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One of the most formidable pressure groups in North America today is the Anti-Defamation League of the B'nai B'rith. The ADL was founded in 1913 with the objective of fighting anti-Semitism and has offices in both Washington, D.C., and Jerusalem. In Canada its counterpart is called the League of Human Rights headed by the psychologist, Dr. Karen R. Mock. Established as a sort of Jewish defense league of the B'nai B'rith, the ADL however publicly denounces the more terroristic Jewish Defence League which was founded in 1968 in New York by the rabbi, Meier Kahane. Shortly after the assassination of Israeli Prime Minister Yitzhak Rabin, for instance, the ADL issued a report on “Extremism in the name of religion: The violent record of the Kahane movement and its offshoots,” which forms a supplement to its earlier 1994 report called “Extremism in the name of religion: The violent legacy of Meir Kahane”. But, in fact, reading the regular news reports of the ADL (available on the Internet) one wonders what real reason they could have to opposing the JDL when the targets of their criticism are mostly the same as those of the JDL. For instance, when Marlon Brando made a remark on April 5, 1996, that Hollywood was run by the Jews and saw to it that the Jew would never be represented as a “kike” stereotype whereas they had no qualms about frequently portraying the “nigger”, “the greaseball”, “the chink”, and “the sliteyed dangerous Jap”, the JDL sent a message on its Internet website beginning: “You are a disgusting, fat, Jew-hating whore” and ending: “We're going to make the rest of your life a living hell”. At the same time, Brando was also attacked in a report by the ADL, where
Abraham H. Foxman, the National Director of the ADL, ended his denunciation of Brando's outspokenness with the sentence: "Mr. Brando owes an apology to the Jewish men and women who work in Hollywood for vilifying them and to all Jews for his stereotyping and use of an anti-Semitic epithet". The ADL are thus one with the JDL in their fight against antisemites but differ in the methods they would employ against their enemies, the former preferring legal methods and the latter more direct violent ones. The ADL's report stops short of threats but relies rather on the psychological force that the Jewry have, especially over the past fifty years, infused into the terms 'antisemitism' and 'stereotyping'. Both the JDL report and the ADL one, however, reveal the bluntness of the Jewish hatred of anyone that exposes the exploitative social and economic methods employed by the Jews.

The Jewish Defence League, we may briefly recall, was founded as a defense militia for the Jews against attacks from blacks who were beginning to revolt against the Jewish exploitation of the underprivileged. Later, it widened its activities to demonstrations and terroristic attacks against the Soviet government as well as, quite recently, the Arab activists in America. The ideology of the JDL was comprehensively outlined by Kahane in the several apologetic works that he wrote in the early seventies, Never Again: A Program for Survival, Los Angeles, CA, 1971, Time to Go Home, Los Angeles, CA, 1972, Our Challenge, Radnor, PA, 1974 and The Story of the Jewish Defence League, Radnor, PA, 1975. Railing against the decadence of the modern Jew's liberal and socialistic preferences, Kahane insisted that the Jewry can be saved from the coming wrath of the European world only through a rediscovery and consolidation of their distinctive identity. All attempts at assimilation into the mainstream of the western affluent society (as well as into that of a communist one) are futile since they dilute the Jewishness of the Jews and make them hateful in the long run to both gentiles and orthodox Jews alike. The JDL thus professes, in general, a repudiation of the capitalist decadence of the western liberal countries in favour of the establishment of a holy orthodoxy among the Jews. The assimilated Jews who have "succeeded" economically in the New Land must sever their alliance with what Kahane considers to be a gentile enemy and make every effort to leave the western nations where they live in the diaspora now and return to Israel. Israel itself must be aggrandized territorially to include all of the Biblical lands of Judaea, Samaria, Jerusalem and Golan and the Transjordan (even though these lands have for centuries belonged to Arabs). Israel must also be a religious state and not a secular democracy and must regard itself as a chosen state that acts as a model and leader to the other nations of the world.

However, it is clear from a study of the Jewish "religious" dogmas themselves that Judaism is not a system of universal ethics, but rather a tribal code for the preservation of a people despised and exiled from the beginning of their recorded history, that is, from the time of the settlement of Abraham's tribe in Sumeria. Given the animosity between the Jews and their several host peoples, it is not surprising that Judaism, both in its Old Testament and Talmudic forms, is preponderantly a quasireligious glorification of resentment and hatred. Kahane himself half admits to this fact when he remarks that it was at Sinai that the creation of a peculiar and separate Jewish people took place, having a unique and different way of life; that there was an inevitable cultural clash with their neighbors and with those conquerors who attempted to impose a different way of life upon them.

A little earlier he even points out that the rabbis have traced the etymology of the name 'Sinai' to the Hebrew sinah:

Why was (the mountain) called Sinai? Because, from there, came forth hatred (sinah -Heb.) to the world.

The question that immediately arises from an understanding of these peculiar Jewish origins is how a people whose ethnic distinctiveness arose from animosity can ever claim to act as a Solomonic arbiter of international politics.
Whereas the JDL focuses its ambitions more directly on the triumph of Israel, the task of consolidating and protecting the Jewish power in America and the West is delegated to the ADL. Unlike the JDL which advocates segregation and a return to Israel of the decadent diaspora Jewry before Israel can dominate the world as a ‘guide to the nations’, the ADL represents a more avaricious “established” Jewry which seeks to continue its domination of western society through assimilationism. Given the historical fact that the major reasons for antisemitism are those which manifest themselves when the Jews infiltrate European society and begin to control it through their financial networks, it should be seriously reconsidered which of the two organisations represents a greater danger to western society. The Jewish assimilation has produced not only the exploitation of blacks which sparked the black militant antisemitism of the sixties but also, through the course of a century (that is, since the emancipation of the Jews in the European countries in the latter part of the nineteenth century) a steady vulgarisation and commercialisation of western culture. We may remember that it was precisely the Jewish attempts at assimilation that spurred the first major philosophical antisemites in Germany such as Richard Wagner and Eugen Duehring to an antisemitic stance, since the participation of Jews in traditional European culture invariably brought about the decay of the latter. Today, controlled as it is by big business and international finance, culture — which is by its very nature indigenous and rooted in the soil — is diluted and prostituted to mass audiences who have little artistic discrimination and are no longer able to distinguish between true national culture and the garish artefacts of multiculturalism. Not surprisingly, the classical culture of the west recedes into the background and bows to the popular at every turn. What is most alarming about this atrocious social phenomenon is that, coupled with the educational indoctrination being conducted by the ADL and the League for Human Rights, the aristocratic faculty in men which originally produced high culture is deliberately emaciated and left to wither away completely. This spiritual emasculation of the European mind is the inevitable effect of Jewish assimilation and, if we remember Kahane’s account of the ancient historical origins of Zionism, the ultimate purpose of it.

Even a brief review of the mode of operation of the ADL will reveal the insidiousness of the methods which the assimilated, “Establishment” Jews employ for ends which are ultimately not unlike those of the JDL — namely the establishment of the Jewry as the pilots of international politics. For instance, on February 14, 1995, the B’nai B’rith issued a demand that a U.N. report on racism, xenophobia and intolerance be changed in its wording since it had referred to the fact that antisemitism is “compounded by the economic power of the Jews” and is caused because “certain adherents of Judaism continue to treat Christ as an imposter”. But the Jewish hatred and fear of European Christianity hinted at by Kahane is endorsed by the B’nai B’rith itself. On the occasion of the Congressional hearings on the Religious Equality Amendment, for instance, it firmly opposed formal religious worship in government schools because, as Tommy Baer, international president of the B’nai B’rith, put it, “Prayer, religious exercises and religious education are the responsibilities of the home, the synagogues, churches, mosques and other houses of worship, not the government”. The reader will not fail to note the priority given in this list of religious institutions to “synagogues”.

In fact, the Jewish resentment of European Christianity dates back to the Crusades, when thousands of Jews were massacred by Christians, and the Spanish Inquisition, when Jews were burned at the stake or imprisoned after all their property was confiscated. It can hardly be wondered at then that “certain adherents of Judaism continue to treat Christ as an imposter”. It is however a more serious political concern when the ADL is known to have conducted a continuing battle in the courts through *amicus curiae* briefs which have resulted in the banning of school prayers, the singing of Christmas carols and spirituals in schools and the substitution of religious symbols like the cross or nativity scenes with secular and materialistic ones such as the commercially popular
christmas trees, ‘Santa Claus’ and reindeer. Ever fearful of criticism, the Jewish establishment chooses to promote itself as champions of ‘secular humanism’ while suppressing any investigation into the true nature of the Jewish religion or politics by denouncing it as a reinforcement of “hateful anti-Semitic stereotypes”. What it will not allow is the possibility that the persistence of such “stereotypes” may be due to the persistence of the unchangeable Jewish nature itself. It is this nature which had always distinguished the Jew from the European since the earliest contacts between the two races in the Roman Empire and mediaeval Europe. Tacitus’s assessment of the Jews in his Histories is one of the earliest and most perspicacious accounts of the hateful nature of the Jews, which he describes as “hateful to the gods” and demonstrating “hatred and enmity to all other nations”, while the instinctive repugnance felt by the European peoples to Jewish communities in their midst is evidenced by the harsh measures taken sooner or later by the former to curtail the growth of Jewish financial influence within their societies. As Dr. Karen Mock of the League for Human Rights in Canada reports, England expelled the Jews in 1290, France in 1306, Spain and Portugal in 1492 and the Ukrainian Cossacks in 1648. What this unusual record of the “chosen people” signifies is of course the impossibility of a full assimilation of the Jews into the European communities and the deeply ingrained animosity between the two.

Given this historical record, it is somewhat surprising to note that Mr. Baer takes offence to an implied reference in the U.N. report mentioned above to the Jews as being “ foreigners” in Germany. The falseness of this objection, however, is confirmed in the very next paragraph of the B’nai B’rith’s report of February 14, 1995 where it goes on to object to the report’s commendation of the UNESCO’s Conference on Cultural Policies for “[excluding] any mention of Jewish culture and history” as a distinct culture. On the one hand, the diaspora Jews attempt to maintain themselves as part of the majority since it suits them financially to do so, but, on the other hand, they strive to glorify their religious distinctiveness even to the extent of opposing the cultural and religious values of the majority. This basic dichotomy in the Establishment Jewry is indeed the most alarming aspect of contemporary Jewish sociology. The attempts of the Jewish establishment — dominated by western, i.e. Ashkenazi Jews — to represent itself as a part of the western peoples are bound to fail sooner or later, since it can do so only in an economic way and never in a cultural. While international business may have succeeded in dissolving the cultural individuality of the western peoples through multicultural tactics, the essential distinctness of the Jewry both in race and religion will continue to prevent assimilation — especially since the foundation of the Israeli state in 1948, for this state is the concrete political embodiment of the alienness of the Jew, and, following Kahane’s interpretation of the significance of Sinai, of his hostility to both his Arab neighbours and the rest of the world.

While claiming to be an organisation defending an assimilated “American” Jewry, the paranoia which spurred its creation has turned the ADL into an elaborate intelligence service which spies on private American citizens and severely curtails their freedoms. It is significant that its current national director, Abraham Foxman, as R. Friedman reports, “keeps a framed portrait of Vladimir Jabotinsky, the tyrannical founding father of the Likud, on prominent display in his office”. Indeed, according to Friedman,

From its inception in 1913, the ADL has successfully masqueraded as a civil rights organization concerned with the civil liberties of all Americans. Yet the ADL has had a long and inglorious history of suppressing intellectual freedom.

During the Cold War, the ADL was running “perhaps the largest spy agency in America, regularly feeding the FBI information not only on anti-Semitic groups like the KKK and the American Nazi party, but also on Jewish leftists and members of the Communist Party.” Intimately linked to political developments in Israel, the ADL increasingly revealed its rightwing Zionist character. Already in 1948, the ADL set
up a joint intelligence-gathering operation with the Israeli government, even though it calls itself a charitable organisation with a tax-exempt status.

