



ABOUT THE AUTHOR: Dr. Revilo Pendleton Oliver, Professor of the Classics at the University of Illinois for 32 years, is a scholar of international distinction who has written articles in four languages for the most prestigious academic publications in the United States and Europe.

During World War II, Dr. Oliver was Director of Research in a highly secret agency of the War Department, and was cited for outstanding service to his country.

One of the very few academicians who has been outspoken in his opposition to the progressive defacement of our civilization, Dr. Oliver has long insisted that the fate of his countrymen hangs on their willingness to subordinate their doctrinal differences to the tough but idealistic solidarity which is the prerequisite of a Majority resurgence.

SOME QUOTABLE QUOTES FROM AMERICA'S DECLINE:

On the 18th Amendment (Prohibition): "Very few Americans were sufficiently sane to perceive that they had repudiated the American conception of government and had replaced it with the legal principle of the 'dictatorship of the proletariat,' which was the theoretical justification of the Jews' revolution in Russia."

On Race: "We must further understand that all races naturally regard themselves as superior to all others. We think Congoids unintelligent, but they feel only contempt for a race so stupid or craven that it fawns on them, gives them votes, lavishly subsidizes them with its own earnings, and even oppresses its own people to curry their favor. We are a race as are the others. If we attribute to Ourselves a superiority, intellectual, moral, or other, in terms of our own standards, we are simply indulging in a tautology. The only objective criterion of superiority, among human races as among all other species, is biological: the strong survive, the weak perish. The superior race of mankind today is the one that will emerge victorious—whether by its technology or its fecundity—from the proximate struggle for life on an over-crowded planet."

AMERICA'S DECLINE

Order No. 1007-\$8.50
plus \$1.50 for postage and handling.

376 pp., pb.
ORDER FROM:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

Liberty Bell

ISSN: 0145 - 7667

SINGLE COPY \$4.00

The Twentieth Century: An Historical Aberration

By
John Tyndall
page 1

ALSO IN THIS ISSUE:

From *Sunday Times*, London, 18 February 1990
Jews Fear Return of Pogroms in Russia, p. 18

Major D.V. Clerkin
Aryan Socialism, page 21.

Ernst Zündel
Health and Aids, page 25

From *Sunday Times*, South Africa, 21 Jan. 1990
The Big Aids Deception, page 31

Professor Revilo P. Oliver:

POSTSCRIPTS:

When Abortion is a Crime, page 34
Building Your Future, page, 37
Our Jewdicial System, page 46
Révision, page 52

VOL. 17 - NO. 10

JUNE 1990

Voice Of Thinking Americans

LIBERTY BELL

The magazine for *Thinking Americans*, has been published monthly since September 1973 by Liberty Bell Publications, George P. Dietz, Editor. Editorial office: P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA—Phone: 304-927-4486.

Manuscripts conforming to our editorial policy are always welcome, however, they cannot be returned unless accompanied by stamped, self-addressed envelope. Manuscripts accepted for publication become the property of Liberty Bell Publications.

©Copyright 1988

by Liberty Bell Publications.

Permission granted to quote in whole or part any article except those subject to author's Copyright. Proper source credit and address should be given.

ANNUAL SUBSCRIPTION RATES:

SAMPLE COPY	\$ 4.00
THIRD CLASS-BULK RATE USA only	\$35.00
FIRST CLASS-USA	\$45.00
FIRST CLASS-all other countries	\$50.00
AIR MAIL - Europe, South America	\$60.00
Middle East, Far East, So. Africa	\$65.00

BULK COPIES FOR DISTRIBUTION:

10 COPIES	\$ 22.00
50 COPIES	\$ 90.00
100 COPIES	\$150.00
500 COPIES	\$600.00
1000 COPIES	\$900.00

FREEDOM OF SPEECH—FREEDOM OF THOUGHT FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION

The editor/publisher of *Liberty Bell* does not necessarily agree with each and every article in this magazine, nor does he subscribe to all conclusions arrived at by various writers; however, he does endeavour to permit the exposure of ideas suppressed by the controlled news media of this country.

It is, therefore, in the best tradition of America and of free men everywhere that *Liberty Bell* strives to give free reign to ideas, for ultimately it is ideas which rule the world and determine both the content and structure of our Western culture.

We believe that we can and will change our society for the better. We declare our long-held view that no institution or government created by men, for men, is inviolable, incorruptible, and not subject to evolution, change, or replacement by the will of the people.

To this we dedicate our lives and our work. No effort will be spared and no idea will be allowed to go unexpressed if we think it will benefit the *Thinking People*, not only of America, but the entire world.

George P. Dietz, Editor & Publisher

The Twentieth Century: An Historical Aberration

With the modern certainties collapsing everywhere,
says John Tyndall, we may be returning
to a world which went into
suspension in 1918.

*The twentieth century—my God,
how I hate the twentieth century!*

Gen. George S. Patton

HISTORIANS are fond of telling us that the 19th century began, in real historical terms, in 1815 and ended in 1918 (some say 1914). There is much truth in this, assuming that we associate centuries with particular historical epoches rather than regarding them merely as dull statistics from a calendar. The final salvos of Waterloo put an end to a long-lasting status-quo, namely French domination of Europe, while they signaled the emergence of Britain as one of the world's great powers, no longer threatened in her imperial domains by any serious European rival. Eleven years earlier, in 1804, the first performance of Beethoven's Eroica symphony marked a crucial milestone in the arrival of romanticism in music, paralleled by similar developments in the visual and literary arts which were to dominate our cultural life in the hundred or so years that followed. In human morals and social attitudes, the licentiousness of the 18th century was giving way to an era of stern religious discipline—to which we later gave the name 'Victorian'. These trends did not begin at exactly the same time—indeed it is impossible to state any time which marked a neat boundary line between the old and the new. But it is broadly correct to say that the 19th century was a phase in history with a distinct identity in all the aforementioned departments.

