



ABOUT THE AUTHOR: Dr. Revilo Pendleton Oliver, Professor of the Classics at the University of Illinois for 32 years, is a scholar of international distinction who has written articles in four languages for the most prestigious academic publications in the United States and Europe.

During World War II, Dr. Oliver was Director of Research in a highly secret agency of the War Department, and was cited for outstanding service to his country.

One of the very few academicians who has been outspoken in his opposition to the progressive defacement of our civilization, Dr. Oliver has long insisted that the fate of his countrymen hangs on their willingness to subordinate their doctrinal differences to the tough but idealistic solidarity which is the prerequisite of a majority resurgence.

SOME QUOTABLE QUOTES FROM AMERICA'S DECLINE:

On the 18th Amendment (Prohibition): "Very few Americans were sufficiently sane to perceive that they had repudiated the American conception of government and had replaced it with the legal principle of the 'dictatorship of the proletariat,' which was the theoretical justification of the Jews' revolution in Russia."

On Race: "We must further understand that all races naturally regard themselves as superior to all others. We think Congoids unintelligent, but they feel only contempt for a race so stupid or craven that it fawns on them, gives them votes, lavishly subsidizes them with its own earnings, and even oppresses its own people to curry their favor. We are a race as are the others. If we attribute to ourselves a superiority, intellectual, moral, or other, in terms of our own standards, we are simply indulging in a tautology. The only objective criterion of superiority, among human races as among all other species, is biological: the strong survive, the weak perish. The superior race of mankind today is the one that will emerge victorious—whether by its technology or its fecundity—from the proximate struggle for life on an overcrowded planet."

AMERICA'S DECLINE

Order No. 01007 — \$12.00
plus \$2.40 for postage & handling

376 pp., pb.
ORDER FROM:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

Liberty Bell

ISSN: 0145 - 7667

SINGLE COPY \$5.50

THE JEWISH ANGLE

By Josef Sobran
page 1

ALSO IN THIS ISSUE:

Arthur J. Jones: The Non-White Face of Crime, page 12. — *Allan Callahan: What Happened to Hitler's Body, page 24.* — *Dr. Charles E. Weber: The Reelection of Bill Clinton, page 26.* — *Guillermo Coletti: Alfred Rosenberg and Paganism, page 27.* — *Clark M. Cornell: Something is Funny, page 35.* — *Guillermo Coletti: Wagner on Wagner: An Exercise in Germanic Self-Hatred, page 37.* — *Kevin Alfred Strom: America Awake, Eternal Vigilance Is the Price of Freedom, page 43.* — *Letters to the Editor, page 50.*

VOL. 24 — NO. 5

JANUARY 1997

Voice Of Thinking Americans

LIBERTY BELL

The magazine for *Thinking Americans*, has been published monthly since September 1973 by Liberty Bell Publications. P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA Phone/Fax 304-927-4486.

Manuscripts conforming to our editorial policy are always welcome and may be submitted on IBM-compatible diskette, or in double-spaced, neatly typed format. Unsolicited manuscripts will not be returned unless accompanied by stamped, self-addressed envelope. Manuscripts accepted for publications become the property of Liberty Bell Publications.

© Copyright 1996

by Liberty Bell Publications.

Permission granted to quote in whole or part any article except those subject to author's copyright. Proper source, address and subscription information must be given.

ANUAL SUBSCRIPTION RATES:

00011	FIRST CLASS: Canada / Mexico	\$ 70.00
00012	FIRST CLASS / AIR MAIL: Abroad	\$100.00
00020	AIR MAIL (Printed Matter): Europe, South America	\$ 80.00
00021	AIR MAIL (Printed Matter): Middle East, Far East, Africa	\$ 90.00
00022	AIR MAIL (Printed Matter): Sample Copy	\$ 6.50
00030	THIRD CLASS (Bulk Rate): U.S.A. only	\$ 50.00
00031	THIRD CLASS (Printed Matter): Abroad	\$ 70.00
00033	THIRD CLASS: Sample Copy	\$ 5.50

BULK COPIES FOR DISTRIBUTION:

10 copies	\$ 30.00
50 copies	\$ 120.00
100 copies	\$ 200.00
500 copies	\$ 800.00
1000 copies	\$1200.00

FREEDOM OF SPEECH—FREEDOM OF THOUGHT FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION

The editor/publisher of *Liberty Bell* does not necessarily agree with each and every article in this magazine, nor does he subscribe to all conclusions arrived at by various writers; however, he does endeavor to permit the exposure of ideas suppressed by the controlled news media of this country.

It is, therefore, in the best tradition of America and of free men everywhere that *Liberty Bell* strives to give free reign to ideas, for ultimately it is ideas which rule the world and determine both the content and structure of our Western culture.

We believe that we can and will change our society for the better. We declare our long-held view that no institution or government created by men, for men, is inviolable, incorruptible, and not subject to evolution, change, or replacement by the will of an informed people.

To this we dedicate our lives and our work. No effort will be spared and no idea will be allowed to go unexpressed if we think it will benefit the *Thinking People*, not only of America, but the entire world.

George P. Dietz, Editor

The Jewish Angle

By

Joseph Sobran

Beware of Ally

You can't understand American politics and culture nowadays without grasping the enormous power and influence of the Jews. The Jewish angle is complicated; it's not all to the bad; but from a Christian standpoint, it deserves criticism, because the interests of Jews and Christians naturally diverge. It is sentimental nonsense to pretend otherwise.

But since sentimental nonsense is obligatory in the American media, anyone who tries to talk frankly on the subject is "out of the mainstream," "extremist." The Israeli press is remarkably blunt about Jewish power in this country; but its coverage, though written from a Jewish perspective, would violate settled taboos in America. A Hebrew-language newspaper, *Ma'ariv* (the Israeli equivalent of the *Washington Post*), recently [Sept. 2, 1994] ran a long, detailed article on Jews in the Clinton Administration: "The Jews Who Run Clinton's Court"! The idea that there may be a *Christian* perspective on the subject is unthinkable.

The notion that two profoundly different cultures can easily mix, under shibboleths of "pluralism" and "multi-culturalism," is naive. You might as well expect a character from Homer to walk into a Thackeray novel. A culture is almost by definition a set of things that *can't* mix, because they have their full meaning only in relation to each other and lose their meaning in any alien context.

Unfortunately, the Jews — the organized Jews, the ones who, for our purposes, really count (leaving out unaffiliated Jews, mavericks, eccentrics, Mrs. Goldberg down the street, so to speak — are quick to damn any criticism as "anti-Semitism," "Jew-hating," and, when that criticism comes from independent Jews, "self-hate." (The idea of "self-hate" is

so tortured you wonder how on earth it arose. You get the impression that the only people Jews ever hate are themselves.)

The word “anti-Semitic” can usually be translated “Semitically Incorrect.” Jews in America don’t have to worry about persecution. The organized Jewish power is actually enforcing an ideological orthodoxy, and its habitual resort to personal abuse and intimidation is itself part of what deserves criticism. Such charges wouldn’t be daunting to potential critics if “the Jews” weren’t powerful. Like such ugly neologisms as “racism,” “sexism,” and “homophobia,” “anti-Semitism” doesn’t belong to the classic English language, as it has been used from Shakespeare to Dr. Johnson to Dickens to Hemingway. It’s a term of vilification. Its function is not to define and distinguish but to conflate. (Nobody speaks of “anti-gentilism.”) So many people and places have been damned as anti-Semitic countries.

Nevertheless, certain things must be said. And to be said they must be sayable. The Jewish question is part of my beat. Part of the reason for this newsletter is to utter a *Christian* viewpoint and to defend *Christian* interests at a time when public discourse is choked with fear of the Jews, in large part because of Jewish control of the major media — by ownership, pressure, false delicacy, and the constant threat of calumny.

Why is this urgent? Well, because, for instance, Israel can get a lot of Americans killed. In his book *The Samson Option* [Random House, 1991]: Seymour Hersh described in detail how Israel’s secret nuclear arsenal implicated, and even endangered, the United States. Maybe Hersh was wrong. But he is a distinguished reporter, and he was making an assertion of considerable gravity. His thesis deserved, you might think, some discussion. The book was an attempt to alert us to an unsuspected danger and a vindication, by the way, of the foreign policy of America’s founders. But *The Samson Option* died a quick death.

I began to understand the problem in 1982, when I ceased to be an automatic defender of Israel (which I had

been since the Six-Day War in 1967). The savage war on Lebanon opened my eyes. I saw, first, that the American alliance with Israeli was making us enemies throughout the Muslim world. That was good for Israel and also good for the Soviet Union; but very bad for the United States. Moreover, I had a personal stake: my two sons were approaching draft age at a time when it looked as if the draft might be restored, and I saw no reason why they should be sent to fight in the Middle East. The thought of it made my blood run cold. For that matter, I didn’t want *any* American boy to die for a foreign country.

I also came to realize how treacherously Israel was dealing with the United States. I’d tried to ignore evidence of this, starting with the attack on the *Liberty* in 1967. When Menachem Begin lied to Ronald Reagan about his intentions in Lebanon, the pattern was too clear to ignore. I learned a good deal about Israel espionage against the United States even before the Pollard spy case broke in 1985.

Along the way I perceived an unmentionable fact: that many Jews in the media were committed, sometimes fanatical Zionists, who justified everything Israel did, would never admit any deep divergence between American and Israeli interests and would shed no tear if my sons died for Israel. They included most of the neoconservatives I’d previously thought of as my allies and, in some cases, friends. In other respects they were decent-enough people. But even the Pollard case didn’t seem to shake their primary loyalty to Israel.

I also couldn’t help noticing the hypocrisy of organized Jewry, which pushed one set of principles in America — racial equality, secularism, and so forth — and their *polar opposites* in Israel, whose reason for being is to confer privileged status on Jews and Judaism. Jews won’t let American Christians pray in their own public schools, but they are willing to tax them to subsidize and protect Israel. That, too, is both obvious and unmentionable. It cries out for discussion.

And I became aware that most Christians in the media,

including friends of mine, were afraid to say what, deep down, they knew. They were afraid of being smeared by the same neoconservatives they professed to regard as friends. They didn't dare to draw the obvious inference from the Pollard case, even when it transpired that the documents Pollard stole had probably been passed to the Soviets by the Israelis. They were in the same position as liberals in the 1940s and 1950s who had been afraid to admit that their ranks had been infiltrated by Soviet sympathizers and agents. (The cry of "anti-Semitism" was a bullying diversion from the real issue, as the cry of "McCarthyism" had been.)

Even knowing all this, I was shocked at the violence of the personal attacks against me when I began writing against the US-Israeli alliance. The neoconservatives, whom I'd naively expected to respect my right to disagree, were the most vicious. I was even more shocked when some of my Christian friends betrayed me — though one of my great consolations was that I found stalwart and honorable friends among non-Zionist Jews. (When the chips were down, some of my best friends *were* Jews).

Pat Buchanan got the same treatment a few years later, when he observed that Israel's "Amen Corner" was leading the cry for war with Iraq just as I'd expected it would. He, too, was attacked with special venom by neoconservatives some of whom he'd befriended, as well as by some Christian conservatives who saw their chance to win favor with the people they feared and toadied to. (Among those who were brave and decent enough to defend him was Michael Kin-sley, his liberal Jewish antagonist on *Crossfire*.)

You can agree with the neocons on nine out of ten issues — but if the tenth is Israel, the other nine count for nothing. You may want to keep their friendship, but it's not up to you; they treat you as their mortal Joseph Sobran enemy (which is why I define an anti-Semite as a man who is hated by Jews). There is no clearer proof of the absolute priority of Israel for them. But the gentiles in the conservative movement, who still talk in their sleep about Alger Hiss, have put up little resistance to Zionist infiltration.

And it's one of the constants of journalism that the Amen Corner eggs this country to fight with any country it sees as a threat to Israel: Iraq, Iran, Syria, Libya, even North Korea. Moreover, it favors military intervention abroad in general, though it's less unanimous when Israel isn't menaced; some oppose intervention in Bosnia or Haiti, because the loss of American lives would lead to "isolationism" — their word for making American interests paramount.

Why does Zionism rely so heavily on deceit, camouflage, insinuation, betrayal, propaganda, and calumny? At bottom it may be less a matter of mendacity than of a cultural gulf. Most Zionists, even those who are otherwise honest people, resist open debate because they correctly sense that their cause — Jewish chauvinism — is indefensible in terms of both American interests and Christian culture. They need gentile support but can't afford to say what they really think of gentiles; though what they think can be gathered from the way Israeli law *treats* gentiles. Where gentiles are the majority, the Jewish power calls for equality, secularism, and "pluralism"; but Israel, where the phrase "Judaeo-Christian" is used sparingly, is exempt from those otherwise universal standards. (Hence the tortured Israeli debate over whether to annex the occupied territories: what if the Arabs became the national majority!) The occasional gentile who catches on must be silenced and ostracized. Find, if you can, an American Zionist who demands equality for Israeli Christians. Such a Zionist is nearly as rare as an American Christian who sticks up for his fellow Christians in Israel.

