



**ABOUT THE AUTHOR:** Dr. Revilo Pendleton Oliver, Professor of the Classics at the University of Illinois for 32 years, is a scholar of international distinction who has written articles in four languages for the most prestigious academic publications in the United States and Europe.

During World War II, Dr. Oliver was Director of Research in a highly secret agency of the War Department, and was cited for outstanding service to his country.

One of the very few academicians who has been outspoken in his opposition to the progressive defacement of our civilization, Dr. Oliver has long insisted that the fate of his countrymen hangs on their willingness to subordinate their doctrinal differences to the tough but idealistic solidarity which is the prerequisite of a Majority resurgence.

### SOME QUOTABLE QUOTES FROM *AMERICA'S DECLINE:*

**On the 18th Amendment (Prohibition):** "Very few Americans were sufficiently sane to perceive that they had repudiated the American conception of government and had replaced it with the legal principle of the 'dictatorship of the proletariat,' which was the theoretical justification of the Jews' revolution in Russia."

**On Race:** "We must further understand that all races naturally regard themselves as superior to all others. We think Congoids unintelligent, but they feel only contempt for a race so stupid or craven that it fawns on them, gives them votes, lavishly subsidizes them with its own earnings, and even oppresses its own people to curry their favor. We are a race as are the others. If we attribute to Ourselves a superiority; intellectual, moral, or other, in terms of our own standards, we are simply indulging in a tautology. The only objective criterion of superiority, among human races as among all other species, is biological: the strong survive, the weak perish. The superior race of mankind today is the one that will emerge victorious—whether by its technology or its fecundity—from the proximate struggle for life on an overcrowded planet."

### *AMERICA'S DECLINE*

Order No. 1007-\$8.50  
plus \$1.50 for postage and handling.

376 pp., pb.  
ORDER FROM:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

# Liberty Bell

ISSN: 0145 - 7667

SINGLE COPY \$5.00

## OPEN LETTER

by Col. "Bo" Gritz  
TO

*The American Christian*

page 1

## ALSO IN THIS ISSUE:

Campbell Responds to Gritz  
page 9

Gas Guessing  
by Robert Frenz  
page 22

Race-Mixing:  
The Key to World Peace?  
by Eric Thomson  
page 26

Letters to the Editor  
page 34

VOL. 20 - NO. 8

APRIL 1993

## Voice Of Thinking Americans

## LIBERTY BELL

The magazine for *Thinking Americans*, has been published monthly since September 1973 by Liberty Bell Publications. Editorial office: P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA. Phone: 304-927-4486.

Manuscripts conforming to our editorial policy are always welcome and may be submitted on IBM-, Apple //e-, or Apple/Macintosh-compatible diskette, or in double-spaced, neatly typed format. Manuscripts can not be returned unless accompanied by stamped, self-addressed envelope. Manuscripts accepted for publication become the property of Liberty Bell Publications.

© Copyright 1991  
by Liberty Bell Publications.

Permission granted to quote in whole or part any article except those subject to author's copyright. Proper source, address and subscription information must be given.

### ANNUAL SUBSCRIPTION RATES:

SAMPLE COPY .....	\$ 5.00
THIRD CLASS-BULK RATE-USA only .....	\$40.00
FIRST CLASS-USA .....	\$50.00
FIRST CLASS-all other countries .....	\$60.00
AIR MAIL-Europe, South America .....	\$70.00
Middle East, Far East, South Africa .....	\$75.00
Sample Copy .....	\$ 6.50

### BULK COPIES FOR DISTRIBUTION:

10 copies .....	\$ 22.00
50 copies .....	\$ 90.00
100 copies .....	\$150.00
500 copies .....	\$600.00
1000 copies .....	\$900.00

### FREEDOM OF SPEECH — FREEDOM OF THOUGHT FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION

The editor/publisher of *Liberty Bell* does not necessarily agree with each and every article in this magazine, nor does he subscribe to all conclusions arrived at by various writers; however, he does endeavor to permit the exposure of ideas suppressed by the controlled news media of this country.

It is, therefore, in the best tradition of America and of free men everywhere that *Liberty Bell* strives to give free reign to ideas, for ultimately it is ideas which rule the world and determine both the content and structure of our Western culture.

We believe that we can and will change our society for the better. We declare our long-held view that no institution or government created by men, for men, is inviolable, incorruptible, and not subject to evolution, change, or replacement by the will of an informed people.

To this we dedicate our lives and our work. No effort will be spared and no idea will be allowed to go unexpressed if we think it will benefit the *Thinking People*; not only of America, but the entire world.

George P. Dietz, Editor & Publisher

## OPEN LETTER

By Col. "Bo" Gritz

TO

*The American Christian*

Mr. Ben Williams, Editor

RE: Bigot & Coward J.B. Campbell debunked

"Thou shalt not bear false witness against thy neighbor.:  
*Exodus* 20:16.

J.B. Campbell, writing in "*The American Christian*" Sep-Oct '92 newsletter (a possible misnomer) [also published in *Liberty Bell*, January 1993, "Weaver and Gritz."] is a fallacious coward and you, the editor, Ben Williams are a irresponsible and thoughtless deceiver. Published by American Christian Ministries, POB 8430, Medford, Oregon, 97504, the article is evil in both its content and intent. When first viewed, I summarily dismissed the issue as toilet tissue. Since then, however, numerous copies have been distributed by persons unknown throughout the true Christian-patriot movement. I intend to set the record straight and challenge J.B. Campbell, you, Ben Williams, and anyone representing the so-called "*American Christian*," or American Christian Ministries to make good on any of the fabrications you presented. As an incentive, I will deed to your Mr. Campbell all of my personal possessions real estate and property upon proof that even one statement of my rebuttal in this letter is not completely true and accurate.

Many of the non-Bo Gritz "facts" are extremely loose suppositions that are probably false in their entirety. For example, U.S. Marshal William Deagan was not on the Weaver property as a "sniper," but rather was there to conduct a tactical reconnaissance. I in no way support the federal government's action. They were wrong! But You and J.B. Campbell are also wrong! Campbell's comment about the government's intent to "destroy all White power movements" is reasonably correct I believe in as much as they possess illegal weapons and conduct

themselves like terrorist. Campbell says on page one of the article, "THE RUBY CREEK SABOTAGE," that he was "asked to analyze the Randy Weaver incident." Ben Williams, you can answer whether or not it was at your instigation that this piece of yellow journalism made its way into the "Christian" newsletter you edit. I was at Ruby Creek. I daily briefed those at the vigil; talked extensively with Pete Weaver, his daughters, Kevin Harris and the authorities. I don't recall ever being in the



## The AMERICAN CHRISTIAN

SEPT-OCT 1992

... let Facts be submitted to a candid world.

# The Ruby Creek "SABOTAGE"



company of a J.B. Campbell. Unless he was invisible, he wasn't with me and must be using the information I presented as a basis for his "analysis."

Campbell shows his absolute ignorance about Special Forces when he describes Weaver as a "veterans of the CIA's Special Forces." Pete was in Special Forces in 1973, but did not serve overseas and Special Forces is not part of the CIA—ask the Special Operations Command, Fort Bragg, NC. Ask Weaver if he at any time in his service ever "...was a witness to drug crimes allegedly committed by a well known Green Beret officer." Campbell and you seem devoid of any actual Christian ethic in printing such trash!

I was the one who called Gerry Spence and secured his services for Weaver. If I were trying to cover up for the feds, I certainly wouldn't have contacted the best possible defense attorney in America! Campbell says that Spence may have a witness who saw Degan killed by his own men. Spence says that is hogwash! Ask him—not Campbell noodle soup. Is it any wonder that the patriot movement has problems with credibility! I believe such reckless reporting through "Christian" publications as you allowed is one of patriot America's most treacherous enemies.

Campbell misquotes my book, *Called To Serve*. The fact is that I *was* "acquitted" by the jury. H. Ross Perot *had* agreed to testify not "that Perot and George Bush agreed, in the Vice President's office, to send Gritz to Burma in 1986." But as stated on page 428, "...he was present in the Vice President's office when it was decided to send me into Burma in 1986." Call my lawyer, Lamond Mills, in Las Vegas. Two women on the jury did say: "You have been in our prayers every day." Two men jurors did state: "Colonel, Go get 'em!" after the trial—my wife, Mom and several witnesses will verify this. Campbell lists these as "Gritz has been caught in a number of lies before and since his appearance at Ruby Creek." Very specifically it is *Campbell who is the liar!* Campbell tries to make it appear that my trial was a set up by the government—not to get Bo Gritz—but to fool patriots. Where was his sniveling face for two years while I was yo-yo'd up and

down with court dates and continuances? Nevada U.S. Attorney Bill Maddox agreed to charge me when California refused. He followed up when a Grand Jury would not indict me in Oklahoma. The feds did everything they could to jail me when I refused to cooperate and keep quiet about their drug operations. If you think I'm one of Bush's favorite people, read my book and see the documented facts.

Campbell brings up George Brooks and POW money. Fact: Brooks came to the Florida training camp with Ann Mills Griffith, POW League Director. HeHe did not pay for our travel to the site. He was never told that we hoped to rescue his son. We were on a Top Secret mission to bring 30 confirmed Americans out from a POW camp in Laos known as Nohmarrath. I was told by Admiral Jerry O. Tuttle (DIA) to stand down because President Reagan intended to make the raid using official military forces. Our funds were cut off and Brooks insisted on giving \$20,000 to the Special Forces Association that had accumulated in government pay while I sought permission to continue the mission. I wrote a Top Secret memo to President Reagan which was delivered to his National Security Advisor the day Reagan was shot. I was told that Haig squelched my request. All the money Brooks gave was accounted for in writing to Brooks by the Executive Officer, Gordon Wilson. Colonel Earl Hooper and I confronted Brooks about his complaint at the Crystal City Stouffer Hotel in Washington, D.C. Brooks said that everything was fine. Brooks is a close friend to Ann Griffith who has been a government POW parrot since 1981. Read my book for details why she and Brooks have tried to discredit our rescue efforts.

Campbell talks about Bob Keplinger and a 1992 POW mission. Read my 22 page statement before the Kerry Committee for correct details. Keplinger did none of the things Campbell says he did. The fact is: Keplinger was part of my team. Campbell is the treacherous one! My accounts have been consistent—even unto printing them in a book complete with documents and photos. Campbell calls me a counterfeit patriot. What are his qualifications to judge? What patriot credentials does he hold? What has he ever done to earn such a title? Campbell really gets onto thin ice trying to judge my Vietnam

units (B-52 and B-36) seeing as he has no more time in a uniform than Bill Clinton. They were not CIA assassination units. **READ MY BOOK!** We loathed the Americans that engaged in Operation Phoenix—Read the book! B-36 was a most heroic group as was Project Delta (B-52). Campbell couldn't measure up to the bottoms of any of those brave men and women.

Campbell criticizes me, my Dad, his dad, plus his own brother, for wearing the uniform of the United States. Campbell represents a kind of puke who bites at the ankles of real patriots and seeks status through shameful allies such as yourself, Ben Williams, and your *The American Christian* news. Like orifices. Campbell has used you to express a poorly qualified opinion—like many orifices, it stinks. What does Campbell, who obviously never served a day for his country know about the CIA, Special Forces and men? My personnel files doesn't say a word about my being a "highly trained saboteur and espionage agent." Campbell says "there is nothing in Gritz' career which indicated that he is honorable." How much must Campbell convey to prove how unChrist-like he really is. Campbell talks much about the White Race. He makes me ashamed to think that he is probably white. His skin color may be light, but his thoughts betray a profound darkness.

Campbell says I was brought up as a Mormon. Another falsehood. God hates a liar—so do I. My Grandparents raised in in Pentacostal churches. I attended a Virginia Baptist academy, belonged to the Central Christian Church and did not join the LDS Church until 1984. I have never said to anyone that I have joined the Identity Faith—even though I believe America is the new Zion and we are a gathering of Israel. I am first a Christian, second an American (which means God's Kingdom come to Earth). I do not work for the CIA or any other government activity. I am the Godfather to a black child in Reno, Nevada. I do have two Amerasian children. I believe that Jesus Christ opened the doors to Salvation for any and all who would repent, confess and believe on His name through Baptism and continued faithfulness. I judge people individually, not generically. I believe Campbell to be a dishonest and disgusting bigot—plain

and simple who apparently has little regard, or understanding for any one outside his own skin color. I'll wager he has never travelled overseas, doesn't speak a language other than his own and have never had to share a moment of peril, or distress with people of differing color, or religious beliefs.

Jack McLamb was with me at Ruby Creek at my invitation. Jack was wrong when he said that I had known Randall Weaver in the service. Randall Weaver had passed a message to my campaign staff some time before the siege. He didn't have a phone, I didn't know him and our travel plans didn't include Ruby Creek. Looking back, I wish that I would have some how have made contact with him before the shootings. It might have saved three lives. I was called in Phoenix by an FBI agent and asked to make an audio tape over the telephone. The agent said that I had been identified by family and friends as someone Randall might listen to. I made the tape and said that I would go to Idaho the next morning. The FBI told me not to come—I went anyway. We had to arrest those in charge before gaining access to Weaver and his family.

Campbell and *The American Christian* continues the prevarications by stating that I "...was wearing an FBI body wire the whole time." FACT: A transmitter was placed in my left shirt pocket when the FBI would not allow me to go into the cabin to get Kevin and Vicki's bodies out unless they knew I was alright. I didn't tell Randall, but I did steer the conversation so that the FBI learned that Weaver and his girls weren't the bigots and hate mongers they had thought. As soon as I came out of the cabin, the transmitter came off. I was one who disclosed the requirement, otherwise Campbell wouldn't have know. I was told by an employee that the TV station from Spokane was monitoring over a scanner. I never left the hill with a transmitter and Campbell compounds his false testimony by saying that I did. Campbell says my "true role at Ruby Creek was to save face for the federal government." MORE CAMPBELL CRAP! Ask Jack McLamb. We prayed long and hard to be able to save what was left of the Weavers IN SPITE of the government—and we did!

Campbell, the caucasian critic, has the gall to chide my

disclosure that Vicky had been killed days before. Yes, I wanted the crowd under control. There was nothing but trouble to be gained by inciting a riot. I was also complete and accurate in my briefings to those who stood vigil at the mountain's base. Was Campbell there? It wasn't easy breaking the news to Vicki's parents. It was hard to find the words to tell people at the police line. It has never been easy for me to inform loved ones of misfortune, but I've done it—even though the words may not be perfect. Campbell lies when he says I had a body wire during this and at any other time when not actually in the Weaver cabin. Ask Jack McLamb...or maybe Campbell in his rabid paranoia believes Jack also be a CIA agent and his *Vampire Killer 2000*, just a cover.