In 1993, the ADL was caught in a spy scandal which revealed that this organisation was responsible for illegal espionage against Americans who were considered dangerous to the Jewish cause. The targeted groups included extreme communist and extreme nationalistic American organisations, as well as Arab-Americans and black extremists — in short, all the principal foes of the established Jewry. San Francisco art dealer, Roy Bullock worked for nearly forty years for the ADL providing them with information on enemy groups. In this task he was assisted by a former CIA agent and San Francisco police officer, Tom Gerard, who sold police intelligence to the ADL. As the New York Daily News reported on 9 April, 1993, "ABC said that for several decades the spying operation has snooped into the records and activities of more than 10,000 people in the United States, including many who simply opposed the policies of Israel and South Africa". Interestingly, the ADL denies any official links with Israel but, apart from continued efforts to prop up the infrastructure of the institution of international vulgarity called "multiculturalism", the ADL has done nothing to bolster national American interests independently of the concerns of regular Zionists. In fact, one of the individuals spied upon by the ADL, former Congressman Pete McCloskey who was a critic of Israel, reported in the Los Angeles Times on 15 April 1993 that he was the subject of ADL smears when he ran for the U.S. Senate in 1982 and was eager to see the ADL investigated so as to determine whether it is an agent of the Israeli government. Other critics of the Jewry whose careers have been damaged by the ADL include Donald McGaffin, a former commentator at the San Francisco television station KPIX, and Colin Edwards, a commentator on the San Francisco FM radio station KALW. Both of them were fired from their jobs when the ADL put pressure on their bosses to do so. The ADL's strong connections with the political establishment in the U.S.A. make it difficult to prosecute the organisation. In the case of Bullock

and his espionage activities for the ADL, for example, the internal investigation of the police department was closed suddenly when Dick Goldman, San Francisco's Jewish chief of protocol, instructed the Police Chief Tony Ribera to exclude Bullock and the ADL from the probe.

Apart from crushing all criticism with a strong arm, the ADL also conducts an ongoing process of indoctrination in schools and universities. One such programme is the one called "A World of Difference Institute Programme" which promotes multiculturalism and combats "prejudice" on an international scale. According to the ADL's own report of May 19, 1995, "In the United States, it has trained more than 110,000 teachers, reaching more than ten million students, as well as over 70,000 corporate employees and hundreds of law enforcement and community leaders." The ADL's agenda extends to the police and government departments as well in the form of "sensitivity training" provided to all employees on the subject of cultural diversity and prejudice. As Richard Cotten reported in a Congressional Record prepared by Congressman John Rarick, this training funded by government money has been in effect since at least 1963 and a large number of the police officers subjected to it have obtained the distinct impression that they were being brainwashed by people with a "quasi-Communist" agenda. But it should be clear to the reader by now that the ADL's agenda is not a Communist one any more than the JDL's. For, in fact, genuine Communist groups are as much opposed to the capitalist exploitation of the Establishment Jewry as blacks like Farrakhan are. In Russia, for instance, the leader of the Communist Party, Gennady Zyuganov, also belonged to a nationalist, antisemitic organisation called the Russian National Council (founded in 1992), which blamed the Communist Revolution of Russia on "an international Zionist-masonic collusion aimed at destroying Russia" and commended Stalin as the leader who resolutely combatted "Jewish dominance and restored many traditions of Russian statehood". Zyuganov himself has repeatedly written of the Jewish influence over the West. In Beyond the Horizon and I Believe in Russia, for instance, he
declares that

The Western world's culture, ideology and worldview are increasingly influenced by the Jewish diaspora. Its influence grows literally by the hour, not just the day. The Jewish diaspora traditionally controls the financial life of the socio-economic system.

On the other hand, in Communist Russia itself, according to the Australian social historian, W.D. Rubinstein,

Although it is possible under a Marxist government for Jews as individuals to be overrepresented at the elite level (as they certainly have been in the Soviet Union and probably are even now), it has proved impossible in any Communist country for them to function, as a coherent religious, national or cultural group, to support Zionism, or, by the nature of all Communist regimes, to amass personal wealth and economic influence.

It is significant, in this connection, that, in considering the diversity of cultures within the Russian federation, Zyuganov remarks that only Russian Orthodoxy, Islam and Buddhism are appropriate as traditional religions for the Russian since "they put spiritual and moral values above consumerism".

The intimidations and smears that were brought to bear on American critics of the Jewry like McGaffin and Edwards are not restricted to the media but operate in the academic world as well. Professor Dwight Simpson of the San Francisco State University reported in the San Francisco Weekly, 28 April 1993, that, as a critic of Israel's occupation of the West Bank and the Gaza Strip, he had been targeted by Jewish students who claimed that he was an antisemite. Furthermore, he was certain that some of these students worked as spies for the ADL since he was shocked to learn at a cocktail party that the Israeli consul general, Harry KneyTal, was fully aware of all the details of his lectures in the classroom, including the jokes he told his students. In the summer of 1993, there erupted in the U.S.A. another scandal involving the ADL and its brutal efforts to suppress free inquiry into Jewish repressive policies in Israel. David Williams, a Chicago librarian, presented a resolution at the American Library Association's annual convention in San Francisco calling "upon the government of Israel to end all censorship and human rights violations in the Occupied West Bank and Gaza, and in Israel itself". Though the resolution was passed at the meeting, a coalition of conservative Jewish librarians supported by the Anti-Defamation League engineered a campaign to annul what it called a "false and biased antIsrael resolution". Already in 1989, he had compiled a bibliography on the Palestinian-Israeli conflict for the Chicago Public Library which included several anti-Israeli authors such as Uri Davis and Ingela Bendt. Soon the ADL leaders of Chicago were demanding a revision of the bibliography and pressured the aldermen in heavily Jewish neighbourhoods with calls until they too complained to library officials and the mayor's office. The library administration capitulated and agreed to include in its list more than thirty titles recommended by the ADL. But columnist Dennis Byrne of the Chicago Sun Times exposed the ADL's assault on intellectual freedom in an article dated January 30, 1993. Now, after the convention of the American Library Association, the ADL returned to its pressure tactics to have the resolution revoked. An ADL delegation met with Peggy Sullivan, the ALA director, and simultaneously launched a letterwriting campaign directed to librarians and library trustees all around the country. Not surprisingly, the resolution was overturned at the New Orleans convention, which was packed with members of the Hadassah Women's Zionist Organization of America. The same week in New Orleans, ALA officials set up a task force to investigate Williams.

Earlier, in 1987, the ADL was also involved in the Jonathan Pollard spy case where Pollard, who had been assigned to the Navy's AntiTerrorist Alert Center, stole thousands of pages of classified documents for Israel in collaboration with Avi Sella, an Israeli air force colonel whose wife worked as a lawyer for the New York ADL.
What is alarming about the ADL is that it not only acts as a watchdog organisation for Jewish and Israeli interests but has, throughout its history, acted as a shield for the rise of Jewish capitalists with close links to organized crime. That gangsterism should form the basis of modern capitalism may come as no surprise to one who is already inured to corruption and violence through the Jewish media and Hollywood. What is not always emphasized in studies of American gangsterism is the degree to which it has been dominated by Jewish criminals, first in the blatant form practised by Meyer Lansky and Bugsy Siegel and then in the subtler one of Wall Street racketeering practised by big brokers like Michael Milken, Ivan Boesky and Martin Siegel. The ADL's propaganda machinery, with its raucous cries of 'Anti-Semitism!' whenever a Jewish figure or organisation is attacked by the government or the public, has served as an effective public relations wing of the Jewish crime syndicates of this century. Already in 1908, when New York City Police Commissioner Theodore A. Bingham, wrote an article entitled "Foreign Criminals in New York" in the North American Review, he became the target of the ADL's charges of antisemitism and was eventually removed from his post as commissioner. The ADL's direct association with the Jewish gangsters is evidenced most clearly in the figure of Theodore H. Silbert, who worked simultaneously for the ADL and the Sterling National Bank. The Sterling National Bank was founded in 1929 by Frank Erickson, an associate of Meyer Lansky (who in turn was the master of Jewish criminals and founder, along with his friend Bugsy Siegel, of 'Murder, Inc.', the notorious crime syndicate that supervised the illegal traffic in liquor and drugs during the Prohibition) and served as the Jewish gangsters' 'factor' bank in the New York city garment centre, issuing high-interest, short-term loans to thousands of small clothing manufacturers. Silbert, who joined the Bank in 1934, later became its chairman and president and CEO while serving also as national commissioner, treasurer, and chief fundraiser of the ADL. Other ADL personages in the bank included Maxwell Raab, who, despite his earlier business association with Meyer Lansky in the International Airport Hotel Corporation, went on to become U.S. ambassador to Italy in the Reagan administration. The cleansing of gangsters and investment of political respectability on them is a process that has become common practice in capitalist America. We may remember that Hoover never considered it necessary to mount a campaign against gangsterism though he spent all his energies on Communists. It is significant that, in Cuba, on the other hand, when the Communists seized power, Castro forthwith dismissed all its gangsters, including Lansky, and nationalised its casinos.

However, in America, under the guise of capitalism and democracy, the Jewish gangsters have inexorably moved into social respectability and reputation with the help of the defensive ADL propaganda which raises the wall of "antisemitism" around their nefarious activities whether as gun-toting gangsters or as Wall Street monsters. Thus one should not be surprised to learn that, in 1985, the ADL honored the Las Vegas gangster, Morris Dalitz, who had been a lifetime righthand man of Meyer Lansky. More recently, in 1991, when Milken and his junk bond raiders were exposed and convicted, Jewish attorney Alan Dershowitz denounced a new book on Milken as being "antisemitic", doing what the ADL had always been doing to protect the "Establishment" Jewry. Milken's devious financial schemes for corporate takeovers with the help of junk bonds helped bring offshore drug profits back into the U.S. His criminal dealings were provided a cloak of respectability by the ADL, which received large sums of money from the Milken Family Fund. What is worse is that Milken also funded the Zionist lobby, AIPAC, through one of its subsidiaries, the Roundtable PAC. Roundtable PAC housed a tax shelter firm called Integrated Resources which served as a money conduit for Milken and his junk bond peddlers at the Drexel Burnham firm. The AIPAC sponsors political candidates who are sympathetic to the Zionist cause and, between 1991 and 1992, 211 candidates for the U.S. House and Senate from 48 states received monies amounting to over two million dollars from ADL and AIPAC. One of the U.S. politicians benefitting
from Roundtable's funds was Daniel Patrick Moynihan, who in 1986 engineered a revision of the tax code through Congress which gave Integrated Resources an additional $43 million in tax breaks. AIPAC also works in conjunction with ADL's factfinding department and Amy Goot, its executive director, was formerly an official of the ADL. AIPAC's dossiers cover thousands of American activists (including Jewish ones), and university professors who criticize the AIPAC or the ADL are placed on a blacklist, their lectures monitored by spies and the universities that employ them are pressured through alumni links to the AIPAC and the ADL to dismiss them or suffer a withdrawal of their financial backing.