Israel is no worse than many other countries. But it is worse than any other ally, except Britain — another country that likes its American friends to do the fighting. The analogies are interesting. At home, Churchill spoke of "the British Empire"; but for American consumption, he sang of "the great democracies" and "the English-speaking peoples." Nor has Mrs. Thatcher been shy about telling us where to send our boys. The Zionists have a long way to go before they will match British mischief; but Britain is an exhausted power, and Zionism poses the chief dangers to America at the mo-

ment.

There are other aspects of organized Jewry that deserve our critical attention too, its virtues as well as its proclivities. Up to a point, its tribalism is healthy and deserves emulation by a society whose weakening bonds of kinship are plunging us into crime and general decadence. But its liberalism, self-absorption, and deep hostility to Christianity are both excessive and self-destructive. The intellectual brilliance of the Jews is one of the wonders of the world; but it also has its dark side, a facile skepticism, an insensitivity to the dumb virtues of tradition (even, at times, Jewish tradition), a reckless radicalism.

The reason the current Jewish taboos should be broken is so that the whole truth can be told, and not just those things that are discreditable to the Jews. Actually, the Jews are nowhere near as bad as some of their defenders make them sound. They sometimes take advantage of our gullibility; which is not to say we gentiles were all innocent before they arrived. They do us harm; but not because they really *want* to harm us. As I say, cultures are more than just different flavors of ice cream. They are more like different cosmologies. The most important fact about any man, as G.K. Chesterton pointed out, is what kind of universe he thinks he's living in.

The Jewish Establishment

In the early 1930s, Walter Duranty of the *New York Times* was in Moscow, covering Joe Stalin the way Joe Stalin wanted to be covered. To maintain favor and access, he expressly denied that there was famine in Ukraine even while millions of Ukrainian Christians were being starved into submission. For his work Duranty won the Pulitzer Prize for journalism. To this day, the *Times* remains the most magisterial and respectable of American newspapers.

Now imagine that a major newspaper had had a correspondent in Berlin during roughly the same period who hobbled with Hitler, portrayed him in a flattering light, and denied that Jews were being mistreated — thereby not only

concealing, but materially *assisting* the regime's persecution. Would that paper's respectability have been unimpaired several decades later?

There you have an epitome of what is lamely called "media bias." The Western supporters of Stalin haven't just been excused; they have received the halo of victimhood for the campaign, in what liberals call the "the McCarthy era," to get them out of the government, the education system, and respectable society itself.

Not only persecution of Jews but any critical mention of Jewish power in the media and politics is roundly condemned as "anti-Semitism." But there isn't even a term of opprobrium for participation in the mass murders of Christians. Liberals still don't censure the Communist attempt to extirpate Christianity from Soviet Russia and its empire, and for good reason — liberals themselves, particularly Jewish liberals, are still trying to uproot Christianity from America.

It's permissible to discuss the power of every other group, from the Black Muslims to the Christian Right, but the much greater power of the Jewish establishment is off-limits. That, in fact, is the chief *measure* of its power: its ability to impose its own taboos while tearing down the taboos of others — you might almost say its prerogative of offending. You can read articles in Jewish-controlled publications from the *Times* to *Commentary* blaming Christianity for the Holocaust or accusing Pope Pius XII of indifference to it, but don't look for articles in any major publication that wants to stay in business examining the Jewish role in Communism and liberalism, however temperately.

Power openly acquired, openly exercised, and openly discussed is one thing. You may think organized labor or the Social Security lobby abuses its power, but you don't jeopardize your career by saying so. But a kind of power that forbids its own public mention, like the Holy Name in the Old Testament, is another matter entirely.

There is an important anomaly here. The word "Jewish,"

in this context, doesn't include Orthodox or otherwise religious Jews. The Jews who still maintain the Hebraic tradition of millennia are marginal, if they are included at all, in the Jewish establishment that wields journalistic, political and cultural power. Morally and culturally, the Orthodox might be classed as virtual Christians much like the descendants of Christians who still uphold the basic morality, if not the faith, of the ancestors. Many of these Jews are friendly to Christians and eager to make common cause against the moral decadence they see promoted by their apostate cousins. Above all, the Orthodox understand better than almost anyone else in America today the virtues — the *necessity* — of tribalism, patriarchal authority, the moral bonds of kinship.

The Jewish establishment, it hardly needs saying, is predominantly secularist and systematically anti-Christian. In fact, it is unified far more by its hostility to Christianity than by its support of Israel, on which it is somewhat divided. The more left-wing Jews are faintly critical of Israel, though never questioning its "right to exist" — that is, its right to exist on terms forbidden to any Christian country; that is, its right to deny rights to non-Jews. A state that treated Jews as Israel treats gentiles would be condemned outright as Nazi-like. But Israel is called "democratic," even "pluralistic"!

Explicitly "Jewish" organizations like the American Jewish Committee and the Anti-Defamation League enforce a dual standard. What is permitted to Israel is forbidden to America. This is not just thoughtless inconsistency. These organizations consciously support one set of principles here — equal rights for all, ethnic neutrality, separation of church and state — and their precise opposites in Israel, where Jewish ancestry and religion enjoy privilege. They "pass" as Jeffersonians when it serves their purpose, espousing rules that win the assent of most Americans. At the same time, they are bent on sacrificing the national interest of the United States to the interests of Israel, under the pretense that both countries' interests are identical. (There is,

of course, no countervailing American lobby in Israel.)

The single most powerful Jewish lobbying group is the American Israel Public Affairs Committee (AIPAC), which, as its former director Thomas Dine openly boasted, controls Congress. At a time when even Medicare may face budget cuts, aid to Israel remains untouchable. If the Israelis were to begin "ethnic cleansing" against Arabs in Israel and the occupied lands, it is inconceivable that any American political figure would demand the kind of military strike now being urged against the Serbs in ex-Yugoslavia.

Jewish-owned publications like *The Wall Street Journal*, *The New Republic*, *The Atlantic Monthly*, *U.S. News & World Report*, the *New York Post*, and *New York's Daily News* emit relentless pro-Israel propaganda; so do such pundits as William Safire, A.M. Rosenthal, Charles Krauthammer, Jeane Kirkpatrick, and George Will, to name a few. That Israel's journalistic partisans include so many gentiles — lapsed goyim, you might say — is one more sign of the Jewish establishment's power. So is the fact that this fact isn't mentioned in public (though it is hardly unnoticed in private).

So is the fear of being called "anti-Semitic." Nobody worries about being called "anti-Italian" or "anti-French" or "anti-Christian": these aren't words that launch avalanches of vituperation and make people afraid to do business with you.

It's pointless to ask what "anti-Semitic" *means*. It means trouble. It's an attack signal. The practical function of the word is not to define or distinguish things, but to conflate them indiscriminately — to equate the soberest criticism of Israel or Jewish power with murderous hatred of Jews. And it works. Oh, how it works.

When Joe McCarthy accused people of being Communists, the charge was relatively precise. You knew what he meant. The accusation could be falsified. In fact the burden of proof was on the accuser: when McCarthy couldn't make his loose charges stick, he was ruined. (Of course McCarthy was hated less for his "loose" charges than for his accurate

ones. His real offense was *stigmatizing the Left*.)

The opposite applies to charges of "anti-Semitism." The word has no precise definition. An "anti-Semite" may or may not hate Jews. But he is certainly hated *by* Jews. There is no penalty for making the charge loosely; the accused has no way of falsifying the charge, since it isn't defined.

A famous example. When Abe Rosenthal accused Pat Buchanan of "anti-Semitism," everyone on both sides understood the ground rules. There was a chance that Buchanan would be ruined, even if the charge was baseless. And there was *no* chance that Rosenthal would be ruined — even if the charge was baseless. Such are the rules. I violate them, in a way, even by spelling them out.

"Anti-Semitism" is therefore less a charge than a curse, an imprecation that must be uttered formulaically. Being a "bogus predicate," to use Gilbert Ryle's phrase, it has no real content, no functional equivalent in plain nouns and verbs. Its power comes from the knowledge of its potential targets, the gentiles, that powerful people are willing to back it up with material penalties.

In other words, journalists are as afraid of Jewish power as politicians are. This means that public discussion is cramped and warped by unspoken fear — a fear journalists won't acknowledge, because it embarrasses their pretense of being fearless critics of power. When there are incentives to accuse but no penalties for slander, the result is predictable.

What is true of "anti-Semitism" is also true to a lesser degree of other bogus predicates like "racism," "sexism," and "homophobia." Other minorities have seen and adopted the successful model of the Jewish establishment. And so our public tongue has become not only Jewish-oriented but more generally minority-oriented in its inhibitions.

The illusion that we enjoy free speech has been fostered by the breaking of Christian taboos, which has become not only safe but profitable. To violate minority taboos is "offensive" and "insensitive"; to violate Christian taboos — many of them shared by religious Jews — is to be "daring" and "irreverent." ("Irreverence" of course, has become *good*.)

Jewry, like Gaul, may be divided into three parts, each defined by its borders vis-a-vis the gentile world. There are the Orthodox, who not only insist on borders but wear them. They often dress in attire that sets them apart; they are even willing to look outlandish to gentiles in order to affirm their identity and their distinctive way of life. At the other extreme are Jews who have no borders, who may (or may not) assimilate and intermarry, whose politics may range from left to right, but who in any case accept the same set of rules for everyone. I respect both types.

But the third type presents problems. These are the Jews who maintain their borders furtively and deal disingenuously with gentiles. Raymond Chandler once observed of them that they want to be Jews among themselves but resent being seen as Jews by gentiles. They want to pursue their own distinct interests while pretending that they have no such interests, using the charge of "anti-Semitism" as sword and shield. As Chandler put it, they are like a man who refuses to give his real name and address but insists on being invited to all the best parties. Unfortunately, it's this third type that wields most of the power and skews the rules for gentiles. The columnist Richard Cohen cites an old maxim: "Dress British, think Yiddish."

Americans ought to be free to discuss Jewish power and Jewish interests frankly, without being accused of denying the rights of Jews. That should go without saying. The truth is both otherwise and unmentionable.

Joseph Sobran is a nationally-syndicated columnist, author and lecturer. He is a former senior editor of *National Review*, and currently Washington, DC, correspondent for *The Wanderer*, a traditionalist Roman Catholic weekly. He edits a monthly newsletter, *Sobran's* (c/o Griffin Communications, P.O. Box 1383, Vienna, VA 22183). "Beware of Ally" is reprinted from the October 1994 issue of *Sobran's*, and "The Jewish Establishment" from the September 1995 issue.

The Non-White Face of Crime

by

Arthur J. Jones

Once again, the politicians and the news media are calling for a "get tough" approach to combating the ever rising wave of crime sweeping across America. But until the politicians and the quivering pink rabbits of the liberal press face up to the real causes of crime in our country, there will be no end to the carnage wrought by the criminals in our society.

And precisely what the liberals and conservatives of both major political parties simply refuse to acknowledge is who the criminals are! Until that is done, none of their solutions stands a chance of reducing crime even a little. For the fact is, the crime problem in America is, first and foremost, a racial issue.

We don't have a crime problem, nor a guncontrol problem. What we have is simply the failure of our "elected representatives" to face the fact that violent crime has a nonWhite, very ugly, vicious, savage face.

Lest I be accused of simply making gross generalizations about crime, let's let the facts of crime make my case about the nonWhite nature of crime in America.

According to the latest available statistics, crime directly and indirectly costs \$674 billion dollars a year! On what is all this money spent? This is where it goes:

Police protection — \$39 Billion

Prisons — \$29 Billion

Legal court costs — \$10 Billion

Medical and mental health costs — \$11 Billion

Lost time from work — \$191 Billion

Stolen property — \$20 Billion

Fraud — \$40 Billion

Theft and shoplifting — \$120 Billion

Drunk driving — \$110 Billion

Drug abuse — \$40 Billion in lost productivity

Private Security — \$64 Billion

SOURCE: *U. S. News and World Report*, January 17, 1994.

This same issue of the magazine reveals that of the over six million crimes committed last year in this country, while 80% of the murders, rapes, and robberies were in Black neighborhoods, 20% of crimes committed outside of the Black ghetto, or the Beaner's barrios, was crime directed by Blacks and Hispanics at White people.

This means that only 2% of all the crimes committed in this country last year were crimes where the victim was Black and the criminal was White — just 2%!

Yet, in state after state across this country, the Jews and their very liberal White allies have succeeded in passing so-called "ethnic intimidation" laws which literally make it a crime to call a Nigger a Nigger. Even such a "crime" as blowing spitballs at Blacks was prosecuted as a "racial hate crime" here in Chicago!

