. Christians naturally tried to grab the datum as "proof" for their cult, and they made so much noise that Joseph Jay Deis, in his charming little book, *Herculaneum* (2d edition, New York, Harper & Row, 1985), gives a photograph of the wall (p. 96) and speculates that a tenant of the apartment may have been "a subscriber to the communal cult of the Jew Chrestus, . . . radical in doctrine and near-revolutionary in its implications." He confuses *Chrestiani* with the later *Christiani* and says that "most authorities" think that the indentation supported a Christian cross, although he admits that that view is "by no means unanimous," i.e., archaeologists who are not under obligations to Christians do not agree, and adds that no similar evidence of Christians has been found in the excavations at either Herculaneum or Pompeii. But then he tells us "The Apostle Paul landed at nearby Puteoli" in A.D. 61—a tale for which there is no trustworthy evidence whatsoever. Herculaneum was a wealthy little city, so naturally it was infested by an unknown number of Jews, one of whom scratched his name on a wall (the graffito is also shown on p. 96). There is no valid reason for supposing that the Bolsheviks would have used a cross as a symbol, or that there were Christians in Italy as early as 79, or that Christians would have used the cross as a symbol at that early date.

magically representing an acronym of a Greek phrase that identified Jesus as a Savior and Son of God. The cross had the advantage that it was similar to the Egyptian *ankh*, the Egyptian symbol for immortal life.

The cross thus became for Jews a symbol of the stupid but hated *goyim*, and at some early date, perhaps as early as the Third Century, it became a religious duty of Jews to spit on crosses wherever they saw one and could expectorate on it safely. This rule is still binding on orthodox Jews, and has now occasioned an amusing development in Israel.

I learn from an article by Israel Shahak, Professor of

Chemistry in the Hebrew University at Jerusalem, that in Israel pious Jews noticed that the conventional symbol for addition in arithmetic, the plus sign (+), is a cross. That, of course, created a crisis. Jewish children in the schools who learned arithmetic from books that employed the plus sign would either (a) become accustomed to seeing crosses without spitting on them, thus weakening their racial piety, or (b) would expectorate on their text books and perhaps fail to learn how to add up sums of money. By government decree, therefore, the plus sign has been eliminated from school textbooks and replaced by an inverted T, thus safeguarding the True Faith of God's Own.

Professor Shahak's article is reproduced in the *Christian News* (16 December 1985). He thinks that the spitting, like many other activities of Israel, is barbaric, and concludes with the observation that "The U.S.A. taxpayers, who are of course mostly Christians, are financing at least half of the Israeli budget, one way or another, and therefore are financing the spitting on the cross too." He notes that the alien press in the United States and all other channels of public information conspire to keep Americans ignorant of what actually happens in Israel, and thinks that the taxpaying beasts of burden may become restive, when they learn the facts. He is more optimistic than I am about both the future of Americans and his own future in Israel.

The same issue of *Christian News* contains a small item (p. 9, col. 1) that reports the observations of American tourists in Palestine who saw the Jews' bulldozers level a Palestinian town from which the inhabitants had been driven so suddenly that they had to leave clothes still hanging on the wash lines. Now if you don't approve of the way in which God's Master Race treats the Semitic inhabitants of Palestine, you must be

"anti-Semitic"? And that makes you a "Neo-Nazi," who ought to be exterminated to preserve Peace on Earth. What's more, not long after the Jews and their employees in the Senate in Washington approve the "Genocide Treaty," you probably will be.

* * *

ON BEING HUMAN

For readers who are interested in the evolution of Christianity, I note the appearance of an English translation of the only significant work by Pope Innocent III.¹ It is true that Innocent was a voluminous writer, whose works occupy Volumes 214-217 in Migne's *Patrologia Latina*, but all the rest may be left to historians who have to trace in detail the intrigues and propaganda by which the greatest successor of Gregory VIII (Hildebrand) tried to unify Europe by reducing it to an empire ruled by the Papacy.²

The kind of Christianity represented by the Roman Catholic Church during the reign of Innocent III (1198-1216) including its quasi-philosophical premises, was expounded by that pontiff in his *De miseria conditionis humanae*, which has been edited

1. Strictly speaking, this man is Pope Innocent III No. 2. Innocent III No. 1 was elected by a faction of the Cardinals in 1179, but he was found to have less money than the rival faction, to whom its supporters sold him, and God confirmed the appointment of Alexander III as his plenipotentiary representative on earth. Alexander magnanimously threw his rival into a prison, in which he died with exemplary promptitude. Incidentally, it was Innocent III No. 2, with whom we are here concerned, who coined for his position as God's deputy and terrestrial business agent the title of Vicar (*vicarius*) with which all subsequent Popes have decorated themselves.

2. Innocent was one of the shrewdest of all the Popes and played the game of holy diplomacy with a bold hand, playing off one candidate for the office of Emperor against the others and changing sides with sure-footed agility. It is conceivable that, but for the obstinate opposition of the great Frederick II Hohenstauffen, who refused to be either bribed or bullied, Innocent might have succeeded in unifying Europe under Papal dominion, appointing kings under the powers given him by his celestial Principal and firing them, if they were inefficient or presumptuous. One minor puzzle: was the wily Innocent really surprised by the diversion of the Fourth Crusade from Palestine to Constantinople? He was obliged by his divine office to protest that diversion, but he was also delighted to appoint a Patriarch in Constantinople and add the conquered Byzantine Empire to his domains.

and translated by Professor Robert E. Lewis (University of Georgia Press, Athens; \$30.00). The translation is a good one, and you will prefer to use it, unless you are one of the heroic Latinists who can confront without flinching the vulgar solecisms of Mediaeval Latin, exacerbated by the repulsive misspellings (e.g., *humane* for *humanae*) that the editor, in keeping with contemporary practice, has sedulously retained.³

Innocent III was born Lothair, a son of Thrasimund, Count of Segni, and Claricia, of the noble Roman family of Scotti. The long illness of poor old Celestine III, who was not even allowed to resign his divine office, the prestige and wealth of Lothair's families, and his own undoubted talents made it easy to have all the skids greased by the time Celestine finally died, and Lothair, at the age of 37, slid into the Vatican with a neatness and despatch that is unique in the annals of the Church. Once Pope, he remembered that he had not yet taken the trouble to be ordained as a priest, so he was in the most unusual position of being able to order his own ordination in the Church of which he was master.

Although political considerations determined Lothair's toboggan ride to the Papal throne, his elevation was facilitated by his intellectual and theological attainments, which were displayed in several treatises, of which the *De miseria conditionis humanae* was by far the most widely read and admired. When he was made Pope, the Church, through its unanimous Cardinals, officially approved that work and, so to speak, canonized it. You are thus justified in taking it as an official expression of contemporary Catholicism.


Innocent descants at length on the wretchedness and worthlessness of the human beings with which his god peopled the earth. He reviews and catalogues their weakness, their folly, their selfishness, their vileness, their crimes, and their sins. This is the part that will most interest a philosophic historian. You

3. Years ago, when I was young and zealous, I read Innocent's screed in Migne, where the spelling at least makes the text less rebarbative. Innocent was an educated man for his day and there is a great deal of Mediaeval Latin that is much worse than this. It is the fashion in some circles today to admire Mediaeval Latin, as did the degenerate protagonist of Huysmans' *A Rebours*. If you wish an introduction to its uncouth syntax (which comes largely from the earliest Latin translations of the Bible for Jews and Christians in the western half of the Roman Empire), the clearest and most concise is H. V. P. Nunn's *Introduction to the Study of Ecclesiastical Latin* (Eton, 3d ed., 1952). The most convenient and useful dictionary is J. F. Niermayer's *Mediae Latinitatis lexicon minus* (Leiden, 1954-1965).

will find it of great interest to notice how many of the weaknesses and vices in the catalogue really are inherent in our biological species and, as Nietzsche put it, *menschliches, allzumenschliches*, and how many are fictitious, made wrong and evil by the Judaic superstition that had alienated our racial mentality. And if you wish to take the measure of the extent to which good minds have liberated themselves from that fatal obsession, compare Innocent's work with W. Macneile Dixon's genial *The Human Situation* (London, Arnold, 1939; reprinted 1957). The contrast cannot fail to be intellectually and spiritually stimulating.

Innocent goes on to catalogue in detail all the fiendish torments and tortures with which his savage god will afflict forever the ghosts of men and women for having been as human as created them. The poor wretches, needless to say, can escape from the eternal Hell to which their Creator damned them at birth only by paying and obeying the shamans who dispense a salvation of which the efficacy is guaranteed by God's Vicar, the only authorized representative of Yahweh & Son, Inc. If your taste in fiction runs to horror stories, you may enjoy Innocent's naïve and artless, but impressive and vivid, descriptions, which must have scared many poor wights out of their wits. □

SURVIVAL MANUAL FOR THE WHITE RACE



William Gayley Simpson has spent a lifetime of keen observation, careful analysis, and deep reflection developing the principal thesis of his book: that the single, undying purpose of all human activity should be the ennobling of man. In support of this thesis he looks at the foundations of Western Society, at the structure of our government, at the effects of technology and industrialization on man, at the roles of the sexes, at economics, and at race. The book goes to the roots of the problems facing the White race today, and it shows the ways in which White society must be changed if the race is to survive. *WHICH WAY WESTERN MAN?* is an encyclopedic work whose conclusions can be ignored by no one with a sense of responsibility to the future. For your copy of *WHICH WAY WESTERN MAN?* send \$17.50 for the deluxe, clothbound edition or \$11.50 for the softback edition (these prices include \$1.50 for shipping) to: LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy, WV 25270 USA

MILITARY HISTORY

Who was Planning to Attack Whom in June 1941, Hitler or Stalin?