In Canada, the ADL counterpart, the League for Human Rights, under the guise of combating prejudice and bigotry, steadily increases its efforts "to incorporate multicultural, antiracist, and human rights education in our schools and to start this training as early as possible." The League for Human Rights has also persuaded the Ontario AntiRacism Secretariat "to increase its networking efforts with local police to monitor hate group activity," though it is not clear why European groups — or Arab or Black ones — alone should be called hate groups while Jewish groups whose ultimate concern is the consolidation of the Jewish state and religion might be allowed to suffocate the basically European culture of their host country with daily doses of a multicultural brew which is inimical to the individual cultures of all the peoples involved in it, European and non-European. Moreover, the unpleasant fact remains that the ADL, like the JDL, is not really in favour of affirmative action either, since this could deprive them of their domination of academic and social life. It is not surprising to learn, in this context, that in its earliest days, the B'nai B'rith, of which the ADL is the strong arm, was closely allied to the KKK and, to this day, sponsors drug trafficking among blacks to such an extent that Farrakan's antidrug organisation, Dopebusters, is constantly maligned by the ADL as being run by antiSemitic Jews. On the other hand, Arabs and Europeans have had little success in having their accusations of hate lead to successful convictions. For instance, when Edgar Bronfman, the president of the World Jewish Congress, attacked the Austrian people, in a public speech delivered before the delegates to the triennial assembly of the Canadian Jewish Congress in 1989, for having elected Kurt Waldheim as their president, declaring, "Let Austria decide whether it is a civilized country or the dirty anti-Semitic dogs that they have so far been", an outraged private citizen in Toronto laid a complaint against Bronfman for inciting hatred against the Austrian people. But his complaint was completely ignored by the Montreal police. Similarly, the attempt by the Canadian Zone of the Islamic Circle of North America (based in Calgary) to ban Salman Rushdie's The Satanic Verses as hate literature was unsuccessful. As Dr. Amyn B. Sajoo wrote on that occasion,

By failing to give meaningful consideration to the Canadian Moslem view of the book, the government lends substance to the suspicion that the Islamic faith earns less than its fair share of elementary respect in our multicultural society.

What is worse is that the hate crimes law is used by the Jewish groups to vilify their opponents and to harass and intimidate them in several ways. Malcolm Ross has been investi-
tigated four times for essentially the same opinion. British historian, David Irving, who has never been charged with hate crimes in Canada, is already so smeared publicly that forum-owners who were formerly willing to sponsor his conferences are too afraid to do so now for fear that they too might be considered “hatemongers” on account of their sponsorship.

Few people stop to realize that the ADL which has propelled this law into force in so many countries is itself impelled by a concern for the same Zionism which Kahane honestly identified as being born of “hatred to the world”. Thus, although the ADL seeks the support of other minorities by supporting militant multicultural groups like the Anti-Racist Action, its interests are, no less than the JDL’s, Hebraecentric and its advertised concern for “Human Rights” is in fact only a façade for its advancement of Zionist hegemony over western society. While the JDL favours the consolidation of the Jewish state as a guide and leader to the world, the ADL prefers a more subtle establishment of the vulgar Jewish mind as the model of international society. It is not surprising that genuinely conservative politicians like Pat Buchanan, who attempt to restore Conservatism to its true dignity as the movement of national culture, are vigorously opposed by both the JDL and the Establishment Jewry. It is significant that Buchanan promised in one of his campaign speeches,

We cannot stop with defunding agencies. We must also seek out and support poets, writers, painters, sculptors and architects who are truly great and deserving of patronage. In the coming Golden Age of America, we must not only dump over the cult of Mapplethorpe, we must replace him with an American Michelangelo.23

Such statements reveal that the cultural discernment that was evidenced in the late nineteenth century by German philosophers is now developing even among American politicians of a populist persuasion. It is not surprising that the JDL recently issued a proposal to combat Buchanan in a concerted manner under the banner of “Jews against Buchanan”, point-

ing out every quasi-Nazi statement that he has made in the past. The ADL of course would not need to organise themselves in an overt platform against Buchanan since the establishment Jewry have already infiltrated the Conservative Party as so-called “Neoconservatives.” The Neoconservatives are, in fact, neither conservative nor new, being old socialist and communist Jews who moved from the left to the right under pressure from the blacks and other extreme leftwing groups impatient with the exploitative mechanisms of the Jewish capitalists. The Neoconservatives thus represent the first blatant seizure of the Conservative bastions of the West by the Jews, after their long centuries of cohabitation with the European peoples as slaves, subjects and socialists. David Frum, a prominent Jewish Neoconservative theorist, recently alludes in his book, Dead Right, to the problem, but in a cleverly veiled manner. He seems to understand the feeling of impotence that the genuine, “old” conservatives must feel before the alarming erosion of America’s culture by the Jews in the media and publishing. He imagines the conservatives wondering to themselves:

You fellows still run all of high culture: the museums, the theaters, the publishing houses. You set the tone of most mass entertainment: television drama, sitcoms, and talk shows; pop music; and Hollywood. You predominate in the news media and the mainline churches. The education of the young, from the day they first flick on “Sesame Street” until the day they leave law school, is your uncontested domain. You set the tone of national life; you determine what sort of satire is funny and what is offensive; you control taste, fashion, and etiquette. Despite all our election victories, the country is still rushing into a permissive, multicultural future.24

But, interestingly, Frum never identifies these “fellows” as Jews.

It is not surprising that Buchanan wrote in 1991 that it was the task of his conservative group to take back their movement from “the neoconservatives ... the ex-liberals, so-
cialists and Trotskyists who signed on in the name of anti-communism and now control our foundations and set the limits of permissible dissent". As a correspondent of the old conservative, Russell Kirk once noted, "the chief enemy of American conservatism has not been the Marxists, nor even the socialist liberals in the Democratic Party, but the Neoconservatives, who have sabotaged the movement from within and exploited it for their own selfish purposes". Or, as Robert Bartley remarked, Buchanan was running not against George Bush but against Irving Kristol. M.E. Bradford put it more pungently in his remark during the gloating exultations of America’s Gulf War, "I always feared the domestic Left more than Moscow ... I fear it even more now, since sometimes it calls itself conservatism. And the danger is perhaps greater now that Communism is out of the way". The most frightening aspect of the Jewish Neoconservative infiltration however is that they are able to dismiss all sincere expressions of concern for the national culture as being marginal byproducts of their own multimedia phantom, multiculturalism. Frum, for instance, closes his chapter on nationalist conservatism by disdainfully remarking that

Nationalist conservatism simply imports leftwing identity politics into a new context. If the rights of blacks, gays, and Hispanics were to be asserted, why not those of middle-aged Irish Catholics or white Southerners? ... The nationalists may take their descent, as they say, from the oldest strain of American conservatism. At the same time, however, they are truly multiculturalism’s children.

The arrogance of the Establishment Jewry is nowhere more apparent than in such statements, where the genuine aspirations of the original founders of American democracy, which have been successfully forced into the background by the repressive multicultural tactics engineered by the Jewish usurpers, are mocked, when they finally express themselves, as being but yet another cry for freedom of a subjugated minority.

The fact is that the Jews have indeed become the most influential ruling elite in North America as well as in most of the western world which follows the leadership of the Americans. Prof. W.D. Rubinstein, for instance, recently reported that, in America,

57 out of the 500 national leaders whose original religion was known were Jewish by origin — 11.4 per cent. The highest Jewish proportion found in any elite was among media leaders, where Jews accounted for 25.8 per cent of the known total.

Further:

About 45 per cent of the American intellectual elite (totaling 172 individuals) identified by the the author [of one academic study] was Jewish, although this rises to 56 per cent of all elite academics in the social sciences and 61 per cent in the humanities. Of the 20 most prestigious American intellectuals in 1970 — as ranked by other intellectuals — at least 15 were Jews.

This is not surprising when we consider Prof. Rubinstein’s next bit of information, that

The most widelyread American journals like Commentary, The Public Interest, The New York Review of Books, New Republic and Partisan Review are either explicitly Jewish or contain a disproportionately Jewish input.

Having seized an elite position in western society, the establishment Jewry are naturally opposed to any extreme socialist doctrine which would

reduce or eliminate the gap between the Jews’ level of achievement and their small numbers ... Socialism can surely be acceptable to Western Jewry in its present circumstances only in so far as it is social democracy — reformist, moderate and pluralistic — whose modes of thought are modified by capitalism.
He goes on to illuminate the vital difference between liberal social democracy and communism, or even 'old conservatism', regarding the question of Israel:

Until recently, most Jews have situated themselves within the ambit of social democratic left liberalism. Second, social democracy is reformist rather than revolutionary ... It also shares the view that the existence of Israel is historically justified. This view is one which is naturally associated with social democracy (or with American left liberalism) rather than with Marxism or (until recently) with conservatism.

The last sentence in this quote reveals how the Jewish move to neoconservatism or, rather, glorified gangster capitalism, in the past few decades has perverted Conservatism too into a tool of Jewish interests, in spite of the essential incompatibility between these two sociopolitical phenomena. Prof. Rubinstein however concludes on an optimistic note regarding this appropriation of a "conservative" stance by formerly left liberal and socialist Jews. He dismisses the notion that 'left liberalism is somehow implicit in Jewish theology or the Jewish tradition', for "Such a perception seems to be both a misinterpretation of Jewish history and chimerical". But his final remark betrays the fatal flaw of incomprehension on the part of all assimilated Jews, namely that somehow the Jewish tradition is or could be made identical to the Western:

in strengthening American defence and the American economy, and restoring American values, a triumphant American conservatism would surely strengthen those very forces which have given American Jewry — and world Jewry — the singular success they have enjoyed since 1945.

As noted above, Jewish conservatism is indeed not the natural partner of American conservatism but its principal enemy. The decay of academic life and the confusion and corruption of public morality within America under the Jewish "elite" is already, despite the ADL's dictatorial spying and censorship tactics, being attacked by genuinely conservative, as well as populist, groups which are becoming increasingly aware of the incompatibility of the alien Jewish rule with genuine American values. This is especially clear since, as Russell Kirk put it, "What really animates the neoconservatives ... is the preservation of Israel. That lies at the back of everything."

We see thus that the Establishment Jewry — protected by the ADL and the League for Human Rights — which support the supranationalistic supremacy of capitalism, and the terrorist JDL, which supports the nationalistic ambitions of Israel, are in the final analysis, little different in their essential Zionism and basic contempt for the national cultural aspirations of their neighbours, whether white Americans, or black, or Arabs. However, the more sharply that Israel itself reveals its true colours as an extremist nationalist state under leaders like Netanyahu, the more rapidly will the supremacy wane that America has so far enjoyed in backing a Jewish state ostentatiously proclaimed to be the only "democracy" in the Middle East. At the same time, within America itself, it will become more patent, and disturbing, that the blatant terrorism and violence that created the Israeli state and sustain it to this day are indeed generically related to the terrorism, both blatant and subtle, of the Establishment Jewish groups which have transformed American democracy in this century into a garish and sterile plutocracy.

Footnotes:
1. Available on the Internet website of the JDL.
3. For an account of the philosophical reason why the Jews were driven out of Chaldea see Josephus, Jewish Antiquities, I, 57, and Philo Judaeus, De mutatione nominum, 72-76, and De migratione Abrahami, 184.
4. *Time to Go Home*, p.49.

5. see Internet report issued on July 22, 1996.


8. see Mock, op.cit., p. 123.


10. It may be noted here that the Jews literally do not speak the same language as the European peoples since Semitic is an independent linguistic distinct family from the Indo-European.

11. Jabotinsky was the founder of the Betar Youth Organization of the Zionist Revisionists. Originating in Latvia in 1923, it later moved to Israel where it contributed to the development of the Irgun Tzevai Leumi and the Herut movements.


13. This last explains Friedman’s own opposition to the ADL.

14. We remember the JDL’s similar operations from 1969, see above, p. 2. cf. R.I. Friedman, *The False Prophet*.


19. Mock, op.cit.

20. Ibid., 131.

21. Edgar Bronfman is the son of Sam Bronfman, who, along with his brother Abe, established the Pure Drug Company during the Canadian prohibition era and made a fortune of bootlegging both in Canada and in the U.S., with the help of their American gangster friends, Dalitz and Lansky. When prohibition was lifted, the Bronfmans struck a deal with the U.S. Treasury Department whereby they paid millions of dollars in “back taxes” in return for the whitewashing of their crimes.