Under these "ethnic intimidation" laws, a White person who is victimized by a nonWhite, and who uses a politically incorrect term to describe the criminal, can be charged with a crime more serious in punishment than the nonWhite mugger, purse snatcher, or car thief.

In fact, the criminal now has more rights than the victim. For example, a Black ghetto gutter rat named Bernard McCummings, 32, was awarded \$4.3 million by a jury in New York City after being paralyzed by a police officer who shot him as he ran, after having mugged and beaten 81 year old Jerome Sandusky in a New York City subway station.

The jury, and an Appellate Court, and the Court of Appeals, all felt that McCummings, a career criminal, was the victim of excessive police force! On that flimsy basis, this Black savage beast was awarded for his criminality with almost five million dollars.

True, such a gross miscarriage of justice does not happen that often, but what does take place in our cities, towns, and suburbs is even more outrageous because of the sheer num-

bers of crimes committed each year.

The liberal journalist Andrew Hacker, in his 1992 book, *Two Nations, Black & White*, cites statistics taken from the Uniform Crime Report of the FBI for 1990 that reveal the nonWhite nature of crime today. There were over 10 million crimes committed in 1990 with the percentage of Black crimes as follows:

Murders — 54.7%

Rapes — 43.2%

Robberies — 61.2%

Drug violations — 40.8%

Prostitution — 38.9%

Auto Theft — 38.4%

Gambling — 47.5%

Weapons Violations — 39.8%

Receiving stolen property — 41.2%

Aggravated assault — 38.4%

Burglary — 30.1%

Forgery and counterfeiting — 34%

Embezzlement 32.1% (as in welfare checks and food stamps)

Drunk driving — 8.7%

Vandalism — 22.6%

(HACKER, Page 181)

On that last crime, the city of Chicago spends 14 million a year to remove graffiti from the windows of the buses and elevated trains. An additional \$50 million a year is spent repairing vandalism committed in the Chicago Public Schools.

Thus we see that crime is basically nonWhite in its origins. But what do these numbers actually mean to the average White American or foreign White tourist?

Noted criminal writer and researcher, Jared Taylor, in his 1992 book, *Paved with Good Intentions*, cites still other unpleasant facts about crime in America that are worth repeating here.

According to Taylor, "When Whites commit violence,

they do it to Blacks 2.4% of the time. Blacks on the other hand, choose White victims more than half the time."

Taylor continues: "In those cases in which the race of the killer is known, Blacks kill twice as many Whites as Whites kill Blacks. Black on White robberies and gang assaults are 21 times more common than White on Black. In the case of gang robbery, Blacks victimize Whites 52 times more often than Whites do Blacks."

Adds Taylor: "The average Black person is 12.3 times more likely to kill a White, than the average White is to kill a Black. When it comes to gang robbery, the average Black is an astonishing 325 times more likely to take part in a gang attack on a White than a White to take part in a gang attack on a Black." (Taylor, pages 9294)

And there is no end in sight to all this crime coming out of a race of people who are just 12% of our entire national population. Most of this crime was committed by nonWhite teenagers between the ages of 13-19. Their numbers are expected to increase by 23% by the year 2005. In other words, a virtual army of new criminals is being bred right now in our largest cities. And it is this atavistic "underman", to use the late Lothrop Stoddard's term, that is growing strong and healthy on the billions of dollars of AFDC checks and food stamps so generously provided by the White taxpayers of this country.

And it is when the "underman" awakens to the fact that his race is largely responsible for the filth, squalor, violence, and ignorance that he sees in Black communities, a rage of animallike fury comes over these creatures and they want to strike out at the first White person they see.

In particular Blacks, and increasingly Hispanics, are ever on the prowl for White people, especially White women. In a study of 629,000 interracial crimes that occurred in 1987, compiled from the United States Department of Justice, by Professor William Wilbanks of Florida International University, the ratio of White victims of crime at the hands of Black criminals was 9 to 1! In other words, 90% of these 629,000 interracial crimes had White people as the victim!

(Wilbanks, page 53)

Yet despite this nonWhite tidal wave of pure hatred directed at White people, the news media (local and national), politicians, and increasingly police departments across the country, refuse to acknowledge the nonWhite nature of crime in America.

Due to the influence of the AntiDefamation League of B'nai B'rith (ADL), the Jews have been able to subject police departments across the country to special courses they have prepared on how to deal with the hordes of nonWhite criminals they encounter each day.

The emphasis is on using the absolute minimum amount of force and then only as a last resort, lest their "Civil Rights" be violated, which would then subject the police officers and their entire department to costly Civil Rights lawsuits.

However, this same ADL training instills in police officers an absolute fear and hatred of any person or organization defending White people's Civil Rights! According to Jack McLamb, a former Arizona police officer, the ADL has spread this antiWhitepatriot poison into virtually every large and medium sized police department in this country.

While the day to day reality of crime police see is non-White, their instincts have been trained to resort to force each time they encounter a White activist! Thus, militant Blacks and Hispanics and homosexuals are allowed to vent their rage; to destroy, loot, burn, steal, and utterly defy the laws of the land.

But when a group of White antiabortion protesters stage a sitin or merely picket the largely Jewishowned abortion centers, the police show absolutely no mercy on these protesters — they are subjected to choke holds; dragged by their hair; struck with riot batons; arms and legs broken; noses broken; ribs fractured; teeth knocked out; punched and kicked; sprayed with MACE, or subjected to electronic stun guns.

Yet in 1991, when on 50 separate occasions White people were viciously assaulted by roving packs of young, Black

beasts in the Hill Crest and North Park neighborhoods of San Diego, California, the line coming from the local police department spokesman, Detective Steve Baker, was "There is no evidence they are hate crimes." (Taylor, page 95)

Or more recently, when I was attacked on May 6, 1993, by a Black militant from the Black Panther Militia, one Michael McGee, on the *Jerry Springer Show*, the Civil Rights division of the Chicago Police Department, after viewing the brazen assault on me said: "We're still investigating the charge, but we're not sure we have all the elements needed to prosecute this as a hate crime." As I write this, the "investigation" is apparently still going on, or they dropped it altogether. In fact, I have heard nothing from the police since May of 1993!

Yet, while the police and their political bosses are afraid to tell the truth about nonWhite crime, a few Black leaders are not. Black syndicated columnist, Walter Williams, writing in the January 14, 1994, issue of *Human Events*, a weekly newspaper published in Washington, D.C., is outraged at the cowardice displayed by Black Civil Rights leaders on the crime issue.

Wrote Williams: "Colin A. Ferguson's rampage, killing five New York commuter passengers and wounding 20 others, proves Whites don't have a monopoly on racism. If civil rights organizations, Black politicians and ministers are to keep whatever diminishing credibility they still have, they must roundly condemn both Ferguson's racist behavior and his apologists. So far I have not heard civil rights organizations calling a press conference to do that."

Adds Williams: "You can bet the rent money had a White person committed similar mayhem in Harlem, it would be show time for the likes of Al Sharpton, Jesse Jackson and Ben Chavis."

Williams then cites a number of fiendish Black crimes that never made the national news: White truck driver Danny Gilmore, in July of 1988, is murdered by a mob of 40 Blacks in Cleveland, Ohio. He is pulled from his truck, beaten severely, then had his skull crushed by a Black who

ran over him with Gilmore's truck. All because of a minor accident with a Black on a motorbike!

In January 1991, Mark Belmore, a White student at Northwestern University, was stabbed to death by four Blacks who vowed to kill the first White person they saw.

In February, 1991, in Indiana, seven White people were murdered by Christopher Peterson, a Black savage with a shotgun. Peterson told the police he had "a deeprooted hatred for White people."

Again, quoting Williams: "According to Department of Justice statistics, when Whites commit violent crimes, Blacks are their victim 2.4% of the time; however, Blacks choose White victims over 50% of the time. Blacks murder Whites twice as often as Whites murder Blacks. Black on White gang robberies are 52 times greater than White on Black. Black men rape White women 30 times more than White men rape Black women."

On this last crime, that of raping White women, the Black male does so out of a sick need to strike back at what he perceives is a White male dominated society. And White women are to Black men the ultimate prize possession of the White man. In his childlike mind, he will risk death rather than deny his lustful urges for White women.

This sickness to possess White women is what drives the entire Civil Rights movement. Black men care nothing about political freedom. But tell the hordes of lowlife Niggers "freedom" means having White women, and they will march from one end of this country to the other to get at our women. Is this another broad generalization or the plain and ugly truth? Here from the mind of a notorious Black rapist, Eldridge Cleaver, in his sick book *Soul on Ice*: "I love White women and hate Black women. It's just in me, so deep that I don't even try to get it out of me anymore. I'd jump over ten Nigger bitches just to get me one White woman. Ain't no such thing as an ugly White woman. A White woman is beautiful even if she's baldheaded and has one tooth."

Cleaver then goes on to describe what he likes to do to White women. I will spare the readers of this newspaper the

details. Cleaver then states his feelings for White women this way: "The White woman is more than a woman to me...she's like a goddess, a symbol. I worship her. I know that the White man made the Black woman the symbol of slavery and the White woman the symbol of freedom. Every time I embrace a Black woman I'm embracing slavery and when I put my arms around a White woman, well, I'm hugging freedom...Men die for freedom, but Black men die for White women who are the symbol of freedom." (Cleaver, pages 148149)

Concludes Cleaver: "Any Black man who says he don't dig Jezebel (White women) is a GD liar. I believe that if a leader wanted to unite the Negroes in a solid unity, he could do so very easily. All he'd have to do is promise every Black man a White woman and every Black woman a White man. He would have so many followers he wouldn't know what to do with them all. Believe me." (Cleaver, page 150)

So, it should now be clear that the crime problem in this country is again, first and foremost, a racial problem. The question is what do we do about all these hordes of Black and Hispanic criminals? What is the solution to nonWhite crime?

The liberals and conservatives and the Jewsmidia keep proposing the same old unworkable solutions: More laws to control the sale of guns; and mandatory prison sentences for certain types of crimes and life without parole for other types of crimes.

Now two new proposals have been advocated by the gutless political pimps and whores in Washington, D.C. In 1992, Major Owens (DNY 11th District), a six term Black Congressman, introduced legislation that would repeal the Second Amendment, the right of the people to purchase and possess private firearms. And New York Senator Daniel P. Moynihan (DNY), proposes a 1,000% tax on the sale of ammunition; thus placing the cost of ammunition for private firearms out of the financial reach of the average American gun owner. Only the very rich and the very violent would be able to obtain ammunition for their weapons.

The vast majority of our population, which is to say White people, without guns or the ability to purchase ammunition for the guns they now possess, would be left defenseless before the vicious, savage, nonWhite criminal jackals now on the loose in our country.

And still another United States Senator, John Chafee (RRI) has introduced a bill to outlaw the possession of handguns anywhere by anyone, outside of the police and the military or other law enforcement agencies.

According to the United States Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms (ATF), there are about 200 million guns in private hands in the United States. About a third of those are pistols. While each year, about 20,000 murders are committed in this country, the majority by handguns. In just one period, 1979-1982, hand guns were used by private citizens to defend themselves against criminals 644,976 times! (Francis, page 26)

Thus without guns in the hands of lawabiding citizens, the murders, rapes, robberies, and other crimes committed by nonWhite criminals would be at least ten times greater than they are right now!

So, gun control and taxing the ammunition would play right into the hands of these criminal scum. Crime would soar out of control, beyond even the power of the armed forces to control it. That's what the foolish, if not treasonous, liberals and conservatives would accomplish if we let them pass these radical bills.

The next solution proposed is to lock up the criminals; build more prisons; eliminate parole. What this means is the \$29 billion a year currently spent on prisons and inmates will have to be greatly increased with no end in sight. That translates into more taxes from the White people of America. For no matter how many prisons and jails we build, it will never be possible to keep the criminals in prison to serve out their sentences.

In a twopart study of violent crime that appeared in the November 3rd and 4th 1993 editions of *Investor's Business Daily*, we learn that, "Compared to 1950, the U.S. is arrest-

ing a lower percentage of criminals, prosecuting a smaller portion of those arrested, winning a smaller percentage of the prosecutions, sentencing fewer convicted criminals to jail time and giving more lenient sentences to those who are sentenced to prison."

Here is where the traditional, simplistic, "lock 'em up" solutions are shown to be so deceptive. While most lawabiding taxpayers and citizens assume you do serious time for committing serious crimes, the truth is shockingly the opposite as is shown here:

Murder — 2.3 years average prison time before released on parole

Rape — 80.5 days jail

Robbery — 27.5 days in jail

Aggravated assault — 13.2 days in jail

Arson — 12.5 days in jail

Burglary — 5.4 days in jail

Auto theft — 3.8 days in jail

Larceny — 2.2 days in jail

So building more prisons or jails is certainly not the solution to our nonWhite crime problem. Nor is there any need for more useless gun control laws. For according to the United States Justice Department's National Crime Survey, only 12% of all violent crimes involved firearms and only 2% of all crimes involved the use of firearms.