The following article is reprinted from
The Journal of the Royal United Services Institute
for Defence Studies, London, United Kingdom
June 1985 issue

by
Viktor Suvorov

Viktor Suvorov, a former member of the Soviet General Staff, is now in the West. He is the product of the Brezhnev era and the new generation Soviet Army Officer. The author provides hitherto unpublished insights into Soviet military dispositions during the months leading up to the German attack. Suvorov is the author of three authoritative works on the Soviet Armed Forces: *The Liberators*, *Inside the Soviet Army*, and *Soviet Military Intelligence*; he is now studying for a Ph.D. and this article represents part of his thesis.

Historians who have hitherto uncritically accepted the thesis that Stalin was the victim of unprovoked aggression in the summer of 1941, may have cause to revise, or at least modify, their views.

On Friday 13 June 1941, Moscow Radio broadcast a rather unusual report by TASS which stated that: "the rumours of Germany's intentions to tear up the Pact and to undertake an attack on the USSR are without any foundation," and that such rumours were "clumsy propaganda by forces hostile to the USSR and Germany and interested in an extension of the war." The following day the central Soviet newspapers published this report and, a week later, Germany launched a sudden, treacherous attack on the Soviet Union.¹ It was quite obvious that

Stalin himself had written the TASS report. His characteristic style was familiar to everybody: the generals in the command staffs,² the prisoners in GULAG,³ and foreign analysts.⁴ Many historians, both in the USSR and in the West, consider this TASS report to have demonstrated at best a complete failure to understand the nature of current events and at worst a remarkable piece of criminal negligence.⁵ Aside from the question of Stalin making a statement which was so rapidly and dramatically proved to be entirely mistaken, there is the more fundamental issue of why he found it necessary to make a statement at all. Was he not after all, of all tyrants, the most silent? Many historians have noted Stalin's quite staggering ability to keep silent at times of crisis and on major policy issues, and indeed some critics consider his silence to have been Stalin's greatest weapon.⁶ Moreover, many Soviet senior commanders bear witness to his true beliefs being quite the reverse of what the TASS statement was suggesting, and that in reality he considered war with Germany to be inevitable.⁷ If Stalin had suddenly revised his judgement on the probability of war, his most likely course would have been to discuss the problem with his closest advisers or simply to keep it to himself. Why, then, was Stalin's view of Germany's pacific intentions towards the Soviet Union made so embarrassingly public?

Furthermore, the tenor of the TASS report also seems out of keeping with contemporary communist ideology. The communist propaganda (and this was especially true of Stalin's Russia) involved the constant repetition of one simple idea: we are surrounded with enemies. This was a very useful cry; it rationalised then, as indeed it does now, such things as why the state frontiers were closed, why opposition had to be destroyed, why there are no free elections, why there was no free press and why it was necessary to produce guns instead of butter. This notion of an ever-present threat permits an explanation of anything that is required. Whole generations of Soviet citizens have been brought up on this simple credo which is presented in the newspapers, cinemas, books, radio broadcasts, and even in schoolbooks. And yet, on this singular occasion, the national radio loudly announced to the whole country and the whole world: The threat of aggression does not exist!

However, it would be a mistake merely to consider Stalin's TASS statement as ill-judged: incomprehensible and inexplicable would be more appropriate interim judgements, pending a detailed investigation of what lies behind the words.

An Important Date in Soviet History

13 June 1941, the date of Stalin's TASS report, is one of the most important dates in the whole Soviet history, infinitely more important than 22 June 1941, the day of the German invasion. Many Soviet marshals and generals write about 13 June with more precision and detail than they do about the 22nd. What follows is a typical example from the account of General N. I. Biryukov, then commanding 186th Rifle Division stationed in the Ural Military District:

"On 13 June 1941 we received a directive of special importance from District Staff according to which the division must move to 'a new camp.' The address of the new quarters was not communicated even to me, the divisional commander. Only when passing through Moscow did I learn that our division was to be concentrated in woods to the west of Idritsa."⁸ All the divisions in the Ural Military District received similar orders signaling a move to the western frontier. The official history of the district fixes this date with precision: "The 112th Rifle Division was the first to begin loading. On the morning of 13 June the first echelon moved off from a small railway station . . . then began the despatch of 98th, 153rd, 186th Rifle Divisions. The movement of troops was carried out in secret."⁹

The Creation of New Armies

Corps staffs were created to coordinate the operational deployment of the Ural divisions which were secretly concentrating in the Byelo-Russian forests and 22nd Army took command of the Corps. Lieutenant-General F. A. Ershakov, the commander of the Ural Military District, took command of this new army and the District Chief of Staff, Major-General G. F. Zakharov took charge of the Army Staff. Thus, the whole Ural Military District, including the commander, his staff, and all the subordinate formations, secretly began to move westwards. Unusually, the Deputy Commander of the district, Lieutenant-General M. F. Lukin, whose normal role would have been to remain behind as the district commander, had some time earlier been ordered to report to the Transbaikal Military District where he formed up and took command of 16th Army and, at the very moment when TASS was broadcasting its strange report, was secretly making his way westwards at the head of the army.¹⁰

Similar movements were simultaneously taking place in all the internal military districts¹¹ of the Soviet Union. The District Commanders, Lieutenant-Generals A. K. Smirnov, I. S. Konev, F. M. Remezov, V. F. Gerasimenko, S. A. Kalinin, and V. Y. Kachalov (respectively commanding the Kharkov, North Caucasian, Orel Volga, Siberian, and Archangel Military Districts), transformed district staffs into staffs of the 18th, 19th, 20th, 21st, 24th, and 28th armies. Bearing in mind the 16th and 22nd armies mentioned earlier, a total of eight complete armies suddenly appeared in the country's internal districts. The 18th was to be posted to the strength of the 1st Strategic Echelon¹² of the Red Army, the remaining seven (in all 69 tank, motorised, and rifle divisions) made up the 2nd Strategic Echelon. Of the eight armies, five were immediately and secretly moved to the Ukraine and Byelo-Russia. All Soviet sources emphasise the secrecy of all these moves: "Before the actual commencement of war reserve forces began to assemble in the frontier districts under conditions of the strictest secrecy."¹³ "The other three armies were put under orders to move."¹⁴

Problems of Transport

Only lack of transport prevented all eight armies from moving simultaneously. Earlier, in April and May, troop movements on a vast scale had been carried out from the interior towards the German border. All the spare capacity of the entire national rail transport system had been taken up with this major and secret operation. It was completed on time but the rolling stock had then a return journey of thousands of kilometers. Of necessity, the later and even more extensive troop deployments had to take place successively. Concerning these earlier troop movements, the former deputy to the minister of the National Inspectorate, I. V. Kovalev, reports as follows: "In the period May to the beginning of June the USSR transport system had to ensure the transportation of about 800,000 reservists . . . this had to be done secretly."¹⁵ Nor were these May deployments restricted to ordinary ground troops, as Colonel-General I. Lyudnikov records: "Being in May 1941 on the staff of the 36th Rifle Corps, I learnt that an airborne corps was concentrated in the Zhitomir area and in the woods of the South-West of it."¹⁶

Marshal of the Soviet Union I. Kh. Bagramyan was a colonel

at this time and held the post of Head of the Operational Department of the staff of the Kiev Special Military District. Among other formations for which the district was to take responsibility he notes that during the latter part of May 1941 it took command of the 31st Rifle Corps newly arrived from the Far East after a journey of over 10,000 kilometers, and then at the end of the month absorbed 34th Corps from the North Caucasian Military District. This latter Corps alone had 48,000 men in its four rifle divisions and had a mountain division in addition, ". . . we had to provide quarters for almost a whole army in a short time. At the end of May, echelon after echelon started to arrive."¹⁷ In this way the 1st Strategic Echelon of the Soviet Army was secretly reinforced.