29. Ibid., p.64.

30. Ibid., p.65f.

31. Ibid., p.104.

32. Ibid., p.151.

33. my italics.
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HOUSE OF ORWELL

By

Joseph G. Stano

The lavish, highly emotional reporting by the news media on the opening of a new Holocaust Museum in New York City stands as a classic example of Orwellian "doublethink," defined by George Orwell as "...the power of holding two contradictory beliefs in one's mind simultaneously, and accepting both of them." In this case, while endlessly professing a passionate dedication to freedom of speech and freedom of the press, the whole of the news media has routinely censored any challenge to any part of that massive, undocumented, unproven, and in some cases scientifically impossible, collection of tall tales known as the Holocaust. Like the tyrannical "Party" in Orwell's "1984," the "Holocausters can "...make the laws of nature." And do it with the full support of the entire media.

It is a tactical blunder to build another Holocaust Museum at this late date, when the Holocaust as presented to the American people by the media has been long abandoned by some of its most ardent supporters. Even the Yad Vashem Holocaust Museum in Israel has long abandoned the Holocaust that is fed to the American public daily—if not hourly—by the whole of the American media. A cowardly news media routinely censors well-documented history for "Hollywood History."

As with Orwell's "Minitrue" (Ministry of Truth), the American news media's "Memory Holes" are operating at full capacity. With each passing year there is an ever increasing amount of "not newsworthy" embarrassing truths to be shoved down media Memory Holes. Unfortunately, these truths keep on coming back up!

Some of the not newsworthy "unmentionables" that simply won't stay down:

Way back in 1960 the Yad Vashem was forced to acknowledge that there were no "death camps" or "gas chambers" in all of Western Europe or all of the German Reich, since all of these camps had been open to inspection by scholars and scientists, and all of these camps were determined to have been "work camps."

This presented several problems:

First of all, the United States executed more than 450 Germans, "In the name of the United States and the American people." A great many of whom were executed for operating "Death Camps" and "Gas Chambers" in Western Europe and Germany—where they didn't exist! To put it mildly, this is a rather dishonorable page to add to the history of a nation that professes to believe in justice.

Second problem: Five of the fabulous sex million had been parceled out to all the camps in Western Europe and Germany, with only one million allotted to camps behind the Iron Curtain in Poland.

Orwellian solution: Simply pick up the 5 million mythical victims and their mythical gas chambers and throw them over the Iron Curtain into Poland where nosey scholars and scientists aren't allowed to go.

Or, in Orwellian arithmetic: Five from six equals six! Overnight, Auschwitz went from "almost a million" to "four and a half million." A rather astonishing fact that the whole of the American news media found "not newsworthy." As would a declaration by the Holocausters that the world was flat and the moon was a green cheese.

George Orwell called this Holocausters exercise "black-white," or "the ability to impudently claim that black is white in contradiction of the plain facts."

The news media's response was perfect Orwellian "doublethink": A groveling, servile acceptance of "the mutability of the past and the denial of objective reality." Broken on the Holocaust wheel, for the news media 6 minus 5 was now 6.

When execution expert Fred Leuchter went to Poland—believing in the Holocaust—to examine the alleged "gas chambers," he found them to be scientifically impossible. In
fact, they were quite ridiculous. However, to be certain, he took 30 forensic samples at all the alleged “gas chambers.” An independent laboratory in Massachusetts tested these samples and confirmed his expert opinion that all the alleged “gas chambers” had never been “gas chambers.”

Professional Holocausters, the ubiquitous Karsfelds, were outraged and demanded that the prestigious Krakow institute of Forensic Research in Poland repeat the same tests and prove Mr. Leuchter was wrong. The head of the institute led the team of scientists that took the samples from the same places as Leuchter and they got precisely the same results: no gas chambers! From the formerly loquacious and demanding Karsfelds: SILENCE!

Both sides of the “gas chambers” issue got precisely the same scientific results. If we were living in a free country where freedom of speech and freedom of the press abounds, the issue of “gas chambers” would have been settled. Our free press would have fearlessly informed the public of the truth. In George Orwell’s tyranny of “Oceania,” the truth would have been a “Thoughtcrime.” In our tyranny the truth is called “Anti-Semitic.” In both tyrannies the craven news media cowers in terror.

When forced to defend the ridiculous and impossible tales on file at the Yad Vashem, the director stated that of the 20,000 testimonies of “Holocaust survivors” that he had on file, at least half of them were “unreliable.” When pressed to define “unreliable,” he said they simply weren’t true. In trying to justify these tall tales, he said “these Jews wanted to be part of history so they invented tales or they repeated tales they had heard.”

Charming! These “unreliable” tales routinely appear in the news media as holy writ and they are part of the Holocaust courses taught in our schools. In Orwell’s “Oceania,” it’s citizenry was routinely indoctrinated with a daily “Two Minute Hate.” American kids get a full “One Hour Hate” in their schools, where they are taught to hate Germans based on outrageous lies that even the Yad Vashem has rejected.

We have executed people based on these “unreliable” tales and we expel elderly people based on these “unreliable” tales whose only crime might be serving their nation on the losing side of the Second World War, or fighting the efforts of the Soviet juggernaut to overrun Europe. More disgrace and dishonor for our unfortunate nation.

At long last, the Polish director of the Holocaust Museum at Auschwitz has admitted something scientists and scholars had known for many years: the infamous “gas chamber” shown the tourists at Auschwitz is a complete fraud. It was created out of an existing mortuary for all the Jewish tourists who were demanding to see a “gas chamber.”

The infamous Auschwitz “Death Camp” and all of its bizarre horrors specifically created for the tourist trade will end up as a kind of “Disneyland of the Doomed,” where Jewish tourists can wallow in pure Hollywood and call it history.

Recently, the Yad Vashem has tried to establish some credibility amongst historians who have finally worked up the courage to challenge all the many and varied tall tales and tourist exhibits. In a bold move—that only took fifty years—the Yad Vashem has disavowed all the tales of “human soap,” and “lamp shades,” and all the fantastic products allegedly manufactured out of Jews.

In short, they obliterated a huge and profitable industry created by an army of amateur and professional Holocausters. Gone are the little bags of human fertilizer and the stuffing for mattresses. Gone are the infinite variety of human leather products sold to a gullible public. Like combat boots, belts, wallets, riding boots, purses, leather riding jodhpurs, cavalry saddles and, lest we forget, driving gloves made for the SS out of Jewish babies who were skinned alive. Remember, these tales were only limited by the imagination of the Jew who “wanted to be a part of history.” I say, let them be judged by the tales they created in the hate-filled filth between their ears. Like Olga Lengyel of Five Chimneys of Auschwitz fame. Our charming Olga created an industry at Auschwitz where Jews were turned into...SAUSAGES!

Former New York City Mayor Ed Koch, who was instru-
mental in erecting New York's very own house of Holocaust horrors for all the tourists, created an industry where the terrible Germans chopped off all the fingers of Jews and used them as electrical switches. Koch's tale added, considerably, to his stature as one of the most silly and insufferable asses on the planet earth.

Not to worry, the body factories may be dead and buried at the Yad Vashem, but the industry is booming in the United States. It's even a growth industry where new and more fantastic tales pop up daily. Koch may continue to flick his "finger switches" far into the future. I wonder if he can think up an industrial use for all the private parts that have obviously been removed from the entire American news media? Orwellian maxim: The heresy of all heresies in a tyranny is common sense. Ed "Fingers" Koch will never be a heretic.

The fall of the Soviet Union was a disaster for the Holocaust business. The Russians turned over to the International Red Cross all the German "Death Registers" they had seized in 1944 when they overran Auschwitz in 1944. The Germans, with typical German efficiency, had scrupulously documented all the deaths at Auschwitz. Not four and a half million. Not even one million. It was slightly over 74,000 in total. And that included everyone—even the German staff—who had died at Auschwitz.

For the Holocaust researcher it can be quite amusing. It seems the cruel and uncaring Germans recorded the name of every person who died at Auschwitz; the maiden name of the person's mother—a necessity in identifying Jews having the same names; the town the person came from; the reason for the death and other pertinent information. An effort was even made to return the ashes of the deceased to his relatives. With the water table at Auschwitz approaching that of a bog, cremation was the only option. Jews have made much of cremation, a common practice in Germany, without explaining what the Germans were supposed to do with the dead when burial was impossible.

Recently, carefully labeled boxes of human ashes were discovered at one of the crematoriums. I had a good laugh watching the professional Holocausters lying through their teeth and rather nervously professing absolute bewilderment as to why the Germans had so carefully preserved the ashes of some Jews. A perfect non sequitur and a perfect Orwellian denial of objective reality: "Doublethink."

Simple justice demands that the Jews should be judged by the weight of the lies they have lumbered on the German people. The greater, the more vicious, the more vile the lie, the more loathsome the liar. I defy anyone to find a litany of more vile, vicious and sadistic lies in the pages of history.

At one time there were 19 slabs with raised letters in 19 languages telling of the more than 4 million victims that died at Auschwitz. Thanks to the efforts of the Polish Historical Society, the slabs at Auschwitz have now had this bit of "Hollywood History" chipped off them. A victory for historical truth in the Holocaust "numbers game."

The Holocaust "numbers game" has been truly remarkable. I've kept tabs on it over the past twenty years. Here are some of the claims by professional Holocausters of the number of Jews killed by the terrible Germans: Fifty million, 41 million, 36 million (Olgd Lengyel); 26 million, 25 million (Kurt Gerstein and Oswald Pohl, both under torture), 18 million, 12 million (Jew claim at the United Nations) and the ever popular 6 million.

It's amusing to note that the cowardly and cringing American news media have been given permission by the Holocausters to refer to the "1.1" or "1.5" million victims at Auschwitz, "most of whom were Jews." The 4.5 million has been dropped and no one in the entire American news media noticed the horrendous crash. Pure Orwellian "Crimestop" as practiced by the American news media: "The faculty of stopping short, as though by instinct, at the threshold of any dangerous thought." Indeed, it would have been a serious "Thoughtcrime" and very "Anti-Semitic" of the news media to notice anything.

The stampede is now on and a great many famous professional Holocausters are desperately trying to salvage some-
thing of their reputations by abandoning the fabulous 6 million for a number far below 1 million. Even if we believe the 1.1 or the 1.5 million figure imposed on the news media—the truth is far below that—it means that even the most dedicated of professional Holocausters now acknowledge that at least 3 million, half the Holocaust, never happened!

For those who are not afraid to think, here are some rational numbers: The Holocausters have forever claimed that Jews represented 20 percent of all the prisoners in the Concentration Camps. Not true, it was never that high. But, just for the sake of argument, let's use their numbers.

Jews claim 3 million survivors and 6 million deaths for a total of 9 million Jews in the camps. If the Jews constituted 20 percent of the prisoners in the camps, there must have been 45 million people in the camps! Ya gotta be kidding, that's almost the pre-war population of Italy and greater than the pre-war population of France. These are numbers that only a bloomin' idiot could believe.

O.K. Let's try it again: The Germans were signatories of the Geneva Convention, so the International Red Cross had access to and inspected ALL Concentration Camps throughout the war. I repeat, ALL THE CAMPS. And they didn't see any policy of killing anyone. Nor did they see any "gas chambers." According to the IRC the total number of deaths in the camps did not exceed 300,000. The Germans claimed the number of deaths at 250,000. With the fall of the Soviet Union the IRC was given access to the "Death Registers" that the Russians had seized when they overran the Concentration Camps. Having consulted the Death Registers, the IRC revised the numbers of deaths in the camps down to 273,000. If we accept the 20 percent figure claimed by the Holocausters, not more than 55,000 Jews died in all the camps—NOT SIX MILLION!