Yet, those are the only ideas politicians in both parties have found to be politically correct. Therefore, they are guaranteed not to offend the politically pampered nonWhites who are largely responsible for most of the crime in this country.

So what do we do to solve the nonwhite crime problem? I advocate a three point program:

1.) Whenever a nonWhite kills a White person, or rapes a White person, or seriously injures a White person while committing a crime, the sentence is automatic: Death.

2.) The Second Amendment should be altered, but not abolished. It should read: "The right of White people to keep and bear arms shall not be infringed, abridged, or denied."

All nonWhites have no right to buy, trade, or possess any type of firearms or ammunition for same."

The penalty for any nonWhite person in possession of a gun of any kind, should be: 1 year in prison and a \$1,000 fine for first offense; 5 years and \$5,000 fine for second; and there would be no third offense.

3.) If a nonWhite criminal uses a gun or other weapon in committing a crime against a White person, it would only happen once: The penalty for a nonfatal crime upon any White person would be to strip the criminal of his citizenship and deport him or her out of the country to a permanent exile from this country!

We know we can't control or eliminate all the nonWhite crime, but our concern is in making our country safe for White people to live in. But these Black and Brown trigger happy, dopedup punks should know that whenever they get the all too frequent urge to mug, rape, rob, or murder a White person, that death or deportation awaits them when they are caught. To hell with building more prisons, build more gallows and boats!

And lest we be accused by the liberals and gutless conservatives of being too harsh, we need only point out that their masters favorite country, Israel, does not hesitate to use the very same penalties on Arabs breaking Israeli laws that we propose for nonWhites breaking Aryan laws.

Thus, if such stern measures to maintain Jewish control of Palestine can be supported by the liberals and conservatives with billions of dollars in American foreign aid to Israel, then why can't these same workable solutions be applied to the hordes of nonWhite criminals in America?

Obviously, such really gettough measures can work, but only if we have political leaders in office determined to carry out these policies. Thus the ultimate solution to nonWhite crime, lies not in passing new laws, but in placing into power new leaders of courage and will who will roll back the ugly pall of African/Hispanic cruelty and savagery that is settling over this land.

Sources for this article:

1. *Conservative Chronicle*, article against gun control by Samuel Francis, page 3, December 8, 1993.
2. *Frequency and Nature of Interracial Crimes*, published in *Justice Professional*, June 17, 1990 by Professor William Wilbanks, Florida International University, data derived from the United States Department of Justice Study *Criminal Victimization in the United States*, 1987, page 53.
3. *Human Events*, January 14, 1994, page 20.
4. *Investors Business Daily*, November 3rd and 4th, 1993, page 1 and 2.
5. *Paved with Good Intentions* by Jared Taylor. Carroll and Graf, New York 1992.
6. *Soul on Ice* by Eldridge Cleaver. Dell Publishing Company, New York 1968.
7. *The Star*, January 11, 1994, page 34.
8. *Two Nations, Black & White* by Andrew Hacker. Balantine Books, New York 1992.
9. *U.S. News and World Report*, January 17, 1994, pages 2237.

Arthur J. Jones can be reached at P.O. Box 29316, Chicago, Illinois 60629, phone 312-434-1323.

THE ANTI-HUMANS

by D. Bacu (307 pp., hb.) describes what was done to the young men whom Corneliu Z. Codreanu, the founder of the Legionary Movement in Romania, inspired, when seven years after his brutal murder, Romania was delivered to the Bolsheviks. They were subjected to what is the most fully documented Pavlovian experiment on a large number of human beings. It is likely that the same techniques were used on many American prisoners in Korea and Vietnam. *The Anti Humans* is a well-written document of great historical and psychological importance. Reading it will be an emotional experience you will not forget. "A sequel to Orwell's *1984*" —R.S.H. "A searing exposé of Red bestiality!" —Dr. A.J. App). *THE ANTI-HUMANS*, Order #01013. Sale priced, single copy \$2.00 + \$1.50 postage, 10 for \$15.00 + \$5.00 postage. Order from:

**LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS,
Postoffice Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA**

WHAT HAPPENED TO HITLER'S BODY?

By
Allan Callahan

We have long known, at least in a general way, how Hitler died in his bunker beneath Berlin in 1945, but the few details we had after that were sparse, and soon after *that*, there was nothing but silence. The Soviets recovered Hitler's corpse, so they knew what happened to it, but kept the information secret all through the cold war.

This state of affairs continued until the U.S.S.R. collapsed. In 1992, Moscow TV journalist Ada Petrova wanted to do a program on the death of Stalin. She went to see Sergei Mironenko, one of the directors of the State Archives of the Russian Federation in Moscow. During their talk, Hitler's name came up, and Mironenko astounded her when he revealed that he had Hitler's skull right there in the archive. She then lost interest in Stalin, and instead wanted to find out all she could about Hitler's skull, and the story behind it.

It was in a thin cardboard box with a wax seal, and was in four pieces, two large and two small. One of the larger pieces had an exit hole made by a bullet. The skull was part of an extensive archive, with an official number of 1-G-23, and subtitled: "Hitler and His Entourage." Ten other archives contained many other things, including his uniforms, a photograph album, and a notebook containing some of his small paintings and drawings.

After Hitler and Eva Braun committed suicide, their bodies were laid in a shell crater in the garden of the Reich Chancellery, doused with gasoline, and set afire. Although badly burned, they were far from being completely consumed. The Russians found them almost as soon as they arrived on the scene, but ignored and reburied them because they thought they had already found Hitler's body.

This corpse, however, belonged to Hitler's double, a man named Gustav Weller. After the Russians discovered their mistake, they quickly dug up the bodies again. To try and make sure they had the right people, they conducted an autopsy and identified the remains by their dental records.

The bodies of Hitler and Eva Braun were buried, dug up and reburied several times, along with the bodies of Joseph Goebbels, his wife and their six children, the body of Gen. Hans Krebs and two dogs. Everything was finally destroyed except for Hitler's skull.

Ada Petrova was joined by British writer Peter Watson. With

the permission of the directors of the State Archives, they commissioned one of Russia's leading forensic experts, Prof. Viktor Zyagin, to examine the skull. He photographed it, measured it, probed the edges and the bullet hole.

Hitler's valet, Heinz Linge, stated that he inspected the bodies of Hitler and Eva while they were burning in the garden. Hitler's head, according to Linge, had a bullet wound. The skull was of a yellowish color, typical of a vegetarian, according to Prof. Zyagin. Hitler became one in the early 1930s, and had his own vegetarian cook in the bunker.

There are three main views of how Hitler took his life. One is that he shot himself, another is that he took poison (like Eva Braun), while a third view is that he did both. He first took the poison, then fired the fatal bullet.

The latter seems the most likely, and would be easy with a cyanide capsule. All you would have to do would be to place the glass capsule between your teeth, aim your pistol, bite down on the capsule and then pull the trigger. This is also what Prof. Zyagin thinks Hitler did. By the location of the exit bullet hole in Hitler's skull, Zyagin believes Hitler held the pistol under his chin.

Hitler, Eva and all the others were buried in Buch, exhumed and reburied in Finow, then exhumed and reburied again outside Rathenow, but they did not remain in any of those places long. Their main resting place was finally in Magdeburg.

Here the bodies lay for a quarter of a century. But in 1970, the Soviets decided to turn over the army base there to the East Germans. The only Russian in Magdeburg who knew where the bodies were buried was the regimental commander. Not wanting to take a chance of the secret leaking out, and the grave becoming a shrine for neo-fascists, he wrote to Yuri Andropov for advice. (Andropov was then head of the KGB, and later became the Soviet leader in 1982). Andropov ordered the regimental commander to exhume the bodies, cremate them and dispose of the ashes.

This was done on April 5, 1970, but by that time the bones of each body had more or less mixed with each other, and with soil. The report stated that: "The destruction was carried out by burning in the fire on the waste ground near Schonebeck, 11 kilometers from Magdeburg. The remains burned away, were ground with the embers into ashes and thrown into one of the Elbe tributaries."

Footnote: Ada Petrova and Peter Watson collaborated on a book entitled: *The Death Of Hitler*. The first U.S. edition was supposed to have been published by W.W. Norton & Co. in 1995.

The Reëlection of Bill Clinton

By
Dr. Charles E. Weber

The reëlection of Clinton is a certain defeat for Aryan Americans, who insouciantly voted for this cunning, unscrupulous man. Clinton has a hostility to successful members of his race and that seems to dominate all of his thinking, if his mental processes can be dignified by that word. This hostility perhaps has its origins in Clinton's dreary family background.

Some of the judicial appointments which Clinton has made are likely to cause considerable damage to Aryan Americans for many years to come, indeed well beyond 2000 A.D., in a way which most of its victims do not understand. For the first time in the history of the United States the Supreme Court has two Jewish members who seem to be haters of their host population. They were both appointed by Clinton. All too many of Clinton's other appointments to high positions have been of members of races which have a traditional hostility to Aryans.

Clinton has demonstrated repeatedly his stubborn favoring of high taxes and Big Government, which are poison to Aryans, who are sacrificing their reproductive capacities to pay for Big Government. American Aryans are consequently sinking into the status of a minority and the grim conditions from which they are bound to suffer greatly in the future and from which they are already suffering in specific localities. One need only look what has happened to some American cities such as Detroit, East Saint Louis and Washington, whose populations have become overwhelmingly non-Aryan.

Perhaps Clinton's most destructive influences come from his setting a bad example by his past swinish, crooked personal behavior, about which most voters were poorly informed or which was deliberately neglected by the media. All too few Americans realize, that Clinton is a laughing stock in foreign countries and a national disgrace.

Why did Aryan voters shoot themselves in the foot? The preponderant control of the American media, especially of the electronic media, by non-Aryans seems to have been the chief cause.

On what can we pin our hopes for an awakening of American Aryans? The small oppositional, truly patriotic print medium seems to be our best hope, even if the periodicals which comprise this medium have relatively small circulations. If American Aryans have not lost all of their will to survive they should seek out such periodicals and subscribe to them for information, ideas and a reassuring sense of solidarity. □

Alfred Rosenberg and Paganism

by
Guillermo Coletti

"Should the subduing talisman, the Cross, break, then will come the roaring forth of wild madness of the old champions ... The talisman is brittle, and the day will come when it will pitifully break. The old stone gods will rise ... and rub the dust of a thousand years from their eyes. And Thor, leaping forth with his giant hammer, will crush the Gothic Cathedrals!"

Heinrich Heine, Germany, 1834.

Paganism in Europe

French philosopher Alain de Benoist has rightly noticed ("The Way to be Pagans", 1980) that Europe could not and will not return to Paganism, but rather that Paganism was inevitably returning to Europe. Paganism indeed has been returning to Europe, long before the twentieth century, long before Nietzsche and Wagner. Its return, noticed de Benoist, can be traced as far back as the days of the Renaissance and can be found in those magnificent paintings rich in infatuation and love with the Aryan mythos of Hellas, and thereby with the Ancient Pagan soul of Europe. Perhaps most modern historians have not taken notice of that evolution of Heathen creativity, but Christian priests have long ago manifested their concern, or, maybe, fear. Abbot J. Gamme wrote in his letter to Monsignor Dupanloup in 1852: "The Renaissance was the resurrection, the cult, the fanatical adoration of Paganism with all its literary, artistic, philosophical, moral and religious idols; the Renaissance engenders the Reform, the Reform engenders the Volterian impiety; this engenders the French Revolution, the French Revolution is the most devastating moral cataclysm ever seen!". Unrepentant before the evidence of the destruction they have caused, the real cataclysm of Western man soul,

the warriors of the Semitic Yahweh seem to have done "mea culpas" over actions which may have just engaged in following natural instincts, while oblivious and even joyous over their own faults against Euromankind. Today, as JudaeoChristianity finds itself immersed in a sea of confusion, division and desperation, the Ancient Gods of Europe enjoy a heroic, long awaited return, that not even the most ferocious renegade Caucasians can avert. From the ruins of shattered shrines to bedouinic deities, new realms will be built. Precisely because the priests of the cult of the cross fanatically incinerated our spiritual past in murderous raids, and as if defiant to history, they attempted to conceal it by building churches on top of the still smoky ruins of the treasures of our ancestors. The European Gods, those who belong only to Euromen, and those to whom only Euromen belong, have been witnesses of our rise to conquest, then our decay, and now they are watching us struggling back to recover the values of that which is eternal, the undying tribute to our blood.