Secret Deployments

In the middle of June, when TASS was broadcasting its strange report and the Soviet press was bringing it to a wide public, the Military Council of the Odessa Military District received instructions to create an army administration in Tiraspol, near the Romanian border¹⁸ for the 9th Army, the most mobile and powerful of all. But the activity in the frontier zone was concerned not merely with digesting such large reinforcing formations; there also began a secret regrouping of units within the frontier districts. "Under the guise of changing summer camps the formations drew closer to the frontier. The majority of movements took place at night."¹⁹ Soviet official publications are full of reports like: "On 14 June 78th Rifle Division under Major-General F. F. Alyabushhev, on the pretext of training exercises, was moved out towards the state frontier"²⁰ and, "Before the actual war, some formations of the Western Special Military District began to move out towards the state frontier in conformity with GS instructions"²¹ and "on 14 June the Military Council of the Baltic Military District confirmed the plan for the redeployment of a number of divisions and individual regiments to the frontier zone."²² These eye-witness accounts are also notable for their emphasis on the secrecy of these moves in the frontier belt, the efforts made to disguise them and the readiness of the units concerned for active operations. The accounts of three officers who later attained the highest ranks in the Soviet Army underline this point. Marshal of the Soviet Union, R. Ya. Malinowski, at the time Major-General in command of 48th Rifle Corps in the

Odessa Military District, writes: "As far back as 7 June the corps left the Kirovograd area for Bel'tsy and, on 14 June, was in situ. This movement took place under the guise of large-scale training exercises."²³ Colonel Bagramyan, who is mentioned earlier, was busy preparing the move of five rifle and four mechanised corps towards the frontier zone.²⁴ On 15 June he was instructed to begin moving all five rifle corps out to the frontier and notes, "they took with them everything necessary for active operations."²⁵ Marshal of the Soviet Union, M. V. Zakharov, at that time Major-General and Chief of Staff of 9th Army in Odessa Military District, notes that: "On 15 June, 30th and 74th Rifle Divisions assembled in woods to the east of Bel'tsy under the pretext of training exercises."²⁶

There were in fact 170 divisions in the 1st Strategic Echelon. Of these, 56 were already deployed directly on the frontier,²⁷ 114 were deployed further back in the frontier zone, but: "On 12-15 June the order was given to the western military districts: all divisions stationed in the interior [of those military districts] are to be moved nearer to the state frontier."²⁸ The entire 1st Strategic Echelon now began its concentration directly in the border belt. To these 114 must be added the 69 divisions of 2nd Strategic Echelon which had either moved already or were preparing to do so. Thus on the day of the famous TASS communique the movement of 138 divisions was in train: the biggest troop movement by a single state in the history of civilisation; a movement right to the frontier itself and conducted with maximum secrecy and concealment.

Reactions to the Communique

Returning to the TASS report of 13 June, the communique does not only speak of German intentions, but also of Soviet actions: "Rumours that the USSR is preparing for war with Germany are false and provocative . . . the summer assemblies of Red Army reserves and forthcoming manoeuvres have no other object than the training of reservists and checking the functioning of rail transport. It is well known that this is an annual event, therefore to describe these measures as hostile to Germany is absolutely absurd . . ." When comparing the explanation in the TASS report with what actually happened on the ground we note a certain discrepancy, not untypical of Stalin, between words and deeds. On the one hand the soothing report, on the other massive top secret troop concentration on

the frontier.

The TASS report states that the moves were concerned with "checking the rail network apparatus." However, the concentration of troops had begun in March, reached a vast scale in May, and in June assumed simply gigantic proportions. In other words, rail transport (the most important national transport system) was paralysed for four full months, and this at harvest time when each and every waggon is worth its weight in gold; hardly an exercise as routine as "checking the rail network apparatus." The explanation that the moves were "normal training," is similarly untrue. Training was carried out in the autumn when the harvest had been gathered in and the fields were empty and, moreover, when the Army's assistance with harvesting was completed. But "this rule was broken in 1941."²⁹ It is not surprising that Major-General S. Iovlev, commanding 64th Rifle Division of 44th Rifle Corps of the Western Special Military District, should have commented that the "unusualness of the assemblies put people on their guard."³⁰ Hence the oft-repeated phrase "under the pretext of training" in the accounts of the Soviet marshals and generals reporting these deployments.

Another possibility is that the troop movements were designed as a demonstration of strength. But to be effective, demonstrations need to be seen; these moves were as secret as it was possible to make them.

Stalin's Actions

An alternative explanation for the massive troop movements is that Stalin, whatever his declarations in the TASS report, genuinely expected to be attacked by Germany, and was secretly massing his armies to create and man defences along the frontier. But this explanation is not borne out by the facts. Troops preparing for defence bury themselves in the ground, dig trenches and anti-tank ditches, construct cover and barbed wire barricades. In the first instance, this is done in the most likely avenues of enemy advance, across roads and behind river lines. But the Red Army did nothing of the kind. As has been recorded earlier, divisions were hidden in woods near the frontier in exactly the same way as were the German divisions before they made their surprise attack. "The rifle troops could have occupied and completed defensive installations, but this was not done."³¹

This failure to erect defensive works is all the more curious since, with the signing of the Soviet-German Non-Aggression Treaty and the subsequent "partition" of Poland between the two states, Soviet and German forces now confronted each other across a common frontier with no "buffer state" between them. Moreover, while common prudence might have dictated the strengthening or at least the retention of the Stalin Line fortification along the old frontier, the opposite was happening. This powerful protective system was dismantled and, in many places, blown up or earthed over; minefields were disarmed and over a distance of thousands of kilometers "the barbed wire had been removed."³² Partisan detachments, which had been created in case these lands were occupied by the enemy, were disbanded;³³ explosive charges were removed from thousands of bridges, railway stations, and industrial complexes which had been prepared for destruction in case of invasion. In short, colossal efforts were made to destroy everything connected with defence.³⁴ At the same time, while prior to the treaty's signature only divisions and corps had existed in the Soviet frontier districts, formed armies now began to assemble in the newly-extended border zone. Between August 1939 and April 1941, the number of armies on the Soviet Western border increased from zero to 11. Three more joined them during May together with five airborne corps. If Hitler had not attacked first, Stalin would have had 23 armies and more than 20 independent corps facing him. This took place before general mobilisation.

Soviet Military Doctrine

In the 1930s, Soviet military doctrine considered that a future major conflict would be a war of armies and millions of men, but that it would not be necessary to await the moment when the mobilisation of these millions was complete before the offensive began. It was considered that in frontier districts, even in peacetime, there should be troops who would cross the frontier and enter enemy territory on the first day of war, thus disrupting enemy mobilisation and covering their own. Marshal of the Soviet Union A. E. Egorov thought is essential, even in peacetime, to have "invasion groups" on the frontier.³⁵ Marshal of the Soviet Union M. W. Tukhachevski considered that this understated the case somewhat. In his view, there should not be "invasion groups" but "invasion armies." In the opinion of Tukhachevski, "the strength and deployment of the forward

Liberty Bell

army must, in the first instance, be subordinate to the ability to cross the frontier immediately following the announcement of mobilisation . . . it is essential for mechanised corps to be deployed near the frontier . . . mechanised formations must be deployed within 50 to 60 kilometers of the frontier . . . so as to be able to cross it with effect from the first day of mobilisation."³⁶

Marshals Egorov and Tukhachevski were later executed during Stalin's purge (both these officers had held very high positions in the Red Army and subsequently in the government) but their ideas were extended and developed by the man who succeeded in 1940 to the position of Chief of the General Staff and rapidly became the Army's outstanding practitioner, the master of surprise offensives, Army General (later Marshal) G. K. Zhukov. Under Zhukov's direction the principle was laid down that "responsibility for the performance of the invasion army tasks must be laid on the whole of the 1st Strategic Echelon."³⁷

The 1st Strategic Echelon which was forming up on the Soviet border in June 1941 was, by virtue of its organisational structure, deployment, and military preparedness, clearly offensive in nature. So, too, was the 2nd Strategic Echelon which began its secret movements towards the German frontier on 13 June 1941. Many Soviet marshals and generals do not acknowledge these facts directly and, of course, both echelons were overwhelmed in the German surprise attack and had perforce to fight defensively. However, they had not planned to do so, as Army General M. Kazakov, speaking of the armies of the 2nd Strategic Echelon, notes: "after the beginning of the war, the plans for their use had to undergo a cardinal change."³⁸ Major-General V. Zemskov expresses their strategic purpose more precisely, "we were forced to use these reserves, not for offensive action as according to the plans, but for defence."³⁹ Lieutenant-General M. F. Lufkin, who himself participated in these events as commander of 16th Army which formed part of the 2nd Strategic Echelon, explains the matter simply and clearly: "we were formed to fight on enemy territory."⁴⁰ Whilst that other specialist in surprise blows dealt at enemy territory, Marshall A. M. Vasilevski, underlines the opinion of General Lukin: "in his words there is much stern truth."⁴¹

It seems certain that the Soviet concentration on the frontier was due to be completed by 10 July.⁴² Thus the German blow which fell just 19 days earlier found the Red Army in a most

unfavourable situation—in railway waggons. Numerous Soviet reports read like the following: "when the war began 63rd Rifle Corps was underway,"⁴³ "at the beginning of the war the 200th Rifle Division was underway,"⁴⁴ and "on the outbreak of the war the 48th Rifle Division was underway."⁴⁵ Many lines of tanks, still traveling on their railway flatcars, found themselves stuck helpless in open fields. Nor was it only a question of tanks but of guns, stores, and vehicles as well. The total losses incurred in this way are not known but there are one or two pieces of evidence available. One authority notes that: "towards the end of June 1941, 1,320 trainloads of lorries were standing on the lines in the frontal belt."⁴⁶ The immense scale of this railway operation makes it obvious that somebody had organised it *before* the outbreak of war, loaded the tanks and lorries onto trains, transported them over enormous distances, and then been unable to unload them.

There were other victims of the unfortunate timing of the German attack, as Colonel-General of Artillery I. Volkotrubenko explains: "In 1941 the Western Front⁴⁷ lost 4,216 waggons of ammunition."⁴⁸ A rather strange casualty was a huge consignment of maps, as Lieutenant-General M. Kudryavestev notes: "There were about 200 waggons with topographical maps in the Baltic Western and Kiev Special Military Districts. We had to destroy the greater part of these."⁴⁹ The loss of these maps deserves closer examination. Why were they in waggons? Where was it intended to send them? What sort of maps were they? If they were maps of interior regions of the USSR, they should have been in the interior regions, there was no need to send them anywhere.