When we went to war in 1914 Foreign Minister Earl Grey made his famous observation that "the lights are going out all over Europe." They were. The opinion of this writer is that the darkness of which Grey spoke descended at the end, rather than at the beginning, of hostilities. But to argue this fine distinction would be hair-splitting. In general terms, the observation was

Liberty Bell / June 1990 1

correct. The 19th century has its admirers and its critics, and most people, including myself, are part one and part the other. But what ought not to be argued about that century is that it represented a normal continuity of political, cultural and historical development. It was a century that, like its predecessors, proceeded in accordance with a natural order of things in the world. Nations may have risen or fallen, but the nation-state at least remained the accepted unit to which man owed loyalty. Cultural patterns may have been subject to considerable change, but such changes remained constantly within the parameters of the European cultural tradition, which in its turn was accepted without question as the highest to which man could aspire. The century had its share of myths and falsehoods, but only in tiny and isolated enclaves did it ever succumb to the most destructive falsehood of all: that of human equality. Behind the façade of the century's established moral order there was much humbug and much inconsistency between what was preached and what was practised; but at least some moral order did exist, and within it millions lived lives conducive to a strong family, a strong community, a strong nation and to positive evolutionary advancement.

THE BAD AND THE GOOD

When we look back at the 19th century we may indeed be appalled at some of its uglier features: the grinding poverty and malnutrition that were the lot of millions of the less affluent classes; the availability of education only to a socially and economically favoured few; the smoke-laden urban blight that was our legacy of uncontrolled capitalism.

But side by side with these undoubted sores there were many admirable features. The 19th century was a century of grandeur: in music, in literature, in painting, not least in the civic and private architecture whose monuments we gaze at in wonderment today. Everything about this architecture had **form**—even down to its ironcast railway bridges and its brickwork power stations. It was a century in which to be British was no mean fate—we were not loved by all of the world but we were respected by a very large part of it. Our race was not afflicted by any kind of death-wish

but multiplied rapidly, while spreading itself out to colonise and civilise enormous stretches of the globe. Manhood and womanhood were appreciated for their unique and entirely separate qualities, recognised as complementary in the maintenance of life.

By comparison, what has the 20th century to offer? What it has to offer is an unprecedented advancement and achievement in technology. But when you have said that you have virtually said it all. And even this technology itself has achieved very little in enriching human life because of our utter failure to create political, economic, social and cultural institutions to cope with it. Having the potential to become a boon to mankind, it has in most places become a curse. This is not the fault of technology itself, because technology, like the rains, the winds and the earthquakes, is inevitable. The fault lies with Man—and more specifically our own particular branch of Man, the people of the White Aryan West—in his inadequate response to the technological revolution.

So what will the 20th century leave behind in the way of impressive monuments when it has passed? It will leave the space capsule, the micro-chip, the transistor, jet propulsion, the deep freeze—and, of course, the oblong silver screen in the corner of nearly every drawing room. But beyond those things it will leave very little. Culturally and artistically, it will leave a desert, broken only by jungle. In the realm of the refinement of the human mind and spirit it will leave a total void. In its contribution to western thought, it will leave little of worth—except that which its own high priests have stigmatised as 'evil' and 'barbaric' and, almost successfully, outlawed from legitimate debate. Above all, it will leave the wreckage of western civilisation and western power, the latter perhaps best symbolised by the anti-birth pill and the abortion clinic.

And what will be remarkable about the 20th century will be that it will become seen, eventually, as quite outside the normal pattern of historical development. It will be as if the real world suddenly stopped, at some point after the termination of the Great War, and was delivered into cold storage while another

world was substituted in its place—a world seemingly unconnected with the one that existed before and unrelated to the one that was to follow. For the 20th century may be likened to a totally discordant note in the symphony of human progress, a vulgar interruption of the march of real history—or, in its own terminology, a computer system that has gone haywire as a result of being fed false data and contradictory instructions.

The 20th century will have just one good thing to commend it—its end! And it is the opinion of this writer that that end has already started.

NEW WIND FROM THE EAST

Just as the 19th century ended, in reality, in the flames and bloodshed of the 1914-1918 conflict, the consequences of which destroyed utterly the world that had existed up to that time, so the 20th century, I believe, will be seen to have ended in the tumultuous events beginning in Eastern Europe in the second half of the 1980s and now having reverberations that are sweeping like a brush fire across the remainder of Planet Earth. In the well-chosen words of the author of an article that appeared in these columns last month, “We inhabit a world in which the petrified certainties of most of our lifetimes are giving way.”

In the forefront of these ‘petrified certainties’ is, of course, the ‘cold war’—a state of affairs, always much more apparent than real, which has paralysed the minds of politicians, journalists and political philosophers for over forty years following the collapse of Hitler’s Germany, imprisoning their thinking in a straightjacket that permitted no outlook on world affairs not dominated by the concept of an ‘east-west’ power struggle underpinned by ‘east-west’ military confrontation. In this outlook there was little room for consideration of true **national** interests—which, anyway, were presumed to be outdated relics of an age of history now dead and superseded by **global** and **ideological** perspectives which saw modern conflicts, not in terms of rivalry between nations and races, but arising out of the supposed incompatibility between international communism and international capitalism, or, as some would prefer to put it, between ‘totalitarianism’ and ‘freedom.’

Recent events have blown this comfortable picture of the world into a thousand fragments. The idea of a military ‘threat’ from the Soviets is no longer remotely plausible. This, in turn, will demolish the credibility of a whole series of institutions; structures and strategies that have been based on the presumption of that ‘threat’: NATO, the Atlantic Alliance, the Anglo-U.S. ‘special relationship,’ the presence of American forces in Europe and of British forces in Germany, just to mention a few. Standing next in line for demolition are such bodies as the Royal Institute for International Affairs (Chatham House) and the Institute for Strategic Studies, both of which have been maintained on the same discredited presumption of ‘east-west’ military conflict and therefore the need for western military integration.