Rosenberg and the Undying Heathen Soul

Those who seek out answers, not into the teachings of Moses, Abraham, or their apostolic inheritors, but into the evolution of our own biological reality through the mythos of the Ancient Gods, must refer to those great men who left us, in the form of books and artistic expressions, the fruit of entire lives dedicated to research our forbidden past. As the 20th century reaches its end, we find in the Euro-Heathen ranks an increasing number of freethinkers, philosophers, politicians, historians and others, working hard to reconstruct the original identity of our people. Names like Julius Evola (Italian philosopher, deceased in 1974) and Alain de Benoist are no longer souls navigating in a sea of intellectual solitude. Just before them, the World War II generation had taken great steps to bring us nearer to completion of this task. In 3rd Reich Germany, Heinrich Himmler (SS Reichsführer), and Walther Darré

(Reichsminister of Agriculture) joined Alfred Rosenberg, quite conceivably the leading Pagan National Socialist theoretician, in defining with historical accuracy the soul of the Euroman. The National Socialist rise to power is a great example of that European will that defies oppression and censorship and finally, triumphs. Adolf Hitler, for example, was banned from access to the airwaves and could not use the German radio broadcasting system until after his becoming Chancellor of Germany, in January of 1933. In an article entitled "Germany and the Federation of Nations", published on August 30, 1923, in the periodical *Völkischer Beobachter*, Rosenberg provides a brief description of the oppressive spirit of the times: "On June 15, the *Israelitische Wochenblatt für die Schweiz* demanded the prohibition of the sale of the wellknown book of Henry Ford, *Der internationale Jude*. On June 23, already the Swiss federal railways issued a decree according to which the mentioned work may not be displayed any longer in the railway bookshops". Today, over seventy years later, the shaping of the European culture encounters opposition from almost identical alien forces. Again, those working against the free trade of ideas are in our midsts.

Alfred Rosenberg dedicated his life to bringing Europe in accordance with her history. In doing so, Rosenberg made friends and enemies, and with every day of work, he constructed his own life into a monument to the revival of the mythos, the man who will come.

An Eventful Life

Alfred Rosenberg was born on January 12, 1893, in the town of Reval (currently known as Tallinn) in Estonia, his father a man of German descent (most people in that area are of German extraction) and his mother Baltic. At a young age Rosenberg moved to Russia to complete his studies; he received his diploma in architecture from the University of Moscow in 1918. During those youthful years, Rosenberg was witness to one of the most dramatic

events in modern history: the Bolshevik Revolution. Those days, according to most historians and personal accounts of men who knew Rosenberg in person, were fundamental in the shaping of his views on Communism and Judaism. The connection of Jews to Bolshevism was not only to be noticed by Nazis; Winston Churchill has written extensively on the leadership of these two; in America, government intelligence agents were numerous reports on the matter, and the mainstream printed media from London and other major world capitals expressed concern over the Jewish-Bolshevik connection. Soon after graduation Rosenberg moved back to the Baltic, to Reval, where he volunteered in a failed grassroots effort to avert the expansion of Bolshevism. As the Red Army advanced with all its might over the fields of Estonia, Rosenberg left the country, arriving in Munich, along with many others, in 1919. Shortly after his arrival in Germany, a 22 yearold Rosenberg joined the ThuleGesellschaft, a small society of nationalistic welltodo individuals that financed anti Communist activism during the days of great political agitation. As if inevitable in the progression of his career, Rosenberg joined the NSDAP later that year as member number 625, shortly after meeting with Anton Drexler and Hitler. The new young member's abilities were quickly noticed by the party leadership, and a little time later the responsibilities deposited on that man were significant. Hitler personally assigned Rosenberg to command the NSDAP, after receiving prison sentence from his involvement with the incidents of November 9, 1923. During the Führer's imprisonment Rosenberg's duties were performed efficiently.

The Idealistic Soul of Euromen

Rosenberg was well aware that idealism was a manifestation of the great racial barriers that separate Aryans from other forms of life. The pursuance of ideals and dreams, the selfless devotion to perfection and the intensity of will applied to goals that offer no economic reward, is vibrant and omnipresent in European culture, in con-

trast to nonAryan peoples whose actions are motivated by the immediacy of food and shelter, those creatures who never looked up to the sky and never thought of flying. The myths that Ancient Pagans have forged, entirely out of their souls and nothing else, were the purest manifestations of the search for the ideal. In the *Myth of the 20th Century*, Rosenberg explains: "Once men dreamed of an all-seeing and allhearing Being. They called it Zeus. It gazed from the clouds of Olympus over the land, or, perhaps, as Argus. Only a few were bold enough to demand the same for men. But these few dreamers investigated the essence of the lightning throwing god and examined the mysteriously unleashed natural forces. One day with the aid of these forces they spoke far apart with one another, linked only by a wire. Then even this wire was no longer necessary. Tall slender towers today send mysterious waves out into the entire world and these discharge themselves thousands of miles away as song or music. A bold dream became life and reality."

Rosenberg's Vision on Duty and Nation

It is frequently charged that National Socialism was another form of colonialism, and that the Germans aspired to rule the world in a sort of reviewed and renovated AngloFrench imperialistic style. That just was not the case. Just as Germanism could have never been implanted within the borders of China, so it was obvious that the Universalism brought upon us by Christians was unworkable, and that only by creating national and racial states, the peoples of this world were going to find themselves in their true identities. On June 2, 1924, the periodical *Der Weltkampf* published the article entitled "Jewish World Politics", in which Rosenberg explains some of the National Socialist stand on foreign policy as follows:

"Not only individual men but also peoples find their personal lifeform only after many false paths. Wars and revolutions signify the signposts on which the changes in

the inner spiritual attitude are to be read. We live today in one of the greatest ages of intellectual, political and economic transformations, that is, all peoples of the white race, although some seem still to slumber fully. The catastrophes which have been effected since 1914 and the throes still to come in worldpolitical events are therefore so powerful because never before have the two old polar oppositions between the racial ideas and international worldview lain in such a strong, instinctive, but at the same time conscious, battle as today..."

"(Marxist agitator) Instead of setting up for him (contemporary man) as a goal a real homeland and the striving for a national culture, he increasingly freed him inwardly from his fathers, taught him to hate the history of his people and to believe in a nebulous 'international'. This poisonous seed of hatred against one's own people has perhaps been the greatest crime of Marxism against all nations..."

"As if by a natural law! For, every history is finally racial history. Race cannot be explained from the environment, for there will always remain an irresoluble remainder which is not to be resolved either by the climate nor by soilconstitution, nor by adaptation..."

"We are not dreamers and preach no 'world peace', and no eternal fraternalisation. But no matter what the future may bring — we strive with all the strength of our racial internal political and external political liberation from the immoral profiteeringstate; liberation of our European racial consciousness with regard to the other races of the globe; the victory of an aristocratic idea over the sordid commercial mentality of the age that passes away at present. That is the world battle of today, the worldrevolution of tomorrow, the war of which we do not know when it will be ended, which, however must be fought through, if Germany and the entire old Europe should not decay in the morass as so many peoples of ancient history did. That however a new conception of the world and the state could be born shows that the spiritual powers are still alive in

us. It is important to listen to them, it is important to strengthen them, until the day of victory..."

"Germany for the Germans, China for the Chinese, that becomes the worldpolitical view from the racial conception of the state."

Rosenberg and the Cult of the Cross

Nietzsche's condemnation of Christianity had reached new heights by 1895, as shown in *Der Antichrist*, the powerful book he released that year: "The Christian Church has left nothing untouched by its depravity, it has made of every value a disvalue, of every truth a lie, of every kind of integrity a vileness of soul". The recognition of the semitic Cult of the Cross as the most alien worldview ever to be embraced by Western societies is admitted today by traditionalists, in a returned, refreshing contemporary current among intellectuals in the Old World. The uprooting of our ancestral faiths by the "persuasive" powers of the stakes have left unerasable marks all over Europe. So fanatical was the subversion of our bloodvalues, that despite the thousands of individual executions and the numerous massacres perpetrated by the armies of the Church, the might of the myths remains today defiant to oblivion. Rosenberg's awareness of the matter is as omnipresent as his Nietzschean influence. These few following words are just a brief example of the statements made "on the record" by the German philosopher: "Accordingly, the so-called Old Testament must be abolished once and for all as a book of religion. By this, the unsuccessful attempt of the last one and a half thousand years to make us spiritually into Jews will be eliminated ... the movement is to be strengthened further by the removal of openly distorted and superstitious reports from the New Testament."

An Honorable Life, An End With Honor

Rosenberg spent the last year of his life in the Spandau prison, in Germany, while the procedure known as the Nuremberg trial developed. About this, it is important to

mention that the German defendants were charged with crimes which were non existing at the time of the Second World War, that the enactment of the laws applied to the German defendants have been signed only by the allied forces against the Third Reich and that in the Nuremberg Court the enemies of the Axis were simultaneously Judge and Prosecutors. Until the very last time he was allowed to speak on the record, Rosenberg displayed honor and loyalty to his cause. As expected, a guilty verdict and a death sentence was produced for Alfred Rosenberg and many others accused: Reich Minister Joachim von Ribbentrop, Field Marshall Wilhelm Keitel, Governor General Hans Frank, Interior Minister Wilhelm Frick, SS General Ernst Kaltenbrunner, newspaper publisher Julius Streicher, SS General Fritz Sauckel, General Alfred Jodl and Seiss-Inquart. The executions were performed by a Jewish-American hangman. The date of the executions coincided with the Jewish holiday of Purim, a biblical celebration of the extermination of the enemies of the Children of Zion, as depicted in the Book of Esther, Old Testament.

Mr. Coletti can be reached at: P.O. Box 61221,
Pasadena, California 91106.

DOES THE WEST HAVE THE WILL TO SURVIVE?

That is the obvious question posed by Jean Raspail's terrifying novel of the swamping of the White world by an unlimited flood of non-White "refugees." But there is also a less obvious and even more fundamental question: Must Whites find their way to a new Morality and a new spirituality in order to face the moral challenges of the present and overcome them? **THE CAMP OF THE SAINTS** is the most frightening book you will ever read. It is frightening because it is utterly believable. The armada of refugee ships in Raspail's story is exactly like the one that dumped 150,000 Cubans from Fidel Castro's prisons and insane asylums on our shores in 1980 — except this time the armada is from India, with more than 70 times as large a population. And it is only the first armada of many. If any book will awaken White Americans to the danger they face from uncontrolled immigration, it is **THE CAMP OF THE SAINTS**. For your copy (Order No. 03014) send \$12.00 plus \$2.40 for postage and handling) to:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS
Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA.

SOMETHING is FUNNY!

By

Clark M. Cornell

It is, or should be, strange that anyone should want to take office when the Federal Government is shackled to the debt creating money system of the Federal Reserve. It would seem that, with all the problems attached to government service because of it, no one would have the nerve to run for office.

With the public debt of more than 5 trillion dollars and the private debt of more than 21 trillion we are looking at a combined debt of at least 27 trillion dollars. I am also going to repeat a fact that all too many people are not aware of, the Federal Reserve is not a government institution, it is a privately owned bank. To make matters clear, this banking system is only an exercise in book keeping, only figures change hands. "Money" is created out of thin air and the borrower pays interest for the use of his own credit.

It is not hard to understand that there are some who stop to think, see the fallacy of this and want it changed from a system of debt "money" to a system of wealth "money".

This is where there comes a collision of two schools of thought. One believes that there must be a money of value, 100 per cent gold and silver used for a short time around the 14th century. The other school of thought is that money should represent value as it is doing today. If the Federal Reserve has taught us anything it has shown us we can operate a money system without gold. The problem is, do we borrow it into circulation as under the Federal Reserve or do we, the government, create it into circulation as did Europe in the period between the 11th century and the 14th century, probably Europe's most prosperous time.

The one thing both groups agree on is that most of our problems started under our present debt creating money system. I will let the gold money backers explain how they will get enough gold into circulation, I am of the other persuasion. Remember, the same group of people invented the gold standard who are operating the Federal Reserve.

It is my opinion that not too much has to be changed except take the system from the Federal Reserve. If there is one service the Federal Government should do, it is the creation of money at

no cost to the government. If the Federal Reserve can create "money" out of thin air and charge interest to the government, why cannot the Federal Government create the same "money" at no cost to itself?

To get this "money" (credit) into circulation the government can build roads, dams, and fight forest fires. It can loan money to cities, counties and states, at a small administrative cost. If the money is not paid back, no more loans to said borrower. You say that this will open the gates to all kinds of crooks; what do you call the owners of the present system of "money"? That is a racket from which a very few profit, immensely; it is a dictatorship of "money". Because of their control of "money" this dictatorship exerts its control over entertainment, of education and others, but, most of all, over the news media. An individual who effectively exposes this, does not have a very long life expectancy.