Reasons for Stalin's Actions

The more closely one studies Stalin's actions during this critical period, the more apparent it becomes that they were not a reaction to Hitler's moves.⁵⁰ Stalin acted according to his own plans and these foresaw a full concentration of Soviet troops on the frontier by 10 July. In determining what these plans portended, it is important to consider what would have happened if Hitler had not attacked before that date and Stalin had had the opportunity to complete his troops concentration on the German frontier in peace and secrecy.

Certain conclusions are incontrovertible. First, *the mobilised divisions could not have returned to the distant districts from*

whence they came. Such a move again would have absorbed the entire resources of the rail network for many months and would have resulted in economic catastrophe. Secondly, *these gigantic forces could not have been left to spend the winter where they were hidden*. So many new divisions had been created and assembled in the frontal belt that many of them had already had to spend the winter of 1940-41 in dugouts.⁵¹ As early as 1940 there had been insufficient training centres and artillery and rifle ranges in the newly-acquired western frontier zone even for the existing divisions.⁵² Troops who cannot train rapidly lose the capacity to fight.

In every major complex human endeavour there exists a critical moment at which events reach a point of no return. This moment for the Soviet Union fell on 13 June 1941. After that day, masses of Soviet troops were secretly but inexorably moving towards the German border. Once 13 June had passed, the Soviet leadership could no longer turn these troops back nor even halt them, for economic and military reasons. War became inevitable for the Soviet Union, irrespective of how Hitler might have acted. Finally, the composition and disposition of the forces in the frontier zone did not indicate that they were intended to remain there. Such features as the airborne corps in the first crust of the "defences," artillery units in the forward locations, the dismantling of the Stalin Line, and the absence of any defence in depth or effort to construct one, do not point to the intention of maintaining any permanent defensive position along the border. If all this is viewed in the context of the Zhukov doctrinal framework outlined earlier, then it becomes clear that the only credible military intention which Stalin could have had was to begin the war himself in the summer of 1941.

1. Many historians date this famous TASS communique 14 June, but it is significant that it was broadcast throughout USSR on 13 June.
2. Major-General P. Grigorenko, *V podpolie mogno vstretil tolo krys* (Detinets, New York, 1981), p. 249.
3. G. Oserov, *Tupolevska sharaga* (Possev-Verlag, Frankfurt/Main, 1971), p. 108.
4. B. H. Liddell Hart, *History of the Second World War* (Pan, London, 1978), p. 161.
5. For example, R. A. Medvedev, *Let History Judge* (Alfred Knopf, New York, 1974), p. 900, Werth, A., *loc. cit.*
6. R. Conquest, *The Great Terror: Stalin's Purge of the Thirties* (Macmillan, London, 1968).
7. Marshal K. A. Meretskov, *Na Sluzhbe narodu* (Pol. Lit., Moscow, 1968),

- p. 202.
8. Lieutenant-General Birykov, *Voenno-istoricheskii Zhurnal* (VIZ, 1962, 4), p. 60.
 9. *Krasnoznamernii Uralsky: History of Ural Military District*, (Voenizdat, Moscow, 1983), p. 104.
 10. *Soviet Military Encyclopaedia*, Voenizdat, Moscow, 1978), Vol. 5, p. 34 (Hereafter cited as SVE).
 11. Soviet writers customarily distinguish between *frontier districts* which have a common boundary with another state and *internal districts* which do not.
 12. Strategic echelons are operational deployments of Soviet armies: they are created only when military action is impending.
 13. Army General S. M. Shtemenko, *Generalnii shtab v gody voiny* (Voenizdat, Moscow, 1968), p. 26.
 14. Army General S. P. Ivanov, *Nachalnii period voiny* (Voenizdat, Moscow, 1974), p. 211.
 15. I. V. Kovaliov, *Transport v. Velikoi Otechestvennoi voine* (Nauka, Moscow, 1981), p. 41.
 16. Colonel-General I. Ludnikov, "Pervie dny voiny," VIZ 9 (1966).
 17. Marshal I. Kh. Bagramyan, "Zapisy nachalnika operativnogo otdela," VIZ 1 (1967), p. 61.
 18. A Khorcov, "Meroprijitia po povisheniu boevoi gotovnosti," VIZ 4 (1978), p. 86.
 19. Ivanov, op. cit., p. 211.
 20. *Kievsky krasnoznamionny: History of Kiev Military District* (Voenizdat, Moscow, 1974), p. 162.
 21. *Krasnoznamenny Byelorussky Voennii okrug: History of Byelorussian Military District* (Voenizdat, Moscow, 1963), p. 18.
 22. SVE, Vol. 6, p. 517.
 23. Marshal R. J. Malinovski, "Dvadzatiletie nachala VOV," VIZ 6 (1961), p. 6.
 24. Marshal I. Kh. Bagramyan, *tak nachinalas voina* (Voenizdat, Moscow, 1971), p. 64.
 25. ibid, p. 77.
 26. Marshal M. V. Zahkarov, "Stranitsy istorri Vooruzhennykh sil nakanune Velikoi voiny," *Voprosy Istorii*, 5 (1970), p. 451.
 27. *Istoriya Vtoroi Mirovoi voiny* (1939-1973), Vol. 4, p. 25 and Vol. 3, p. 441.
 28. V. Khovostov, Major-General A. Grilev, "Nakanune Velikoi Otechestvennoi voini," *Kommunist* 12 (1968), p. 68.
 29. Ludnikov, op. cit., p. 66.
 30. Major-General S. Iovlev, "V boiykh pod Minscom," VIZ 9 (1960), p. 56.
 31. V. A. Anfilov, *Nachalo Velikoi Otechestvennoi Voiny* (Voenizdat, Moscow, 1962), p. 44.
 32. Iolev, op. cit., p. 57.
 33. VIZ 8 (1981), p. 89.
 34. I.T. Starinov, *Mini jdut svoego chasa* (Voenizdat, Moscow, 1964), p. 186.
 35. Marshal A. I. Egorov, "Doklad nachalnica shtaba RKKA RVS SSSR," VIZ 10 (1963), p. 31.
 36. M. N. Tukhachevski, *Izbrannye proizvedeniya* (Voenizdat, Moscow, 1964), II, p. 219.
 37. VIZ 10 (1963), p. 31.
 38. Army General M. Kazakov, "Sozdanie i ipsolzovanie strategicheskikh rezervov," VIZ 12 (1972), p. 46.
 39. Major-General V. Zemskov, "Nekotorie voprosy sozdani i ipsolzovani stratigicheskikh rezervov," VIZ 10 (1971), p. 13.
 40. Lieutenant-General M. F. Lukin, "V Smolenskom sragenii," VIZ 7 (1979), p. 43.
 41. Marshal A. M. Vasilevsky, VIZ 7 (1979), p. 43.
 42. Ivanov, op. cit., p. 211.
 43. G. P. Kuleschov, "Na Dneprovskom rubege," VIZ 6 (1966), p. 17.
 44. Ludnikov, op. cit., p. 68.
 45. VIZ 7 (1974), p. 77.
 46. VIZ 1 (1975), p. 81.
 47. Once the war started, the Western Special Military District (one of five making up the Soviet Western border) was retitled the Western Front. We may suppose, therefore, that the other four frontier districts had commensurate losses, though the precise scale of these cannot be confirmed.
 48. Artillery Colonel-General I. Volkotrubienko, "Artillerieskoe snabgenie v pervom periode voiny," VIZ 5 (1980), p. 71.
 49. Lieutenant-General M. Kudriavzev, "Topograficheskoe obespechenie voisk v Vilikoi otechestvennoi voine," VIZ 2 (1970), p. 22.
 50. M. Mackintosh, *Juggernaut* (Secker & Warburg, London, 1967), p. 136.
 51. Colonel-General L. M. Sandalov, *Peregrine* (Voenizdat, Moscow, 1966), .48.
 52. Marshal K. S. Moskalenko,
 49. Lieutenant-General M. Kudriavzev, "Topograficheskoe obespechenie voisk v Vilikoi otechestvennoi voine," VIZ 2 (1970), p. 22.
 50. M. Mackintosh, *Juggernaut* (Secker & Warburg, London, 1967), p. 136.
 51. Colonel-General L. M. Sandalov, *Peregrine* (Voenizdat, Moscow, 1966), p. 48.
 52. Marshal K. S. Moskalenko, *Na Igo-Sapadnom Napravlenii* (Nauka, Moscow, 1969), pp. 18-20.



To bear
witness
to the
truth

LIBERTY BELL
NETWORK

NOTHING BUT HARD FACTS!
NO GAMES—NO FICTION!

The Hot-Line
304-927-1773

ON-LINE
24 HOURS

COMPUTER
INFORMATION
NETWORK AND
DATABASE

To be well informed, you must read Liberty Bell. Annual subscription, 12 hard-hitting, fact-packed issues \$25.00. Order from Liberty Bell Publications, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA.

FED-UP TO THE POINT OF VOMITING

Translated by Charles E. Weber, Ph.D.

[Translator's note: The following article is translated from the July 1985 issue of *Unabhängige Nachrichten* ("Independent News"), the address of which is Postfach 400 215, D-4360 Bremen, West Germany. As the author of a book on the "Holocaust" question, more objectively called the Extermination Thesis, I found the events related in this article to be of considerable interest. I must state that I was not aware of them when I was writing my book, *The 'Holocaust'/120 Questions and Answers*. The information in the narrative constitutes an important footnote to President Reagan's misinformed remarks made at the Bergen-Belsen concentration camp on 5 May 1985.