Recently, the Holocausters forced the IRC to confess that they didn't report all the abuse of Jews in the camps for fear that the Germans might deny them access to the camps, or even overrun Switzerland. (Not very likely.)

For those who can still think, that means that—at long last—the Holocausters have acknowledged the fact that the IRC had access to all the Concentration Camps during the war; had inspected all the camps during the war; and the IRC knew of the conditions that existed in all the camps during the war. In short, an acknowledgment of the expertise of the IRC on the subject of Concentration Camps.

By forcing the IRC to confess to a "moral failure" by not reporting any abuse of Jews in the camps, the Holocausters have no choice but to accept the IRC's number of deaths in the camps at 273,000. Having certified the IRC as experts in the matter the Holocausters are stuck with their experts' findings.

Or, as George (Orwell) would have put it: "The heresy of all heresies in a tyranny is common sense."

It's little wonder that those who instruct the Holocaust in our schools and universities here in the United States stand basic scholarship on its head by absolutely refusing to defend, in a free and open debate, the course they are teaching. I know, I've challenged the boys in the Holocaust business and watched them disappear in a cloud of dust. Clearly a confirmation that they are teaching rubbish that can't be defended.

The Holocaust is alleged to be history. How could it be? There are literally thousands of subjects: civilizations, nations, peoples, cultures and countless events that dedicated historians research, carefully document and turn into thick tomes. Only when these tomes are subjected to peer review, wherein every fact not proven is challenged and adequately defended, can these tomes be regarded as history.

This is not the exception, this is the norm. One cannot deny that every facet of science is routinely challenged and must be proven. Even the nebulous subject of religion is open to debate and there are those who will passionately defend the impossibilities of the "Garden of Eden," Noah and his bobbing ark, and Jonah and his bloomin' whale. In defense of the impossible, the British Flat Earth Society still fights on and they have even devised a rather sophisticated mathematical formula to prove the earth is flat. A formula that has
puzzled some scientists because it seems to work! In a free society where persons are allowed to think, one can question and challenge, defend and attack, absolutely everything and anything in a search for the truth. The non-debatable Holocaust stands quite alone as an aberration and cannot claim any authenticity whatsoever. Even the Flat Earth Society with their strange formula and their willingness to defend their case present more proof than the Holocausters case that can be challenged with simple arithmetic.

This latest Holocaust Museum in New York City constitutes a frantic effort to substitute cement for substance in the Holocaust, as if one can successfully prop up outrageous lies with brick and mortar.

Even the best efforts of the American news media to censor the truth will ultimately fail and the American people will see the Holocaust for what it is: a monumental swindle lasting over fifty years, in which the Jews have profited politically, financially and even sadistically when our government punished, and even executed, hundreds of innocent people based on lies.

From the very outset the Holocaust was a swindle. Justification for the creation of a Jewish state was based on the Jewish contention that Jews could not live in safety anywhere in Europe after the nations of Europe permitted the Germans to establish “death camps” and “gas chambers” in every European state. That’s why 5 of the fabulous 6 million victims were originally spread across Western Europe to lumber guilt on western nations and prove a case for an independent Jewish state. The State of Israel was created on a foundation of lies and to profit from lies is clearly a swindle. To swindle the world and gain a nation is clearly the greatest swindle in history.

One must take note of the fact that all systems of law around the globe share a common rejection of the retention of wealth or property gained by dishonest means. The members of the United Nations were all swindled when they created the State of Israel based on the lies of the Holocaust. All nations that voted to create the State of Israel now share the guilt of having taken lands from the unfortunate Palestinian people based on lies. Empirical reasoning dictates that if the U.N. had the power to “create” the State of Israel, the U.N. has the power to “un-create” the State of Israel.

The solution to all the problems in the Middle East requires nothing more than a U.N. vote to make Jerusalem an International City—as it should have been—and the return of all Palestinian lands to their rightful owners.

Of course, our craven, groveling politicians will probably veto justice for the Palestinian people at the U.N. until the crumbling House of Orwell comes crashing down under the weight of its outrageous lies.

When this Holocaust house of lies collapses, the Jews of the United States will earn the contempt and disgust of the American people for having prayed on their kindness and compassion for power and profit, while involving them in the punishment and even death of innocent persons.

The Jews will certainly earn the anger of the American people with the realization that generations of American children were taught hatred and bigotry in their schools for the sadistic pleasure of Jews. Lest the people forget, the American public will have all the tax supported Holocaust Museums across this nation as constant reminders of the greatest swindle in history.

I’m afraid the backlash will be awesome and it will encompass all Jews living in the United States and most nations around the globe. It’s ironic, Jews who have eagerly practiced the collective punishment of the German people for fun and profit for more than fifty years will now endure the collective punishment of the world.

The Holocaust was limited only by the imagination of those creating it, sustained by nothing more than the magic word “anti-Semitic” and the willingness of the whole of the American media to censor the truth. The backlash will certainly target the whole of the American media, lowering all media types even further—if that’s possible—in the eyes of the American people.
The Meaning Of Life: 
Race and Nature 
By 
David Myatt

Part One 
The Organic Nature of National-Socialism 

Nature and National-Socialism 

Today, many people are aware that Nature — our natural environment — is under threat from constant and unchecked development, and from continued and unchecked industrialization. One obvious consequence of such development, urbanization and increased industrialization has been the steady decline in people’s “quality of life” — there has been, and is, a concentration on material concerns.

The whole process of unchecked development, change and growth has been, and is being, fed by material greed — by a desire to acquire, maintain and increase the “standard of living” through the possession of material goods and material luxuries.

In the developed nations of the “Western world”, the unchecked growth which has occurred, and which is still occurring, has led to the construction of more and more roads, more and more suburbs, more and more housing developments, and more and more industrial and commercial developments. Isolated, wild places have been destroyed or despoiled, with the countryside invaded by more and more houses and more and more noisy vehicles going ever faster. In Europe, and the rest of the developed world, ever more immigrants from the under-developed countries arrive each year making already overcrowded countries like Britain more overcrowded. Everywhere, the activities of human beings has taken precedence over Nature, with profits and material growth coming before Nature. For example, in Europe, trees and woodland are cut-down, and the countryside destroyed, just so that more and more roads can be built so that more and more people can transport themselves around faster and faster in the pursuit of either self-indulgence or more and better material comfort.

What has happened is that the natural balance with Nature, which previous societies generally maintained, has been lost. Previous societies understood and valued Nature, with Nature, with wild places, often being given preference over human beings because such places were regarded as “sacred to the gods” — as where the gods themselves dwelt and where they could be experienced and known. Today, this natural spiritual awareness has been almost lost in the crass pursuit of wealth and personal self-indulgence.

The Denizen Of The Future

Many people understand that what is occurring cannot go on without some great catastrophe occurring. If such growth does continue, unchecked, we will be left with only a few unspoiled places where Nature can be felt and known, with these few places being almost over-run with people escaping from the urban and industrial wastelands. If such growth does continue, the social problems which are developing will increase. If such growth and such a pursuit of self-indulgence and materialism does continue, then our Western world — and probably the rest of the world as well — will become an inhuman place to live, with increasing and constant social turmoil, and with a loss of everything human and valuable. What is human — and valuable for us — is an awareness of our own place in the natural “scheme of things”; that is, a perspective, a depth of vision, an understanding of how we as individuals are balanced between the past and the future, and of how important Nature is for us — the creator, and mother of us all, on whom we all ultimately depend for food and our well-being, and whom we should respect, if not revere.

If change and growth continue on unchecked, with Nature despoiled, then a new type of human being will be created — the urbanized denizen who knows nothing of the wild profundity of Nature, and who therefore does not respect Nature, and who has no real perspective on life. This denizen will therefore be vainly arrogant and weakly self-indulgent, addicted to personal pleasures. All this denizen will know of Nature is the artificial, almost life-less and totally god-less “nature” encountered in “countryside parks”, in the barren, chemically-polluted fields of agri-business farms, and in well-kept, well-trodden “nature trials”. The waking hours of this denizen will be filled with music of one sort or another, and entertainment, and possibly some work in some enclosed building or house, and he/she will feel at home in cities, in motor vehicles, in buildings and houses, and uncomfortable in what is left of the “real world”. This denizen will have plenty of “spare-time” to indulge himself in an unreal way through organized and controlled “games” and “sports” and “thrill-seeking pastimes”. This denizen would not know what to do if he/she found themselves alone for any length of time, in a quiet place, with no “entertainment systems”, and they would do almost anything to avoid prolonged and uncomfortable exposure to the “natural elements”. They would exercise and exert themselves — but just a little, and probably in some indoor “gym” or “sports club”.

The concerns of this urbanized denizen would be either personal ones, or abstract ones manufactured for such denizens by the international commercial and political concerns which would control, in an almost tyrannical way, all if not most of the nations of the world. Without knowing it, this denizen would be controlled — and looked after — by such concerns from
the cradle to the grave. Gradually, the world itself would become a gigantic multi-national “theme park” for the enjoyment of such denizens, whom the international commercial and political concerns would want to keep well-entertained, well-fed and reasonably docile, since such denizens would be the workers who would keep the whole unnatural System going.

Nature, The Environmental Movement and Race

Many people understand such things as these, as many have some awareness of the problems and the nightmares which await in the future if nothing is done. Indeed, a whole new “environmental” movement has arisen, rooted in such concerns. Many of what has come to be called “ecological” solutions to be such environmental problems have been proposed over the past few decades, most of them well-meaning.

This environmental movement, however, has failed for the most part to really understand Nature because it has ignored one of the most important aspects of Nature. Accordingly, lacking an understanding and appreciation of this aspect, the solutions proposed will not fundamentally work: they will be “against Nature” itself, and will create more problems than they will ultimately solve. The first problem we must understand, and solve, is our own — the nature of our own species, of our own relation to Nature. Having understood this, and solved it, we can seek to work in harmony, in balance, with Nature, and hopefully create a balanced, natural world where Nature is restored to her rightful place, with other species respected and protected and allowed to evolve in their own way.

What has been ignored hitherto is the human racial perspective: the fact that we, as a species, have evolved because of Nature, can evolve still further because of Nature, and must depend upon Nature — must act in accord with the processes or laws of Nature — if we are to survive and evolve further. The concern of most environmentalists and conservationists has been and is, to preserve or conserve as many of the varieties of living things as possible, but they have neglected to consider that we, as a species, are of many varieties, of many races, and that these many races, and their many cultures, deserve to be preserved and allowed to continue to evolve in their own unique way.

Nature — and thus evolution — works to bring about diversity and difference. Our own distinct and unique races, and the diverse cultures and societies such races have produced, are the product of evolution. These things have evolved over long periods of time, and they are what make us, as individuals, unique and special. We are part of our own race, and the culture our race has produced. If we act to preserve and extend our own unique race and culture, then we are acting in accord with Nature — we are respecting Nature. If, however, we act to undermine or destroy our own unique race and culture, we are acting against Nature — we are being disrespectful to Nature. When we seek to undermine or destroy racial difference and diversity — when we seek to mix-up races and racial cultures — we are ignoring Nature and being arrogant, vainly believing that we know better than Nature. When we do such unnatural things, we are being just as bad, just as thoughtless, as someone who out of ignorance, greed or selfishness, seeks to, or does, destroy some species which Nature has laboured to create. When we do such unnatural things as undermining racial difference — through, for example, condoning race-mixing or accepting such an unnatural creation as the “multi-racial society” — we are no better than some ignorant, greedy developer who destroys some natural habitat, and wipes out a species or two, in order to build some unnecessary road.