Campbell's anxiety attack continues on to accuse me of funding Tom Donahue's radio talk show with CIA money—ABSOLUTE SWILL! Please do investigate. I've know Donahue for years when he was leading a patriot movement in Texas, then Idaho, and Florida. He invited me on his radio show and I respected him enough to appear whenever possible. Campbell erroneously claims that my Florida campaign grew by \$200,000 after stating that teammates Lancer Trimmer and Chuck Jones were touching American POWs. Another lie. I said that Bob Keplinger had reportedly seen US POWs and that two teammates were there to help. Lance Trimmer is a former LAZARUS Team communications chief. I have no knowledge of anyone named CHUCK JONES! We did get a contribution signed by a "Jeb Bush"—obviously a joke. Read what the real Job Bush had to say when queried. Charlie Brown collected and accounted for a total of slightly more than \$160,000 in campaign contributions. Per dollar spent, I believe we may have won the election!

Campbell says that I am about to be indicted for violation of the Logan Act. He could be right, but if he is, does that mean he is the real CIA mole since such information could only be obtained from the INSIDE??? Personally, I believe Campbell's BS about a forthcoming indictment is on par with the rest of his rhetori—don't you? Ben Williams, I don't know if any real Christians support your paper, but if there is even one, you owe that person a sincere apology for the ungodly story printed under

your authorization as editor. One thing Campbell said that I'll agree with. He stated that I was working against White Power groups. I haven't in the past, but if Campbell is a prime example of how they conduct themselves, I'm now a good candidate. From what I've seen of him, he meets the same criteria as those branded by our Savior as being liars from the beginning and children of the devil. How say you?

The final paragraphs of the Campbell perversions talk about my making such statements over the radio as, "...when I put my heels to the square..." I call Campbell a liar! Find a single time that I have ever referenced such a posture "...referring to his taking an oath to defend the Constitution against all enemies foreign and domestic." I call you, J.B. Campbell, and you, Ben Williams (if you support him) A LIAR! The article states: "admitted that he is a former Mason." EITHER ADMIT YOUR DEPRAVITY AND I'LL ACCEPT YOUR APOLOGY, SHUT UP, OR ACCEPT MY CHALLENGE!!! I am not, nor have I ever been a Mason at any degree. Concerning you "trojan horse," I believe you and your confederate to be the hind parts for the good reasons I've presented in these five pages. A UFO fruitcake named William Coper started the rumor about a trojan horse after I nailed him on a fabrication concerning the JFK killing. If he is your fountainhead of knowledge, I understand why you are so misguided. Concerning a government plan to insert me "into the leadership of the white racists in America." I wouldn't soil myself!

If any real Christians would like references as to my true nature and character please contact Reverend Greg Dixon, Baptist Temple, Indianapolis, Indiana who can furnish you with many authentic ministers of the Gospel that personally know me and are willing to share their feelings with you. You may also contact Rev John Weaver, Georgia; Rev Jim Lee, Arizona; Rev Allen Peacock, Georgia; Rev Campbell, California, or his son, Rev. Campbell, Oregon.

I am your brother in Christ  
signed: Bo Gritz  
FAX 1-702-723-5357  
Phone: 1-702-723-5266

---

## CAMPBELL RESPONDS TO GRITZ

It's hard to believe that a man with three master's degrees and fluencies in Mandarin Chinese and Swahili could produce such a document as his open Letter to *American Christian*. He should have spent less time on Swahili and more on English. There are probably more ways to express oneself in English than in any other language; it is therefore never necessary to resort to scatology to make a point. Gritz' open letter is proof that the law of diminishing returns also applies to higher education.

The main purpose of my article on Gritz was to ask three questions: Did Gritz know Weaver? Who asked Gritz to go to Idaho? and, Did Weaver know that Gritz was broadcasting to the FBI via his hidden radio transmitter?

Jack McLamb, the retired Phoenix policeman who has been turning cops around the country against the New World Order, reported on the Tom Valentine radio program immediately after the siege that Gritz told him that Gritz had known Weaver. In his open letter Gritz says that Jack was wrong to say that. Is he calling Jack a liar or does he suggest that Jack had heard him incorrectly? This issue unfortunately puts Jack McLamb in the middle and I am sorry for that. He is the only one besides Gritz who can straighten it out.

As to the second question, Gritz says freely that the FBI called him in Phoenix. Why would the FBI feel comfortable in calling him and how did the FBI know he was in Phoenix?

The answer to question number three is that Gritz neglected to warn Weaver that he was acting as a bug for the FBI. Everything that Harris, Weaver and the girls said could be used against them by the government. Even Jack McLamb has said that he wished the surviving Weavers and Harris hadn't been so open in discussing the matter. But why shouldn't they have been? They trusted them!

These main issues out of the way we may now examine the rest of

the letter from beginning to end. In the heading and in paragraph 1, I am labeled a bigot and a lying coward. This sort of name-calling recurs throughout the open letter. To this I can only say, "Not Guilty." Well, okay—I am a bigot. But I'm not a lying coward. You'll be the judge. Anyway, Gritz rather brashly offers to give me everything he owns if *anything* in his open letter is not completely true and accurate. I'll bet Claudia's hair turned white when she read that. It was an impressive bit of grand-standing, you'll have to admit. I've heard of racing for pink slips but bluffing for everything you own!

In paragraph 2, Gritz suggests that I am probably wrong in many of my statements which don't concern him. For example, he says that the late W. F. Degan, USMS, was not on the Weaver property as a sniper but was rather just snooping, which the green bureaucrats call "tactical reconnaissance." Tactical recon, of course, is a preliminary step to destroying the enemy without getting destroyed in the process. But the real tactical recon had been conducted months and weeks before by feds posing as hunters, newsmen and real estate agents, in addition to the high altitude photography conducted by the U.S. Air Force. No, Degan was part of an imported hit team which was kitted out in camouflage and face paint and which carried pistols, a silenced submachine gun and M-16 assault rifles. If our guys were caught wearing that stuff and carrying that gear, what would we be called? Perhaps "sniper" is too mild a word; "hitmen" would be better. All Gritz can say about the marshals' murderous aggression is "They were wrong!" Big deal.

Gritz agrees that the government's intent is to destroy all White Power movements and he thinks that's fine inasmuch as we possess illegal weapons and conduct ourselves "like terrorists." Friends, this is why we must never allow a ward of the government such as this man to get anywhere near us, let alone to assume a position of leadership as he is trying to do. How must we view his term, "illegal weapons?" What, in the name of Patrick Henry, is an illegal weapon?" To me, a stolen weapon is an illegal weapon. To Gritz, an illegal weapon is one which only G-men can possess—after we buy it for them, of course. Does Gritz possess such illegal weapons? Hasn't he told us about training his boy scout troop with full-auto guns? G-men naturally don't want non-G-men to have guns, legal or

otherwise. It makes them uncomfortable. His crack about "terrorists" is equally revealing. Our revolutionary founders were called similar names by the British Government.

Gritz correctly supposes that I did use the information he presented (at his 12 September '92 Tustin, California speech) as a basis for my analysis. If he had not exposed himself there as an FBI operative I would never have undertaken to write the article.

In paragraph 3 Gritz denies that Special Forces is part of CIA. I had written that CIA created Special Forces to perform illegal and destabilizing acts which were beyond the scope of the regular army and that is true. Special Forces is CIA's military muscle and it is foolish for him to deny it. He does say that Weaver was in Special Forces but it has since been reported that Weaver was a regular army combat engineer attached to a SF unit and technically not a Green Beret.

The *Spotlight* reported in October, '92 that Weaver was reportedly a witness to drug crimes allegedly committed by a well-know Green Beret officer while at Ft. Bragg. We don't know who that officer may have been and Gritz seems a bit sensitive or he thinks that he is the only well-known Green Beret officer.

The report about the witness who may have seen Degan shot by his own men was just that—a report from Ruby Ridge. "Noodle Soup" is typical Gritz-talk. "Chicken noodle soup" would have been better writing; it would have dovetailed with "lying coward." Still, it's better than, say, "Tomato Bisque." The last sentence suggests that I am one of patriot America's most treacherous enemies. Time will tell whose foot fits in that particular mouth. (Paragraph 4)

I must not denigrate Gritz' securing Jerry Spence for Weaver. He after all had to do *something* to keep his reputation as the champion of our side. He also had to secure the top defense lawyer in America to persuade Weaver to surrender.

Paragraph 5: How could Gritz have been acquitted by the jury when the judge dismissed the charge? Even Bill Maddox, the U.S. attorney whom I interviewed, admitted ruefully that this was the case. Call him at his law office in Reno. Ross Perot

has not returned my call with regard to being present in the vice president's office when it was decided to send Gritz into Burma in 1986.. Wasn't George Bush the vice president from 1981 to 1989? You must admit that this is a fairly significant assertion by Gritz for it to be limited to one oddly-worded sentence in a 650 page book. Why is Gritz so coy about this alleged Bush-Perot-Gritz arrangement if his mission is to tell us what he knows about our rulers' secret dealings? It has always irritated me that Gritz has kept us on his secretive need-to-know leash with regard to his great store of knowledge. He has that inbred contempt for outsiders so common in elite military units and intelligence operations.

I have little doubt that Gritz suffered mentally for those two years while he was "yo-yo'd up and down with court dates and continuances," as he says. Many loyal government employees such as Gritz have indeed been set up, double-crossed and betrayed by their associates. When one joins up with the sharks he becomes expendable. We know that there are factions in government which kill other faction members for power and control. But they are all government factions. One faction was using Gritz and another was abusing him. Despite this he remains loyal to "USG" (U.S. Government).

Paragraph 6: I will give Mr. Brooks' number to any interested party, who may question him the way I did. Gritz misses the point of this accusation: Why didn't he give the \$20,000 back to Mr. Brooks, once his DIA mission was called off? What happened to Mr. Brooks' money? It "was accounted for in writing," says Gritz. So what? Where's the money? Did Gritz and his pals in the "Special Forces Association" just keep it? What exactly is the "Special Forces Association?" Did they declare it as income? How about full disclosure, or has it all been "classified" as Top Secret? Mr. Brooks called Gritz a "scam artist" on a New York radio show. Gritz got angry and threatened to sue but never did. How could he? I've read his book for details as he suggests but can find no mention of George Brooks or the \$20,000.

Notice that Gritz does not respond to my charge that he invited the *Washington Post* into the "training camp" and thereby sabotaged the mission. Mike Blair with the *Spotlight* remembers that ABC News was invited in as well.

Paragraph 7: How do we get a copy of Gritz' 22 page statement—call our congressmen? He denies everything, Bob Keplinger told me. Remember that Keplinger is a *friend* of Gritz and that their wives are best friends. Again, Keplinger says that Gritz revealed on television Keplinger's attempt to rescue Americans in Vietnam *while Keplinger was there*. Keplinger got home and accused Gritz, who denied everything until he was confronted with the video tape, at which point he apologized. This open letter appears to be a continuation of Gritz' pattern of denial of the truth. Keplinger is quite willing to discuss Gritz' treachery.

My qualifications to judge Gritz are the same as anyone's. My "Patriot credential's?" None, other than I hate our government which Gritz has served so assiduously and on behalf of which he brags that he "snuffed" over 400 people (p. 97..

Regarding the wearing of uniforms, I didn't say I'd never worn a uniform; I just didn't wear an American uniform. Gritz says that I couldn't measure up to the boot bottoms of his brave men and women. Ouch! That's putting me right down there in the mud with the worms.

Paragraph 8: Yes, I criticize everyone who has served our warlords starting with Lincoln. George Bush's Desert Stormzombies were just plain Murder, Inc. So were the ones who dropped bombs on Europe. Where is the patriotism or honor in obeying orders from degenerates to go overseas and kill people? Patriotism entails defending this country, not someone else's country. The uniform of the United States is the symbol of treachery and betrayal of White people and others around the world. It even stands for forced integration, at bayonet point, in our country. Honor? Please! The U.S. uniform represents an evil alliance with the Soviet Union against our eternal victim, Germany and her European allies. It stands for Jewish Power which was demonstrated in the U.S.-Soviet military kangaroo tribunal at Nürnberg. It stands for the mass graves in Panamá City and the kidnapping of a foreign leader who had been installed by CIA. It stands for a million German POWs slaughtered by starvation and exposure by the U.S. army in 1945. It stands for the Basra Road double-cross and

massacre a couple of years ago and the burying alive of Iraqis in their trenches—Iraqis who were trying to surrender. Real patriots? The U.S. armed forces? Gritz should refer to Marine General Smedley D. Butler's book, *War Is A Racket*. The general admitted he'd been nothing but an enforcer for a bunch of corporate and banking gangsters. Our armed forces have been used to suppress European nationalism. They have fought side-by-side with Soviet communists, Chinese communists, Vietnamese communists and French communists, among others. Gritz' precious Special Forces were first used by CIA to deliver surplus U.S. weapons to the forces of Ho Chi Minh in the early '60s, as described by Alan Davidson, a Green Beret who was part of that operation. He came home and toured the country to decry this subversive activity.

Gritz discredits himself in his book, his public appearances and in his open letter with his adolescent references to body parts. It has always irritated me the way that some pious Christians throw around the foul language but are so offended by a "hell" or "god-damn."

Still in paragraph 8, Gritz denies that his personnel file says he is a highly trained saboteur and espionage agent. But why? As a hardhat diver in the Gulf I worked with many ex-UDT men. The "UDT" in his file which he repeatedly reveals in his book stands for Underwater Demolition Team. UDT men are trained to blow things up. Green Berets are also trained to blow things up, as Gritz reminds us in his Bible-length book. He is not referring to knocking down old buildings in Philadelphia but rather to destroying bridges, derauling trains and making things unpleasant for the other side, whoever that may be. This is known as "sabotage."

Regarding espionage, Gritz' Officer Efficiency Report (OER) from 24 August '66 to 24 May '67 (p. 72) states that his missions in South Vietnam included "...area assessments and vast intelligence gathering activities." Look up espionage in Random House's College Dictionary and it says, "the systematic use of spies by a government to discover the military and political secrets of other nations." Good enough?

The fact sheet which Gritz puts out on himself includes the following (p. 15): "Intelligence Officer & Reconnaissance Chief, Delta Force; Principle Agent, NSC [National Security Council], Intelligence Support Activity." He explains on page 207 that this super-secret Intelligence Support Activity (ISA) was under the CIA—"The CIA would provide an umbrella to cover this newest member of the ever-growing American intelligence community." And he was its principle agent.

On the same page he says, "I met frequently with Jerry King and with liaison from the CIA, DIA and FBI." Jerry King headed ISA, which Gritz says "was destined to become a literal secret [sic] White House army. Even the initials were TOP SECRET/SPECIAL INTELLIGENCE because Congress did not know about it." This guy Gritz is really mobbed up. Do you wonder why I wrote that maybe we shouldn't trust this fellow?

Regarding his Operation Lazarus Gritz admits (p. 213) that this, too, was a CIA operation.

I must pass over for now the last four sentences of this complicated paragraph.