* * * * *

Just as the vast majority of all Germans, whose opinion is quite different from that of the official policy and press, we were more than fed-up with the circus of guilt and contrition preliminary to and in connection with the 8th of May [1985, the fortieth anniversary of the signing of the unconditional surrender instruments by the German military authorities].

Almost everyone who was asked during those weeks made a wry face: "As long as a fortnight ago I turned off the television. One simply cannot bear the nonsense any longer. . . ."

In despair, a visitor from the German Democratic Republic [the Soviet Zone of Germany] compared the commemorations in western Germany and those across the border:

" . . . In our case, the heroic Soviet soldier is commemorated and gratitude is gushingly expressed for the glorious liberation by the 'fraternal socialist nations.' And here in your country? The same thing in green! [In contrast to the bright red banners characteristic of Communist commemorations. —Translator.] Does that mean that you are free? We are forced to belong to the Soviets. But you? You crawl voluntarily. Disgusting. . . ."

Hence, we did not want to waste any more words in the

Unabhängige Nachrichten about the undignified spectacle. It is hardly worth it. Books would have to be written in order to correct all of the nonsense.

However, the flood of protest letters which Germans sent to newspapers, representatives, to the government, and to the President of the Federal Republic was so large and of such serious content that we want to quote from at least one example.

Many other letters were written in such a justifiably emotional manner that printing them in the *Unabhängige Nachrichten* would probably lead to many criminal trials. Every word and every sentence are checked over by the prosecuting attorneys in the hope of finding a "slip-up" because there is an assignment to "dry us up." An example of such voices of the people is the "Open Letter" to the President of the Federal Republic, which has become widely known, by the independent city councilman Fridolin Dirmann of Straubing, whose address is Heerstrasse 18, D-8440 Straubing, West Germany. It can be obtained from that address for return postage.

* * * * *

"Everyone saw the deportation trains rolling. . . ."

Dr. Richard von Weizsäcker
President of the Federal Republic
and Collective Guilt

On 17 May 1985 a citizen of the Federal Republic of Germany wrote a letter to its President which was prompted by a sentence in the address given by the President on 8 May before the Bundestag: "Whoever wanted to inform himself could not escape the fact that deportation trains were rolling."

On this topic the writer of the letter furnishes some information which might be new even to those who are seriously searching for the hidden truth, let alone to the vast number of citizens of the Federal Republic who are dependent on a distorted orientation by the mass media. We are publishing the following lines from this letter:

"During the second half of January 1945 I was making my way to the west as a courier of officer's rank. Heavy air attacks during the night hours appeared to me to be paralysing the nocturnal railtransports in Munich as well as in Augsburg. After

January 1986

the all-clear signal, a long express train moved into the Augsburg station around 2:15 AM while I was forced by the situation to wait for further transportation as part of my journey. The white circle with a red cross was in the middle of every coach, just barely visible in the pale moonlight. During the brief stop a door was opened and in a short conversation with the man I assumed to be a medical officer, who then turned out to be the transport supervisor, I was permitted to go at least as far as Ulm. In the service compartment I was then informed that the train, however, would proceed on to Konstanz-Kreuzlingen by way of Tuttlingen after leaving Ulm. When I pointed out, however, that Kreuzlingen was actually in Swiss territory, I learned that in every Red Cross transport 1,500 Jewish people (women, children, men, old people) from the Bergen-Belsen camp, a confirming number of whom I actually saw, were being exchanged in Konstanz-Kreuzlingen under International Red Cross auspices for 2,000 wounded German soldiers.

"On 8 May 1985, as well as 8 May 1945, the International Red Cross remained silent about such events. Whom does this astonish? The place names Bergen-Belsen, which had been unknown to me, I imagined to be Norwegian until they were explained to me. I wanted to know if this exchange were actually public.

"I also found out that the aforementioned transport supervisor was carrying out the order every week for such an exchange reported to the International Red Cross. This caused me to wonder if in at least ten weeks, then, 15,000 endangered people were being set free for an additional 20,000. Furthermore: around 6:00 AM in Ulm at ice-cold temperatures, approximately 40 members of the National Socialist Frauenschaft [National Socialist Womens' Organization] served a breakfast in keeping with the times (hot coffee with sugar, bread, red marmelade).

"However, I remember that on 8 May 1985, a round figure of 50,000 or even the assertion of 100,000 (that is, quite varied) [deaths] was being bandied about in connection with Bergen-Belsen. Simply for the sake of truth I would have liked to know to what extent the English themselves bore the guilt of bombing the roads to Bergen-Belsen, where people died off from hunger, epidemics, and other factors. The truth does not drown! Moreover, Mr. President: I reported the exchange trains to the erstwhile Chancellor of the Federal Republic. He remained silent!

"Now, Mr. von Weizsäcker, you should know the truth about the exchange transports in 1945, for which I bear witness as one who saw them at the time. Will you likewise remain silent in spite of this information? My statement is tantamount to one given "under oath," to use the legal term. However, I wonder if the addressees, i.e., the Chancellor or the President of the Federal Republic, as the case might be, are actually informed about and presented such letters. Since you directed your declaration of guilt, in the sense of a full responsibility, to our people (i.e., Germany in this case), I am taking the liberty of declaring my letter to be an "open letter." I would have expected more wisdom with which the world, including our world, should be governed, to use Schiller's words.

"It is possible that you received many a sign of respect, but not from the silent majority, to which I belong. Much more important, it seems to me, is President Reagan's opposition to the self-accusation of guilt. He deserves respect, which I do not have for you.

"I declare that I abhor crimes of every type against humanity and human dignity, all over the globe and without exception.

"Unfortunately, however, there are no known 'mea culpa' or 'nostra culpa' speeches by Clemenceau [1841-1929; Prime Minister of France, who quite inclemently advocated harsh peace terms for the defeated Germany of 1919], Roosevelt, Stalin, Churchill, Begin or Sharon, to name only a few. Their guilt is no less considerable, not only with regard to their countries, but also with regard to humanity.

"The fact that I have respect for backbone, but little for swaying vertebral columns, did no harm to me in my service for my country, which decorated me for my efforts. I never left traces of groveling in any place and in the presence of anyone.

"Please evaluate my greeting on the basis of my position on your remarks of 8 May and on the basis of Schiller's phrase, 'manly pride in front of kings' thrones.' [A phrase from the last lines of Friedrich Schiller's poem, *An die Freude*, from which Beethoven took the words for his Ninth Symphony.]


signed: Walter Tripps"

This is an interesting and significant contribution to the history of the Bergen-Belsen concentration camp. Since it has had to be conceded under the pressure of revisionistic historical research that the previously claimed gas chambers for the killing of people did not exist in the Bergen-Belsen camp, as was the
January 1986

case in all concentration camps in Germany proper [Altreich], the question remained unanswered as to where the interned Jews remained. Moreover, one may also ponder the question—reading minds has not yet been made possible thus far—why newly discovered facts such these are not reported by the mass media and by prominent persons.

* * * *

[Translator's postscript: The Press and Information Office of the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany in Bonn has recently published a book, *Remembrance, Sorrow and Reconciliation/Speeches and Declarations in Connection with the 40th Anniversary of the End of the Second World War in Europe*. This book contains speeches by Werner Nachmann, Chairman of the Central Council of Jews in Germany, Chancellor Helmut Kohl, President Reagan, President Richard von Weizsäcker, Archbishop Joseph Hoffler, and Bishop Eduard Lohse. The sentence quoted in the editor's introduction to the Tripp's letter apparently corresponds to the following one in the official translation in the book (p. 61), "Whoever opened his eyes and ears and sought information could not fail to notice that Jews were being deported." On page 69 of the book President von Weizsäcker is further quoted as follows in the official translation: "—If we reflect on the penalties for free thinking under dictatorship, we will protect the freedom of every idea and every criticism, however much it may be directed against ourselves." This sentence must seem like a grim irony and a cynical lie to the revisionistic historians in Germany who have been harassed and even imprisoned by Weizsäcker's own government. Bishop Lohse (p. 98) mentions the self-accusing statement formulated in Stuttgart a few months after the end of the war by representatives of the Evangelical Church in Germany. A penetrating criticism of this statement has been recently written by the Lutheran theologian Walter Bodenstein under the title, "Ist nur der Besiegte schuldig?" (Is only the loser guilty?) I am delighted to report that this essay by Professor Bodenstein, a review of which will be published in the March issue of *Liberty Bell*, is now in the process of being translated into English. □.



HERE IS A BOOK in lucid question/answer format that tackles virtually all the myths and distortions propagated by the "Holocaust" Establishment—a book for young and old alike.

- Presents ideas and information not found in other books in this field.

- Short, well-organized and up-to-date on the latest ideas and research.

- Suitable for the classroom as a counterbalance to "Holocaust" studies.

- Gives a historical background of the Jewish problem in Europe, examines the motivations of various groups with regard to the Extermination thesis, and introduces the reader to the more detailed literature on the subject.

- Written by a former professor with a Ph.D. in an historical discipline whose training as a linguist gave him access to literature in various languages, and whose U.S. military intelligence experience in WWII included his residence in Europe during 1945-48 with assignments involving him in preparations for the Nuremberg Trials.