The mistake made by the environmental movement has been to assume or believe that we, as a species, are somehow not subject to the laws of Nature — that what applies for all other species does not, or should not, apply to us. This is just sheer willful ignorance, and is inexcusable. Rather than accepting the destruction of our own unique diversity and difference, we should celebrate this diversity and difference of culture and race. We should nurture it, and hope to advance it further. To do this we should seek to create the right social, political and environmental conditions to preserve and extend each unique race and each unique culture. Our own unique races, and our own unique cultures, are under threat and need saving just as much as those animals, those plants and those other species which are threatened by global change, global greed and global ignorance.

We must come to a complete and rational understanding of our own place in the natural “scheme of things”, and seek to create a balanced, harmonious way of life where all the many creations of Nature are respected, and where they can continue to evolve. Our planet — so far as we know — is special because it contains life, and this life is special, and should be valued, because of its great diversity, abundance and difference.

The complete and rational understanding we need is contained in the natural philosophy of National-Socialism. National-Socialism explains the importance of the wonderful natural creations — race and individual character — as it explains how a balanced, or harmonious, society can be built to preserve and extend still further these natural creations. National-Socialism further explains how this new type of society can lead individuals to an understanding of Nature herself.

National-Socialism, simply explained, is an example of the laws of Nature in action, and a National-Socialist society is simply an organic society where Nature is respected and revered, and where the natural balance, the natural harmony and beauty of Nature, is displayed in a human and social way. In contrast to the organic, Nature-revering, society or Reich of National-Socialism, all other types of society, presently existing, or existing as a political idea, are lifeless, abstract, and disrespectful of Nature.

II

The Organic Society of National-Socialism

The fundamental difference between the folk-society created by National-Socialist ideals and all other modern societies — be such societies the
product of Marxism, capitalism, multi-racial socialism, liberalism or parliamentary "democracy" — is that a National-Socialist society, or Reich, is an organic society, and as such reflects, or represents, the laws of Nature. Such an organic society is natural, healthy and evolutionary. All other modern societies are either: (1) based upon, or derive from artificial abstractions, or ideas, and as such they are all non-organic societies, or (2) the abstract ideas created to create such an unnatural society have infected a healthy organic society, and have reduced that healthy organic society to sickness as they will ultimately cause its death. That is, all other modern societies either are, or will inevitably produce, what is lifeless, soul-less and de-evolutionary.

One of the two fundamental aims of National-Socialism is to create an entirely new type of modern society through a National-Socialist revolution — that is, by implementing the ideals of National-Socialism in a practical way. This new type of society — this Reich — is a society which makes possible and which aids the development of the individuals within it. It is a means to further the evolution of those individuals and their communities. Indeed, the very reason for the existence of such a society is to do this — to continue our upward development as individuals and so create a new, higher, type of human being. To create this new type is the second fundamental aim of National-Socialism.

The Folk Organism

To be living, or organic, a society has to reflect, to represent the natural living organism which is a folk-community bound by ties of blood and a common culture or heritage. This is so because only such a racial, or ethnic, community is living as a natural healthy organism. Only such a unique racial organism is distinct, and the product of evolution. All other types of "community" — such as the multi-racial ones of modern States — are unnatural, artificial constructions which are or which become non-organic. Furthermore, the abstract ideas underlying such societies can infect a healthy organic society and destroy it. Such unnatural societies — or an infected, diseased, once healthy society — do not reflect the natural biological, organic imperatives found in Nature, as they are not distinct in the racial sense.

A living society has a biological imperative — that is, it has a Destiny and an ethos. It is subject to the laws of Nature — to the pattern of birth-life-death-renewal which is found in Nature. Because it is living it seeks to grow, to prosper, to live-on by re-producing itself. Because it is living, and has evolved, it is distinct; it has its own nature, character, or ethos. The truth is that race and folk are Nature made manifest. Race is Nature working to produce diversity and difference — it is evolution in action.

The fundamental truth of our own nature, as human beings, is that we are not isolated beings. We are part of our own unique race just as we possess within ourselves the organic ethos and the organic Destiny of our race — of our folk-organism. We are part of a supra-personal organism which has lived for thousands of years before us and which can life for thousands of years after us — provided we aid its unique organic Destiny. This Destiny is to prosper, to grow, to evolve, to develop.

We undermine this organic Destiny — and contribute to the death of this supra-personal organism — when we do not mate among our own kind, our own folk, and when we do not aid the development, or contribute to the prosperity, of this supra-personal organism.

This supra-personal organism which is our folk, and thus our race, is the meaning of our lives. Our purpose is to aid and assist its growth, its evolutionary change, its prosperity. We live-on after death in this organism — in our descendants, in our deeds, in the soil, in the Nature and in the "fatherland" where this organism dwells. There is no meaning to "life-after-death" other than this. There is no meaning to life other than this — everything else is, in reality, either an illusion or a waste of the opportunities that human life offers. Someone who understands these things, and acts upon this understanding, is someone who is enlightened.

The reality of our nature is that our awareness of ourselves, as separate individuals, is both good and bad. It is bad because it can lead us into selfishness — into the pursuit of selfish goals, pleasures and happiness to the detriment of the larger family which is our natural clan, tribe or folk. It is good because it means that we possess the ability to consciously change ourselves by an act of will. This means we can actively aid evolution.

Fundamentally our evolution toward consciousness has presented us with a choice. We can either choose to remain ignorant, unenlightened and selfish, and so ignore our own folk and its future; or we can choose to aid our folk, and thus aid our own individual development. We can either choose to live selfishly, and squander our chance to live on after death; or we can choose to be idealistic and enlightened, and live on after death in our folk. We either accept our organic Destiny, or we have no Destiny. We either accept our responsibilities, our duties, as evolving human beings, or we do not.

Civilization

A higher type of living results when individuals of the same folk or tribe cooperate together for their own benefit. That is, when they place the welfare of their folk before their own self-interest. All that is good and noble about human life derives from such idealism.

In the past, Aryan individuals who have chosen to cooperate together, for the good of their tribe or folk, have sometimes produced civilizations — that is, they have created an even higher way of living than that produced by a tribal society. Such civilizations have resulted when noble and creative individuals have cooperated together for the benefit of their folk and when they have displayed a collective, or organized, will — when they have, as a community, been ordered and disciplined. This collective will is basically Destiny. The natural organic imperative of their folk developed, through order, to become the Destiny of their civilization, just as the ethos of their folk
became the ethos of their civilization. In an important sense, civilization is the highest type of society so far created on this planet of ours. It was, and is, an evolutionary leap — Nature changing and evolving and aiding the creation of higher forms. But hitherto, the creation and the maintenance of civilization has been instinctive. And hitherto, civilizations have been solely created by Aryans, since only Aryans have been endowed, by Nature, with the gifts of abundant nobility, creativity and collective, or organized, self-discipline or will.

What National-Socialism does is to make the imperative of civilization conscious. That is, National-Socialism gives us the means to understand civilization, and the higher living which results, as well as gives us the ability to continue with and expand — to evolve further — this higher living, and so produce a new race of higher beings. In the simple sense, National-Socialism is the organized, the living will of the race or folk, consciously understood and willingly accepted.

The new even higher form of living, the higher civilization, which it is now possible to produce by using our collective will is the healthy, expanding and organic society of National-Socialism — with its own consciously understood imperative, or Destiny. Since an organic society, or Reich, can only be created by the practical implementation of National-Socialist principles and ideals, it is necessary to consider what these principles and ideals are.

The Principles and Ideals of National-Socialism

The fundamental ideals of National-Socialism are honour, loyalty and duty. An individual striving to live by these ideals is a better person than someone who does not strive to live by them. That is, these ideals produce, or can produce, personal excellence. They represent what is human and civilized, and they produce individuals of real character, or personality. Fundamentally, these ideals enshrine the noble idealism of National-Socialism itself — the pursuit of supra-personal goals and the setting of high and noble standards for individuals.

The most fundamental principle of National-Socialism is that individuals can change themselves, and the world, for the better through an act of will. That is, individuals possess the ability to change themselves, and others — all it requires is an act, or acts, of will, and idealism, the pursuit of a noble goal. This is being idealistic and self-disciplined, and it is the way for individuals, their communities, and their civilization, to be healthy, and to prosper and evolve. In practical terms, this principle means individuals placing the interests of their folk before their own self-interest and before their own pleasure and happiness. If a person does not strive to act and change himself by using his will, then he/she is being weak and decadent. According to this principle, there can be no excuses for bad conduct, for decadence, for weak character — the individual can, and should, change, once they are aware of such things as the noble ideals of National-Socialism, for such change is what it means to be human.

Thus, a National-Socialist revolution fundamentally means a change in people's outlook and behaviour — it means individuals striving to change themselves through an act of will by them applying the ideals of honour, loyalty and duty in their own personal lives. From this personal, inner change, a new society can be built — with the structures and institutions of such a society reflecting or representing these noble ideals and the principles of National-Socialism. Without this personal change, there can be no National-Socialist revolution and thus no National-Socialist society.

The second principle of National-Socialism is that of respecting and revering Nature herself. Race — and thus folk-communities united by ties of blood — reflect the reality of Nature, and accordingly an ethnic, or organic, society is the best, most natural and most healthy type of society for individuals to live in. Such a society represents the organized will of a particular folk — it expresses the unique biological imperative, the unique Destiny, of that folk. Such a society strives for a harmonious balance with Nature, balancing Blood and Soil — Folk and Fatherland — with Conquest and Exploration.

The third principle of National-Socialism is that such an organic society should be the beginning of a quest to continue the work of Nature by striving to advance, to continue, our own evolution, thus creating a new race of human beings and a new Golden Age.

The fourth principle of National-Socialism is that this quest to continue our own evolution depends on us understanding, expressing and representing in our own lives and in our society, what is supremely idealistic or numinous — that is, what is beautiful, excellent, inspiring and divine. For only by understanding, expressing and representing or trying to represent what is supremely idealistic or numinous can we as individuals and a folk be inspired to change, to explore, to conquer, to fulfill the purpose of our lives.

Destiny

We Aryans need to re-discover our unique biological imperative — our unique ethos and Destiny. It is this which should inspire us and guide our lives, not the quest for an unnatural and decadent "personal happiness" and certainly not the striving for material comfort and personal wealth. To survive and prosper, a folk or race must possess a Destiny — it must value itself, and be proud of itself. It must be united and strong. If a folk or race does not value itself, is not proud of its achievements and has no sense of or feeling for its racial identity and its Destiny, then that race is ill, and dying.

It is one of the principle aims of National-Socialism to provide us with a sense of racial identity — and to re-unite us with our unique civilizing Destiny — thus enabling us as a race to survive, prosper and create the organic society which is necessary if our evolution is to continue.

The enemy of the noble, evolutionary, idealism of National-Socialism is the selfishness inherent in weak individual character. The enemy of the evolutionary, organic and numinous society which it is the aim of National-
Socialism to create is the diseased society of the present with its lack of personal honour, its abandonment of excellence and its unnatural, diseased and abstract ideas such as racial equality, pacifism, decadent self-indulgence, personal happiness, and disdain for self-discipline.

Part Two
Folk and Fatherland

III
Our Relation to Nature

In our modern world, with its technology, materialism and its consumer-society, the individual has for the most part lost or forgotten the link, bond or nexus, which exists between them, Nature and the cosmos beyond.

This bond exists because the individual is a living organism, with an organic past, and because this organism for its health and its very life, depends on Nature. It is a modern fallacy that we, as individuals, as human beings, are somehow different from or superior to Nature. We belong to, are part of Nature — to the creative, vital and biological processes of Nature — just as much as trees or a wild animal belong to Nature. Our very life is an expression of this bond between us and Nature herself.

There have, however, been several recent attempts to try and understand, and to re-establish, this living nexus between us, as living human beings, and between Nature. These recent “environmental” and “ecological” attempts mostly focus on the individual, in isolation, and on the “life-style” of the individual, aiming to show that there is or could be a personal “life-style” for the individual which is more “in harmony with Nature” and which aids Nature, or helps to protect Nature from exploitation by human beings. Some of these recent attempts go further, and suggest various types of human society which could be constructed to do these and similar things.