Paragraph 9: Gritz has the goods on me here. I knew from his 27 June 1990 appearance in Cedaredge, Colorado that he had converted to Identity from Mormon on that day. I was totally unaware that he had originally been a Pentecostal and then a Baptist and then a Central Christian and had switched to the Freemasonic Mormon cult only in 1984. Gritz says that God hates a liar, with regard to my unintentional misrepresentation of his religious upbringing. But what would Gritz' God think of his sentence, "I have never said to anyone that I joined the Identity faith..." Hmmm. This brings up the rest of the story...

Shortly after reading the original and longer version of my Gritz exposé, "Weaver & Gritz," my friend Louis Beam called. Louis had kept a

very charitable but cautious view of Gritz since in this business we need all the friends we can get and we certainly shouldn't jump to conclusions just because some guy writes an article. But Louis was irritated. "Bruce, for what it's worth, I was with Pastor [Richard] Butler the other day when James K. Warner

called from Louisiana. He said that Gritz had just made an appearance down there in Baton Rouge. Gritz had described his meeting with Pastor Butler at Ruby Creek during which Pastor Butler supposedly told Gritz that he hoped the feds would kill the whole Weaver family. Naturally James Warner was curious! When Pastor Butler heard this he said, 'I met with Gritz for about 15 minutes... I certainly didn't say that! The only thing I remember him asking me was, "Pastor Butler, what is Identity?"'

"Now, Louis," I interrupted, "You and I were in the audience at that Colorado camp in 1990 when Gritz announced to several hundred Identity Christians that he was one of them."

"That's true," agreed Louis.

The Identity Christian pastor, Pete Peters, had Gritz as the featured speaker in 1989 (p. 489). Naturally Peters wanted to be able to claim this anti-Bush celebrity as a convert at that time but Gritz had resisted. By the following year, though, Gritz made the announcement after a suspenseful build-up in which he praised the radical concept of Identity (that White people are the true Israelites of the Old Testament), finishing with the Biblical phrase, "I am with you!" This was followed by great cheering from most of those assembled. He was heartily congratulated by the elders and welcomed into the denomination. He returned to the Identity camp in 1991, again as featured speaker.

On the last page of his book, 627, in the second to last paragraph, he has this to say: "The Identity Christian movement (Those who believe America is the new Zion and we are the gathering tribes of Israel) continues to build until its membership becomes self-sustaining." He doesn't mention the Mormons. He doesn't mention the Pentecostals or the Baptists. He finishes his book with this encouraging news about the growth of his religion, Christian Identity.

Moving on, Gritz says he does "not work for the CIA or any other government activity," except when he's working for the FBI, of course. His use of the word, "activity," shows how much of that ol' USG is still in his blood.

He is the godfather of a Negro child, which I didn't know, and the real father of two Amerasian [Eurasian] children, as I wrote.

Now I've already copped to being a "bigot" (the correct word is "racist" but not the "dishonest and disgusting" part. What I always thought was kind of disgusting was his campaign promise to fight to the death for the rights of homosexuals. That crazy vow probably did him more damage than my article ever did. As far as his wager about my overseas travel, foreign languages and never having shared a moment of peril with people of differing color or religious belief, well—you better take that bet. Bet everything you own against everything he owns.

When I was putting my book together in 1988 I decided to put a picture of myself on the back, the way real writers do. Because I was somewhat critical of the other races and because I planned to be even more so in the future, I thought I might confound my detractors momentarily with that 1973 picture of me in uniform posing with two or three of my African askari. I'd been a member of the British South Africa Police. BSAP was the traditional and historic name of the Rhodesian police and we in Support Unit were occupying our time in the bush against the forces of Robert Mugabe's ZANLA terrorists, who were trained across the river by the Red Chinese. ZANLA stood for Zimbabwe African National liberation Army and its terrorist commanders were trained in Red China. I'd written in my book that I was the first American to volunteer for service in Rhodesia but I learned from reeding the late John Coey's book that he'd beaten me by several months. He'd been in the Rhodesia Light Infantry and we'd never heard of each other.

I only qualified for one little award, the Rhodesia General Service Medal, and I didn't even collect it when so ordered. You can imagine how much I wish I had it now, just as a memento.

Anyway, I put that picture on the back to make the liberals hesitate to hit me for my racist position. It sure didn't help me in this Gritz open letter, though. (He told a caller on a radio show once that he'd read my book and I have that on tape. He probably didn't look at the back of it.) Needless to say, the Africans under

my command had extremely different colors *and* religious beliefs. Their religion was what we call "Witchcraft." I was there to demonstrate my deep humiliation stemming from the alliance between America, the Soviet Union and Red China against that wonderful little country which wanted only to be a friend of the United States. This sort of desire by any country is always the kiss of death. I was fighting for the White Minority to stay in power. For what it's worth, the great majority of the Africans wanted the Whites to stay in power, too, and that's why Africans outnumbered by many times the Whites in the security forces. Support Unit, for example, had forty Whites in charge of three hundred Africans.

Paragraph 10 was covered at the opening of this response.

Paragraph 11: This was also covered earlier but there's more to it... Gritz admits that he didn't warn Weaver that he was broadcasting to the Fanatical Bunch of Idiots who were preparing to liquidate Weaver, Harris and the remaining children. Do you think Weaver or the rest would have said one word if they'd known that, after what the horrible government gunmen had done to his son, to his wife, to Harris and to him. And let's not forget what the gunmen had originally done to the family pet to start this nightmare in motion (shooting the poor thing lengthwise up the rear end as it was running away from them). Those tactical recon boys were there to kill everything that moved. That's why they were so frightened when the thing went sour—and for what they were planning to do. Talk about "sniveling." Gritz doesn't ever say the marshals were sniveling, notice. He just says that they "were wrong." Pretty limp.

"I didn't tell Randall [that he was wired], but I did steer the conversation so that the FBI learned that Weaver and his girls weren't the bigots and hate mongers they had thought." Whew, lucky for them! Can you imagine how Gritz would have steered the conversation if I'd been in that house? He'd have just steered his way out the door, hit the dirt and yelled into his mike, "Okay, boys—let 'er rip!"

So the microphone came out of his pocket when he wasn't on duty. Maybe we need to hear that from Jack McLamb as well, since we have already caught Gritz in a few less-than-candid

statements. Regarding Jack, it is now reported that he is credited with persuading the victims of ZOG to come out. It was Jack whom Weaver admired—not Gritz, who hogged the show. We must remember that he was running for president and he needed a national boost. He reported in Tustin that his Idaho performance got him a pledge of two million dollars.

Gritz says we wouldn't have known about the body wire if he hadn't told us. But then he says that he "was told by an employee that the TV station from Spokane was monitoring over a scanner." Oops! The FBI at the scene declined to comment on the subject of Gritz' body wire, so classified did the bureau want that fact to remain. Whatever was said in the cabin was being digested by the FBI-HRT snipers who had already wreaked such dreadful physical and psychological damage.

What has disturbed me and many others is the absence of moral outrage in any of Gritz' statements on the matter. He's been conducting a PR job for the government while playing the rôle of the Christian patriot. I think what we have got in the man is one cold son of a gun whose true sympathies lie on the federal side. The man is undoubtedly drawing his federal pay, either active duty pay or retirement, and that must by definition split a man's loyalties if he intends to criticize or resist the federal Government.

I guess the main difference between Gritz and me is that he is a life-long G-man and I am a revolutionary. He wants to improve the system and I want to destroy it.

Paragraph 12: My Christian friends chuckled at Gritz' calling me a Christian. It is ironic that my article would first appear in *The American Christian*. I am a simple heathen who rejects the God of the Bible as a Jewish myth. Ben Williams doesn't like but understands my views and we have agreed to disagree.

Yes, I maintain that Gritz' purpose was, besides politicking, to save face for his extended family, the federal government, and to keep the protestors under control. He doesn't deny the last part. Gritz was in Ruby Ridge, he was in Weaver's house, as a spokesman for the FBI. He didn't stand on his presidential

platform and shout angrily that these federal punks were the blood thirstiest savages in the Western Hemisphere. He didn't tell the real truth to the supporters and the world that those sadistic jackals who named their bivouac "Camp Vicki" ought to be lynched or at least indicted for crimes against humanity. No. He just said that the marshals acted wrongly. That's what I hate.

On whom did he dump his real hatred?

"Weaver himself is a punk," Gritz said. "Weaver is no hero. He doesn't deserve any medals. I don't think he was a very good Special Forces soldier. I wouldn't have wanted him in my command. He was very weak."

And, when asked to explain this disturbing, sickening glimpse of himself and his betrayal, he denied it. He lied. Again. Notice the absence of rebuttal on this subject in his open letter. Gritz is an habitual liar and typically gets very angry when he's caught at it.

Finishing this paragraph, I must say that I admire Jack's work among the cops and appreciate his *V. K. 2000* with the exception of the racial material.

Paragraph 13: Donohue's former program director investigated Gritz' campaign funding and was familiar with Donohue's radio show funding. Gritz admits his account was greater than \$160,000. His national campaign director, Jerry Gillespie, said in a letter to me that it was \$170,000. Gritz does not deny that before he made the statement about live American POWs the amount was \$1,600 and that after he made the statement it increased 100 times. Was he telling the truth or was it "a mere campaign promise?"

Paragraph 14: Gritz suggests that my assertion, which I obtained from a Florida investigator, could mean that I am the real CIA mole, since such information could only be obtained from the inside. Now this puts a new complexion on the matter. I propose that Gritz and I subject ourselves to polygraph examinations with regard to all matters in dispute.

Gritz isn't worried about an indictment and I don't wonder. He may not be completely bulletproof in this infighting between

rival factions, but as his friend Gary Goldman points out (p. 431), he is highly bullet resistant.

The real substance of this paragraph is in these next three sentences:

"One thing Campbell said that I'll agree with. He stated that I was working against White Power groups. I haven't in the past, but if Campbell is a prime example of how they conduct themselves, I'm now a good candidate."

That might be his way of saying that he's been doing it all along but we are all, now, officially on notice.

In paragraph 15 Gritz denies his habitual repetition of the phrase, "...when I put my heels to the square..." In my article I wrote that he uses that phrase and "...when I put my arm to the square..." He doesn't mention that one. I have audio tapes of Gritz saying both in his radio interviews by Anthony Hilder in Anchorage. On page 2 of the introduction to his book he uses the expression, "I raised my hand to the square." He doesn't address the matter of his Masonic handshake.

In his final paragraph he encourages "any real Christians" to get his true nature and character from a number of "authentic ministers of the Gospel" located around the country. The last refuge of a scoundrel is not patriotism but religion.

The material on Operation Trojan Horse was not in my original draft. I would not accept anything from William Cooper as I, too, consider him a UFO nut. A number of people who talked to me about Gritz mentioned Trojan Horse and I mentioned this to Ben Williams. We both decided it should be added as a logical warning based on Gritz' other inconsistencies. On this subject, Gritz did not address the matter of the cable traffic between the U.S. embassies in Guatemala City and Bangkok which identified "Jim Gritz" as an informant and operative of the U.S. government.

Really, what would be point? □

## GAS GUESSING

by  
Robert Frenz

If it weren't for the fact that Mr. George Dietz (editor of *Liberty Bell*) was "warned" (threatened) by a certain revisionist, this article would never have been written. Some revisionists, it seems, are no more interested in upholding a person's right to free expression of opinion than are the particular jews who launch law suits, bomb buildings and beat people nearly to death. I have said over and over that, relative to the Aryan cause, REVISIONISM IS IRRELEVANT. I do not attack revisionism because of its intrinsic nature, but because it is a waste of time and money as an effective tool for aiding the survival of Aryan people and Aryan ways. I have no quarrel with those who love endless historical debates but it remains a sad thought when one considers the massive amount of consumed money that has accomplished relatively little. Revisionists simply do not control the flow of information in this country and, as a consequence, their efforts will amount to little other than a parlor-game. They started off on the wrong foot and have danced on one leg ever since.

The whole holocaust nonsense started with a combination of war propaganda and the pipe dreams of a people who love searching for reasons to feel persecuted. As the story goes: The Nazis had an extermination program; they exterminated millions; these millions were sent to bagel heaven mainly by succumbing to the vapors of hydrogen cyanide via cans of Zyklon B, which was a common insecticide. There were absolutely no orders to this effect, or even close to it. Only a mental twit would believe that such a massive and inefficient operation (six million actually survived) would be carried out without written orders. At this point, people, who later became known as revisionists, fell into a possible jewish trap. Instead of demanding that the jews PROVE their ridiculous claims, they went on the defensive and became merely reactionaries. One of those claims was that people can be executed by using Zyklon. Instead of demanding that the jews prove this, donations from supporters of the ever-defensive revisionist crowd were used to finance the so-called Leuchter Report which attempted to prove that the Nazis didn't kill people with Zyklon. A fellow named Pressac bubbled forth with "refutations" of Leuchter statements. Jewish power axed Fred Leuchter and destroyed his livelihood. Revisionists, such as Paul Grubach, have "refuted" the

Pressac refutation. And so it goes, ad infinitum, all because revisionists snapped at the shiny lure and went on the defensive.

It was stated in the article, which caused George Dietz all of those temporary problems, that the LR (Leuchter Report) was not very valuable, except as tranquilizer for people who have a deep psychological need to believe that jews are liars and simultaneously want to believe the Nazis never killed any of them. A true anti-Semite (jew-hater) would rather believe that jews never lie and the Nazis really **did** exterminate millions of them. I have earlier stated that the LR is useless as a propaganda device simply because those who promote it do not have access to the channels of mass communication. Internally, the LR has many serious flaws which tend to diminish its technical acceptability. I'll peck at a few of those in a moment but first there is another matter to consider.

The LR has been called a "forensic" report, that is, admissible in a debate or court of law which adheres to some set of pre-established rules. In courts of law, evidence obtained illegally (rule breaking) is not admitted. What then, preceded the issuing of the LR? Someone went to Poland (Auschwitz) and "removed" Polish property and brought it to the United States for laboratory examination. Since the "removal" was without the consent of the Polish authorities, this act can properly be labeled "theft". Pieces of the walls at Auschwitz were literally stolen. They weren't purchased; they weren't gifts " they were STOLEN. Thus, the LR was based upon stolen evidence and I am surprised that no one has yet been charged with theft. In any event, would an honorable court allow the LR to be presented as evidence knowing that its foundation was a criminal act? One must necessarily wonder.

The LR is full of mind-boggling roa poo. (Since there are different printings of this report, in which page numbers do not correlate, I can only reference items by using quotes.) Let's look at a few roa droppings.

(1) "The mixture required for *fumigation* is 3200 parts per million (ppm)..." (turn the page) "...for *execution* purposes a concentration of 3200 ppm is used to insure rapid death." Based upon these authoritative bits of information, we may now speak of executing clothing and fumigating criminals. Also, according to the LR, execution is rapid while fumigation is lengthy and with the same HCN (hydrogen cyanide) concentration! Wow.