In the classroom; in debates; for the novice revisionist, the inquisitive and skeptical—nothing could be as useful as Dr. Weber's *The "Holocaust"—120 Questions and Answers*. 120 questions that rouse thought. 120 fully-referenced answers that blow the lid off the blackout. A book of this scope and format has been needed for a long time. Here you have it: easy-to-read, written and priced for wide distribution—and an answer to today's obsession with Holocaustiana.

THE "HOLOCAUST"—120 QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

by Dr. Charles E. Weber

60 pp., pb., bibliography & indices

ORDER No.: 8014

ORDER No. 8114

Single copy: \$4.00

3 copies \$10.00

FOR POSTAGE & HANDLING

on DOMESTIC ORDERS, please include \$1.00 for orders under \$10.00—10% for orders over \$10.00; on FOREIGN ORDERS, please include \$1.50 for orders under \$10.00—15% for orders over \$10.00—50% for AIR MAIL delivery. West Virginia residents must include 5% for State Sales Tax.

For a sample copy of our monthly magazine, *The Liberty Bell*, several reprints of some eye-opening articles, and a comprehensive book list, send \$3.00 to:

Liberty Bell Publications

P.O. BOX 21 • REEDY WV 25270 • USA

FOR MY LEGIONARIES



*Salut pe ac te Merge
 spre mereu dincolo de
 Legionari. Corneliu Z. Codreanu
 1933.*

The Legionary Movement in Romania, commonly known as the Iron Guard, —perhaps the oldest anti-Communist movement in the world, still alive—was founded by Corneliu Z. Codreanu in 1927. *For My Legionaries* (353 pp., pb., \$8.00), Codreanu's stirring work, is a complete and authoritative account of the ideals and principles of the Legionary Movement which shaped the character of young Romanians before WW II. Control over the communications media and the normal channels of book distribution by our international enemies makes it impossible to reach the broad market this unique book deserves. We are certain that the rapidly deteriorating political conditions will preclude a second edition, and *For My Legionaries* will soon become a collector's item. This book also provides the 'missing

pieces' of the drastically censored *The Suicide of Europe* by Prince D. Sturdza; the identity of those who masterminded Romania's takeover and who are now engaged in carrying out the same program in the U.S. will no longer be unknown to you. ("Solzhenitsyn would appear to have not the slightest inkling of who conquered HIS country!"—B.C.)

THE ANTI-HUMANS

by D. Bacu (307 pp., hb., \$8.00), describes what was done to the young men whom Codreanu inspired, when, seven years after his brutal murder, Romania was delivered to the Bolsheviks. They were subjected to what is the most fully documented Pavlovian 'experiment' on a large number of human beings. It is likely that the same techniques were used on many American prisoners in Korea and Vietnam. *The Anti-Humans* is a well-written document of great historical and psychological importance. Reading it will be an emotional experience you will not forget. ("A sequel to Orwell's 1984"—R.S.H.; "A searing expose of red bestiality!"—Dr.A.J. App.).

FOR MY LEGIONARIES

ORDER No. 6003

\$8.00

plus 10% for postage and handling

No Anti-Communist library should be without these two companion books! Order your copies from: Liberty Bell Publications, Box 21, Reedy, WV 25270 today. Please include 10% for postage and handling.

THE ANTI-HUMANS

ORDER No. 1013

single copy \$7.00, 3 for \$15.00

THE GRISLY FETAL INDUSTRY

by

Bud Farrell, Lt.-Col. U.S.A.F. Ret.

Let me tell you a little bit about the new, fast growing, Jewish-inspired hi-tech industry—helping to boost our economy. It involves the totally unregulated cosmetics business on a world-wide scale. It also has to do with—*Abortion*.

Who really are the pro-abortionists? Well, here is a quote from Dr. Bernard Nathanson's speech on abortion, given in Albany, New York, on 17 March 1981: "As most of you, or many of you, know, I was one of the co-founders with Lawrence Lader and Betty Friedan of the National Abortion Rights Action League in 1968. Many of you have heard me say, perhaps on other occasions, 'all is fair in love, war, and politics.' We were instrumental in passing the first truly permissive abortion law in America in New York State in 1970. We did it, of course, by falsifying our statistics. We were claiming 10,000 to 15,000 lives were being lost annually in the United States from illegal abortions, whereas the truer figure was perhaps 200 or 300. We published spurious polls indicating that the American public was in favor of abortion. I should tell you, and I have said this on many occasions, the polls were fashioned out of the whole cloth, purely fabrications on the part of our organization. We stroked the media and caressed them, feeding them all sorts of spurious and fabricated data. There are 1.3 million abortions done annually in the U.S. at an average cost of \$350.00 per abortion. This is an industry, ladies and gentlemen, of five hundred million dollars a year which is ranked in the top ten of *Fortune* magazine's industries."

Now for the details. Here are some extracts from an article by Nick Thimmelsch that appeared in the Enid, Oklahoma *Morning News* on 31 December 1981: "Last April, guards at the Swiss-French border intercepted a truck coming from central Europe, loaded with frozen human fetuses destined for the laboratories of French cosmetic factories. This was reported in *Gazette du Palais*, a reputable legal journal, which explained there is brisk trade in fetal remains for 'beauty products used in

rejuvenating skin, sold in France at high prices.'

Ah, during the holidays, how much advertising there is for products to make women look lovelier and younger! Indeed, there are ads for facial creams, shampoos, and soap featuring 'amniotic fluid, nature's own first medium of life,' and the 'natural extract of collagen.'

Well, amniotic fluid is in the sac surrounding the unborn child and can be removed from the mother by needle. Collagen is a gelatinous substance found in connective tissue, bone, and cartilage. A spokesman for the Food and Drug Administration told me that since the FDA does not require pretesting or identification of cosmetic ingredients, it is possible that the amniotic fluid and/or collagen could come from fetal material.

Pharmaceutical and chemical companies do have a strong interest in fetal materials. Since there are 1.5 million abortions a year, these materials are relatively abundant. Indeed, there has been a huge debate in recent years over experimental and commercial use of such fetuses.

Last year the FDA announced its approval of a new vaccine against human rabies. This more effective vaccine was welcomed until Right-to-Life people were advised by the FDA that the human cells used in the vaccine are derived from aborted fetal lung tissue. The vaccine is distributed by Merieux Institute, Miami, Florida.

SPECIAL PROCESSING

For many years, cosmetic firms here and in Europe have bought afterbirth—the placenta plus the life-sustaining tissue around the protective sac—processing it into a special, expensive form of protein. Sure, the run of shampoos and lotions rely on protein rendered from old animal bones, hides, and other parts.

But the very special protein, the most exotic, comes from afterbirth. Hospitals collect, freeze, and ship it to processing specialists like RITA Organics, Crystal Lake, Illinois. Many hospitals make a fair amount of money from this harvest.

At the processing plant, the placentas are thawed, sliced, and forced through filters. An extractor then produces a white, snowflake-like powder containing purified protein, sold through cosmetic manufacturers at prices up to \$5,500 a pound.

The cosmetic manufacturers turn out products from it, variously advertising that protein makes the hair stronger, gives it more body and more natural sheen.

RITA Organics stresses that it never processes fetal material, only afterbirth.

It all sounds grisly to me, legal though it may be, and I wonder how many young people know what they are putting on their hair and faces. Perhaps they don't care.

THE ETHICS

Evidently, employees at the District of Columbia General Hospital didn't much care about the ethics of selling the organs of dead babies back in the 1970s. In 1976, the *Washington Post* broke a story on how the hospital's pathology department had collected more than \$68,000 from commercial firms for organs removed from stillborn and dead premature babies.

The head of D.C. General's obstetrics department acknowledged that some of the baby organs came from 'late-term elective abortions.' He said, the money earned from these sales went to a special fund for equipment, a TV set, expenses for sending physicians to conventions, and to buy soft drinks and cookies for visiting professors.

For years there have been accounts of the traffic in fetal material from foreign countries to a U.S. military installation in Maryland, where fetal organs were used in medical studies. *Newsday* once reported that an Ohio medical research company tested the brains, hearts, and other vital organs of 100 fetuses as part of a \$300,000 pesticide research contract for the Environmental Protection Agency.

Here is another article by Charles Duncombe. "It was only a matter of time before some ingenious human predator would realize the profit that could be made from the thousands of human fetuses that were discarded as garbage as the result of legalized abortion."

French cosmetic firms have taken the lead in the latest revolting breakthrough in the creation of the latest, most expensive and exotic beauty treatment ever.

Beauty experts looking for a magical rejuvenator for old and tired skin that has lost its 'luster and resiliency' recognized living cells could be extracted from the fetus of the aborted baby.

A revolutionary treatment of cellular regeneration uses the technique of 'freezing.' Dr. Alexis Carrel, the famous French surgeon and biologist (1873-1944), demonstrated that young cells applied to old tissue are able to regenerate them. The cells

January 1986

are all the more effective if they are living. This technique consists of splintering or chipping fresh cells from DNA and RNA life carriers.

An excerpt from *Cornerstone* magazine reads, "Exclusively taken from fetuses, these cells, no longer independent, do not carry antibodies, under any circumstances. This reduces to a minimum any risk of allergic reaction. Absolutely natural, this product is made for use at home."