However, all these recent attempts are based upon a fundamental misunderstanding of the bond between ourselves and Nature. These recent attempts all ignore how we came to be as we are — how and why we have evolved. What has not been understood is the fact that we ourselves are evolved. What has not been understood is the fact that we ourselves are still subject to the law or processes of Nature — we have arisen because we have evolved from Nature, and because of the biological imperative, the organic Destiny, of our ancestors.

To understand the bond which exists between us and Nature we must understand our own biological imperative — our Destiny as living organisms. This Destiny not only explains the bond between us and Nature, it also enables us to understand what the meaning of our life is — what is the purpose of our existence, here on this planet we call Earth. All living things on this planet, all organisms, are subject to the laws of Nature, to the biological imperative implicit in life itself. Thus, all organisms are born; they all have the potential to grow; they all, if healthy, seek to reproduce themselves, or have descendants; and they all, as individual organisms, must die. All organisms also have the potential to change — to adapt to the conditions they encounter or find themselves in, although for most organisms this adaptation, this evolution, to be noticeable or significant, occurs over many generations.

The biological Destiny which a living organism has depends on its type — on its species, and is thus determined by evolution. A particular biological species is different from other species because of evolution — it has adapted itself over a period of time to do certain things, and has acquired certain characteristics, a certain nature. This nature is inherent in a particular organism because that organism is what it is — a distinct biological type — and because of the ancestors of that organism.

Thus, a bee has evolved to have wings and fly, while a spider is confined to where it can go on its legs — both belong to the type we call “insects”, but they are separate species, distinguished by what they can or cannot do. A spider has the “nature”, the character, of a spider, while a bee has the “nature” of a bee. Spiders have evolved to build webs of various kinds in order to catch their food, while bees have evolved to fly in search of their food.

In the same way, we as individuals are who we are — we have the nature we have — because of our ancestors, because of our folk or race. Our own human species has evolved, over vast periods of time, into separate races or folk, each of which has its own unique nature, and each of which because of that nature has its own unique organic Destiny — its own biological imperative.

Contrary to what most people have been led to believe, these different races of ours are of fundamental importance because they express how Nature is manifest to us — they express how we are connected to Nature. What is of vital importance about folk, or race, is this bond — this expression of our own nature, as individuals, this expression of our identity and this expression of our organic Destiny. Race is an expression of the organic Destiny of our own human species. Race expresses, or represents, how we came to be who we are. In the simple sense, race or folk is an expression of our organic Destiny. Race expresses, or represents, how we are part of it and how we are part of it and how we are part of it.

To understand the bond which exists between us and Nature we must understand our own biological imperative — our Destiny as living organisms. This Destiny not only explains the bond between us and Nature, it also enables us to understand what the meaning of our life is — what is the purpose of our existence, here on this planet we call Earth. All living things on this planet, all organisms, are subject to the laws of Nature, to the biological imperative implicit in life itself. Thus, all organisms are born; they are all subject to the law or processes of Nature — of how Nature works, and is made manifest to us. Race is evolution in action — Nature labouring to produce more diversity and more difference. What is only of secondary importance about race is the actual physical or mental differences between races.

What must be understood is that our folk is our connection to Nature and thus to the cosmos itself. Just as we are Nature made manifest in an individual organism, so our folk is an organism which manifests Nature. In the simple sense, our folk is a living thing, a supra-personal organism which we are part of. The organism of our folk has lived for thousands of years before us, and it can live for thousands of years after us if those who are part of it aid it by seeking to preserve and extend it and keep it vital and healthy. The biological imperative — the organic Destiny — of a folk is
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to live, to extend itself, and to evolve further.

What we have lost in modern times is an appreciation, an understanding, of the supra-personal organism which is our folk. The folk gives the individual their organic Destiny, just as the folk itself is the meaning of the life of the individual. What most people today assume is "the meaning of life"—personal happiness, pleasure, material comfort and so on—is an illusion; such artificial things are barren, devoid of organic Destiny. Destiny, for an individual, is the organic Destiny inherent in them because of their folk.

The real purpose and meaning of life is to strive to aid or advance or to keep healthy one’s living folk—that is, to aid the organic Destiny of one’s folk. An individual has been born to do this, and if this is not done, then that individual life has no ultimate meaning or purpose. Their life has been a waste, and the Destiny which is inherent in them by virtue of being alive and born into their folk, is unfulfilled. In the past, most individuals fulfilled some of this Destiny by marrying among their own folk and producing children—descendants to carry on the folk itself. These descendants contained the potential of the folk—a means to aid or to fulfill the Destiny of the folk. Destiny, for the individual, is vital; it is numinous; it is inspiring and life-giving. Destiny produces health. In contrast, the material and selfish illusions which today pass for "meaning" and for "living" are lifeless and devoid of substance. In the same way, modern societies which are based upon these material and selfish illusions are lifeless and devoid of substance.

It is a sad fact that an individual who is a racial mixture, a cross-breed, has lost their Destiny because they have lost that which connects them to Nature—their unique identity, and their unique purpose. They have no soul, no special identity—or at best, they have a confusion of identity, and a confusion of purpose. Those responsible for creating such a mixture have acted against Nature herself—against the racial diversity and racial difference which Nature seeks to produce through evolution and her laws. Such race-mixers, instead of breeding among their own kind, and so seeking to aid their own Destiny and thus the Destiny of Nature, have undermined the Destiny of their own folk. Like those who arrogantly exploit and despoil Nature for commercial profit, those who encourage race-mixing and thus who produce racially-mixed offspring have damaged Nature.

Racially-mixed offspring are for the most part "rootless," and all they can do is try and repair some of the damage their parents have done to Nature, is to strive to aid in a practical way the Destiny of one of the races from which they are descended. That is, they can strive to encourage the racial identity, the racial survival and expansion—and thus the Destiny—of one of the races from which they are descended. If they do anything other than this, then their lives are a waste, as they themselves cannot live on in any meaningful way after their own individual death.

IV

Life After Death and The Illusion of Self

Our belief in our own self-contained uniqueness is an illusion. The belief that we have a wide-ranging "freedom" to choose or determine our own destiny is also an illusion. The reality is that we are part of, and depend upon our folk, and Nature, and the only freedom we have, as part of this larger organism which is our folk, is a freedom to evolve or not to evolve—that is, to aid or not to aid the Destiny of our folk. If we aid this Destiny, we ourselves fulfill the purpose of our lives and so can evolve to what is beyond.

Our individuality, our consciousness, is an evolutionary adaptation. This adaptation has enabled us to evolve further by cooperating together in pursuit of noble aims. It has enabled the creation of a higher, more evolved way of living—the communal living of societies, and later on, of civilization. That is, our individuality, our personality, was and is fundamentally a means to aid our folk—this was, and can be, done through a triumph of individual will, through individuals consciously placing the welfare of their folk, their community, before their own self-interest and their own individual survival. Societies and civilization are created, and flourish, only so long as this is done. That is, only so long as idealism, as nobility, flourishes. In the past, a society or civilization was a society or civilization of a particular folk—an expression of a particular folk in a particular time and place cooperating together and so producing a higher, more evolved, way of living for themselves. As such, such societies and civilizations reflected the Destiny, or part of the Destiny, of a particular folk.

The truth of our individual lives is that we possess a folk-awareness: an awareness beyond the short span of our own individual lives. The reality of the present is that this folk-awareness, this wider perspective, is increasingly being lost in the artificial, lifeless societies of our times. In the past, this awareness was mostly instinctive—a product of our heritage, of our awareness of our communal or folk identity. It is this awareness which gives meaning to our lives, and it is this awareness which shatters, or which can shatter, the illusion of our independent self.

This folk-awareness is an awareness of how we are connected to Nature through our folk. It is an understanding, instinctive or conscious, of our folk heritage and Destiny—it is an awareness that our folk has existed for thousands of years before us, and can exist for thousands of years after us. It is an awareness that we are our folk-made manifest in a particular time and place.

Yet this folk-awareness is only part of what exists—it only expresses part of what we, as human beings are. There is an awareness beyond this—the awareness of Nature herself, and of the cosmos beyond Nature. Each folk is Nature herself made manifest—Nature incarnated in human form, in the individuals of a particular folk. Similarly, Nature is the cosmos made
manifest — an incarnation, on this planet we call Earth, of the biological, or organic, imperative of the cosmos. Life itself is the cosmos striving to evolve — the manifestation, in a particular time and space, of the cosmic order which is life. When there is a conscious awareness of this relationship between the cosmos, Nature, folk and individuals, then there is an understanding of life itself.

This supra-personal understanding, this perspective which takes us beyond the individual, not only gives meaning and significance to our own lives, it expresses what the meaning of our lives actually is, and what is beyond our own individual lives when we as individuals die.

What is beyond us, is a whole cosmos of connections and Destinies — a living, or organic, matrix full of living organisms, ranging from the cosmos itself down to planetary-sized organisms such as Nature here on Earth, with its own intricate matrix of living, evolving individual races composed of living, changing individual members.

In a sense, our aim as conscious beings is to discover, to come to know, to understand this cosmic organic matrix, and to aid its living, its organic manifestations and its evolution as best we can. This knowing and this aiding of the organic Destinies of the various organisms, and particularly of our own folk, is for us, as individuals, a further evolution — it is we ourselves contributing to evolution. It is us as individuals going beyond what we are, in a particular time and space, and so fulfilling the purpose of our existence, as living beings possessed of will and possessed of consciousness. Because of this, it is us becoming or seeking to become divine — seeking to participate in the great drama of cosmic evolution. It is us aiding Nature and the cosmos itself.

If we so aid these organic Destinies, we ourselves become these Destinies, and become incarnate in the future, in a developed form. That is, if we aid the Destiny of our folk, we become our folk, its very future and its possible future manifestations. We also become Nature, in evolution, and thus the cosmos itself — the very life of the cosmos. That is, we live-on after our own individual death in these things. This living-on, however, is not given, not certain, not fated — it has to be achieved, by the individual in this life, through a triumph of individual will and through an aiding of Destiny. If it is not achieved, then the promise of life in the individuals not achieving it has remained unfulfilled.

Beyond our individual death, there is no "heaven", no "hell", no "nirvana", no "paradise", no "Valhalla" where we live-on as individuals with the feelings, the awareness of ourselves as individual beings. There is also no "re-birth" as another individual. These are all illusions built upon the illusion of an independent self. All there is or can be is a supra-personal awareness — a transcending, or development, to become a new type of being. This new type of being is part of or lives in the supra-personal organism which is our folk, which is Nature and which is the cosmos itself — all manifestations or incarnations of the very essence of life itself, and all parts of the same thing, the same type of living being. There is no division of this essence, as there is no space dividing world from world, and no slow passing of causal time. In the simple sense, if we transcend, through our achievements and our aiding of Destiny, to what is beyond our individual existence, we become like immortal gods. The cosmos itself, and all life within it, is our home, and we can travel the cosmos and dwell anywhere within it. This is so because we become the very essence of these things, which exists beyond our normal time and beyond our normal causal space.

There is an understanding and insight here which is profound, awesome and important for our future. Unfortunately, it is an understanding which many people in these times will not or cannot understand or appreciate, since it is contrary to the illusionary beliefs, the illusory dogmas, and the materialism, which dominate and determine the societies of our time. As such, it is the insight, the understanding of the next thousand years, and one which will aid, or create, a more highly evolved human being.