(2) "This is a weight/volume of some 120 to 150 grams/2 cubic feet of gas..." This refers to the 3200 ppm of HCN in air. Now, 120 to 150 grams of HCN occupies a volume of 100 to 125 liters at standard

temperature and pressure (STP). Two cubic feet is equivalent to 56.6 liters. What is this rubbish? If anyone knows, please enlighten me.

(3) "Some 100 ppm of HCN is fatal within half an hour." (on the other hand) "Rodents can be killed with  $1.2 \text{ g/m}^3$  ...from 2 to 72 hours." A concentration of 1.2 grams per cubic meter is a concentration of 1000 ppm HCN. Notice that a rat needs 10 times as much HCN, for a period of 4 times longer, in order to be exterminated. Yikes. I'll bet there are six million surviving Auschwitz rats.

(4) An American gas execution chamber "...is operated at a negative pressure..." Since there is no such thing as "negative pressure" we must assume that Mr. Leuchter is referring to pressures less than atmospheric. In any event, the pressure is maintained "...at a partial vacuum of 10 pounds per square inch..." I never knew that since I have never been executed in California. At this pressure, water boils at 193 degrees Fahrenheit and HCN at about 63 degrees. This chamber pressure is the air pressure at the top of a 2 mile high mountain. Even if HCN wasn't introduced, the poor chap would be gasping for breath.

(5) The HCN pressure is "...2 pounds per square inch..." A volume containing 100 percent HCN has a concentration of 1,000,000 ppm by volume. A partial pressure of 2 psi means a concentration of 136,000 ppm. A concentration of 3200 ppm would have a HCN partial pressure of .05 psi. One paragraph it is this, another that. Make up your mind and check your calculator batteries!

(6) An American gas execution chamber has a volume of "600 cubic feet". An execution uses "325 grams of sodium cyanide". This amount of NaCN (about 3/4 pound) produces 148 liters (179 grams) of hydrogen cyanide (HCN). The NaCN is dropped into an "18 percent solution of sulfuric acid". The acid generator produces a concentration of "3200 ppm of HCN". Since the chamber has a volume of 16990 liters, this concentration is equivalent to 66 grams (54.3 liters) of HCN. I would estimate that twice the theoretical amount of sulfuric acid is used to produce the bisulfate (no figures are given). This calculates to about 1000 grams of water in the acid crock. This means that 113 grams of the HCN remained in the pot as 6 percent prussic acid.

(7) "After fumigation, the ventilation of an area must take a minimum of 10 hours..." (again, through the looking glass) "After fumigation, the gas may be easily cleared away..." Also, try this on for size: Fumigation, with Zyklon "...takes a minimum of 24 to 48 hours..." (another mirror trick) "Zyklon acts rapidly and

drastically." Translation: If it takes 24 hours, it is rapid. I do believe that it is time for a drink.

(8) Using Zyklon, the "...area must be heated to an excess of 78.3 degrees Fahrenheit temperature..." (now you see it, now you don't) "Hydrocyanic acid absorbed in the carrying material develops without any auxiliaries, such as warmth..." Dumb, da, dumb dumb. My name is Joe Friday.

(9) One tin (can) of Zyklon contains 1500 grams of HCN. This mass of HCN gas occupies a volume of 1244 liters (STP) which is sufficient to provide (Mr. Leuchter's 3200 ppm criteria) a lethal atmosphere for 13,740 cubic feet of space. This is equivalent to 4 or 5 two-car garages (150 square meters floor area " see the Gerstein statement for a mention of a 25 square meter area).

The coefficient of diffusion for HCN into air is .488 square centimeters per second while the coefficient of diffusion into water is 3.54 square centimeters per day. Since I could find no information on the Zyklon absorbing material, there is no way to calculate how long it would take for diffusion to render a region lethal within 15 minutes. Someone slipped up on this one.

The LR report is full of foolish material which could be used as grist for a "refuter's" mill " which ain't me.

If we assume that an average "survivor" used about 4 liters of air in one minute and that an accumulation of 100 milligrams of HCN would do him in, then a concentration of 3200 ppm would suffice for an execution time of 6 minutes. This contradicts statements made in the LR itself. However, the LR contains so many *internal* contradictions that outside help isn't really necessary.

One thing can be learned. Arguments based upon papers, references, documents and dissertations are hollow arguments which have no place in the real world. Jews claimed that people can be killed with Zyklon B. They should demonstrate this before a live audience by using their favorite Arab. The revisionists should do the same, for their counter argument, by using anyone of their true believers. It could be a joint effort. Imagine: An Arab and a revisionist in the same glass box staring at Zyklon pellets scattered on the floor while the audience gapes in orgasmic anticipation. If they both drop dead, the jews will have won. If they both stay alive, the revisionists will have carried the day. The matter might then be permanently settled but think of the interesting paradox if only one drops dead. Gawd! That's food for another open debate. □

---

# RACE-MIXING: THE KEY TO WORLD PEACE?

By  
*Eric Thomson*

The promoters of race-mixing would have us believe that racial differences are the root cause of mankind's troubles. The Marxists used to tell us that the root of all evil was "the class society", which produced 'awful' things like capitalism and fascism. Of course, the promoters of Marxism and race-mixing are pretty much the same kosher crowd, who are gifted with the ability to change their coats and their tunes at the drop of a yarmulke. Now that Judeo-Communism has proved itself a miserable failure in the territories once known as the Soviet Union, the kosher commissars have invented another bogeyman to frighten and inflame the *Goyim*: "racism". To avoid 'confusion', jew spokesmen emphasize that only Whites can be 'guilty' of "racism", no one else. It is a characteristic of those who wage war by deception that they camouflage their specific target under such general terms. No one has ever accused the jews of lacking agility. When one weapon fails, they quickly seize another and press onward. Only their target remains the same and that target is you, Whitey!

## WORLD CONFLICT OVER THE AGES

The word "race" is applicable to one of the three generally accepted groups of humanoids: White, Black or Yellow. The "Brown" designation is not a race, but a composite, such as the mestizo (part Yellow and part White). The so-called "Red" man is really an Asian or Yellow offshoot. The true Indians of India show their racial mixture with their often Aryan features and exceedingly dark complexions. The so-called Semites of the Middle East are likewise mixed and are comprised of varying degrees of all three races. Jews are also of mixed race and, according to *The Genetics of the Jews*, a modern book authored by several jew hematologists, "even the blondest jews show from 5% to 10% Negro marker genes." Biological nations and tribes are subgroups of a race. It is thus incorrect to speak of a French, German or English "race". Americans are neither a race nor a nation in biological terms. An American can be any featherless biped resident on or issuing from either of the American continents. The reason for this rudimentary definition of terms is to distinguish "racial conflicts" from all other conflicts in human history. Are racial differences the primary source of human conflict?

When we examine world conflict over the ages, we see that race was rarely the issue or cause for war. In Jewish mythology, the "first human conflict" was alleged to be that of Cain killing his brother, Abel. One may assume that the brothers were of the same race, although many tales of female behavior in the Jew-book indicate a fair amount of promiscuity, so there might have been a racial difference after all! The only reason for mentioning Jewish mythology in regard to racial conflict is that the so-called Old Testament is perhaps the oldest selection of race-war tales one may find. Race is the basis of conflict when the goal is the annihilation, rather than the subjugation of the other race. The caste system of the Hindu religion, which was based on the concept of "varna" or color, was an attempt on the part of India's Aryan conquerors to prevent miscegenation. But, as Earnest Sevier Cox points out, no law can prevent race-mixing when two or more races occupy the same living space. Because the ancient Aryans chose to subjugate and not annihilate the dark race in India, there are no pure Aryans left on the subcontinent, unless they are recent arrivals. India proves that there is no profit in winning a territory and losing one's race.

History records vicious intra-racial wars in which members of the same race engaged in fratricidal slaughter. The many centuries of warfare amongst the various kings and emperors of China; the Peloponnesian Wars which destroyed Aryan Greece; the Thirty Years' War which wiped out most of White Europe; the American Civil War and World Wars I and II; the endless tribal wars of Africa, the Middle East and pre-Columbian America; in none of these do we see racial differences as the cause. On the contrary, the viciousness of these conflicts seemed to be greater when the combatants were of the same race!

Inter-racial conflicts such as the conquests of Genghis Khan; the Turkish and Moorish conquest of parts of Europe; the European colonial conquests; the U.S. conquest of Japan, etc., were often vicious in terms of fighting, but these were wars of subjugation. Hence, the conquered continued to live after being defeated militarily. When, however, the goal of the conflict is annihilation of one or more races by another and not domination, we can say that race is the basis of the conflict. The Japanese were often quite efficient in clearing areas of non-Japanese and I suspect that America would appear quite different today, had the Japanese actually had the means and the desire to attack and invade the American mainland. The Japanese and all other non-Whites are being permitted to invade and conquer North America without the noisy formalities of military confrontation, so we may see what the Japanese would have had in store for Americans after all.

Most inter-racial conflicts have not resulted in the annihilation of the defeated race, so the indication is that racial differences were not the cause of the conflicts. Throughout history, the primary cause of war appears to be the desire for personal enrichment in the form of land, labor and natural resources. Religion plays an important part in bringing about many wars, but wars on behalf of one's race appear to be quite seldom, unless one sees world Jewry as being the author of wars designed to destroy the races of mankind by getting them to fight among themselves. The Jews always know that they are engaged in race war, but I am here attempting to analyze the non-Jews' motives, and race would appear to be the lowest priority in terms of Gentile conflict.

The Jews, who are all of mixed racial makeup, but who resemble their host peoples upon whom they prey, authored the two bloody upheavals known as the "French" and the "Russian" Revolutions. The slaughter of "the best of the *Goyim*" in each case was perpetrated by the worst of the *Goyim* at the urging of their Jew 'dementors'. The Judeo-Bolshevik coup and civil war, followed by the bloody Judeo-Communist regime killed millions upon millions of non-Jews, but aside from demonstrating the Jews' omnipresent bloodlust, these so-called revolutions were really internal wars of subjugation, in accordance with the talmudic 'promise' that the "Messiah" would provide each Jew with 2400 *Goy* slaves. The mistreatment of Palestinian Semites by the non-Semitic Ashkenazim also demonstrates the Jews' penchant for enslavement of the host population. In this case, host and parasite are both race-mixed, but neither seems very peaceful!

The "Indian Wars" of North America were race wars whenever the objective of either Whites or Indians was to kill or to displace the racial enemy. The fact that so many Indians survive today and that their numbers are rapidly increasing disproves the frequent allegations that the Whites' intention was "always" to annihilate the Indians. So much the worse for the Whites!

The Spanish and Portuguese conquests of so-called Latin America were indeed race wars, in which both races, "conquerors and conquered", were destroyed by a consciously-adopted policy of race-mixing, similar to the later behavior of the French in North America. What sort of 'social harmony' did race-mixing produce in Latin America? Ever since the Spaniards and the Portuguese were driven out of these territories, *mestizo* has fought *mestizo*. The 'Conquistadores' will continue to fight the 'Indios' even when there is no discernible difference between one or the other!

The first openly-proclaimed racial annihilation program in

recent times was in the form of the Jews' Morgenthau Plan for the total extermination of the German people of Europe. Although this plan was only partially carried out by the Jews' American and Soviet stooges, it was nevertheless a conflict based upon racial differences. The Jews' clearly-stated goal was the total annihilation, rather than the subjugation, of the German people.

Subjugation and/or enslavement of members of one race by another are often used to 'prove' that racial differences are a source of conflict and injustice. What the promoters of race-mixing studiously overlook is the fact that any race which enslaves members of other races begins by enslaving its own. The White slaves of the New World were as much slaves as the Blacks who were brought to work beside them. Slavery has long existed in all parts of the world and it persists in many parts today, especially in Asia, Africa and the Middle East. No race has been exempt from enslaving or being enslaved, usually by members of the same race! Slavery is most certainly not the outcome of racial differences, therefore no amount of race-mixing will eradicate this practice.

Race war is defined as the displacement of one race by another from its living space and/or the annihilation of one race by another. The most recent example of race war is the annihilation of all races by miscegenation. Military confrontations are unnecessary for war to exist, as Sun Tzu and Clausewitz would warn us. Even if we refuse to fight, we are nonetheless in a state of war, a war directed toward one goal: our extinction as a race.

#### INTER-RACIAL MARRIAGE: A CONSTANT SOURCE OF FRICTION

The Jewsmidia have recently proclaimed that the number of inter-racial marriages between Whites and non-Whites has increased appreciably in the U.S.A. Also mentioned is the noticeable increase in mixed-race offspring resulting from inter-racial promiscuity. Anyone can see the chaotic and darkening face of America by visiting the local shopping mall, preferably during hours when a White person is relatively safe from assault by the marauding *non-Whites* who lurk in such places. Remember, White Americans: your taxes pay for the spread of this racial plague, so don't point your finger at others. You are also responsible! The Zionist Occupation Government is your enemy, but you go right on feeding it. The Z.O.G. gives you taxation without representation, and it gives the non-Whites representation without taxation. How long will you play by Z.O.G. rules of "heads they win and tails we lose"?

Marriage and family life are difficult for most decent, honest, hard-working people in this declining century of the Pox Judaica. Even kosher sociologists warn that additional difficulties arise when man and wife are of different religions, especially when they take their religions seriously. Friction is compounded when the couple is of the same race, but of different cultural backgrounds, such as English and French. Add this friction and multiply with compound interest and you will have some idea of the discord facing a marriage when the difference is racial!

One ostensible "White" man complained to a fellow worker that his wife had become extremely difficult to get along with. When he came home, he would find her drunk and abusive, to the extent that she would throw bottles at him. "What do you expect when you marry an Indian?" commented the unsympathetic fellow-worker. "How did you know she was an Indian?" exclaimed the 'embottled' husband, "You've never even seen her picture!" "Simple," said the White man who related this to me, "it's typical Indian behavior." Because of racial differences, the Amerasiatics mis-named "Indians" have a disastrous affinity for 'firewater', which seems to bring out their suppressed aggression. Anyone nearby, including a fellow Indian, becomes a target for violence. Moreover, the American Indian is taught to carry a chip on his shoulder. According to kosher authority, the White man is 'guilty' for everything that ails the Indian, even the hangover on the morning after! Other Whites or almost Whites complain of the recurring "Indian War" syndrome, whenever their squaws feel thwarted. Even more pathetic are reports from White women who have fallen for the "noble savage" twaddle and who bear the scars of their ill-conceived marriages to Indian males. Unfortunately, the offspring of such marriages are double-damned to relive the "Indian Wars" within themselves until death releases them from the torment.

White females who 'marry' Black men are usually left holding the mulatto result of their encounter, with the Black 'husband' nowhere to be found. White women who marry Asians are also in for a rough ride. Asian men tend to be quite selfish and spoiled in their heterosexual relationships, as compared to White men. Asians are usually very race-conscious and it is unlikely that the white wife and her Eurasian offspring would be well received by the Asian male's family. Mixed-race persons are even attacked on the streets in Asian countries.