Remember, the tax payers are paying interest on more than 5 trillion dollars, the private debt is more than 21 trillion, and all of this was created out of nothing, no wonder the Federal Reserve does not want an audit. Every dollar in circulation has been borrowed, but only the principal of the debt, no "money" to pay the interest, so when the debt is paid the interest is taken from another source. The result is, some one in this chain of debt is going bankrupt. So to keep even, some one else has to borrow "money", the result, more debt. Therefore all "money" in circulation has been borrowed.

The Internal Revenue Service (IRS) was created in conjunction with the Federal Reserve Act to collect the huge amount needed to pay the interest on the debt that was bound to snowball as wars and foreign give-aways increased the public debt. It is always easy to borrow but the pay back smarts a little or a lot. Is it possible that there is a connection here with the periodic wars that are fought? It takes a lot of borrowed "money" to fight a war.

Amschel Rothschild, father of the Central, privately controlled "money" system, said this, "I care not who holds office as long as I have the control of the issuance of money".

If you are interested in learning the complete story of money, buy a subscription to MONEY TALKS, 7007 Lynmar Lane, Edina, Mn. 55435. You will get a package on Byron Dale's money reform bill; give him your support. □

Wagner on Wagner: An Exercise in Germanic Self-Hatred

By
Guillermo Coletti

Dr. Gottfried Wagner, great-grandson of Richard Wagner, the famous German composer, was available on Tuesday October 8, 1996 for a lecture entitled: "Richard Wagner's Anti-Semitism: Consequences for German Culture and Politics Until Today". The lecture was offered free of admission fees at Johnson Hall, Occidental College, in Eagle Rock, California.

As I patiently waited for the lecture to get started, xeroxed copies of an article on Gottfried Wagner, written by Allan Ulrich and published in the October 6, 1996, edition of the San Francisco *Examiner*, were distributed among attendees. This was done by a Germans-speaking young Asiatic male, perhaps part of the entourage of Mr. Wagner; his presence, though, ensured us all a multicultural evening. The article pictures a rather large photograph of Wagner, with his eyes pointing intensely into the space, and his hands up in the air, as if holding an invisible sphere. Mr. Wagner is a sad success story of the de-Nazification (read de-Germanization) process perpetrated upon a militarily defeated Germany, at the hands of Jews and other alien occupational forces. "I am an alternative German", Wagner describes himself. According to the interview granted to the San Francisco *Examiner*, and in Wagner's own words, his indoctrination started at the age of 9, when he was made to watch films of his own family socializing with Adolf Hitler (the Wagners affectionately called him "Uncle Wolfie"), followed by clips of the alleged piles of dead Jews at Buchenwald, a scene all too common in the methodology of anti-Axis propaganda warfare. In this article, Wagner mentions to have severed ties with his father when he gladly accepted an invitation, in 1989, to speak about the Holocaust at Tel Aviv University, in the Jewish state. After having patiently listened to over ninety minutes of Gottfried Wagner's

sophomoric whining, I can only imagine the nature of the lectures he has given at Tel Aviv University. Now, at the age of 49, Wagner is occupied full time lecturing internationally on what he calls "Germany's conspiracy of silence" (it sounds like a headline right out of *Paranoia* magazine, USA). The article also quotes Wagner saying that "Richard Wagner was a negative genius, an erratic moment in the history of music, important, but ultimately evil". So much for objectivity.

The reading of the above mentioned article was enough warning for anyone half-way savvy on matters of anti-German propaganda, of the nature of the lecture to come. Mr. Wagner is a well-groomed man, his manners are unmistakably European and, except for those moments in which he was contradicted, he displayed courtesy to everyone. The audience of about fifty was composed of a variety of individuals, from typical generation-x college students to a wide range of Semites, some old enough to qualify as "survivors". There were also some faces that seemed suspiciously and unapologetically Aryan and, rather consequently, out of place. Wagner brought a script to the podium and adjusted the entire lecture strictly to that script. The lecture was videotaped by a small crew of, again, a German-speaking Asiatic young male, this time accompanied by a few German-speaking Asiatic females.

If the American audience can benefit in anything from the visit of Gottfried Wagner, it is in the magnificent opportunity that it presents to meet in person one of those individuals who resulted from years of harsh anti-German propaganda performed where it hurts the most, in the minds of Germany's youngest. In his magnificent 1947 essay, "Nuremberg or the Promised Land", Maurice Bardeche observed that the enemies of Germany have began one of the cruelest tasks in recorded history: "they [the enemies of Germany] not only plan to make the Germans accept defeat, but they also expect them to be happy about it!". Today's Germany is, intellectually and spiritually, more an occupied country than it was at the very

end of the war. The humiliation inflicted upon the German nationals goes from street corners in red light districts infected with Turkish pimps, and finds governmental sanctions in the classrooms of the Bundeswehr-Universität, where an Israeli Jew, Professor Michael Wolfsohn, teaches German history the Jewish way, and abuses this opportunity to spew his racial hatred when stating that Germans of younger generations "carry the mark of Cain for the murder of millions of Jews" (the biblical fable of Abel and Cain refers to fratricide, murder among brothers; whatever casualties resulted from altercations between Jews and Germans have nothing to do with fratricide). While listening to Gottfried Wagner I could not help recalling the report published by several mainstream magazines, showing a tearful son of Adolf Eichmann, emotionally embracing the Mossad agent who kidnapped his father in Buenos Aires, and thanking him for the abduction that, ultimately, led him to a Kangaroo court in Tel Aviv. Gottfried Wagner is another one of those "alternative" Germans who are vocally joyous about the defeat of Germany; I can't say whether he is actually happy about that or anything else.

Evidently, Gottfried Wagner's militant Zionism has a clear set of identifiable goals. Coinciding with the submissive attitudes of all de-nazified individuals, Wagner is also de-Germanized and misses no opportunity to show it. In my opinion, he attempted to convince us on the following:

- a) that "Anti-Semitism is inherent in German Nationalism and not limited to National Socialists";
- b) that German Nationalism is a contradiction in terms, since "there is no real German national identity";
- c) that his great-grandfather, Richard Wagner, was an anti-Semite only because of his negative emotions, lack of self-esteem and envy for the "superior musical talent of Felix Mendelssohn";
- d) that Wagner's music was the artistic inspiration much needed by genocidal Germans to perform the Holocaust;
- e) that anti-Semitism is unique and finds no parallel;

f) that America has no need to repeat the "mistakes" that Germans have committed in the past and that the discussion of the Immigration issue in America should not be influenced by isolationists.

Gottfried Wagner failed as an ambassador of Zionism to the West, when trying to make the case for the inclusion of Jews in European societies. His diatribal rants against his great-grandfather were for the most part based on obscure and unsubstantiated remarks, such as: "Richard Wagner's real (biological) father might have indeed been Jewish". Wagner failed to produce any evidence to support his statement which, by his own admission, was an unfounded conclusion. Before the blatant lack of substantial evidence, Wagner did not even bother explaining where he obtained his idea from. Gottfried Wagner emphasized several times that his great-grandfather had an inferiority complex because, among other things, he was short, skinny, weak, ugly and had Jewish facial features; this physical representation matches no other I've ever encountered before. I believe this statement also suggests, most likely involuntarily, that any man who matches that description (that of a stereotypical Jew) has to suffer from poor self-esteem. He went on to state that even Cosima Wagner (Wagner's wife) was also of mixed ancestry: "Cosima was the illegitimate granddaughter of a Jewish merchant". Again, Gottfried Wagner gave no reason to his theory, thus making it, at least empirically speaking, nothing more than suggestive wishful thinking. It is not at all improbable that young Wagner, like many other guilt-ridden German nationals, may be inclined to believe that the spirituality of an Aryan can not be complete unless he opens himself to Judaism.

Gottfried Wagner also argues that "the concept of a German *Volk* is absurd, since Germany was not founded until 1871". Wrong again. He, as a good Marxist, is not capable of observation unless it is limited to his dogmatic political focus (Egalitarianism, Proletarianism, Dictatorship based in larger numbers of the unfit over the few superior men). Egalitarians

thought they were going to rid themselves of the German national spirit by dividing Germany in two at the end of World War Two because, they hoped, it has also been a political situation that has created Germany in the first place. They can not conceive of a natural order, a superior order that is non-responsive to the maneuvers of opportunists. The identity of the Germans, as well as that of the Italians and others, existed before the latest geo-political partition. Saying that a German *Volk* is non-existent is just as absurd as saying that there is no real Italian national identity because the actual Italian Republic was founded last century by Garibaldi. These national formations were inevitable historical consequences in the lives of societies that are rooted together, in contrast to the "social-designing" attempts manipulated in, for example, the formation of Yugoslavia.

Gottfried Wagner mentioned his desire to stay involved with the Arts and listed a few of his philanthropic commitments. He said one of his projects involves the producing of the majestic works of "a great contemporary American composer, Michael Shapiro". How noble of Mr. Wagner, to cross the Atlantic and show his generosity with the New Worlders by financing the creative dreams of one of America's finest, Mr. Michael Shapiro. Obviously, it couldn't get more apple pie than Michael Shapiro, and if Mr. Wagner wants to help America project her true image to the rest of the world, I'm confident that after exhausting hours of intense research, nothing could be found to fit better than Shapiro. I wondered if he would have ever given any consideration to producing the music of a *Goyim*, but that would be just plain guessing. Mr. Wagner, after all, is "not" a predictable man.

After some ninety minutes of his incessant harangue had elapsed, Wagner suggested that the homicidal gas chambers at Auschwitz were inspired right out of the tunes of Parsifal. I politely raised my hand at a moment he separated his sight from the script. I just had to find an opportunity to bring some light into this inquisitorial practice. He then invited my ques-

tion with a very clear "Ja?". I said: "Excuse me, but I believe that there is no forensic evidence of those alleged gas chambers". At that moment the air grew tense, I became the focus of attention of lots of pairs of hateful eyes, the silence was absolute, except for one or two deep inhales. Wagner's expression showed disbelief and he asked, raising his voice: "What!?". I repeated my statement but I've never obtained a reply. A member of the audience, in a quandary, said: "this room is full of nazis!". Instead of replying to my statement, young Wagner lamented that he is not used to be questioned in that manner and that that was the very first time "that" happened to him. I said to myself: "good!". Gottfried's assistant, a German speaking man in his forties, said loud and clear, very loud and very clear, that interruptions were "not to be tolerated!". Mr. Wagner continued reading his script, with some signs of nervousness, such as mispronunciations of English words, clearing his throat with pronounced frequency, etc. As the lecture continued, Wagner became more irritated to additional interruptions presented by German nationals in the audience, who manifested their discontent over his unending display of German self-hatred. As the irritation continued, so did the abandonment of his initially displayed good manners and courtesy. I left the hall before the conference had reached an end, but I was informed afterwards, that Wagner had to confront some very unhappy members of the audience, while repeatedly stating that this was the first time he had to confront "racists" and "holocaust deniers". It has been reported that Mr. Wagner said to one of the attendees that he was in company of his attorney, meaning God only knows what. Poor Gottfried, he finally came to the realization that not only Jews have a voice to be heard, and that just had to happen so far from home...

One final word. My comments on the lecture given by Dr. Gottfried Wagner are not intended to discourage anyone from attending his future conferences. On the contrary. I think that the young Wagner, and his hateful message, should be made very well known. I deeply wish that every American could attend his sermons, if even for only one hour. □

AMERICA AWAKE

Eternal Vigilance Is the Price of Freedom

By

Kevin Alfred Strom

Below is an advertisement printed in Australia's *Sunday Telegraph* a couple of years ago. This advertisement was not paid for by a business promoting a product or service. It was paid for by the government, and it constituted an official notice to Australian citizens. It is also a frightening portent of America's future. I quote from the ad:

Personal protection is NO reason to have a gun. If you own a gun which you keep to protect yourself, your family or your property, you must dispose of it legally. Under the latest gun laws, personal and property protection are no longer considered acceptable reasons to possess any type of firearm, or to get a license. If you wish to possess any gun, you must have a license and meet the new requirements for safe storage of the weapon and its ammunition. When your current license expires you must reapply if you want to continue to possess or use a gun. Be warned, making a false or misleading statement on your license application could earn you ten years in prison. Act quickly. If you haven't got a license, now is the time to either apply for one, or legally dispose of your gun. One way you can do this is at your local police station. Possession and use of any firearm for personal or property protection is illegal and will attract severe penalties. No exceptions, no excuses. New South Wales Police Service.... The New South Wales Government, putting people first by managing better.

Allow me to repeat: "Possession and use of any firearm for personal or property protection is illegal and will attract severe penalties." Those who would disarm us have attained their goal in the New World Order's province of Australia. And that is also their goal here in America. Americans, you had better wake up, before the noose is around your neck.