V

Folk and Fatherland:

Creating an Organic Folk-Society

The Destiny of a particular folk can be made manifest in a fatherland. A fatherland is an ethnic or folk society which dwells in a particular place or homeland. To aid Nature, and to further evolution, folk societies must be created on a world-wide basis to preserve and aid each unique folk or race which Nature has evolved. To do otherwise is to undermine and destroy what Nature has striven to create — it is to arrogantly damage Nature herself. Only a folk society — an ethnic society, state or nation — is a living, or organic society, and only such a healthy living society can aid Nature and further the evolution of our own species. All other types of society, however well-meaning, are contrary to Nature and anti-evolutionary as they all will damage Nature, probably irretrievably so.

For a particular folk to survive, prosper and evolve — and thus for Nature herself to be aided — it must have a home, a place to dwell, as it must establish a harmonious balance with Nature. Most importantly of all, it must have or establish its own identity — and possess a sense or an understanding of its unique Destiny, a sense of perspective and a sense of pride. The folk must value its own traditions, heritage and culture, as they must seek to keep their folk itself alive. This means them keeping blood pure by marrying among their own racial kind. The individuals of that folk must be nourished by good food, as they must be or become physically healthy. The land itself must be cared for, for the folk depend on its well-being. Thus there is, or there must be developed, an awareness of Blood and Soil, of Folk and Fatherland.

There is also, or there must be developed, an awareness of the Destiny of that unique folk. In the past, the Destiny of a particular folk has been expressed by means of a myth or legend, mostly involving gods or deities.
Such myths and legends are no longer necessary, since we now possess the ability to consciously know and understand the Destiny of our folk, based as this unique Destiny is on the unique ethos, the unique character, of a particular folk.

A living society has a supra-personal purpose — a striving to make its Destiny real. The individuals of such a society thus possess a supra-personal purpose. Basically, a folk society is the organized will of the folk. It is an ordered society full of self-disciplined individuals who willingly cooperate together for their own greater good because they know or feel that such an ordered, self-disciplined society makes them better, more healthy individuals, and gives them an opportunity to fulfill the real purpose of their lives. Thus can they, and their folk, evolve, and a new higher race of human beings comes into existence. The values of an organic society are the values of idealism and nobility — the quest or striving for personal and supra-personal excellence by the setting of high personal standards.

In contrast, modern non-organic societies aim to satisfy the selfish material desires of the individuals within that society. There is no supra-personal purpose which individuals can aspire to and which inspires them, and indeed no united purpose which such societies strive for — except for vague and illusive and abstract ideas like “happiness” and “security”. Thus, such societies are dis-organized, de-evolutionary and do not work particularly well.

We have now reached the stage of our evolution when we possess the understanding — and have developed the self-centred arrogance, the illusion of self — to either aid Nature, or to severely damage Nature. An ethnic, organic or folk society — based upon Folk and Fatherland — is a step toward aiding Nature and our own evolution, both as a species and as individuals. Any and every type of multi-racial society is a ruthless destruction of Nature.

To create an organic society requires us to act with understanding, to be self-disciplined, to achieve our own unique triumph of the will. We either recognize, and strive to restore, our connection with Nature evident in race, or we selfishly and arrogantly ignore this connection, and damage Nature, and the future of our own species, here on this planet we call Earth.

The suppressed and feared truth of our times is that the National-Socialism of Adolf Hitler was a modern and conscious expression of the wisdom of Folk and Fatherland. He himself was an incarnation of the Destiny of the Aryan folk and his mission was to reveal this wisdom and restore the connection between our species and Nature, thus enabling us to aid Nature and continue with our evolution. The noble folk society he started to create in Germany was to be a practical means to achieve a new Golden Age, here on this planet of ours.

That his work was unfinished, and ruthlessly destroyed by his enemies, and that National-Socialism and Adolf Hitler have been the subject of the most hateful, the most intense lying and dishonourable propaganda campaign the world has ever seen, expresses an awful lot about the forces and influences which now hold sway over this planet which is our home.

Part Three
Beyond The Egotism Of The Past:
A Revolutionary National-Socialist Manifesto

VI
A Revolutionary Manifesto

This is a revolutionary manifesto because it clearly outlines what individuals must accept if a truly noble and evolutionary society is to be created. This evolutionary society is basically a noble society where the fundamental ideals of National-Socialism — honour, loyalty, duty — are accepted by individuals, accepted as the guiding principles of public life and are the foundations of all public institutions and government itself.

What must be accepted is that certain things are detrimental to, or hinder, our own evolution and thus the creation of a higher, more noble way of life. The majority of these detrimental things arise from our own egotism and our own desire for material possessions, material comfort and wealth. If we are to evolve and become higher, more cultured, more civilized beings — and if we are to create a more advanced way of life, a more advanced society and a more advanced civilization — we must reject and go beyond such things, however difficult it is and however radical and revolutionary. What is important is to accept what is necessary, change our own lives through a personal triumph of the will, and strive to create a better, more noble society for our people.

To create such a society all that is necessary is idealism and a triumph of will. This is revolutionary, and will require a practical revolution, in people’s attitudes, and in society. Such a society can never be created by compromise; by pandering to what is egotistical, material, “respectable” and bourgeois. For National-Socialism, the State, the economy, and society itself, are only a means — a means to create a more advanced, more highly evolved individual, and a more advanced, more highly evolved folk or race. What practical and immediate difficulties exist, are only there to be overcome through a practical, human and revolutionary implementation of idealism.

This Manifesto outlines only the bare essentials — the essence — of what is necessary. It is intended as a guide to revolutionary action, and a stimulus to the development of National-Socialist philosophy.

As Adolf Hitler wrote in Mein Kampf:

“The State is only the vessel and the race is what it contains. The vessel can have a meaning only if it preserves and safeguards the contents. Otherwise it is worthless.

Hence the supreme purpose of the ethnic State is to guard and pre-
serve those racial elements which, through their work in the cultural field, create the beauty and the dignity which are characteristic of a higher mankind. As Aryans, we can consider the State only as the living organism of a people, an organism which does not merely maintain the existence of a people, but functions in such a way as to lead its people to a position of supreme liberty by the progressive development of the intellectual and cultural faculties...

Out of a dead mechanism which claims to be an end in itself [our present State] a living organism shall arise which has to serve one purpose exclusively: and that purpose is one which belongs to a higher order of existence...

The racial Weltanschauung is in profound accord with Nature's will — because it restores the free play of those forces which will lead the race through stages of sustained reciprocal development towards a higher type, until finally the best portion of mankind will possess the Earth and will be free to work in every domain all over the world, and even reach places that lie far beyond this Earth."

"The instinct for the preservation of one's own folk is the primary cause that leads to the formation of human communities. Hence the State is a racial organism, and not an economic organization. The difference between the two is so great as to be incomprehensible to our contemporary politicians. That is why they like to believe that the State may be constituted as an economic structure, whereas the truth is that it has always resulted from the exercise of those qualities which are part of the will to preserve the folk and the race. Furthermore, these qualities always exist and operate through the heroic virtues and have nothing to do with commercial egoism; for the conservation of the folk always presupposes that the individual is ready to sacrifice himself. Such is the meaning of the poet's lines:

Und setzet ihr nicht das Leben ein,
Nie wird euch das Leben gewonnen sein.
[And if you do not risk your life, you will never win life for yourself.]

The sacrifice of the individual existence is necessary in order to ensure the conservation of the race. Hence it is that the most essential condition for the establishment and maintenance of a State is a certain feeling of solidarity, grounded in an identity of character and race, and in a resolute readiness to defend these at all costs."

VII

The Future Evolutionary Society

National-Socialism belongs to the future because National-Socialism represents our noble desire to continue our evolution, as individuals and as a species, and because it is a practical means to create a truly advanced and evolutionary society. National-Socialism is fundamentally idealistic and indeed spiritual — a manifestation of what lies beyond egotism and materialism. As such, National-Socialism can create a new world, a new Golden Age, where the noble idealism and the spirituality it represents is made real, thus enabling us as human beings to fulfill our divine, or evolutionary, potential.

Beyond Private Property

In contrast to the noble and practical idealism of National-Socialism, modern life and modern societies waste our human potential. Such societies mostly manifest or encourage what is essentially a sub-human and primitive way of living — the pursuit of materialism and the triumph of the selfish, egotistical individual. Nowhere is this modern selfishness, this triumph of destructive egotism, more evident than in private property and in the desire to obtain and keep private property and private wealth. An advanced, evolutionary society is one where selfish greed, destructive egotism and the sub-human materialism of the present and the past have all been banished and abolished because left behind. To leave such things behind requires the willing abolition of private property, and this itself requires individuals to achieve their own triumph of the will by accepting and upholding what is noble and idealistic rather than what is egotistical or of benefit to them, as individuals in isolation. In brief, it means individuals nobly striving to place the welfare, and the future, of their folk or society before their own self-interest. Furthermore, it means that new society itself striving for supra-personal and inspiring, or numinous, goals.

We have to, and must, go beyond — we must abolish — the petty and destructive materialism of the past, the capitalism of the past, and the petty and destructive egotistical desires we ourselves feel. We must free ourselves from what is primitive and what is mundane. We must concentrate on and develop our higher, our civilized nature, and reject — through a triumph of will — our primitive egotistical nature. If we do these things, we are being human; if we do not do these things — if we scorn self-discipline and idealism — then we are being sub-human and living in a sub-human way.

We must create a government, a State, an economy, and a society which can and which does provide us with the basic essentials we need to live and be healthy — good housing, good health care, a good environment and good food. This can and will free us from the basic mundane tasks of living thus enabling us to pursue supra-personal, higher, more worthwhile and numinous goals which can and which will create a higher type of individual, a higher way of living and a higher civilization. Such things should be provided because that is the sole, the genuine purpose of a State, its government and its economy: to care for its folk, and to create conditions which enable the evolution of that folk.

National-Socialism: Not Communism

It must be further understood that the revolutionary ideals of Na-
tional-Sodalism represent what is necessary to create an evolutionary society. They are National-Socialist, not Communist; that is, such ideals reflect the nature of racial socialism and not the nature of the egalitarian socialism of Communism. Fundamentally, National-Socialism accepts the reality of Nature, and our own human existence, manifest in race and in excellence of individual character, whereas Communism seeks to undermine and destroy both racial diversity and difference, and excellence of individual character.

Communism means coercion of the people — a dictatorship. National-Socialism means the freedom of a folk-democracy — the freedom of an organic society. Communism means the pursuit of an anti-evolutionary and materialistic utopian society; National-Socialism means the pursuit of non-materialistic and numinous goals. Communism means an end to individual excellence, and the desire to destroy individual personality and individual responsibility; National-Socialism means the pursuit of personal excellence through a striving for honour and idealism, and the acceptance of individual responsibility.

Further Reading
1) The importance of idealism in creating noble societies, and civilization itself, is outlined in chapter 11 of volume I of Mein Kampf. See also “The Nobility of National-Socialism” and “National-Socialism: Principles and Ideals.”
2) The National-Socialist ideals of honour, loyalty, duty are outlined in “Honour, Loyalty and Duty: An Introduction to National-Socialism.” See also, “Vision of a Future Age — National-Socialism and the Importance of Honour.”
3) The numinosity of National-Socialism — and the pursuit of numinous goals such as the creation of a Galactic Empire — are outlined in “The Numinosity of National-Socialism.”
4) The organic, folk nature of a National-Socialist society is outlined in “The Organic Nature of National-Socialism.” See also ‘The Thousand Year Reich’ in “The Enlightenment of National-Socialism,” and “Folk and Fatherland: The Meaning of Life.”
5) The importance of race as representing the evolution of Nature is outlined in “The Philosophical Foundations of National-Socialism” in “The Numinosity of National-Socialism.”
6) The importance of personality in National-Socialism is outlined in chapter 4 of volume II of Mein Kampf.
7) The importance of individual will is outlined in ‘Morality, National-Socialism and the Triumph of Individual Will’ in “Future Reich.”
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