White males rarely marry Black females, although there are

visibly more such couples than previously. Usually, White males prefer Asian females because of their smaller build, shorter stature and their traditionally submissive nature, which make the typical White male wimp feel 'macho'. This is a sad, but true commentary on the state of the White man in Judeo-America.

To a great extent, kosher-inculcated feelings of White guilt produce the hoped-for lack of White self-esteem and self-respect which foster this present plague of race-mixing. No one who respects himself or herself would lack respect for his or her own race. Why would we not wish to look, think and feel like our ancestors? Where self-respect exists, there should be no inter-racial marriage. Observe the poor posture of the White male or female when you see them with their non-White spouses and their gaggle of golliwogs. See how they slouch, slump and shuffle along, like tired and beaten pack animals who bear their "White Man's Burden"!

Mixed race parents are not really to be considered here, but in passing I note from frequent observation that mestizo and mulatto parents' offspring follow the Mendelian pattern: some look 'in between', while others resemble the original racial stock of Black, White or Yellow. Because all humanoids are members, not necessarily in good standing, of the animal kingdom, it is conceivable that geneticists may one day UNMIX these confused and sorry-looking critters, just as domestic livestock were CREATED by selective breeding and are maintained in the same way. Where there is life, there is hope. Human devolution can be reversed, once we finally grow disgusted with chaos, provided we are not destroyed by it first. In any case, if we don't do the job, Nature will, although She is quite indifferent toward the survival of civilization and humanoids on Her planet. Man's sentimentality makes him cruel because he suffers the nonviable to exist. Nature is kind because she kills degenerate lifeforms swiftly. In brief, Nature is Nazi. Jew religion and philosophy to the contrary, man can only learn to serve Nature. He never can nor should attempt to be Her 'master'. Our dams hold water and our cows give milk because we have learned something of Natural Law. The White man is one of Nature's best students, but he must learn to use his knowledge wisely.

Until the White man learns his 'Biology I' lesson, his civilizations will continue to self-destruct, leaving the Asians to maintain some of our inventions, like gunpowder, bronze, paper, compasses, etc., until some White explorer finds them again. In

the 1940s the Chinese received two types of American steam locomotive which they have faithfully copied ever since. When 1000 years have passed and America is not even an expression on the maps, assuming maps will exist, it is likely that the Chinese will still be making such locomotives. Along will come an uncouth, uncivilized White man and he will return to his fellows with the amazing report that the Chinese have invented the steam engine! The White man creates and forgets; the Yellow man copies and remembers.

As I said to one American Indian of the 'Chip-on-the-Shoulder-Tribe', "Don't fret about the White man. He is doing himself in. All you have to do is avoid making the same mistakes yourself and this continent will again be yours." "But you are White," said the 'chief', "how can you say that?" "Just because it's true," I replied. As a White man, I say to you, my fellow Whites, that my prediction will come true if we persist in our self-destructive ways. How hard we work to dig our own graves! It boggles the minds of other races and mixtures thereof, just as it impresses me, for I am long past the point of being depressed by our racial folly. As Germans say: "Nicht ärgern, nur wundern!" ("Don't fret, just wonder!")

I wonder at the fact that my fellow Whites have so little love for their children and the race of which they are a part. By their actions and lack thereof, White people show their selfish indifference to the future, which is bound to respond in kind. An entire generation of aging Whites expects to be supported by an increasingly non-White population. Don't bank on that, Whitey!

All most Whites really seem to care about is "getting and spending", yet, the trinkets and toys which most of their earnings go to purchase do not seem to satisfy them either. What they are attempting to buy is happiness, it would appear, but the things which cost them their lives in work prove to be symbols without substance. Thus it is that most Whites live lives of "quiet desperation", which Thoreau noted even in early 19th century America. "America is the distilled essence of Judaism," wrote the German economist, Werner Sombart.

Fearing to jump off the Judeo-American treadmill to oblivion, in order to stop and think, these White fools strive to distract themselves from reality by entertainment fantasies and increasingly futile activities. What relief they must feel when the Grim Reaper lets them

know that they can finally drop dead! Anything to avoid facing reality and the all-too-painful task of THINKING. The jews call us "goyim", i.e. cattle in human form, and all too many of us oblige by existing on the jews' terms. We consume, excrete and copulate when we are not being fleeced or slaughtered. Our minds are focused only on the present, with no past in our memories and no thoughts of the future. We are similar to the beautiful, thoughtless Eloi of H.G. Wells' *Time Machine* who were periodically 'harvested' and eaten by the jew-like Morlocks. Is this the existence my race has chosen for itself? It would appear so.

Our decline as a race cannot be stopped until we truly wish to live as White people. We must learn to desire a White Future for ourselves and our children even more than we presently desire that 'big-ticket' item in the display window of the jew-store. Otherwise, we shall continue to be punished for being derelict in our racial duties and for abandoning our great heritage. We will continue to see ourselves degenerate into a bunch of albino niggers. How much happier we would be in a society OF US, BY US AND FOR US! This is really what we are missing and the sooner we realize this, the sooner we can begin curing all of that which ails us.

#### EXAMPLES OF RACE-MIXED PEOPLE AND SOCIETIES DISPROVE THE PROPOSITION THAT RACE-MIXING PRODUCES PEACE

For those who claim that race-mixing will produce peace and harmony to accompany America's "Jew World Order", I say that we should look before we leap. Let us look at the jew, individually and collectively, for he is the example of race-mixing par excellence. Is any jew at peace with himself or with any other jew? Take a look at Israel! Then we can look at Latin America, the Middle East and the Balkans, all places where race-mixing predominates. Is this the 'peace' we would wish to see imposed on the whole world? Race-mixed India sends forth swamis who preach that they represent a people, a culture and a religion which are paragons of peace, even while the people of India are killing one another in riots over religious and ethnic differences. If you believe that race-mixing is 'the key to world peace', then there is a bridge in Brooklyn which I would like to sell you, at a price just 'right' for you! □

Dear George:

After your publication of the exposé of Lt. Col "Bo" Gritz, I would have thought he might tone it down a bit, the obvious animus he holds for the Aryanist Movement. In Lawrence Patterson's *Criminal Politics* magazine for January, 1993, Gritz goes out of his way to mock Pastor Dick Butler, calling him among other pleasantries, a "moth-eaten radical." Now Gritz condemns white racism, suborns the Hoaxacost, gives his funny handshakes and is "on the square" as the craftsmen say. We must forgive his trespasses against us because we are told endlessly of all the sacrifices he made while in uniform, the uniform of the Zionist camarilla in Washington.

But in getting on Pastor Butler the way he did, he showed himself to be our opponent, not the opponent he claims to be of the ADL and the Zionist lobby. Gritz plays his games with the spooks in the CIA, which means that he dances with the Mossad as well. Saying that Dick Butler is just a useful idiot the ADL uses to raise money is the same lie the Rabbit Belch Society used against Lincoln Rockwell when they said that Rockwell was raising the ire of the Communists against Rabbit Belch and Company. Gritz and Belch, which Gritz pretends to criticize for its handling or his mishandling of the Ruby Ridge / Weaver slaughter, facts which *Liberty Bell* brought to us, lead the charge of those boobs who still think that Washington represents something fine and noble when in the "right hands."

Well, as I said in a letter today to Lawrence Patterson, I would trust Dick Butler before "Bo" Gritz. Gritz wanted Randy Weaver to pick up that motorized telephone at Ruby Ridge, the death machine the tricky Feds put up there to shoot the poor bastard, Old Paul Harvey wanted Weaver to get the surprise of his life as well. Pastor Butler does not work for the Feds. Lt. Col. "Bo" Gritz did and still does.

It is just as the late Pastor Bob Miles said to me so often: "Sinn Fein, Don; it's just us, no one but us."

Sincerely,

Maj. D.V. Clerkin, Euro-American Alliance  
Box 21776, Milwaukee WI 53221

✠ ✠ ✠

Dear Mr. Dietz:

I want to briefly comment on some remarks made by Mr. Robert Frenz in his *FAEM* and reproduced in the November and December issues of *Liberty Bell*.

Summarily put, 1) he told of having attended an ill-ordered meeting, 2) noticed with disgust that some were ecstatic at not being trod upon by a Jew for once, 3) saw that said Jew was quite obviously one, 4) stated that John Ball's book is no good, that he, Robert Frenz, is aware of better air photography interpretation tech-

## LETTERS to the EDITOR

niques, 5) said that Jews are not to be trusted, as one ought to remember about the Kol Nidre, and that, 6) revisionism has not prevented the worsening of the Jewish domination, complete with the progressive instauration of its holohoax as a state religion for the *goyim*.

To which I answer that, 1) having attended the same meeting he did a few feet away from him, I share his judgment on it as enemy harassment is no justification for bungling what is under our own control through letting it degenerate into a very thinly veiled rivalry for the audience's attention between a "prima donna" who indeed talked only of his own self's enemy persecution and omitted the topic he was slated to treat while his "challenger" lost himself in details instead of offering an overview of his work with a few examples... 2) I concur with some indulgence for some kindly natures showing relief upon being proffered help from a putative enemy. Besides, having read Klein, Friedman, Kaufmann and Domergue as well as heard Burg, I know they stood their ground, on our side; 3) indeed, which has some propaganda value the same kind of public that Bradley Smith and David McCalden have excelled at reaching even though I dislike, to put it mildly, that part of that public be drawn to the horror called "rock" (among other four-letter words such as Left, Mass, Marx and Bush, and I was forgetting jazz) along side with jeans, miscegenation, the whole idea of seeking to go nigger for relaxation, that whole array of gifts from sheenydom: It is merely a matter of selecting what suits one and ignoring the rest when one reckons said rest is not too immediately dangerous. Perhaps in a spirit of mischief, I will even add that I met David Cole and liked him, putting resolutely between parentheses some of his obvious tastes, a response I also have regarding many of my Aryan comrades, as I do like some who are rock-loving, Lutheran, Lefebriest, "true Jews" or would-be such, Ludendorffer, while delighting in reading Dr. Oliver and always pleased to read Robert Frenz even when disagreeing; 4) I am not competent as to photo-interpretation but learned from Mr. Ball's book something convincing (in fact, several), of use against the holohoax, a book that exists. Has any alumnus of that Ft. Monmouth school Mr. Frenz writes about ever published anything on any of Mr. Ball's topics? Why the animus? A matter of Mr. Ball's inept performance during the meeting in question? But the book is something else. 5) Nothing and nobody are to be trusted unconditionally, with faith always pending further information, a wariness that not precludes affection even, at least for any like me who rejected certain beliefs (although I'm afraid I'd burst out laughing if I were labeled a "freethinker:" Stinks decidedly too much of Grand-Orient and all that). Of course, David Lane's asserted passionate atheism may be a Kol Nidre-covered lie, etc., although giving the scribes precedence over IHWH (yet another four-letter one) smacks to me of atheism just as well and makes me see talmudism as an atheism: He reminds me of one Paul Krassner, another passionate atheist, etc., who quickly, very quickly (in the late fifties) learned to cease laughing at rabbi, etc., however. Lane goes much further and would have rendered us sig-

nificant services even were he now to change his mind. Just have a look at his video with the of course since dismissed Director of the Auschwitz Museum and see to what admissions the sight of a questioning zucchetto wearer can lead someone who owes his very living to the holohoax. This said, passionate debunking atheism is not the prerogative of Jews: See Dr. Faurisson... And I have been there myself as well and so have you, Robert Frenz, at least as far as the enjoyment of debunking is concerned, for which I thank you. 6) Quite apart from its intrinsic interest, revisionism's point for us is to free our people from the guilt superstition disarming them. I would not mind in the least seeing our peoples arming themselves anew in response to further invasion and debasement. But what if we are losing? I don't think we are but, were it so, challenging enemy big lies is surely part and parcel of the struggle it behooves us to wage to the very end, no matter what.

I conclude in begging for Mr. Dietz's forgiveness: such a long paragraph... And I am sending Mr. Frenz a copy of this letter's part pertaining to his remarks you reproduced.

In a spirit of amity for all concerned,

Miss J.D., Canada

\*\*\*

PBS  
1320 Braddock Place  
Alexandria VA 22314

31 December 1992

Dear PBS:

"The Restless Conscience" is an hypocritical attempt to portray the German resistance against Hitler as heroes. While there was great risk in opposing National Socialism, the motives for doing so were sullied by the ideologies that drove them on. Bonhoeffer preferred Jesus Christ to Adolf Hitler, as if he could have had a government of God on earth. St. Augustine might have agreed with Dietrich Bonhoeffer, but in the real world we usually get either a dictatorship of the Right or the Left.

The German Army could not have been expected to rebel against even the Hitler Oath. That which Hitler replaced in the Weimar Republic was merely an interregnum between the Monarchy and Communism. Every officer knew that Versailles meant the destruction of Germany's ability to defend itself. "The Restless Conscience" seemed to expect the Army to act like Bolsheviks, to oppose Hitler in the name of internationalism. Today the German Bundeswehr sits by whilst Kohl, Weizsaecker, and Genscher destroy Germany via asylum policies that Hitler would have died before permitting. And your piece asks why the Army supported Hitler?

Today in America there is a rightward opposition to the internationalist policies of Washington. This opposition is defined in FBI documents as being "terrorist." So it would seem that PBS sees the world with internationalist lenses, and nationalism and race, but good to support the mongrelization of peoples and the end of national sovereignties.

I would prefer Hitler to the cabal of sneaks in Washington. With Hitler one knew where the nation was headed. With Hitler the Volk came first.

Sincerely, Maj. D.V. Clerkin  
Euro-American Alliance

\*\*\*

Dear Mr. Dietz:

Enclosed my subscription renewal... Many thanks for providing a fine publication which outlines the perils of the fast diminishing Aryan race. If the White race does become extinct, no blame can be attached to you and the contributors to *Liberty Bell*.

Best wishes,  
T.H., Australia

\*\*\*

Dear Mr. Dietz,

I have been reading with much pleasure and benefit your *Liberty Bell* during the past 10 or 12 years and have retained every copy. Am writing now because in the issue of January 1993 you have printed in the Letters to the Editor (pp. 50-52) the letter from S.R., New York State, part of which, on page 52, the writer states, "Beware the Flu vaccination campaign. Everyone who has been vaccinated now tests positive for AIDS."

What a lie that is! What a misleading and scary statement! How does S.R. know that "everyone who has been vaccinated..." His statement is patently false and is highly alarming. I myself immediately discounted that rubbish but a friend of mine—who is a devoted reader and admirer of *Liberty Bell*—phoned me from Richmond to say that she, having been recently vaccinated for flu [*as I and the missus have been.* —Ed], became greatly worried when she read the above-quoted sentence. I tried to allay her fears and I thought at the time that such a palpable misstatement could well bring consternation to any number of other readers.