"The red stick contains the placenta of the fetus, the spleen, the liver, and the thymus. The white stick contains materials drawn from the intestinal membrane.

"Without alcohol the product is unique in the absence of all preservatives. These cells are frozen at -80 degrees and preserved at -20 degrees until used. In this condition, frozen, they act as a poultice or compost on older or sick tissue.

"Results are visible and important. Epidermal circulation is activated, coloring is pinker and fresher, texture is finer, blotches disappear, undoubtedly deeper lines and wrinkles are lessened, skin is transformed, elasticity and tone are retrieved."

"Border customs men intercepted a lorry coming from Central Europe loaded with frozen human fetuses destined for the laboratories of French cosmetic firms. In some of France's neighboring countries there are fetus banks and a trading system has been created. Some French firms have marketed their product under the name of 'California Beauty,' or 'Beauty by Freezing.'

"It almost seems as if we are on a road to cannibalism. If legislation is not immediately introduced to stop this ghoulish trading in fetuses, we can only surmise that human conscience is dead. A great act of Divine intervention is imminent."

And yet another article by Judith Michaelson, *Los Angeles Times* staff writer: "As many as 500 aborted fetuses, some weighing up to four pounds, have been discovered in a large metal storage container that was repossessed from a home in Woodland Hills, California, police and health officials said Friday.

"The fetuses were stored in individual formaldehyde-filled plastic jars placed in cardboard boxes, which were stacked in larger cardboard boxes. Some of the boxes also held business papers.

"Police and health officials were uncertain Friday precisely what the discovery meant.

"Max Brussing, a district supervisor of health facilities for

Liberty Bell

the Los Angeles County Health Department, said at a press conference, at the storage container company in Wilmington, where the discovery was made, that 'health and safety code violations' may be involved.

"But he said he did not know whether the fetuses were the product of legal or illegal abortions.

"Nick Martin, president of Martin Container Co., said the owner of the Woodland Hills home (Mel Weisberg, who is also the operator of Medical Analytic Lab Inc.), bought the 20-by-20-by-8-foot container from his company in October 1980, for \$1,700 and the check bounced. He declined to identify the buyer.

"After protracted efforts to get the purchaser to pay up, Martin said, he had the container repossessed on Wednesday. On Thursday, his workers tried to unload it.

"Ron Billett, a forklift operator at the company, said the first thing he and his crew noticed when the container was opened was 'the strong smell.' The first few boxes contained insurance forms and other papers, and 'then we found one box with the fetuses...'

"I saw one fetus with legs 2½ to 3 inches long and the body and head were demolished. I was scared, frightened and had tears in my eyes," he said. 'What else can you say?'

"His boss said: 'They're just fetuses, but they sure looked like little babies to me.'"

There can be no doubt that this fetal industry is of Jewish origin and design. There can be no doubt that these practices are in direct conflict with Christian and Aryan beliefs. Where oh where are the so-called leaders of organized Christianity in leading the fight against the Satanic practices of the Jew?

To bear
witness
to the
truth

**LIBERTY BELL
NETWORK**

NOTHING BUT HARD FACTS!
NO GAMES—NO FICTION!

The Hot-Line

304-927-1773

ON-LINE
24 HOURS

COMPUTER
INFORMATION
NETWORK AND
DATABASE

The Responsibility of Government in Farm And Other Crises

by
Eric Thomson

Most people have heard some news about the economic difficulties farmers are having in keeping their land and staying in business as producers of our most important commodity: food. The land is fertile and the farmers as productive as ever, if not more so, so we cannot find the source of the problem in Nature. The problem is therefore in Man who controls the market values for farm products and expands or contracts the supply of financial credit available to keep the farms producing.

It is no secret that Government is Man-created and Man-directed, but few, if any, are examining the role of Government in the farm crisis in terms other than the creation of additional deficits to provide needy farmers with 'handouts.'

What is the role of Government? Government is any body or group of men who DIRECT, PROTECT, and COLLECT on behalf of people living in any given territory. In North America, with its tradition of so-called rugged individualism and so-called free enterprise, the role of Government is arrogantly or supinely ignored, although all North Americans, even hermits, live in and are dependent upon the collective populace of this continent. The fact that most North Americans ignore the role of Government in their society does not lessen Government's role in the above three jobs. Ignorance merely allows the role of Government to be perverted from that of direction to misdirection, from protection to subversion, and from collection to extortion. The vast majority of North Americans live under their Government, while pretending that it does not exist!

What is the connection in terms of responsibility between Government and the Governed? We can be more specific by looking at the relationship which exists between Government and Farmers. Since paleolithic times, human societies approaching civilization have become more and more specialized. This specialization is only natural, for one who does one thing only is more likely to do that thing better than one who divides his

work amongst a myriad of jobs and activities. Specialization has two things in its favor: productivity and ease, which are based upon expertise and organization of effort. The farmer of today is an agricultural specialist, even though he may produce animal as well as vegetable foods. It is, therefore, not realistic to expect that the farmer or any other working, productive citizen should fill the role assigned to government. First and foremost, the farmer has no time! It has always been so. Even in the first so-called democracy of Athens, 90% of the people were slaves or women who worked on behalf of the free, male 10% whose job it was to govern. Thus it is that the farmer's problems are not due to his inattention to acquiring expertise in farming, nor to his lack of diligence as a farmer or a citizen, but to the defective nature of our Government.

I do not say that the structure of North American Government must be changed with new constitutions, etc., for all Governments, be they monarchies, democracies (so-called), oligarchies, dictatorships, republics, etc., to perform the same three functions to greater or lesser extents. By directing, they all make laws; by protecting, they all have armed forces, including police; by collecting, they all levy taxes. All Governments exist with the consent of the Governed, even that of the Soviet Union, even though that consent be the outgrowth of fear, apathy, complacency, or ignorance. It is unfortunate that the writers of the U.S.A.'s Declaration of Independence and later, the Constitution, unduly muddied the role of Government with their legalistic fantasies based upon no less fantastic assumptions, i.e., that "all men are created equal." What these wishful thinkers achieved was not so much the diminution of Government's role in society, but the miseducation of the Governed as to the role of Government. Those who look at the current farm crisis with the feeling that there is something incoherent and disconnected are correct, for neither those who are governed nor those who govern appreciate the necessary and inescapable role of Government in society—any society.

It is not, then, the quality of laws that is lacking here in North America, but rather the quality of our men—both our leaders and those who are led—which is sorely lacking. Because the role of Government has been ignored by most able people in our society, the quality of those who govern is disgustingly inferior. The present human refuse now inhabiting the marble corridors of our Government smell so badly of corruption and incompetence that superior men, for the most part, have chosen

to withdraw from so-called politics—although this is impossible for anyone to do. There is no escape from politics. The only alternative is to rule or to be ruled. It is the glaring fault of our best people that they have permitted the worst to occupy the corridors of Government and to place the direction of our people in the hands of alien beings who now manipulate the holders of office so as to destroy our people.

Thus do we see that the farm crisis is merely a symptom of an underlying disease. This disease has been wracking our body politic for most of this century, as well as most of the 19th Century, for nearly as long as the White Man has occupied this continent. The most obvious signs of our social malady appeared during the so-called New Deal Era, in which the Government borrowed money from the Jew-bankers in order to employ men to dig holes and fill them again, to kill every fifth pig, and to plow under every third row of corn—and this in a land of peace and plenty! The present Government, which is the direct descendant of the New Deal Swindlers has not changed its direction or misdirection of our society one whit. On the contrary! The National Debt is swelling at a phenomenal rate.

What, indeed, is this National Debt? It is no less than the robbery of future generations to support the criminal folly of the present and of the past. It is more than taking candy from babies: it is the robbing of their future, before they are even born. And no one seems to worry about the gravity of this National Debt, for they all believe, deep down, that they will never pay it. But pay it they will, now and forever, unless the people create a competent Government of their own which will protect the people's interest in the present, as well as the future. It is no secret that what is done or not done in the here and now MAKES the future.

The question, therefore, which we all must ask ourselves is: What are we doing about the present, in order to assure ourselves of any future worth living in? All the cowardice and apathy which we now show the Zionist Occupation Government will not prolong our miserable lives one minute, nor will it make them more worth living. It is high time that we not only faced up to our problems, but that we begin to do everything necessary toward their solution, beginning right now, in our own lives and in our own neighborhoods, for only when one has mobilized for action can one begin to work with others so as to solve the problems which affect everyone in our society.

The farmers are beginning to see that their problems are not

merely farmers' problems, but our people's problems. Our immediate task is to inform the people that the farmers' problems are their problems also; otherwise the ZOG will continue to maintain its domination over us through the tactic of 'divide and rule.'

The purpose of this essay is not to entertain, but to inform. If you need more information, then I have suggested the outlines of the subject matter, and it is up to you to obtain all the information you need in order to initiate effective action, both individually and collectively. I hope that the time lag between the information and the action will not be long, for the enemy is bleeding us of our lives and of our future every hour of every day. How long do you want this to go on? It is up to you!