It is visible that people like Mrs. Thatcher, whose minds still remain padlocked within the confines of post-1945 international thinking, are petrified at these new developments and frantically anxious to keep the old *status-quo* in being, pleading with the Americans to keep their forces in Europe for that purpose. But the Thatchers of this world will before long be swept away by a tide of events now rolling so fast that even the most forward-looking amongst us are having difficulty keeping up with them.

GERMAN UNITY

One such event, which is also a product of the communist collapse, will be the reunification of Germany and the assumption by that country of unquestioned leadership of mainland Europe. This—a development on which I wrote at some length three months ago—is now becoming recognised as inevitable by even the most embittered Teutonophobes in press and politics. This development will, in turn, have enormous repercussions among the nations that are the neighbours, or near neighbours, of Germany, including our own. For one thing, as I wrote in December, it will make a nonsense of the entire strategic criteria on which the two world wars of this century were deemed to be justified—for it will, in effect, reverse the verdict of those wars. For another, it will demolish the fantasy world of the pan-European school of political thought, which has envisaged the Common Market as a ‘club’ of equal partners held together by a

mutual faith in internationalist ideals instead of by the dominant power of one leading nation-state.

As a single Germany increasingly calls the tune within its artificial structure, the other members will grow increasingly disenchanted with it, and the old national enmities will reemerge. Britain, in particular, will recognise that she is onto a loser by being part of an integrated Europe in which she can never be more than a peripheral and secondary force. She will resent being overshadowed by the nation she twice thought she had defeated; and she will be led, by the sheer pressure of international realities, to a fundamental reconsideration of her global rôle. It is my conviction that when this happens many will begin to see anew the value of our old family ties with Anglo-Saxon states across the oceans, will lament the fracture of these ties and will look for ways of restoring them. This will be yet one more development which will bring us to a scenario that will have much more in common with the 19th century than with the 20th.

WILL WE GO TO WAR OVER EASTERN GERMANY?

But there is a further consideration which must be in the minds of some but which, perhaps for diplomatic reasons, has not yet been widely aired. It is a consideration which must strike dread in the minds of those who danced and sang in the euphoria of the 1945 'victory.' Supposing that the Germans, increasingly strong and self-confident as a result of the union of the two parts of their country that are acknowledged to exist at present, later call for the restoration to them of other areas claimed, not without historical justification, as German soil, on the basis that these lands were severed from Germany by force of conquest by the 'victors' of 1945 and that therefore force of conquest would in the last resort be warranted as a means of bringing them back to the Fatherland when all peaceful negotiations (as is probable) had failed. What then would be the rest of the world's response? Would the Russians wish to divert—indeed, **could** they divert—large armies to a new war in the west which were already tied down keeping what remained of the Soviet Union together? Would the Americans, sick and tired of seeing their young men

cut down in foreign adventures in no way necessary to the security of the United States, want to go through it all again?

And would an enfeebled Britain and an enfeebled France be able, on their own, to prevent things taking their course in the way of the reclamation of the ancient eastern territories of the German Reich—the real 'East Germany,' as distinct from the Central Germany that is today given that name? And even if Britain and France were not thus enfeebled, even if they had recovered some of their old strength and could stand up to Germany, would they consider it right or wise to do so—with all the memories of the two great European catastrophes of this century imprinted so firmly on the national consciousness of both peoples? Would we not, and would the French not, conclude that there must be a better way, that those catastrophes must not be repeated, that they must never, never happen again?

In fact, were Germany to lay claim, successfully, to her traditional eastern territories, and were we to have the good sense not to try to stop her, this would be just one more development that would bring us to a *status-quo* more like that existing before 1918 than like anything existing since.

And these are not mere idle projections into the future; these are realities made distinctly possible by current events. And here enters the central question: is this not where we came in?

Is it not inconceivable that, as the 21st century dawns, we will find ourselves placed back at a point in history similar to that existing at the end of the 19th? And will this not mean that our world, having gone berserk in its aberrations and contortions for 70-80 years in which it has tried to escape from history and into some other-worldly cosmic system, will be returning to the rails and proceeding again on course—a course not identical to those of the 19th and previous centuries but in logical progression from them and in accordance with the same basic historical laws?

This would signify that the childish and dishonest fantasies that have governed the 20th century would have become finally discarded. As nationalism asserted itself again—as it is now doing from Vilnius to Baku, from Tallinn to Timisoara—internationalism would disappear into the dustbin of worn-out and exhausted

ideologies. It would mean—as I believe we are shortly about to see happen—that the theory of race-equality will crumble beneath the ruthless weight of events. Here it may take a catastrophe in South Africa, in the way of a white surrender and the creation of another Ethiopia from out of that prosperous and orderly land, to bring reality home to us. One hopes, of course, that a less painful awakening will suffice, but awakening there will be in some form or another, and thereby one more of the 20th century's absurd sophisms will reach the point of expiry—hastened on its way of course by the disintegration of the great cities of Britain and the U.S.A.

WILL THE RUSSIAN WHEEL TURN FULL-CIRCLE?

Then there is another prospect. At the moment the soothsayers of western 'democracy' are heralding the communist collapse east of the Elbe as a great victory for their own suppositions and ideals—perhaps best symbolised by the triumphal opening of the first McDonald's burger bar in Moscow last month. But how long will this honeymoon last? Already the people of Russia, and of Poland, and of Rumania too, are coming to realise that the slogans of 'liberalisation'—*glasnost, perestroika*, etc.—are not reducing the bread queues, not making the transport systems run properly, not making the factories produce better and more plentiful goods. In fact, things that were functioning badly under the old autocracies in these countries are now functioning much worse, and in some cases not functioning at all. So what does this portend?