For the sake of maintaining the reputation of *Liberty Bell*, please consider the following:

—Secure probative evidence from S.R. of the validity of his statement; [*How about it, S.R., are you listening?! — Were you trying to scare all of us old fogies who received the flu shot into the doctor's office for an AIDS test, or were you thinking of the Swine Flu vaccination program of years back which produced a few fatalities? —Ed.*]

—If this is not furnished, please print a retraction or correction.

You have, in *Liberty Bell*, achieved an extremely high credibility, but the inclusion of such a statement does great damage to you and to *Liberty Bell*.

S.R. seems to appear with great frequency and he writes as though he knows it all. What kind of a fellow is he? Why is he accorded so much space, and so frequently? Why does he butter you up by addressing you as "Dear Landsmann"? [*Well, he is White, a Viet Nam veteran, a "Landsmann" of mine and a long-time subscriber to the Bell and does not "butter me up"*]

*Liberty Bell* / April 1993 — 37

when he addresses me as "Landsmann." He is also one of the very few readers who seems to "know it all," but obviously failed to jolt any other readers of the "Letters to the Editor" column, who do or should know better, into action and refute what Landsmann has been saying in the past. I do want to thank you, Mr. E., for taking the time to write! —Ed.]

I continue to admire your publication and especially the contributions of Mr. Oliver. The above is submitted in the kindest vein [as, it is accepted here! —Ed].

P.S.: You do have the proprietary right to edit incoming letters.

Sincerely  
E.H.E., Virginia

\*\*\*

Greetings, Landsmann,

A few comments about the last elections:

Bloody Bushey has delivered superbly for our rulers in New York City so that they would have every reason to want to re-elect him. However he is known to have serious and incurable health problems. Therefore it is likely that the reason that they had him lose was because they could not be confident that he would last out another term.

As for Perot, he has been hand in glove with the Jews all the way, the same as Bush and the rest. Evidently, it was perceived, and later demonstrated in the election returns, that a significant proportion of the electorate are getting wised up to the Republicrat political party monopoly, and might even elect a real patriotic American political party and presidential candidate if there were to be one. Therefore they are using their old henchman, Perot, to start a new, diversive, political party to head off a real patriotic American, Nationalist-Populist party should one actually be started. It was soon seen that far more people than they ever expected were joining the Perot ballot access effort with such great enthusiasm, and pushing it so fast and effectively, that he might actually win the election, contrary to their intention to elect Clinton. That may be why they had to have him drop out. Then they had him come back in again in order to keep their fake party scheme going, but too late for him to win the election. Now, he is continuing to create their diversionary "United We Stand" party according to the basic plan and purpose.

One of the tragedies of our time is that since the murder of "Hughie" Long about 1937, we haven't had a patriotic political party that got anywhere, no way to go. Willis Carto of *The Spotlight* started his first Populist Party in 1984, but let a man into it without checking up on him at all, and it was learned too late that he was a career subversive operative who brought about the demise of that effort by the end of 1985.

Then in 1987 Carto tried it all over again, but again hired a man named Don Wassall as office manager without investigating him either, and he turned out to be a party wrecker who succeeded in driving all the real patriots out of the party and making it entirely his own personal fiefdom. It is unclear whether his motiva-

tion has been personal opportunism and profit, or whether he too is some kind of subversive double agent. If the latter, that might explain the relationship between him and Col. Gritz who ran as the presidential candidate on Wassall's Populist Party ticket last year.

Ancient Patriot  
New York State

\*\*\*

Dear Mr. Dietz,

These are not very "best of times" and I am certain shall soon become "worst of times." We do like your publications and await each issue. However, in the October or November issue one of your writers seemed to take delight in casting doubt on so many people or publications that usually write about truth or freedom of speech. There are so few of us and so many of "them" we should not tear apart our friends and allies.

We shall enclose a contribution to help.

Sincerely,  
Mr. & Mrs. S.W.K., Oregon

\*\*\*

Dear George:

I appreciate Liberty Bell very much. You are to be commended for your willingness to struggle on with its publication month after month. You will find a small gift enclosed to assist you.

Your February, 1993 edition had some space "filler" you could have left without. I am speaking of the article titled "Pcolet of the Gapes" by some chap who signs himself FAEM & lists his address as Box 433, Buffalo, NY. Since he makes a big deal out of warning us not to contribute to any of the TV evangelists, nor to the Institute for Historical Review either, I gather he is a man who doesn't believe in responding to any appeal for money from anyone. You'd better watch it, George, he'll include you with those mythical characters at the IHR who, he claims, are getting wealthy from all the donations rolling their way. I have been reading the Bulletin of the IHR for a couple of years now and am not aware of any appeal for money from them ala the televangelists. I know that you, George, seek and welcome financial help with LB. Are you different to FAEM?

That FAEM exercise, George, was the most disjointed, goofy, incoherent melange of poorly-written verbiage I have seen in any magazine...much less *Liberty Bell*. If you printed this article because, contrary to his advice, he sent you a sizeable contribution for *Liberty Bell*, then...please! put in a little editor's note under his name stating that you appreciate so much his generous donation. That way, we can all smile, wink and nod along with you. Certainly I have nothing in common with FAEM and no admiration whatsoever for his reasoning ability.

Dr. Oliver mentioned Dr. J. Harvey Saunders, late of Westminster College, Fulton, Missouri, in his article. D.. Saunders has the correct attitude.

*Liberty Bell* / April 1993 — 39

Why not invite him to write an article for *Liberty Bell*? Professor William Shockley should be invited to write for LB also. These men could use pseudonyms to protect them from the yowls and scratches of the rabid felines of media and campus. We need to offer a safe forum for the inner voices of certain college faculty members. Do you have someone to explore that idea?

Your for Caucasian solidarity,  
E.H.H., Arkansas

Following is a reply by that "chap who signs himself FAEM":

Dear Mr. H...

Thank you for your honest approach. I was taught that we shouldn't say anything behind anyone's back that we wouldn't say to their face. However, you have misled yourself concerning a few assumptions.

I don't sign myself "FAEM" or anything, or anyone else. FAEM is an acronym for the *First Amendment Exercise Machine*.

Mr. Dietz, in my opinion, prints nothing based upon any sort of "bribe." George is one of the few publishers who TRULY believes in, and exercises, his right to freedom of the press. This is not true of the bunch at the IHR. The "cop-out" during that Mermelstein fracas was a disaster for the entire cause of which you imagine you support. Anglo-Saxon law makes massive use of precedent and the "judicial notice" of the Holocaust, during Carto's run for cover, was used AGAINST Ernst Zündel during his legal persecution in Canada and will continue to be used in EVERY trial of this type from Canada to the UK—FROM NOW ON—thanks to this character who values HIS hide and HIS money above all else. Carto is not a "mythical" character and his residence supports the fact that he is not scraping together pennies, as does Mr. Dietz, Tom Metzger and others. Moreover, the grapevine has it that slick Willie (not the AR draft-dodger) loves to blow the whistle on any right-wing character who cuts in on his book-selling business.

Do you really believe that the erudite Bill Shockley would have guts enough to write for *Liberty Bell*? I'll wager a big bill that he'd run for cover at the suggestion. Is there something strange about the air in the Kansas of the Ark that leaves people in a stupor?

If you are interested in pleasant parlor talk, I would suggest the Wilmot Robertson (a phoney name) Association of Effete Snobs (*Instauration*) and Decadent Dilettantes, which, the boys in the back room call exercises in "pseudo-scholarship with pedantic pretensions."

Adolf Hitler and Lincoln Rockwell said it all in regard to the limp-wristed diploma crowd who have their heads so far up their rears they don't realize they are standing in manure. One thing remains to the credit of any Communist take-over—they shoot all of the lawyers and intellectuals during the first week. These people are an absolute hindrance to the establishment of anything and their mealy-mouthed orifice out-pourings have NEVER solved

anything.

The jewvangelists have created themselves in the image of Zionist lackeys and propagandists. They are money-grubbers of the first order and deceivers of all orders. Buy a ticket to heaven, if you must, but remember that the train will never come in.

It is obvious that I don't like college types. This is from my personal experience with them since I have earned 3 degrees and several certificates of merit and proficiency in areas such as automotive technics and electronic instrument calibration. I was schooled at the University of Chicago, operated 2 successful businesses and won several trophies in drag-racing, photography and ice-skating (when I was much younger). The list goes on and on, but since your authoritative opinion leaves me on the short end, I must wonder where I went wrong. Was it because I took 6 regents math courses and 3 regents science courses, in high school, and won the Rensselaer Math-Science award for a 100 percent exam average? Or was it because I have 78 hours of under-graduate, and graduate, mathematics courses to my credit? Jesus knows.

My reasoning ability is horrid because I was subject to areas of knowledge and experience far beyond what was considered "normal", and I therefore became abnormal.

One learns about cows by yanking on teats and shoveling manure—not by attending Cornell.

And now for the finale—you are now privy to my real, real, honest-to-goodness, just as Grandpa spelled it, birth certificate, Nazi-sounding, social security name.

Keep the faith—  
ROBERT FRENZ

✻ ✻ ✻

Dear Mr. Dietz,

The February 1993 issue of the *Liberty Bell* had a critique on Revisionism by FAEM which was very interesting and informative. The discussion on Chemistry was an education for me and I am sure that it will impact the Revisionists' position. I believe, however, that the Revisionists' argument remains essentially correct and Revisionism is an important exercise that is attracting loads of information that can only contribute to historical accuracy in regards to the Holocaust and World War II. It is clear that Revisionism has pried massive concessions out of the Holocaust Lobby so it is important to support the effort financially. The fact is, politics takes money and Revisionists have a right to make a living.

There are other errors in the Leuchter Reports that should be corrected. I have spent 30 years as Combustion Engineer for a leading industrial burner company so I am familiar with flammable gas handling equipment, explosive environments and furnace explosions. Natural gas (Methane) is the most com-

mon fuel and has some similar characteristics to HCN gas in that it is lighter than air and has the same autoignition temperature of 1000°F. The limits of flammability is larger for HCN which are, by % volume in air, from 5.6 to 40 percent. Methane ranges from 5 to 15 percent. The National Electric Code treats both gases nearly the same for Hazardous Locations. The code would rate a Homicidal Gas Chamber as a Class 1, Division 1 Location "in which ignitable concentrations of such gases or vapors may exist frequently because of repair or maintenance operations or because of leakage." All arc producing electrical devices such as a light switch or relays must be in an explosion proof housing.

The Leuchter Reports do over-emphasize the explosive dangers. In his second report he considered explosive mixtures in the area of steam pipes as dangerous. Steam piping in hazardous locations is common. Saturated steam temperature at, for example, 130 psig, is less than 400°F, far below the 1000° ignition temperature. Steam is often used to douse flames or fires.

The report is also concerned over gas migrating down the floor drain. Unless the drain for some reason pulls a suction, the HCN gases would rise and never enter the drain. It must be remembered that industrial engineers always design for the unforeseen or worst case. A prudent engineer would have provided a drain cover as well as a totally sealed chamber with explosion proof equipment.

The Dupont safety instructions for fumigating a building does not include any warning as to a possible explosion. At a minimum you would think that pilot lights must be turned off along with all power entering the building. The omission is puzzling.

The arrangement of the alleged gas chamber is such that an explosive mixture would be present directly below the roof vents where the Zyklon B pellets were introduced. There were no mechanisms to disperse the pellets horizontally so you can expect a plume of HCN gas rising slowly to the ceiling before spreading to the rest of the room. Certainly in the vicinity of the roof vents there would be sufficient concentrations of HCN to combine with any iron in the floor or ceiling.

There is also disagreement as to how much HCN was introduced into the chambers. Anything we say about this is pure conjecture. But it also is reasonable to assume that the chamber was overcharged considering it was probably some young grunt that was assigned the task. He isn't going to worry about lethal doses of lice versus humans or chamber volume less victim volume. They would pour in more than the required amount plus some for good measure.

In the boiler industry explosions are not uncommon. Many do not cause any damage and are referred to as "Puffs." If the folks start running out of the boiler room it is a large "Puff." When there is a permanent bulge in the furnace wall then you have had an explosion. The most you can expect in the alleged gas chambers with the correct HCN concentration is a "Puff." For a real explosion you need to introduce over twenty times the normal amount of

Zyklon B, which is unlikely but not impossible.

FAEM takes issue with the Leuchter Report regarding the boiling point of HCN being 78°. What FAEM states makes sense. However, an engineer is not going to worry about ambient temperatures or the victims body heat. His design is going to work in freezing temperature to 100°F. To ensure the evaporation of the HCN most engineers would provide a heater.

Getting off the subject slightly, I'd like to comment on the holocaust propaganda that referred to "smoke and flames belching from the stacks at Auschwitz." Smoke can be ash, water condensation or unburned hydro carbons. If there are flames then the smoke is unburned fuel and there is only one conclusion: the boiler just blew up. Unburned hydro carbons to the point that they are visible is a very dangerous situation and a predicament that is not easy to solve. That is, since you are above the flammability limits you cannot turn off the fuel since you drop into the flammability limits and an explosion (a biggie) is certain. Flames out of a furnace stack is bunk.

The Revisionists do have a problem in disproving something that was never there. I personally never believed the story of the gas chambers. (I was 14 years old in 1945) because the whole idea (along with the Diesel Vans) was preposterous. There would need to be a reason for such contorted schemes. It is complicated, expensive and uses up important resources. It is a Hollywood script supported by Hollywood science. A bad "B" movie.

There is a lot of babble about the holocaust but then, that is life. We are forever bobbing along on a sea of bullshit. The best you can do is absorb as much information as possible and then try to make it all fit.

The Revisionist has been physically attacked, fined, and imprisoned. There are easier ways to make a living. I am not as distrustful of their characters although they bear watching. In every movement there are charlatans.

Fred Leuchter is a designer of execution chambers and as such I must defer to his opinion just as I would respect and believe (without contrary information) anything FAEM says regarding chemistry.

David Cole is a surprise. He produced an excellent video tape on Auschwitz. I had a short conversation with him at the last IHR conference and he struck me as straight. You never know and my judgment in Russian character has often been wrong. I have been swindled several times and always by a church-going, sunday-school-teaching, praying Christian [and I can shake hands with you on this one! —Ed.]. I have reserved the title of one of these "as the most putrid individual" I have ever met. So far I have never been cheated by a Jew, although I know of Jewish swindles but have avoided them.

As for Pressac and H. Auerbach of the Institute of Contemporary History, they are Zionist lap dogs happy to grovel before the Holocaust Icon. They have no choice but to attack the Revisionists or they would be out of a job—who is going to believe them?