Thomas Jefferson said: "No free man shall ever be debarred the use of arms."

He well knew that the very definition of freedom requires that every free man have the right and indeed the responsibility of self-defense, and of defense of his family, community, and nation. In a

world where firearms exist, that means the right to own firearms. And in a world where tyranny exists, that means the ability to own weapons effective enough to discourage tyrants from trampling on your rights, from attacking your family in Ruby Ridge or Richmond, from burning down your church in Waco or in Wichita. Americans, you had better wake up, before the noose is around your neck.

Thomas Jefferson also said:

The strongest reason for the people to retain their right to keep and bear arms is as a last resort to protect themselves against tyranny in government.

When he uttered those words, Thomas Jefferson had just seen the successful conclusion of a bloody revolution against a tyranny that in retrospect seems benign compared to the cryptocracy which now rules us from New York, Hollywood, and Washington, DC. Many of his comrades had lost their lives in that revolution. Jefferson's goal was to ensure forever the right of Americans to own effective weapons. When they used the word militia, the founding fathers of our nation meant the armed citizenry, which they saw as a bulwark against foreign invaders and against the armed agents of tyranny at home, of which they had had quite enough from King George III. Americans, you had better wake up, before the noose is around your neck.

Samuel Adams said:

The said constitution shall never be construed to authorize congress to prevent the people of the United States who are peaceable citizens from keeping their own arms.

Patrick Henry said:

The great object is that every man be armed. Everyone who is able may have a gun.

The father of our constitution, James Madison, said:

Americans need never fear their government because of the advantage of being armed, which the Americans possess over the people of almost every other nation.

What a contrast these words of Jefferson, Adams, Henry, and

Madison are to the words we hear today — the words of Schumer, the words of Feinstein, the words of Foxman. The names themselves tell the story of the loss of our country to a new ruling class which, like George III, fears an armed citizenry, and works with great patience, step by step by step, to gradually disarm us. Unlike the founding fathers, our new rulers do not openly state their intentions, but cloak them in deception, in pleasant-sounding mendacity, and with Talmudic trickery and infinite patience take each of the steps leading to a disarmed population facing an all-powerful government which is armed to the teeth.

The technique probably would not fool so many Americans were it not for the fact that the mass media are firmly in the hands of the new ruling class which has arisen since the Second World War. Their tried-and-true con game works like this. A psychotic or a member of a minority gang commits a heinous crime. The liars of the mass media shed crocodile tears for the victim as their cameras focus in on the real perpetrator of the crime: a gun. The kept editorial writers and journalists leap into action, calling for restrictions on — you name it — handguns, guns with magazines larger than 5 rounds, certain types of ammunition, etc. — whatever our masters have decreed is the next on the list. The list, ladies and gentlemen, will not end until we have no weapons and they have them all. Then the bought politicians leap into action, gathering their forces and clamoring for the passage of the latest gun restriction or confiscation bill, the essentials of which have been written long in advance by the Anti-Defamation League or the other well-funded organizations of America's enemies.

How many more times are the sheep-like Americans going to fall for this con game? Remember, it was Vladimir Ilyich Lenin — real name Ulyanoff — (there's one of those names again) who said: ". . . one of the basic conditions for the victory of socialism is . . . the disarming of the [middle class.]" Americans, you had better wake up, before the noose is around your neck.

And it isn't only liberals who are fooled by this con: You conservatives who voted for no-knock warrant laws, under which government agents can enter your home or place of business on almost any pretext; you who voted for forfeiture laws under which your property and your life savings can be taken by the government without a trial; you who voted for the institution of dozens of different government police agencies, each one of which is armed with the latest in spying and killing machinery; you should listen to the words of the director of the Federal Law Enforcement Assistance Ad-

ministration, who stated before the United States Congress:

I am one who believes that as a first step the US [sic] should move expeditiously to disarm the civilian population, other than police or security officers, of all handguns, pistols and revolvers ... no one should have the right to anonymous ownership or use of a gun.

This agency also stated:

There can be no right of privacy in regard to armament . . . we seek a disarmed populace.

Conservative gun owners, unless you begin to understand what is happening in this country you may wake up one day as an inmate in one of those thousands of new prisons which you voted to have constructed as an answer to the crime problem. To liberals I would say, America does not have a gun problem — America has a race problem. To conservatives I would say, America does not have a crime problem as much as we have a treason problem. Americans, you had better wake up, before the noose is around your neck.

It isn't only our private arms that the subversives and traitors want to take away from us. They are even planning to take away the protection of our armed forces, which they want to convert into mercenaries for the United Nations and a "security force" to keep dissidents like us in line. Don't believe it? Let me refer you to State Department Document number 7277, which states in part:

The United States program for general and complete disarmament . . . [will lead to] a world in which adjustment to change takes place in accordance with the principles of the United Nations. In order to make possible the achievement of that goal, the program sets forth the following specific objectives toward which nations should direct their efforts: [1] The disbanding of all national armed forces and the prohibition of their reestablishment in any form whatsoever other than those required to preserve internal order and for contributions to a United Nations Peace Force; [2] The elimination from national arsenals of all armaments, including all weapons of mass destruction and the means for their delivery, other than those required for a United Nations Peace Force and for maintaining internal order; and [3] The manufacture of armaments would be prohibited except for those of agreed types and quantities to be used by the UN Peace Force and those required to maintain internal order. All other armaments would be destroyed or converted to peaceful purposes.

Americans, you had better wake up, before the noose is around your neck.

From the United States Arms Control and Disarmament Agency Publication 14, we have the following chart: "U.S. Three-Stage Disarmament Plan:" In Stage I - The U.S. Military is Large, and the N Peacekeeping Force is Small; In Stage II - The U.S. Military is Smaller, with the UN Peacekeeping Force Increased; and in Stage III - the U.S. and U.S.S.R. Military are gone as independent entities, all national military forces are under the control of the UN as so-called "Peacekeeping Forces" with the United States allowed only an internal security force to quiet any who object. Ladies and gentlemen, is this not the pattern you have seen developing before your eyes, in Korea, in Vietnam, in Iraq, in Somalia? And this document was published in 1962. Americans, you had better wake up, before the noose is around your neck.

Were the American people ever asked if they wanted their sons to die to enforce the dictates of a world government? Have our leaders and our news media honestly informed us that they were leading us into a world government? Have we been given an opportunity to pass judgment on this idea and throw these world government proponents out of office if we decided to keep the independence our forefathers fought and died to procure? No, my friends, we have never been given that opportunity. The proof that these traitors and subversives are out to enslave us is fourfold: First is the voluminous documentation of their plan, a tiny part of which I have brought to your attention on this program. Second is the fact that they have not been honest with us about their intentions. If their intentions were benevolent, why would they have to trick us into surrendering our freedom and our nation itself? Third is their unrelenting attack on our right to keep and bear arms. Governments which are of, by, and for the people do not fear their own citizens. But tyrants feel secure only when the people are disarmed. Fourth is the fact that both major political parties in this country are controlled from behind the scenes by entities long dedicated to the creation of a so-called "New World Order" on the corpse of America. I maintain that those who have deceived us on such a colossal scale cannot possibly have our best interests at heart.

President Bill Clinton's favorite college professor, Georgetown University's Carroll Quigley, approved of the New World Order, though he disagreed with its policy of secrecy over the years. He wrote in his magnum opus *Tragedy and Hope*:

The relationship between the financial circles of London and those of the Eastern United States . . . reflects one of the most powerful influences in twentieth-century American and world history. The two ends of this English-speaking axis have sometimes been called, perhaps facetiously, the English and American establishments. There is, however, a considerable degree of truth behind the joke, a truth which reflects a very real power structure. It is this power structure which the Radical Right in the United States has been attacking for years in the belief that they are attacking the Communists. This is particularly true when these attacks are directed, as they so frequently are, at 'Harvard Socialism,' or at 'Left-wing newspapers' like *The New York Times* and the *Washington Post*, or at foundations....

The most visible of the organizations of the world government proponents is the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR), the members of which have formed a high percentage of the appointees and elected members of every administration, both Democrat and Republican, since the Franklin Roosevelt regime. According to researcher Dan Smoot:

. . . the Council on Foreign Relations, together with a great number of other associated tax-exempt organizations, constitutes the invisible government which sets the major policies of the Federal government; exercises controlling influence on government officials who implement the policies; and through massive and skillful propaganda, influences Congress and the public to support the policies. I am convinced that the objective of this invisible government is to convert America into a socialist state and then make it a unit in a one-world socialist system.

CFR members literally run every administration in Washington, be it Democrat or Republican. That is one reason why, my friends, that on all the really important issues, the policies pursued remain the same no matter which party is in power. That is why the nearly-complete conversion of America into a province of the New World Order continues apace, regardless of the rhetoric of the province's current overseers. Americans, you had better wake up, before the noose is around your neck.

Again I quote from Bill Clinton's mentor, Dr. Carroll Quigley:

I know of the operations of this network because I have studied it for twenty years and was permitted for two years, in the early 1960s, to examine its papers and secret records. I have no aversion to it or to most of its aims and have, for much of my life, been close to it and many

of its instruments. I have objected, both in the past and recently, to a few of its policies . . . but in general my chief difference of opinion is that it wishes to remain unknown, and I believe its role in history is significant enough to be known.

Why would Quigley disclose to the public the operations of the secret government, of which he evidently approved? Cleon Skousen's review of *Tragedy and Hope* gives us the reason:

His answer appears in a number of places but is especially forceful and clear on pages 979 and 980. He says, in effect, that it is now too late for the little people to turn back the tide. In a spirit of kindness, he is therefore urging them not to fight the noose which is already around their necks.

With all due respect to Dr. Quigley, I utterly reject his call to surrender.

The forces behind the CFR also exert controlling influence over more radical movements which are useful in corralling us into their world plantation. In his book *The Naked Capitalist*, Dr. Cleon Skousen, a former FBI official and later professor at Brigham Young University, reveals that a former member of the National Committee of the Communist Party, USA, Dr. Bella V. Dodd, who later became an ardent anti-Communist, told him, "I think the Communist conspiracy is merely a branch of a much bigger conspiracy." Skousen relates:

Dr. Dodd said she first became aware of some mysterious super-leadership right after World War II when the US Communist Party had difficulty getting instructions from Moscow on several vital matters requiring immediate attention. The American Communist hierarchy was told that any time they had an emergency of this kind they should contact any one of three designated persons at [New York City's] Waldorf Towers. Dr. Dodd noted that whenever the party obtained instructions from any of these three men, Moscow always ratified them. What puzzled Dr. Dodd was the fact that not one of these three contacts was a Russian. Nor were any of them Communists. In fact, all three of them were extremely wealthy American capitalists!

Americans, you had better wake up, before the noose is around your neck

Reprinted from *Free Speech*, October 1996,
published by the National Alliance, Box 90, Hillsboro WV 24946.

9/6/96

LETTERS
TO THE
EDITOR

Dear Mr. Dietz:

Your great Sept. issue arrived today. Instantly I must join the debate over the definition of "mestizo." I have taught world history and world religion in elementary school, but I will lean on Dr. William Pierce's definition, which I believe is the correct one.

I have always understood that a "mestizo" can be a mixture of black African, Amerind, and white. We must remember that there are black Africans in South America and that during the days of slavery, it was considered less stringent than the U.S. slavery because after so many years a slave in South America could purchase his freedom.

Arab slavery was considered the worst (perhaps next to black African slavery itself) because after a slave got too old to work, he was thrown out on the street.

And across Tampa Bay in Hillsboro County, Florida, the tax payers just voted in a referendum to give the profitmaking new owners of the Tampa Bay Bucs a new stadium. This was accomplished in part by combining it with tax money for schools, and fire and police. BUT, exit polls showed that it was the younger voters who voted "yes" — the younger, less well-informed voters.

The more mature voters were the "no's". These slick Jews, the Glazers, father and sons, must be rubbing their hands in glee at the stupid *Goyim* in Florida. I thought for awhile they wouldn't get it, and the mature adults nearly threw up at the results of the vote. Incredible! It's no wonder that democracy is our ruination as it was Ancient Greece's.

14/88 Molly Gill, Editor/Publisher
The Rational Feminist

☆☆☆

9/28/96

Re: Liberty Bell 10/96 "A Battle Plan" (Battle??)

Dear Mr. Dietz:

I think N.B. Forrest has blown his stack—gone completely bonkers! Deal with blacks? With us running the show? We are willing to work with blacks! What is the man ON? Whatever it is, it might get me through the day's television news despite all the jiving blacks, corkscrew curls, and niggers kissing white women—

50 — Liberty Bell / January 1997

or almost.