Walter Lacquer, writing in the *Sunday Telegraph* on January 28th, spoke alarmingly of 'The rise of Russian fascism.' The theme of his article was that, as more and more people in the Soviet Union recognise that the new 'democratic' dispensation is not working, there is likely to be a big swing in favour of the setting up of an authoritarian system of the nationalist right. He said:

This phenomenon is rooted in the general crisis of Soviet society, in the disappointment with the party, the unions and other official organisations. Although communism has been a bit-

ter disappointment, there is no love of capitalism; there is, on the contrary, resentment against the *nouveaux riches* (according to some estimates, there are now 175,000 millionaires in the Soviet Union) who made their money, not through honest work, enterprise and inventiveness, but by cheating the Soviet worker, by black market activities and so on.

What the writer did not say directly but implied in a later passage was that a not insubstantial portion of these 175,000 were Jews—referring to a recently published essay by one Mikhail Leontiev in which it was stated that:

The doctrine of the extreme right is largely based on fear of 'satanic forces' that undermine everything sacred to the heart of Russian patriots, exploit people, instal local mafias to corrupt the population, instigate social and national strife. Leaders such as Mikhail Gorbachev and Alexander Yakovlev are mere puppets, manipulated by international corporations and Zionist billionaires.

Lacquer's article went on to speak of the fear "that the Russian people is gradually becoming a stranger in its own home, that it is biologically and culturally threatened with extinction..." What is interesting about these theories is that, right or wrong, they have obviously been formulated by many Russian people on the basis of their own local observation and experience, and can have been influenced scarcely, if at all, by propaganda on the subject coming from abroad. Those who discount such views are at the disadvantage of having to explain how, without collusion, different sets of people in entirely different parts of the world could come to adopt a picture so similar—these concepts of the 'extreme right' in Russia having obviously so much in common with concepts formed by people in Britain, the United States and elsewhere as responses to problems in their own societies.

It clearly was not inconceivable, according to Mr. Lacquer, that one possible option open to Russia could be the eventual restoration of Tsarism. Curiously enough, in a mammoth demonstration in Moscow on the 4th February flags of the old Imperial Russia were much in prominence.

Again, these tendencies point to a strong possibility of a reversion in Russia, as elsewhere, to the state of affairs pertaining in the 19th century—in the Russian case, that century being seen to have ended in 1917.

Simon Jenkins, writing in the *Sunday Times* on February 4th, spoke of the people of Eastern Europe "waiting for the real revolution." He went on to say that those who leapt to the assumption that liberal democracy was to provide the solution to the troubles of the countries affected could well be getting on a loser. "The future for a liberal, capitalist democracy across...East Europe must be uncertain," he said, and he continued:

Central Europe has never been good at anarchy. The yearning for *ordnung* is strong, bolstered sometimes by imperialism, sometimes (such as now) by a contrasting desire for ethnic sovereignty. The alumni of the Leonid Brezhnev charm school may yet return to strut the ministries of Europe. Nationalism and Socialism are a heady European brew, and can be mixed in many different cocktails.

The significance of the two '-isms' juxtaposed in Mr. Jenkins' final sentence will not of course be lost on the perceptive reader! But what was also interesting was this writer's reference to the "real revolution" being waited for. This would suggest that the 'revolution' currently appearing to be in progress in Eastern Europe is merely a tactical retreat by the old guard, supported by their backers in the West, with a view to keeping the old system going under new colours. The 'real revolution' of which Mr. Jenkins speaks would be that revolution which would overthrow the communist order root and branch, breaking free not only of communism but also of the international financial cabal in the West which in the first case created communism and now is attempting to preserve its hold on Eastern Europe by some alternative confidence-trick which, when all the covering is stripped away, will reveal much the same forces still in command. It is, of course, the 'real revolution' that western finance fears—a fear that is expressed through the scribblings of journalists like Mr. Jenkins and Mr. Lacquer, who are its mouthpieces in a press that serves as the loyal organ of the Money Power that has created most of the chaos of our century.

THE CULTURAL COUNTER-REVOLUTION

While the developments indicated above all point to a return to old and familiar ground in the political context, developments in the cultural field may well be pointing in a similar direction. In

10 *Liberty Bell* / June 1990

an article in our January issue we focused attention in one of the most interesting phenomena of our times, namely a massive revulsion against modernism in architecture, and we spotlighted the similarity of attitude between those who defend the modernist school and those who champion other causes uniquely identified with 20th century 'progressiveness,' such as multi-racialism, feminism, birth control, homosexual liberation, anti-hanging and much else. Usually it is found that these are much the same people, and that when challenged in respect of one or other of their sacred cows they react in frenzied intolerance, more often than not resorting to crude name-calling against those who disagree with them rather than engage in reasoned debate. All this simply underlines what most intelligent folk well understand: that political and cultural revolutions usually go together, just as do counter-revolutions against them. What we are certainly seeing now is a counter-revolution against 20th century trends in architecture, and this is particularly marked in Britain and the United States, where classical architects, having been beyond the pale for a long time, are now coming back into fashion and can actually earn a living again!

It would be improbable for this trend not to be accompanied by parallel trends in music, painting, literature and the other arts—for the aesthetic instincts that lean people back towards beauty and form in one will also lean them likewise in others. To put it in a nutshell, the great modernist 'con' by which the western world's self-appointed cultural establishment has bemused the people for the greater part of this century is at last being seen for what it is, and there would be no surprise if some day, in some place, a *Bauhaus* architect were seen pursued through the streets by an angry mob howling for his blood in much the same way as is now happening to the former *apparatchiks* of the various Soviet régimes that have been toppling everywhere.