Yours truly,  
R.T., California

*Liberty Bell / April 1993 — 43*

Paul Grubach  
1228 Haverston Road  
Lyndhurst, OH 44124  
March 4, 1993

Mr. George Dietz  
THE LIBERTY BELL  
P.O. Box 21  
Reedy, WV 25270

Dingbat Dietz:

The February 1993 LIBERTY BELL contains an ignorant, pseudo-scientific attack upon THE LEUCHTER REPORT and myself. The article is unsigned. Usually, intellectual cowards create unsigned articles—they don't have the courage to publicly identify with their ideas. LIBERTY BELL likes to identify with the Aryan virtues of strength, courage and integrity. Yet, the editor—Dingbat Dietz—publishes unsigned attacks, a very cowardly practice indeed.

In a future issue of Jack Wikoff's REMARKS, I will refute the article point by point, and expose the author as an ignorant fool. It will also shown that the author actually vindicated much of THE LEUCHTER REPORT.

In order to demonstrate how faulty the author's viewpoints really are, consider these simple examples. On ages 36-37, he claims that I confused the terms "weak acid" and "dilute acid." This is patently false. An acid can be both "weak" and "dilute" simultaneously. When hydrogen cyanide (HCN) dissolves in water it becomes a weak acid. If this HCN/water mixture contains a substantial amount of water relative to the amount of HCN, it is correct to say the hydrocyanic acid is dilute. If HCN would have come into contact with the diffuse moisture in the alleged "Hitler gas chambers," some of it would have readily dissolved. This mixture could then be classified as a weak acid and a dilute acid. This was verified by a retired research chemist who is an expert on hydrogen cyanide.

On page 40, he writes: "...it takes very much higher concentrations of HCN to kill fleas than it does people. Here again we have another reason for one chamber having bluer walls than another. Higher concentrations are needed to kill fleas, bed bugs and lice than would be necessary for human beings or Bushbunnies. So there. My blue is bluer than your blue." In other words, baloney brain is saying the concentration of HCN used in a delousing chamber was greater than that used in a homicidal "gas chamber," and this is why there is more Prussian Blue in the delousing chambers than on the "gas chambers." This is contradicted by the Exterminationists themselves. Pressac claim that 12 g/m<sup>3</sup> (gram per cubic meter) was the concentration of the HCN allegedly used in a homicidal gassing, and 5 g/m<sup>3</sup> was used in a delousing. (See pages 36-37 of TRUTH PREVAILS.)

So, according to Baloney Brain's proposition, the amount of Prussian

Blue is proportional to amount of HCN used. Thus, there should be more Prussian Blue in the "gas chambers" than in the delousing chambers—if the "gas chambers" were actually used to mass gas people. But just the opposite is the case; the delousing chambers have a far higher Prussian Blue content than the "gas chambers." The ignoramus inadvertently vindicated THE LEUCHTER REPORT.

On page 37, more hot air emerges from his big mouth: "Water remains as a gas, when evaporated, and never condenses until the 'dew point' is reached and then, only a fraction of it." Apparently he never saw the distillation of water. (See enclosure.) By heating water in a flask, the liquid is converted to vapor. The vapor is then condensed to liquid in a cooled condenser. This makes use of a simple fact of nature—addition of heat to a liquid speeds up evaporation, and cooling a vapor favors condensation. This has nothing to do with the "dew point."

Finally, his views on the behavior of HCN in a gas chamber are either misleading or outrightly false. In this context, consider this statement: "The boiling point of hydrogen cyanide is 78 degrees Fahrenheit...the poo-plah about this or that because HCN would condense below this temperature occurs in most of the revisionist twaddle in an attempt to 'prove' that 'gassing' was impossible without heat and a full moon (p. 37)." At the Mississippi State Penitentiary, there is a gas chamber for the execution of prisoners. It was built in the 1950s by the Eton Metal Corporation. The chamber was designed to be heated before an execution to 80°F, above the boiling point of HCN. The intake air used to expel the gas from the chamber after the execution is also heat to 80°F. Both measures are taken to prevent the HCN from condensing on gas chamber surfaces. The engineers who designed the chamber made use of this simple fact of nature (which escaped the anonymous dingbat's attention): "addition of heat to a liquid speeds up the rate of evaporation, and cooling a vapor favors condensation."

Exterminationists say the HCN was rapidly evaporated by heating it with human body heat. They then claim the "gas chambers" were ventilated with cool air from the outside. The cool air from the outside environment would have caused much—but not all—of the HCN to condense.

Mr. Dietz—you are hereby forewarned. You have every right to contest my ideas or theories. You have no right to spread any lies about me. If you publish any false information about me, I may take legal action against you. LIBERTY BELL has a lot of enemies—even among the political right. It would not be hard at all to find a lawyer to handle an expensive lawsuit against you.

Sincerely,  
signed: Paul Grubach.

Copies to: Jack Wickoff; Dr. Charles Weber; Pastor Otten; Charles Provan; Fritz Berg; Bradley Smith; Mark Weber; Mike Hoffman; Fred Leuchter; Robert Faurisson; Hans Schmidt; Ernst Zündel; Lou Rollins.

Thank you, Dingbat Grubach!

Since I failed my Chemistry exams in school, I'll have "baloney brain" give you an appropriate reply (See below). As far as "you are hereby forewarned" is concerned, I won't stoop to your level at all! Be my guest; but do me a favor, if you should ever call me again, don't address me as "Comrade" Dietz, I should need that like you need another hole in your head!

Very sincerely,  
George P. Dietz  
8 March 1993]

☆☆☆

Jack Wikoff-Editor  
REMARKS  
P.O. Box 234  
Aurora, NY 13026-0234  
Ph: (315) 364-8407  
March 8, 1993

George Dietz  
The Liberty Bell  
PO Box 21  
Reedy, WV 25270

Dear Mr. Dietz.

Paul Grubach recently sent me a copy of a letter from him to you dated March 4, 1993. In that letter he calls you an insulting name and threatens possible legal action.

In his letter Mr. Grubach states that an article by him will appear in *REMARKS* refuting the article "HI HO, HI HO, A-REVISIONING WE WILL GO" from the Feb. 93 *Liberty Bell*.

**This is not correct. I have no intention of publishing such an article and Mr. Grubach has no legitimate reason to mention my name in any dispute he may have with you.**

I am writing to inform you that I have absolutely nothing to do with this matter. In a separate envelope I am sending a copy of issue #13 of *REMARKS*.

All the best.  
Sincerely  
Jack Wikoff

☆☆☆

Paul Grubach  
1228 Haverston Road  
Lyndhurst, OH 44124  
March 10, 1993

Mr. George Dietz

LIBERTY BELL  
P.O. Box 21  
Reedy, WV 25270

Dear Mr. Dietz:

Jack Wickoff's letter to you of 8 March 1993 contains false information. He wrote: "...Mr. Grubach has no legitimate reason to mention my name in any dispute he may have with you."

Mr. Wikoff originally agreed to publish my rebuttal to the article in question—and I have documentary proof of this [*I am glad you have, but who the hell cares?! —Ed.*].

Mr. Dietz, my statements in my letter to you of 4 March 1993 still stand. *LIBERTY BELL* attempts to identify with the virtues of strength, courage and integrity. Yet, you—the editor—publish unsigned attacks on a fellow Revisionist. In my opinion, this is cowardly and foolish. And once again, you have every right to publicly contest my ideas, but you have no right to publish any false information about me. If you ever do, I may take legal action [*Go ahead—make my day! —Ed.*].

Sincerely,  
signed: Paul Grubach

☆☆☆

#### A CHALLENGE TO AN INTELLECTUAL COWARD

Paul Grubach  
1228 Haverston Road  
Lyndhurst, OH 44124  
March 4, 1993

Mr. Robert Frens/Edward Lee  
Box 433  
Buffalo NY 14223

Dear Mr. Frens/Lee:

In the February 1993 *LIBERTY BELL*, an attack upon myself and *THE LEUCHTER REPORT* was published. In my opinion the anonymous author is an intellectual coward because he did not even have the courage to publicly identify with his ideas.

Do you know who this coward is? If you do, please inform him of the following. I will refute his attacks in an article for Jack Wikoff's *REMARKS*. I openly challenge this coward to step forward and publicly identify himself as the author of the article. (Real name, not some phoney pen name.) Finally, if this person ever publishes any false information about me, I may take legal action.

Sincerely,  
signed: Paul Grubach

9 March 1993

Dear Paul,

Thank you for the kind thoughts and "challenge" (to whom it may concern). I am somewhat perplexed as to what you consider to be an "attack" insofar as the *Liberty Bell* article was concerned. As I read the article, I noted that it contained nothing other than a capsule view of the testimony given by revisionist Dr. Lindsey at one of the Zündel trials. In my view, if you are liberal enough to allow me one, Dr. Lindsey was an embarrassment and a liability to Mr. Zündel, as far as the trial was concerned. If he were alive, you might, in typical jew-fashion, threaten him with a law suit also.

In regard to your referring to Mr. Dietz as "Dingbat Dietz," I take notice that all of you revisionist types seem to act like jews—through and through. Mr. Dietz is one of the *very few* publishers who really BELIEVES in our First Amendment. He has more backbone than the entire pack, put together, of lace-drawered wimps who cry, moan and want to sue anyone whose opinion differs from theirs. As far as I know, revisionists will print nothing that disagrees with their particular notions. I would suggest that instead of worrying about hydrogen cyanide gas, you might try inhaling some nitrous oxide gas (laughing gas) in an attempt to elevate your depression somewhat and stop running home to tell mommy every time someone sticks their tongue, in what you perceive, as your direction. In addition, you might try enlightening yourself as to the distinction between a value judgement, a factual statement and a statement of fact.

As far as pseudonyms are concerned, I was told that "Paul Grubach" was the pen-name of Guru Paulbach. Moreover, I am not sure if the person you are looking for is an "intellectual coward" or just a cowardly intellectual. Since I am not an intellectual, I'll refer your letter to someone who might be.

If the Leuchter Report is your new bible, then it might possibly be to your benefit to have it blessed by a rabbi, before snuggling into bed with it. It is just a pack of paper which convinces only those who wish to be convinced. I am positive that, in a courtroom setting, no judge would allow me to open a six-pack of Zyklon B, even though I proved that the ambient temperature was only 72 degrees. If the judge were that simple-minded, I am sure that the jury would seek the first exit at the suggestion. In all fairness to Fritzie, I would also have a diesel engine running in the back of the court room. Diesel notions are passe and irrelevant.

Hang loose! David Cole called me, and wrote in a letter, that he had it on good authority that "certain LA people" knew I was a faggot and I might have AIDS. Golly gee. Do you think I should sue him? Wonnerful Amelica—lots and lots of sue-age.

Hydrogen cyanide is an acid (as are all acids) by virtue of its structure. Water has nothing to do with it. Your statement: "When hydrogen cyanide (HCN) dissolves in water it becomes a weak acid" is false. HCN didn't "become" anything. It was an acid all along. Oleic acid does not "become" a weak acid when it dissolves in water simply because it is insoluble in water,

as are a host of other organic acids. It is hard for me to believe that you ever passed high school chemistry.

All of your revisionist crowd acts as if there existed, somewhere, an etiquette school for that purpose, since your behavior is predictable and uniform. Was it the Revisionist University at Toonerville? You all seem to have graduated from the same RUT.

Revisionism is irrelevant! Every revisionist I have met has been, by virtue of his behavior, an enemy of the Aryan cause. Revisionism feebly attacks the state religion and the sooner they are buried for heresy, the less encumbered will the real fighter for American liberty be.

Pray and send money. I am always happy when I find people, like yourself, who support the right to express contrary opinion.

Best regards—  
Bob Frenz

### AN OPEN LETTER TO ALL AFRICAN AMERICANS

I am a European American who fully supports welfare and massive gifts to the victims of white racism. It is the least we can do to correct the wrongs inflicted upon your people by the racist institution known as slavery. We, the white Americans, owe a debt for all of those cotton balls which were picked in the heat of the sun. Without cotton shirts and underwear, it would have been impossible for this continent to have been settled. Again, thank you for all of those toted barges and lifted bales.

Today, I am troubled. I see hordes of Mexicans lining up to collect benefits and cash welfare checks that rightly BELONG TO YOU. The maternity wards of the hospitals are jammed with Mexicans taking up YOUR BED SPACE. Its an outrage. Therefore, I hope that all of you deserving Blacks will take the appropriate action to eliminate the Mexican blood-sucker who is DEPRIVING you of what is YOURS.

I wish you God speed.  
Adolf—88

(Offered as a public service by Bob Frenzelheimer)

☆☆☆

PO BOX 433  
BUFFALO, NY, 14223  
11 March 1993

Dear Paul,

Today, with a little more time on my hands, I had an opportunity to read more slowly your emotional letter to Mr. Dietz. I am somewhat perplexed as to why you would want to refute, "point-by-point", an article written by one who, in your superior judgement, is an "ignorant fool", a "baloney brain" and an "ignoramus". Isn't that a case of lowering yourself to his level? Anyway, the author is known to me and I must reveal that he has gone into hiding for

fear of his life. Whether correctly or not, Mr. X assumes that you are emotionally disturbed and that your threats are not to be taken lightly. If you ever regain your composure, I'll be happy to coax Mr. X to come forward. After all, he has no principles and can easily be bribed.

In regard to your "point-by-point" refutation, I am not sure what you mean by "point". Are these only the statements with which you happen to disagree? Or does it include all of the statements made by Mr. X? If the later is the case, then I am afraid that you have an impossible task before you. In order to help a bit, I am enclosing a piece of paper (revisionists love paper) listing concentrations of hydrogen cyanide vapor vs temperatures below the "distillation" point. Also, perhaps you could ask yourself why a substance such as d-13-(2-cyclopentenyl) tridecanoic acid is called an acid when it produces no hydrogen ions in solution **simply because it is not soluble in water!** I have several grams of tri-hydroxyl stearic acid (also insoluble) in my laboratory. I'll gladly send you some (as well as potassium cyanide for the bathroom experiment mentioned later) and you can enjoy a hands-on experience with a **wax!** Education is an on-going thing.

Let's assume that all of Mr. X's statements are patently false. Do you feel that you are the only one who recognizes this? Or are the readers of *REMARKS* so simple-minded they cannot figure things out for themselves? If so, then why would these simple folk be able to comprehend what you might possibly say? Perhaps the readers believe you to be Christ and therefore they obligingly accept your every word, unconditionally.

In regard to your "warning" (threat!) to Mr. Dietz about publishing false statements about you, what if these false statements were complimentary? Would you object? Suppose Mr. Dietz printed false factual statements which included (1) You have an IQ of 187, (2) You are rated as the sexiest man alive and (3) You own Israel. Would statements such as these cause you to have hissy-fits? Suppose embarrassing true statements were made about you, would your action remain consistent? Or are you only interested in adulation? You place Mr. Dietz, and others, in a very uphill position. The best approach would be where no one mentioned your name, ever. That wouldn't do, however, since your ego demands some sort of public notice. Therefore, if anyone is to publish things about you, they must be aware of the truth so that falsehoods could be studiously avoided. It is therefore necessary for you to supply a list of truths about yourself. Perhaps it might include things such as your height, weight, birth date, scholastic record, date of circumcision (if any), homosexual tendencies, criminal record, missing teeth, body scars, blood type, history of venereal disease, how long you can hold your breath, drinking habits, episodes of woman-beating, child molestations, etc. A list of this sort would be extremely valuable to anyone who wanted to mention your name in passing without causing your brain to flip into sue-gear. It's the right thing to do.