"We must make that clear from the start!" The man is really raving mad. With the enemy, meaning our alien-controlled government in WDC, controlling all the media, all the armed forces, all the medical facilities, all the groceries, and all the money honey, NB Forest, where are we going to get a foothold? Take over the dwarf-like Robert Reich and hold him for ransom?

And then he says, "We are not haters or race-baiters." Speak for yourself, John, I am both a hater and a race-baiter. We are working with blacks now in case you haven't noticed, and getting everywhere we don't want to go. (In our face).

Of all the people I thought were crazy in the Movement, you Mr. Forrest, would be one of the last I would point to!!!!!!!

"Outright liberals are becoming hard to find outside Massachusetts"! Wherever you live I want to go there. I am violently ill daily reading, hearing and conversing with liberals. Even our own White Nationalist comrades sometimes tell me that, well, we have to have responsibility (toward those pitiful scalping Indians in the PBS series on The West). "Responsibility" is just another term for guilt trip. We owe the Indians nothing, zilch, zero, not one blade of grass. Throughout human history great peoples have conquered land and taken slaves, so why are you joining the Semitic overlords who are wiping us out with guilt and "Working with blacks"?

There is one Solution, and the Führer had it, and that is the only path we need to keep. All these other crackpot schemes of the dung beetles is only so much dung.

88! Molly Gill

☆☆☆

10/4/96

Re: Liberty Bell, Sept. 1996

Dear Mr. Dietz:

I congratulate you on re-printing Mr. Hans Schmidt's very inclusive article from *GANPAC Brief*, July/August 1996, titled "Anti-Semitism, Found". As I read this very accurate portrayal of the Jew and his history of interaction with other peoples of the globe, I found myself thinking of these truths as they have passed through my mind over the years.

One main theme seen in the movies of the thirties and forties from alien ethnic Hollywood was of the rich girl marrying the family chauffeur and living happily ever afterward. Of course this inspired many silly girls to follow suit, usually much to their sorrow

Liberty Bell / January 1997 — 51

after they awakened from their Hollywood-induced euphoria. When they socialized with her friends he would not know which fork to use, that would put the relationship in more realistic perspective. There would be few commonalities for conversation.

And then there was the theme that the family butler was by far the superior man to the rich and powerful head of the house, Daddy. William Powell acted in at least a few of these fairy tales.

If this isn't left/liberal/Marxist brainwashing, I don't know what is. This even went back to English literature classes in high school, with the reading of "The Honorable Creighton", the story of the butler of a rich family in England being shipwrecked at sea and guess who emerged as the leader to take care of all on the deserted island? The butler, the admirable Creighton, of course. The daughter of the house became smitten with him and his prowess. Another left theme in literature brainwashing the nation's youth.

Then about twenty years ago there was the TV series, "Hazel," in which the family maid always straightened things out and got "Mr. B." on the right track in his business world. If this were plausible she would have been the business mogul and he the maid.

This, of course, plays to the lower echelon and lets them think that if they had a chance, they would be rich and competent, too.

I agree also with Mr. Schmidt's delineation of the Jewish myth that they have been a persecuted people throughout history. I recently did some browsing through, *The Columbia History of the World*, and have come to the conclusion that their current apparent success in world affairs came not from persecution but the opposite, tolerance throughout history, especially in the ancient and medieval world (1972 edition, chapters 25 and 35). If various cultures and civilizations in history had had the sagacity to have kept the Jews out of their lands, the world would not be in the declining position it is in today, especially Western Civilization. They had some downs, but by and large they got the foothold, and stranglehold they have on the world today because there was more freedom, autonomy and tolerance than persecution.

Mr. Schmidt's article should be required reading in every classroom in the nation, school, college and home. It should be made available, free and under the table, if necessary. Where is the philanthropist to facilitate this?

14/88! Molly Gill

* * *

Dear Landsmann:

52 — Liberty Bell / January 1997

5 September 1996

That article on the labor results of Affirmative Action really hit me where I live. I work for a government authority and have to deal with this situation every day. Many of our workers are negroes hired as a form of welfare. Once hired it is nearly impossible to fire them. About a third of the negroes are good workers—mostly the foreign ones who have not been taught from childhood that whites owe them a living. A third a poor workers who are good only for low grade work and have to be constantly supervised to even do that. The final third is useless and would be a drag on any company even if they worked for nothing. These creatures can't stay off drugs or drink and even coming to work on time is a big strain. They steal, destroy and dirty everything they touch. It is impossible for a white supervisor to discipline them as they immediately cry racism and are supported by the co-racialists. A man can't be fired for incompetence but he can be for "racism" or other politically incorrect behavior. Thus the white supervisors basically let the vermin do as they please. Female coloreds are especially overprivileged being of a double minority. A single complaint of "sexual harassment" can ruin anybody they accuse. Thus they are allowed to do whatever they please whichever seldom includes working. Thus the actual workers have to carry these drones.

Promoting negro supervisors to control the blacks doesn't work either, it just spreads the problem into management. The way my company copes is by creating useless vice presidential and other dummy management positions and putting blacks and females into them. All these useless jobs have to be paid for and whites and the better blacks have to do the work the others shirk. It is just like under Communism where the offices and industries were staffed with 35 people while there was barely work for five.

All the trash about "female equality" ignores that these women make most of their living with their sex. Their wages at work are only an addition to that. Yet they are to be given equal wages with men even though they don't do equal work. Further, women use their sex on the job to manipulate men. Tet if men respond to their sexual signals (as we are meant to) we are open to "sexual harassment" charges. I have noticed a definite stratification at work. The good looking women get the jobs in the airconditioned offices, the plain ones have to go work on the assembly lines. Amazing how women, who are a majority of humanity, can be declared a "minority." Any one group can be a minority except white men. We can

Liberty Bell / January 1997 — 53

never be privileged since we have to support everyone else.

Well, we know how all this ends. Like all Communist systems it ends in bankruptcy. An Democracy ends with military rule. Certainly America is now a textbook case of the failure of Democracy. The Jews took over and lotted America without even working up a sweat. The failure of the system is manifest.

I hear reports that not only Henry Kissinger but every top Jew in North America was on that Pan Am flight 800 that was shot down off Long Island. Sherman Skolnick of Chicago reports that Clinton was told not to run for re-election like Johnson in 1968 but, instead of quitting, his response was to have all the top Jews killed. If so, military rule is getting closer and closer.

Sincerely, S.R., New York State

* * *

Dear Mrs. Dietz:

I am enclosing an article ["The People of Thule", see *LB* November 1996] which has been much admired by friends but was never published. It's a bit hard on the Jews. But now that we are in the final phase of the agenda as outlined in *The Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion*, it seems an appropriate time to present it to the victimized Whites of this country.

I remember this country as it was in the beginning of this century. It was 1918 and I was nine years old, standing beside my Uncle Dick, in a small town in northern New York. Every Saturday night we had a band concert. The farmers would come in with their rigs and the towns people would gather to gossip and shop, and listen to the music. The crowd had gathered along Main Street in quiet respect to hear the final number, *The Stars and Stripes Forever*. Suddenly there was a stir and everyone stepped to the edge of the sidewalk to look at something coming up the street. I pulled my uncle's hand and asked, "What is it, Uncle Dick?"

"You'll see in a minute," he told me, and held me up so that I could see.

An open Ford car was coming very slowly up the street—cars were becoming a common sight at that time, especially Fords. Standing stiffly erect beside the driver and holding up his arm in a dedicated salute, was young Dave Calvert who had come home on furlough after being wounded in combat. There was utter silence as the car slowly passed the bandstand as they played the final phrases of the National Anthem. And I saw tears in the eyes of many. Needless to say, we were all white gentiles and fiercely patriotic and proud. I still remember that scene as vividly as if it had

happened yesterday. I doubt that it would happen today. Young Calvert would be lucky not to be greeted with laughter. We've come a long, long way since those days of innocent self-confidence.

It is true that our present degradation is the result of an ancient conspiracy motivated and controlled by the Zionist Jews who have a passionate hatred for everything we represent, but it seems to me to go beyond that. There is a helpless sense of inevitability surrounding us. I wonder if the ancient Romans didn't feel the same way in the last days of their empire as they sought refuge in their villas in Sicily. Our remoted corners, chiefly the uncontaminated northwest, grow fewer as the juggernaut power of the Jew-controlled federal government grows more menacing as they usher in the New World Order via either Clinton or Dole.

Will we stand up to it any better than White South African? I'd like to think so, but.....

Best regards
Lee Norris, California

* * *

17 September 1996

Dear Mr. Dietz:

Earlier this summer I ordered books from you and received two sample copies of your *Liberty Bell* magazine. I like what I read and would like to become a subscribing member.

Enclosed is my check for a one-year subscription. You and the other writers definitely stand up for white, Aryan rights, something that more people should be willing to do. Aryans should be proud of their race and ready to fight for our lost rights! Keep up the good work!

Sincerely,
J.W.S., New York State

* * *

7 November 1996

Dear George,

Well, the Great Liar has been re-elected, thanks largely to mush-headed "soccer moms." If over-polite Republicans didn't have such a phobia of being called "extremist" and "racist," we'd have a chance of stopping, or slowing, the march toward the One World Government. Our nation *must* pull out of the United Nations. Clinton is a Communist who will put our national sovereignty under UN command if these gutless Republicans don't stand up in Congress (the way the great Louis McFadden did in the Thirties) and

call slimy ADL stooges like Chas. Schumer and Benjamin Gilman to account! But will they? The Culture Wars go on, but our side is not hurling enough volleys. Let's stop being nice guys and start hitting back, hard! Make the media pay attention to us.

Conservative talk show host Art Bell said last night he can't understand why racism still goes on after all these enlightening years! Whhat a sheltered life away from congoid savages he must live. Of course overwhelming black racism in society never occurred to him. I cussed him in my room when I heard his blather. Why can't we (i.e., White folks) just rise above it? etc, etc.

Art Bell is a puke and a softie like all conservative talk show hosts. Probably the most palatable of them is Michael Reagan who comes on with piles of facts about politicians, bills in Congress, etc, but he, too, can fail miserably when he doubts, with vehemence, the Jewish New World Order etc, that he shreds literature he gets from "far right extremists," etc. Since I have no shortwave radio to pick up programs like American Dissident Voices I must content myself with on-air conservatives, and thus do a lot of wincing.

Michael Reagan said last night that the \$97 billion debt that Third World nations (most of them African) owe the World Bank, IMF, the UN and other international lending agencies, will just be declared canceled and new "loans" (actually grants) be made available to these corrupt, evil black dictators (some of whom are cannibals!). Where will this largesse come from? Right, the U.S. taxpayers, of course. That little twerp Jew Robert Reich is a major figure behind this scam. What really sickened me was the fact that no subsequent call-ins ever mentioned what MR had just said. No one cared!

We need to find a way to combat feminism's unnatural emasculating of American and European men. We must stand up to false Afrocentrism taught in schools everywhere before our own kids start to believe it. Many of them do. Conservatives are good at writing books denouncing Afrocentrism but are impotent at stopping it. Who reads their books? Other conservatives, no one else, except the occasional White racist like myself. As a race we are in a pickle! In a very salty, uncompromisingly briny pickle jar.

George, I wish you the best of health and *Liberty Bell* the best of luck. I hope some rich White man would give you the financial boost you need to carry on and to publish Volume Two of Dr. Re-vilo Oliver's astute writings.

14 & 88!
P.R., Oregon

KEEP THE LIBERTY BELL RINGING!

Please remember: *Our* Fight is *Your* fight! Donate whatever you can spare on a regular — monthly or quarterly — basis. Whether it is \$2., \$5., \$20., or \$100. or more, rest assured it is needed here and will be used in our common struggle. If you are a businessman, postage stamps in any denomination are a legitimate business expense — and we need and use many of these here every month — and will be gratefully accepted as donations .

Your donations will help us spread the *Message of Liberty* and *White Survival* throughout the land, by making available additional copies of our printed material to fellow Whites who do not yet know what is in store for them.

Order our pamphlets, booklets, and, most importantly, our reprints of revealing articles which are ideally suited for mass distribution at reasonable cost. Order extra copies of *Liberty Bell* for distribution to your circle of friends, neighbors, and relatives, urging them to subscribe to our unique publication. Our bulk prices are shown on the inside front cover of every issue of *Liberty Bell*.

Pass along your copy of *Liberty Bell*, and copies of reprints you obtained from us, to friends and acquaintances who may be on our "wave length," and urge them to contact us for more of the same.

Carry on the fight to free our White people from the shackles of alien domination, even if you can only join our ranks in spirit. You can provide for this by bequest. The following are suggested forms of bequests which you may include in your Last Will and Testament:

1. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the sum of \$ for general purposes.

2. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the following described property for general purposes.

**DO YOUR PART TODAY—HELP FREE OUR WHITE
RACE FROM ALIEN DOMINATION!**