BACK TO HISTORY

So what do all these developments point to? In my submission they point to the fact that the 20th century is in the process of being massively rejected—thrown out, as if it were not part of true history but merely a hideous aberration from history. As this

Liberty Bell / June 1990 11

century draws to its close, a few years ahead of time, its great certainties, its fallacies, its naive dreams, its contrived falsehoods and its repulsive ethics and styles are being discarded—as events discredit them and human instinct recoils from them.

The champions and the profiteers of the 20th century have been telling us for a long time that we must drag ourselves into this century and that we cannot put the clock back. But it is not, and never was, a matter of putting the clock back; on the contrary, the rhythm of the clock is now simply being restored, and the 21st century is dawning upon us and becoming the era of history into which we must drag ourselves. The process of doing this will be the most difficult for those who have been most at home in the century now ending.

I am generally not one given to predictions, but I am going to take the risk of ending this article with a few of these that are based, as the punter would say, on a thorough study of form—while being allied, as I hope does not seem too arrogant, to a reasonable historical sense. These begin with the general prediction that, as we end the 20th century, the world will take a form far more prevailing at its outset than at any time since, that as chronological distance from the 19th century increases so will historical distance decrease, and we will find ourselves again on ground that would have been familiar to our grandfathers and great-grandfathers in the days of their youth.

Part of this will be the world's rejection of internationalism and the return to the primacy of the nation-state. This is not to say that the internationalists do not still have some strong cards to play; in those places where power resides (at least in the West) the drive towards internationalism will not abate but will intensify, but it will be an intensification that becomes more and more out of step with the realities of the world around it, and with millions of people's perception of those realities. The one-worlders will become like the dinosaurs of the Soviet bureaucracy, pronouncing ever more absurd decrees as the tide of popular sentiment wells up against their walls.

With the rejection of internationalism will come a rejection of its concomitant superstition: the theory of race-equality. Today neither

reason nor sentiment are on this theory's side; all that sustains it is **fear**—the fear that kept the Bolshevik apparatus in being for some while after its credit had become exhausted. But, just as with the Bolsheviks, the multi-racialists will find that fear of them will evaporate with the passing of time—until the point is reached at which they will be the ones who are fearful, running for their lives from the chaos and the anger that their evil experiments have created.

With the coming of the new century there will emerge an international balance of power much more similar to that prevailing at the end of the 19th century than to that that has existed for most of the 20th. Russia will certainly be a power of no small significance, just as she was in Tsarist times. But the world will not tremble before her—as it has done since 1917, and particularly since 1945. Russia will in due course cease to be the 'Soviet Union' and will again become 'Russia.' She may keep her Ukrainian and Byelorussian parts, but she will lose much of the rest. She will become again a Slav nation, and this nation will look much more like Russia of pre-1917—perhaps even with a Tsar, probably at least with a patriotic ruling autocracy.

Germany will again become one of the world's truly great powers—particularly, as is probable, as she eventually regains her lost eastern territories and enters into a merger with German Austria.

OURSELVES

As for Britain, I have said before that events in Europe, and particularly in Germany, will force us to a wholesale revaluation of the usefulness of our rôle as a participant in continental affairs. By meddling in Europe we have done ourselves no good and we have done Europe no good either. We are part of the European racial and cultural family that extends from this continent right across North America and over to Australasia, taking in small but valuable minorities in Southern Africa. But we must understand properly **which** part: it is the part comprising those countries, or sections of countries, in which people of British stock predominate. Our destiny lies with these peoples, and not over the Channel. It is a paradox, but nevertheless a true one,

that the lesser our involvement in Continental Europe the better will be our relations with Continental Europe.

It is possible—though premature to say probable—that the 21st century could become similar to the 19th century in that it could witness a great resurgence of British power. Whether that happens will depend very much on whether we in Britain grasp the full meaning of the times into which we are now moving. Our revival as a great nation standing together with a family of peoples of the same race will, of course, be a concept which our enemies (within and without) will stigmatise as ‘putting the clock back.’ But I would aver that it is a matter, not of putting the clock back, but of putting it forward—that is, of anticipating in advance the kind of new century in which we are likely to have to live, and preparing ourselves for that century. The 21st century, like the 19th century, is going to be a century of **nations**—and in which the nations that are strong will survive and prosper while those that are weak will fall by the wayside. The recipe for our own renewed strength is clear; whether we adopt it remains to be seen. In whatever event, our one hope is a wholesale rejection of everything the 20th century has stood for.

This is not to say that the 19th century will be recreated in replica, with the restoration of the British Empire. Even if such a return to the past were possible, it would not be desirable since a resumption of the burden of ruling India, plus a multitude of other colonies and dependencies not peopled by our kind, would contribute not one iota to the renewed national strength we should wish to acquire. What we most certainly should pursue is a close trading alliance with Australasia and Canada that would bring into complementary relationship our own manufacturing aptitude (appropriately revived) and their immense surplus of minerals, food products and habitable land. Such a concept will, of course, be opposed by those who say that present political realities in the countries in question would not permit it. But to this the reply would be that present political realities, like so many of those elsewhere in the world, are liable to be swept away like flotsam by the storms of change now beginning to sweep this globe. We know not what opportunities the new realities of tomorrow will create, but we should

at least now be working out clearly in our minds the goals that should underlie our response to them.

More available space would permit further forecasting, but we are running out of column-inches and must condense things into the briefest possible statement of probabilities. These are:

(1) That America will retreat from her world rôle and into isolation. The ‘melting pot’ that is the present United States will dissolve in civil war and chaos, and a new nation will have to be formed in the southern part of the North American continent that will correspond to ethnic realities.

(2) Africa will at some time in the new century revert to European control, though not necessarily with its boundaries exactly as before.

(3) Japan will be driven from her dominant position on world markets by protective measures applied against her exports by most of the countries that are now her main customers. This will force the Japanese to opt for a policy of autarky, and thus again of colonial expansion.