Your view of Mr. X is not shared by others. He was awarded the Rensselaer Polytechnic Math-Science Award when he was 14 years old. He completed 6 regents math courses in high school with a 100 on each exam. This was continued during his college days with 100s in analytical geometry, differential equations and number theory. Also, in high school, he finished 3 regents level science courses, again with a 100 percent average. A former chemistry professor of his, Dr. Harold Urey (Nobel Prize 1936 - heavy water) never called him an ignoramus. After completing a 3 hour math exam in 23 minutes, Dr. McLouth never called him "baloney brain". Upon the submission of an amazing, and ingenious, 192 byte computer program for computing  $\pi$  to 20,000 places in record time, Dr. Zachariassen never called him an "ignorant fool". But then again, what do those jerks at the University of Chicago know? I could go on and on, but it would only demonstrate that the world is full of fools who think that Mr. X is definitely not a fool. But, that is the beauty of "revisionism" "it makes gods out of its adherents and allows them to sit in judgement and declare "truth".

Arguments, debates and refutations never accomplished anything. They are only vocabulary exercises. It is one thing to bellow that you are an expert in apple growing, and have a degree to that effect, and quite another to actually prove it by growing an apple. I suggest that you place your cyanide where your nose is. Carry a pail of battery acid, or muriatic acid, into your bathroom and lock the door. Then, toss a fistful of potassium cyanide into the bucket and breathe away. If you make sure that the room is cold, then you will have nothing to worry about since the HCN will be condensing all over the walls. ¿No es verdad? If you are also a believer in the *diesel-exhaust-gas-is-harmless* notion (version 2: carbon dioxide = fresh air), then I have another experiment I'd like you to perform.

Revisionists are a hilarious lot, especially when they are fleecing the flock. Suppose Abe Goldswanz was an "eye-witness" to an event which involved two Nazis stuffing a hose into the rectum of a "survivor"; filling him up with helium and then using the floating body for target practice. Upon receiving this information, revisionists would immediately launch an investigation which might result in "proving" that a body cannot hold enough helium to enable it to float in air. This would necessarily be based upon "references" as revisionists have an aversion to actually **trying** anything. In addition, they probably would have "discovered" "documents" which show the Nazis didn't have helium in the first place. Whoopee. Revisionists are merely reactionaries.

Anyone who dabbles in the concentration camp gossip stories cannot help but notice the structural similarity to Rube Goldberg inventions. Rube, as you know, devised 'round-about and lengthy operations in order to achieve an otherwise simple objective. This is lost upon revisionists who, for some reason, waste their time trying to "prove" (convince others!) that Mr. Goldberg's apparatus could not have done what it was supposed to do. I lost

several, and close, childhood friends during WW II and am familiar with the simple ways in which fighting men dispose of each other and innocents. Dick Wilkes simply ran over surrendering German soldiers with his tank. Gene Elmore used Japanese prisoners as target practice. The Soviets executed tens of thousands with pistols. It is so unlikely that the Germans would imitate Rube Goldberg, in order to dispatch a few six-pointers, that I'm surprised when I hear about people actually believing they did. Jews simply took the Goldberg route for their tale telling "it's in their blood. Believe it or not. That's what it is all about anyway" belief.

Many, many people assume that tossing their life savings into some revisionist cause will secure the future of the Western world. This is pure delusion as the scattered carcasses of Faurisson, Leuchter, Zündel, etc. all demonstrate. Holocaust shrines and theme parks are still being erected on schedule. Unless revisionists secure the political power necessary to control the media and mass education, revisionism will forever be a parlor game which wastes the resources of decent people and fattens the cats who promote it. An honest revisionist would give them their money back and go find virtuous employment, for a change. One must never forget, that revisionism is only a **historical debate over events long past**, and hence useless, since no one learned from it and few people care anyway. Revisionism is irrelevant.

Yours in Christ,  
Bob Frenz

cc:

Bradley Smith  
Egbert Souze, PhD  
Eric Thomson  
Ernst Zündel  
Winston Smith  
Otis Guelpe, LLD  
Sneed Hearn, SPCA  
Figley E. Whitesides, MS  
Hyman Gervertz, ADL  
Mahatma Kane Jeeves  
George Dietz  
Jack Wikoff  
Charles Provan  
Lou Rollins  
Sue Rollins  
Bart Meddler, BS, SB

Solomon He Chu  
Shawn Tiffany  
Otis Cribblecobis, PhD  
Dr. Larson E. Whipnade  
Juan Ferromañós, BTF  
Lyle Kurasaki, FBI  
Major Rubin Berg, USA, Ret.  
Minor Robin Burg, USN, Ret.  
Hillary Redman Closetdyke  
Mr. Bater  
Mrs. Bater  
Miss Bater  
Master Bater  
Clyde Bonney  
Bonnie N. Clyde  
John Dillinger, LSMFT

\*\*\*

Dear Sir:

Re: Vol. 20 #6 Feb. issue  
FAEM's Planet of the Gapes

As a long time "fan" and reader of the "Bell" I was absolutely flab-

bergasted to read the asinine article captioned above. So entirely diametrical opposed to the long time policy of the "Bell." Who is the horses neck that is known as FAEM? If he had an ounce of intelligence he would know that the so called "Holohoax" was physical impossibility—forget any moral issues. He just might research the world almanacs or the Jewish Encyclopedia (the numbers differ only by some 2000) and learn that if Hitler had *all* the Jews available there would be only 4.5 million. He could further learn from the present German government that—with a gun to their head—we forced Germany to compensate the Jews who were mistreated or their kin run through the gas ovens and he would learn that 4,650,000 plus have been compensated. Now just where in the hxxl did they come from? The proof that the "Holohoax" is without doubt one of the greatest, if not the greatest, lie ever told in human history. He just might find he owes an apology to the revisionists and everyone else who read his nonsense.

I am not "mad" at Liberty Bell—far from it, but why that above asinine FAEM drivel?

Yours,  
H.N.H., Arkansas

*[Thank you for writing, Mr. H. —To answer your question, what with all those Letters to the Editor coming in after almost 20 years, stuffed with pats on my back, praise, and dollar bills, the Letters to the Editor pages were growing duller and duller by the month. I finally seem to have found the right formula to get the Letters section out of the doldrums by putting a little bit of controversy—in the form of "asinine FAEM drivel" etc.—on the front burner giving "baloney head" (see above) the chance to voice his 'crazy' ideas, and giving you the chance to tell him where to go with his 'crazy' ideas. Isn't that what Freedom of Speech is all about? Meanwhile, readers, disgruntled or not, as the old lush, Dino Martin, would say, keep those cards and letters coming! Thanks again, Mr. H., for writing. —Ed.]*

\*\*\*

Dear George:

I have just read *Liberty Bell* for January 1993. I think that J.B. Campbell has the right stuff/guts in himself to be the new leader for you folks over there. I especially liked what he wrote on the upper half of page 30. That insight (yeah, (Erkenntnis) *Sündenbekenntnis der amerikanischen Nation*) is just what I have missed in other prospective leaders: Duke, Metzger et al. (Sorry for W.M., Texas, page 53) writing eulogies for Schönhuber. Schönhuber is a renegade married to a Jewess and therefore NOT the guy to "bring about a resurrection of the German soul" (in spite

of his otherwise correct viewpoints).

I enclose copy of a letter to *The Spotlight*. Please print it in *Liberty Bell*; I do not think that *Spotlight* will dare to print it. It is useful for our cause to discredit trash like Carrington who, by the way, is a *Kike* (which I did not mention in my letter).

Yours nationalsocialistically,  
O.M., Norway

To the Editor  
*The Spotlight*  
300 Independence Ave SE  
Washington DC 20003 USA

Osteras, 18 February 1993

Dear Editor:

We here in Norway have read about the grotesque sex crimes in the U.S. (*The Spotlight*, June 1st, 1992). It seems that you have got the perverts firmly entrenched in that infamous "Establishment" of yours. Yet, also on the international scene the perverts are popping up. I just heard a story told by a Norwegian luxus call girl who had worked as a so-called "escort girl" in London. Now she was seeking psychiatric treatment here in Oslo (the Capital of Norway) because of her dire experiences. —The worst customer she has had, she told, was no one less than Lord Carrington (of Bilderberger fame!) who demanded very special services. Well, special? It was just the infamous routine of S/M, whippings, leather-style and all. The last heard of as for Carrington was his pro-Serb peace-mission in former Yugoslavia, where he did what he could in order to delay a reasonable solution. Perhaps he grew "hot" by what he did experience there.

O.M., Norway

\*\*\*

Dear George,

Enclosed is my renewal for another great year of the *Liberty Bell*. I've also enclosed a duplicate amount as a donation which you may use where you see fit (perhaps a subscription to one of our white brothers currently a guest in one of ZOG's institutions?), you be the judge, George, as you know the needs better than I do.

I enjoyed my all too brief visit with you last October; hopefully, time will permit me another visit sometime.

On a serious note, I've been getting mailings from Harold Covington of his *Resistance* newsletter which has been making some very serious charges against a great man in this movement, your neighbor practically in West Virginia, Dr. William L. Pierce.

The basic gist of Covington's attacks against Dr. Pierce and the National Alliance is that Dr. Pierce hired one Will White Williams who was formerly with Ben Klassen's Church of the Creator in Otto, N.C. and that Klassen is

really a Jew who has homosexually raped young white men in his Movement and that Will Williams knew this and did nothing. It's even been suggested that Will Williams has somehow "taken over" the Alliance and pushed Dr. Pierce into the background (or worse). This is total B.S. I visited the National Alliance offices in Hillsboro the same day I visited you; I met both Will Williams and Dr. Pierce and nothing was at all out of the ordinary or strange about the goings on there; they even invited me to stay for dinner! I bring all this up because I know in the past you have published articles by Harold Covington which it is certainly your right to do. I would ask you, then, George, to decline anything of Covington's that contains these vicious attacks on Dr. Pierce and the National Alliance. Why Covington is doing this, I don't know...

For White Victory  
M.R., New Jersey

[Dear M.R.: Thanks a lot for your subscription renewal, and also for the extra funds you sent which will be used to continue the sub for Tyler Turner who is presently enjoying plush accommodations in a ZOG hotel in Texas.

Regarding the writings coming from Dixie Press, Box 37001, Raleigh NC 27627-7001, well, Harold Covington is the writer / publisher / distributor of the *Resistance* newsletter with which he exercises his right to freedom of speech and voices his opinions on controversial issues. Since I do not have the time, personnel, or other resources to prove or disprove anything that comes my way from Dixie Press, I refrain from voicing any opinion in *Liberty Bell*, and leave the investigating and the proving or disproving to you and anyone else who so desires.

I know Dr. Pierce, Ben Klassen, and also Harold Covington personally. I know OF Will Williams, whom you might ask to refrain from writing me silly letters, as he did a few years ago when still employed at the world headquarters of the Church of the Creator, Otto, NC., threatening to put me out of business if I didn't desist from publishing any of that horned & tailed Devil Covington's material, and as he did quite recently from his new home in West Virginia.

At any rate, thanks, M.R., for writing and expressing your opinions. —  
Ed.]

\*\*\*

Dear George:

Just want you to know at least the Western U.S. is being saturated with Campbell's article, "Weaver and Gritz," in recent *Liberty Bell*. This includes *Criminal Politics*—Patterson will "talk" to Gritz again (for whatever good that will do). Fortunately they are familiar with *Liberty Bell*—and John Campbell. Great!

Enclosed is a donation to use as you see fit: Give'em Hell!

Sincerely,  
Mrs. E.S., Oregon

\*\*\*

Dear Mr. Dietz — Größ Gott!

I would like to thank you for the complimentary copy of the *Liberty Bell*. The timing was uncanny as I received it the day after I was placed in the hole for violation of "Rule #4—Escape, attempted." It seems a friend and I got lost in a snowstorm on the way to recreation—don't ask us how we missed that first fence... Needless to say, I thoroughly enjoyed the issue I received while confined to "strip cell." Standing around in nothing but my boxer shorts dramatically increased its entertainment value. While there is no way I could possibly afford to subscribe to your most enjoyable publication, I do thank you for your consideration in sending me this copy.

Yours in the fight for all that's White & Right.  
Christ, Race, Faith — Blood, Soil, Honor.

David Tate W/155209  
Rte 2, Box 2222 (2C-24), Mineral Point MO 63660

### **WHICH WAY, WESTERN MAN? SURVIVAL MANUAL FOR THE WHITE RACE**

William Gayley Simpson has spent a lifetime of keen observation, careful analysis, and deep reflection developing the principal thesis of his book: that the single, unifying purpose of all human activity should be the ennobling of man. In support of this thesis he looks at the foundations of Western Society, at the structure of our government, at the effect of technology and industrialization on man, at the roles of the sexes, at economics, and at race. The book goes to the roots of the problems facing the White Race today, and it shows the ways in which White society must be changed if the race is to survive. *Which Way Western Man?* is an encyclopedic work whose conclusions can be ignored by no one with a sense of responsibility to the future. For your copy of *Which Way Western Man?* send \$17.50 including postage and handling for the softback edition (Order No. 22003) to:

**LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS**  
Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA.

### **KEEP THE LIBERTY BELL RINGING!**

Please remember: *Our* Fight is *Your* fight! Donate whatever you can spare on a regular—monthly or quarterly—basis. Whether it is \$2., \$5., \$20., or \$100. or more, rest assured it is needed here and will be used in our common struggle. If you are a businessman, postage stamps in any denomination are a legitimate business expense—and we need and use many of these here every month—and will be gratefully accepted as donations.

Your donations will help us spread the *Message of Liberty and White Survival* throughout the land, by making available additional copies of our printed material to fellow Whites who do not yet know what is in store for them.

Order our pamphlets, booklets, and, most importantly, our reprints of revealing articles which are ideally suited for mass distribution at reasonable cost. Order extra copies of *Liberty Bell* for distribution to your circle of friends, neighbors, and relatives, urging them to subscribe to our unique publication. Our bulk prices are shown on the inside front cover of every issue of *Liberty Bell*.

Pass along your copy of *Liberty Bell*, and copies of reprints you obtained from us, to friends and acquaintances who may be on our "wave length," and urge them to contact us for more of the same.

Carry on the fight to free our White people from the shackles of alien domination, even if you can only join our ranks in spirit. You can provide for this by bequest. The following are suggested forms of bequests which you may include in your Last Will and Testament:

1. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the sum of \$ . . . . . for general purposes.

2. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the following described property . . . . . for general purposes.

**DO YOUR PART TODAY—HELP FREE OUR WHITE  
RACE FROM ALIEN DOMINATION!**