Government is our responsibility and always has been. The fact that we have been derelict in our duties as men and women is no excuse for us to continue in our roles as pawns and dupes of the Zionist Occupation Government. There is not much from which to choose. The ZOG's path leads only to destruction and chaos, as the Jews have shown us throughout history. Even if we choose to serve the ZOG, we will not see a future for our descendants. When death and darkness are certainties if we do nothing, is it not worth doing something which will place our White Race once more on the path of a bright future, on this Earth and beyond the stars? Once again, you must answer this question for yourself. All the information and all the instruments in the world are useless if one lacks the will to use them. In the words of one great White Man: The question is, to be or not to be. Wake up, White Man! It is time to decide what WE want for ourselves. The Enemy has long ago decided what HE wants to do to us. For death to overtake us, we need do nothing, as we are now doing. Life is struggle, White Man, so, are you man enough to choose Life? Are you done with words? The time has come for deeds!

AMERICA IS DYING

by
MICHAELS

In the 1950s and 1960s, the United States government allowed the urban-industrial areas of America to decline. The government emptied the mental hospitals and prisons because it did not have the funds to maintain public order. Today, the urban areas of America—Baltimore, New York City, Chicago, Detroit, San Francisco—lie in ruin and decay.

In the 1970s, the government, with its policy of racial integration, destroyed the school system in America. Schools in both urban and rural areas today are incapable of teaching children how to read and write. The urban schools in America were integrated in order to coax black families from their inner-city enclaves, and thus to deprive them of their black-power block vote. The schools were integrated in order to integrate the neighborhoods, and this policy has in turn destroyed the White urban foundation, the backbone of America. The rural schools throughout America also declined rapidly, due to the huge amount of funds taken from them to finance the urban school integration programme. Money that could have been used to build new schools throughout America for everyone was instead used in the genocidal programme to destroy the cultural base of urban America.

In America, in the 1980s, only 2% of the nation's families lived on the farm. The American family farm was stolen by the government and sold to the agri-business corporations and real estate conglomerates, first in southern California and Texas, and then elsewhere across the nation. One million Mexicans and others were allowed to cross the American border each and every year, and it is these unfortunates who now labor on the corporate collective farms of America, on land stolen from the family farmer.

In the 1990s, America's industrial base will be no more. Our industrial production is increasingly transferred to foreign countries where Coolie labor abounds, and the "Don't build it

in America" policy of the United States government and the multi-national corporations has deprived millions of workers of their jobs.

What an easy thing it would have been to have supported the American family farm and to have used America's massive agricultural production to have brought in the oil, iron, and other natural resources America doesn't have! What an easy thing it would then be to "Build it in America" and provide millions of jobs for the unemployed!

The United States government gives 60% of its foreign aid to Israel, and spends trillions on unnecessary weaponry to, supposedly, "defend the nation" while America is dying from within—because of government policy! America's military power seems to be geared toward the protection of the bandit state of Israel and the overseas corporate investments of the Jews.

Why should anyone work for thirty, forty, fifty years and then have nothing to show for it? The cost of housing, education, medical care, and the cost of food show no sign of being lowered.

A nation with its cities in ruins, its schools destroyed, its farms collectivized and employing tens of millions of "guest workers;" a nation with decreasing industrial might, with its air, water, and soil dying or dead, a nation suffering of a poisonous culture invented by the Jew—WHY SHOULD ANYONE SUPPORT SUCH A MONSTROSITY? — JOIN THE REVOLUTION NOW!

INVASION!

by
Aryan Press

One of the largest invasion forces in history assembled off the Normandy coast on the morning of the sixth of June 1944. A force of 5,000 ships assisted in the landing of some 90,000 men, while an airborne armada landed an additional 20,000 men either by glider or parachute. The invaders came very close to having themselves thrown back into the sea by a smaller force of German defenders. But you probably know all of that, and this essay is not about that invasion at all.

The Allied invasion is illustrative of the comparative size of the present invasion of the United States. Demographers estimate an invasion force of between 1.2 and 1.5 million legal and illegal Mexicans now in the country. This figure, demographers say, is low. They estimate the actual number of illegal Mexicans now in the U.S. to be two to three times that figure, and the illegals will triple in less than fourteen years. When you add the unknown number of legal and illegal aliens coming from Israel, the Caribbeans, Central and South America, Africa, and Asia, the figure is beyond belief.

A recent study completed by the "California Roundtable", an organization of 90 of the state's largest businesses, found 80 percent of the Mexicans live in Los Angeles County, making it the largest Mexican community outside Mexico City. Integration of these aliens into mainstream California has virtually stopped. It is impossible for them to be assimilated into a community where there is no longer a "majority." The California wetback is more and more educated, if educated at all, in a state-run Spanish language school.

Those who work this vast force of illiterates do not want them educated or "integrated," as they do the stoop labor, the menial work those paid by our welfare system will no longer perform. In short, the profit motive, coupled with the liberal desire to kill all and everything the Aryan has created, is performing wonders in the U.S. The country has effectively been invaded by an alien force, and the southern parts of California, Nevada, Arizona, Texas, and Florida have been lost.

Liberty Bell

The poor Negro sits and scratches his woolly thatch to find he remains at the bottom of the economical, educational, and intellectual heap, as a new wave of immigrants—Mexican, Asian, and Jewish—have overwhelmed him. The only contingents of this invading force which in any way integrates into the traditional Aryan values once associated with the United States are the Asians, who generally hold the same respect for work and education, though having differing concepts of honor and integrity.

Can the territory be reclaimed? No! The present picture of the United States is one of a nation in rapid cultural and social disintegration. Our Republican form of government has been destroyed. We are now ruled by an amalgamation of "democratic" special interests, and the interests of the once proud Aryan majority are not among them. There is, to be sure, no way to recreate the United States which was the hope of the world not too many years ago—it is gone.

North America will survive as at least two communities if the Whites are not completely overwhelmed. There will be the pockets of Whites surrounded by a sea of black and brown. Or, if the Aryan can be brought to realize that he is on the Hebrew Slaughter House's ramp leading to the butcher's knife, then we may find an Aryan nation willing and able to defend itself from the incursion of aliens.

From a military view, the situation is not hopeless. Southern California, if properly isolated by military force, can be overwhelmed by the simple expediency of turning off the water tap. With no water other than the scant supply available from nature, the area will rapidly revert to the desert it was before federal and state irrigation projects supplied the water for the giant agricultural combines—the ones so anxious today to hire the stoop labor. An armed force can be deployed across the Florida peninsula to conduct massive round-ups of the remaining illegals in the Aryan regions, and these can be thrown over the fence, and disposed of if attempting to re-enter.

Similar isolation could be made available to an Aryan population determined to solve the AIDS epidemic. San Francisco and New York City have the highest number of queers and both cities could easily be isolated. Every known homo could be given a one-way ticket to one of these meccas as per his choice and thence not allowed to leave. Mother nature, in due course, would solve the problem. The Jew could be forced from our midst by the simple expedient of Aryans not dealing with a

parasite. If forced to make it on his own, the Jew would quickly wither on the vine and head for greener pastures. Once cut off from the largess of the U.S. taxpayers, Israel will fold, and the Jews trying to "sit it out" in North America could be heaved over the fence together with the other biological refuse.

Sounds utopian? Why certainly it does. The Aryan has yet to show much of an awareness of his plight, though there are hopeful signs. The AIDS epidemic, unemployment, and the farm belt crisis are doing more to awaken White America than the efforts of all "right-wing" organizations combined. As the White worker is more and more displaced by alien labor in what was once his country, the blinders may be lifted further from his eyes.

The rules of survival are the same for all creatures of nature—the fittest will survive, the weak and unfit will perish. If the Aryan does not have the moral and intellectual strength to fight against the alien invasion, then the Aryan will go the way of the Do-Do bird. Those who cling to the Judaeo-Christian religious concept of a Big Daddy Kike in the sky, rather than the ancient Aryan's partnership with nature, will drown in the coming wave of mud. □

The Book that Hitler Feared

ORDER No.: 7012 — \$4.00 ORDER No.: 7009 — \$3.50

For postage and handling, please include \$1. for orders under \$10., 10% for orders over \$10.

Liberty Bell Publications
P.O. BOX 21 • REEDY WV 25270 • USA

KEEP THE LIBERTY BELL RINGING!

Please remember: *Our* fight is *Your* fight! Donate whatever you can spare on a regular—monthly or quarterly—basis. Whether it is \$2., \$5., \$20., or \$100. or more, rest assured it is needed here and will be used in our common struggle. If you are a businessman, postage stamps in any denomination, are a legitimate business expense—and we need and use many of these here every month, and will be gratefully accepted as donations.

Your donations will help us spread the *Message of Liberty* and *White Survival* throughout the land, by making available additional copies of our printed material to fellow Whites who do not yet know what is in store for them.

Order our pamphlets, booklets, stickers, and—most importantly—our reprints which are ideally suited for mass distribution at reasonable cost. Order extra copies of *Liberty Bell* for distribution to your circle of friends, neighbors and relatives, urging them to subscribe to our unique publication. Our bulk prices are shown on the inside front cover of every issue of *Liberty Bell*.

Pass along your copy of *Liberty Bell*, and copies of reprints you obtained from us, to friends and acquaintances who may be on our 'wave length,' and urge them to contact us for more of the same.

Carry on the fight to free our White people from the shackles of alien domination, even if you can only join our ranks in spirit. You can provide for this by bequest. The following are suggested forms of bequests which you may include in your Last Will and Testament:

1. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, 'the sum of \$..... for general purposes.

2. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the following described property for general purposes.

DO YOUR PART TODAY — HELP FREE OUR WHITE RACE FROM ALIEN DOMINATION!