(4) The European Common Market will disintegrate as a reality, though it may remain in being as a purely paper institution with everyone ignoring its rules. This would be due to the fact that its leading advocates and functionaries would not be people of the required courage and honesty to declare it dissolved.

(5) Zionism, the most powerful single world force of the 20th century, will decline into one of negligible influence in the 21st.

RETURN TO CULTURE

The final prediction concerning the 21st century is already being fulfilled in the way stated earlier. That century will rediscover what is true art, true music and true literature, just as it will return to sanity in other related cultural fields. It will laugh at 20th century ‘artistic’ trends as we now laugh at the flat-earthers and the followers of witchcraft. It will reflect in wonderment how its recent ancestors allowed themselves to be dazzled for so long by garbage masquerading as profundity and by charlatans assuming the mantle of genius. Perhaps, as was done in a certain part of Europe during a short-lived cultural renaissance in the present century, our descendants of tomorrow will stage exhibitions of these heaps of concrete, monkey daubings and other artistic excrescences.

ces of a diseased *siecle*—as a reminder to all of the fits of lunacy to which mankind can succumb under the stimuli of alien forces allowed to insinuate themselves into the cultural fabric when guards are down.

All of these things, I predict, will come to pass in the century that lies before us and which has probably started as these words are written. With them will come a strange upturning in the use of language. The 'progressives' will become the reactionaries and the 'reactionaries' progressives. Perhaps that will be appropriate, for has not the old century been one in which language has been stood on its head: good becoming evil and evil becoming good, truth becoming falsehood and falsehood becoming truth, the great men becoming the demons and the demons becoming the great men?

20th century RIP—and without regrets. Our civilisation is awakening from a nightmare, and is ready again to resume history's road!

* * * * *

They're at it again!

HAD HITLER won the Second World War, one of his subsequent actions would have been to invade the United States! Yes, this is actually solemnly stated in a new book now in circulation. The book is titled *If Hitler Had Won the War*, and has been written by one Ralph Giordano. In case some may think that name has an Italian ring about it, it should be stated that, as acknowledged in a *Sunday Times* (11th February) report on the book, Giordano's mother was as German-born Jewess.

Giordano claims to have based his information on Nazi archives—odd how these archives have a habit of popping up decades after the war, no-one ever having discovered them before!

No doubt there will be plenty of people around with pretensions to 'education' who will eagerly swallow this latest piece of gobbledygook without giving a moment's thought, first to the logistics of a transatlantic invasion by German armies that would have had to be huge beyond imagination, or to the even more elementary question of whether Hitler would have seen the slightest political advantage in such an invasion even had it been militarily possible. Such rational considerations never have inhibited the self-appointed World War II historians in the past,

and are unlikely to inhibit them in the future. Shock, horror and fantasy are always much better weapons by which to hold a mass audience than common sense or facts.

What should give us food for thought is not that the partly Jewish Mr. Giordano should have written the book but that such a paper as the *Sunday Times* should comment on it in a decidedly non-derisory tone. In fact, the report on the book, written by a Graham Lees, while not exactly testifying to the truth of it, does not in a single line suggest that it is obvious nonsense. The *Sunday Times* is, of course, a paper that, like its stablemate the *Times*, has pretensions to being the most 'up-market' of papers in this country, very definitely appealing to the *élite* among newspaper readers. This does not seem to be allowed to prevent the paper's editor featuring material in its columns which would be more appropriate to the pages of a schoolboy's comic.

Our media masters have, we well know, always had a low estimate of the public's intelligence, but we never knew it was quite as low as this!

From *Spearhead* No. 253, March 1990

WHICH WAY, WESTERN MAN? SURVIVAL MANUAL FOR THE WHITE RACE

William Gayley Simpson has spent a lifetime of keen observation, careful analysis, and deep reflection developing the principal thesis of his book: that the single, undying purpose of all human activity should be the ennobling of man. In support of this thesis he looks at the foundations of Western Society, at the structure of our government, at the effect of technology and industrialization on man, at the rôles of the sexes, at economics, and at race. The book goes to the roots of the problems facing the White Race today, and it shows the ways in which White society must be changed if the race is to survive. *Which Way Western Man?* is an encyclopedic work whose conclusions can be ignored by no one with a sense of responsibility to the future. For your copy of *Which Way Western Man?* send \$14.00 including postage and handling for the softback edition (Order No. 22003) to:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS
Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA.

Jews Fear Return of Pogroms in Russia

The Sunday Times, London, 18 February 1990
by James Blitz, Moscow

A CHILLING word has begun to reappear in the Russian language. It is heard on television and in newspapers, in homes and on the streets. The word is pogrom, and it summons up demons from Russia's anti-Semitic past.

Its literal translation is "like thunder", but to its victims it means pillage and murder.

Anti-Semitism is again on the rise. Pogroms have already occurred in Azerbaijan, and Moscow's large Jewish population fears it is next.

Two weeks ago a member of the Congress of People's Deputies appeared on television with a grim warning: a pogrom would be carried out against the city's Jews on May 5 and the perpetrators would be Pamyat, a militant anti-Semitic group devoted to the restoration of pure Russian traditions.

It was the latest in a long string of rumours that have heightened tension and led to an unprecedented exodus of Jews to Israel. Although there are no reports of physical attacks on Jews in Moscow, there have been assaults in Leningrad, with one man murdered and the Star of David carved into his flesh. In Moscow it has so far been confined to poison pen letters, the desecration of cemeteries and anti-Semitic demonstrations.

Jews have also been barred from schools and universities, and have become the victims of abuse in the street.

The authorities have urged people to keep calm. In Kiev, the city council last week denounced rumours of pogroms against Jews as "designed to destabilise the political situation."

But the damage to the Jewish community has already been done. Last week it was apparent in the grubby rooms of the Shalom Jewish Musical Theatre on the outskirts of Moscow. Sitting around a makeshift platform were 60 Jews, some well-dressed, some in headscarves and skull-caps, asking Mikhail Chlenov, a leader of the Jewish community, how they could emigrate.

Latent anti-Semitism has existed in the Soviet Union since the last century. But under the reforms of Mikhail Gorbachev a dangerous mix of economic woes and glasnost has pushed prejudices further into the open.

Even though anti-Semitic material is illegal, hostile articles are appearing. "You Jews started the revolution and now your plot to ruin

Mother Russia has succeeded; you are scuttling out again," said one letter to the mainstream magazine, *Ogonyok*.

"Jews are getting terrified," said Chlenov. "There was a threat last year from Pamyat that there would be a pogrom on June 4, Jewish families asked to be locked away in the police cells for protection. Others hid with non-Jewish neighbours. Hundreds went into hiding. I don't expect it to be any different in May."

Gorbachev's perestroika has meted out kindness and misery in equal measure to Jews. The kindness has been to allow most of those who want to leave to do so. Sixty thousand left in 1989; 100,000 could go this year.

Perhaps 1m, a quarter of the Soviet Union's Jewish population, could leave over the next few years, draining valuable skills from an already atrophied economy. Many of them are professionals—doctors, engineers, scientists—with precisely the skills the Soviet Union can ill afford to lose.

The misery glasnost has brought is in allowing anti-Semitic groups to speak openly. Last month, a meeting of the Russian writers' union in Moscow was disrupted by 60 members of Pamyat shouting anti-Semitic slogans while the militia looked on with indifference.

There have been threats of violence. Yusef Perozovsky, manager of Moscow's only kosher restaurant, claims Pamyat is constantly threatening to murder him. The strain is taking its toll: he has the saddest face in his lively establishment.

But not all Jews are fleeing. Only last week, in the Ukrainian city of Kharkov, there was an anti-Pamyat rally after the organisation had raided Jewish apartments.

Nor is Pamyat willing to take the blame. At its headquarters in south Moscow, the most striking impressions are the powerful smell of incense and a huge black poster which shows a Star of David with the word "danger" written underneath.

Pamyat's leader is Alexei Grigorievich Vasiliev, an ox-like former actor. Dressed in the group's uniform black shirt, he surrounds himself with tsarist memorabilia. Vasiliev was outraged by rumours that his movement would launch a pogrom in May. "We are totally against pogroms and all acts of vandalism," he said. "Often these are being carried out by people who say they belong to Pamyat but have no connection with us."

He thumped the table. "If I wanted to carry out a pogrom, would I want it announced publicly? The papers are always calling us anti-Semites, but we are not anti-Jews. We are simply against the idea of the Jews as the chosen people and against aggressive Zionism. We are also against the Jews who brought ruin to the Soviet Union: Marx, Trotsky and others."

He vigorously denied accusations that his members bully or threaten Jews, or have covert links with the KGB, the secret police. He claims to have a loyal following of 1,000 and says that his largest demonstration attracted a crowd of 15,000.

Perhaps most alarming for the Jews is that Pamyat is probably not behind many of the threats and prejudice. Chlenov accepted that there were many anti-Semitic organisations and individuals. "The trouble is," said Chlenov, "that the authorities have never condemned anti-Semitism openly."

Their passive attitude is clear. Although Chlenov is head of the first organised Jewish movement since the revolution, with thousands of members and a formal congress, the authorities have given him no office, as would be routine for other such organisations.

Nor have the authorities supported Jewish ventures. The Mikhoels Jewish Cultural Centre, which was established last year amid much fanfare, has disappeared, largely because of official indifference.

"Gorbachev's attitude is to be silent in the face of our problems," said Chlenov. "Perhaps the leadership is frightened of being accused of being pro-Zionist. Or maybe they would far rather we all left the country, once and for all."

As he said this, there was a knock on the door. A long line of people waited outside the theatre dressing room for his advice on emigration. The Jewish community may have won its battle to leave the Soviet Union, but is losing its battle to live in it.

THE LATE GREAT BOOK: THE BIBLE

AN ACCOUNT OF CHRISTIAN AND BIBLICAL ORIGINS.

Nicholas Carter feels that it is time for us to sit down and do what many Christians rarely do: Study the Holy Bible. In doing so, we may discover whether or not the Bible is indeed "Holy" and the "Word of God." In strict biblical order, the author methodically, and scathingly, examines the various claims made in the "Holy Book" and shows how many of them bear no relationship to reality whatsoever. For your copy of *The Late Great Book: The Bible* (Order No. 12006) send \$6.00 + \$1.50 for postage to:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS
P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

ARYAN SOCIALISM

by
Major Donald Vincent Clerkin

*"Of this economic Stoicism of the Classical world the exact antithesis is Socialism, meaning thereby not Marx's theory but Frederick William I's Prussian practice which long preceded Marx and will yet displace him—the Socialism, inwardly akin to the system of the Old Egypt, that comprehends and cares for permanent economic relations, trains the individual in his duty to the whole, and glorifies hard work as an affirmation of Time and Future." (Oswald Spengler, *The Decline of the West*, Vol. I, page 138.)*

*"Men are tired to disgust of money-economy. They hope for salvation from somewhere or other, for some real thing of honour and chivalry, of inward nobility, of unselfishness and duty. And now dawns the time when the form-filled powers of the blood, which the rationalism of the Megalopolis has suppressed, reawaken in the depths. Everything in the order of dynastic tradition and old nobility that has saved itself up for the future, everything that there is of high money-disdaining ethic, everything that is intrinsically sound enough to be, in Frederick the Great's words, the servant—the hard-working, self-sacrificing, caring servant—of the State, all that I have described in one word as Socialism in contrast to Capitalism—all this becomes suddenly the focus of immense life forces." (Spengler, *op cit.*, Vol. II, page 464.)*

We Aryans realize that the age of Capitalism and its stooge Democracy is just about over. The Western Culture has evolved into the Megalopolitan Civilization. We are beset by political parties, press and media, the parties in the pocket of Jewish Money, the press and media the mouthpieces of Jewish Money. Jewish Money talks and rules in American society today. At this juncture it is inevitable that Caesarism will crush the sham Democracy, which is permitted to the masses by the Money Power in order to organize them into easily-fleeced tax herds. The masses have no choice, so locked into the System are they with greed for monetary gain, but to play the game of phony election

politics, of voting for Tweedle candidates who espouse disastrous policies, and who tell the sort of lies that the masses prefer to hear. Down this road the masses will travel just once. Caesarism waits at the end, which for the Roman proletarian meant bread and circuses, but which for the White men in this age will mean mongrelization in the One-World Corporate System.

There is nothing honorable in being forced to join an ant-heap. Those who rule the Megalopolis see no need for separate races and divergent cultures. Exploit and extirpate—these will be the motives of the new Caesars. But the Aryan does not have to share this fate. He can throw off the cult of Money and greed for gain. Money has become an idol, the sole reason for existence of all too many Aryans. Children are either not begot, or quickly thrown aside by the parents in pursuit of *things*. The State has become the symbol of this greed, causing millions of the non-White unwashed to come to these shores seeking enrichment. When the Aryan racial State—the National Socialist government of Germany—existed, the world's unwashed did not seek asylum there. It was understood that the NS *Staat* existed for the building up of the Aryan race, and not for exploitation by the world of Finance. Wherever Money and Finance rule, the State appears to the crows, gulls and vultures like a great, stinking landfill. Where the caring *servant* of the Aryan people is the shepherd who guides the State, there are permitted no opportunities for parasites to feed from the life and blood of the Aryan race.

This is the Aryan Socialism we support. It had nothing to do with Money, or phony party politics; neither is it an ideology, which Marx, Engels and Lenin made of what they called “socialism.” Aryan Socialism is a racial ethic—a Soul-Force. Marxism is Jewish exploitation of the frustration the people feel against Capitalism, also a Jewish motive, so as to get the wealth of the State and the peoples' live into Jewish hands. Jewish Capitalism robs the people, then the Jewish Marxists come in and enslave them. It is not true Socialism. True Socialism existed long before Marx in the soul of Gothic Europe. It was the underlying motive behind the Old Régimes, that series of European governances

which held sway before the Jewish Revolution against the French (1789) emancipated the power and authority of Usury, the prime tool of Jewish exploitation. The Socialism we see as Aryan caring is first and foremost thoughtful of the Aryan race. It exists to nurture and protect, not to exploit, but to raise up. Call it Aryan Racialism, if you prefer. It is the racial “glue” which binds the Aryan race together. The Jews gave the Aryans the idol of Money to worship, which brought on an orgy of atomism—every man for himself! Aryan Socialism once again ties the race together, forbidding the exploitation of our people and punishing racial treason committed against the Aryan race.

In Aryan Socialism, no Aryan need fear the loss of property rights or individual immunities against government persecution. Every other ‘ism’—Capitalism, Communism, Conservatism, Liberalism—exists to either exploit the weaknesses of the Aryans, or to use the non-White masses against the traditions of the Aryans as a battering ram. Aryan Socialism cares nothing at all for the masses of the world, neither seeking to exploit or eradicate them. But Aryan Socialism will not permit the Aryan race to be humiliated and exterminated by the Corporate Caesars. Neither will we permit the unwashed Third World masses to engulf our lands. There will be a showdown between the forces of Aryan Socialism and Corporate Caesarism. Corporate Caesarism won the first round in 1945. The next round—the final showdown—will come when the Aryan movement has succeeded in mobilizing the Aryan race in its own defense. Look at Europe today. In Italy, “immigrants” are being told to get out. France's National Front, Maurice Le Pem's party, is winning local elections on an anti-immigration platform. White Canada is waking up to the threat that ‘multi-racialism’ and ‘multi-culturalism’ is presenting to the future of an Aryan racial presence there. In Budapest, thousands of Hungarians demonstrated against the influence of Jews in the Hungarian State Television Authority. The German Republicans are saying clearly that they have had all they want of the trash ‘refugees’ that have come in while Bonn does nothing to stop it. Be sure that a reunified Germany will put an end to this invasion of immigrants from the Third World.

We Aryans in the United States have a choice. We can continue to do nothing, and see our children and grandchildren dragged down to the level of the people in Bangladesh, mixed in the racial crucible and destroyed forever, or we can start right now to make our racial cause heard. Do not be afraid to be known as a White Racist. Do proclaim your racial concerns loudly. This is what it means to be an Aryan Socialist: caring about the future of your race is what this fight is all about. I have always been of the opinion that all it would take to stop the Jews and their stooges in their track is for enough Whites to tell them to stop. Everywhere in Eastern Europe the Jews are being indicted by the peoples. The old Marxist stooges do not dare oppose the rising tide of anti-Jewish sentiment. Even in the USSR, the *Pamyat* society is able to speak right out against the Jews. They can do it because they dare to do it. But if Whites in the USA refuse to speak out, they will continue to be humiliated and ruined as a people. □

From *The Talon*, published by Euro-American Alliance,
Box 2-1776, Milwaukee WI 53221

DOES THE WEST HAVE THE WILL TO SURVIVE?

That is the obvious question posed by Jean Raspail's terrifying novel of the swamping of the White world by an unlimited flood of non-White "refugees." But there is also a less obvious and even more fundamental question: Must Whites find their way to a new Morality and a new spirituality in order to face the moral challenges of the present and overcome them? **THE CAMP OF THE SAINTS** is the most frightening book you will ever read. It is frightening because it is utterly believable. The armada of refugee ships in Raspail's story is exactly like the one that dumped 150,000 Cubans from Fidel Castro's prisons and insane asylums on our shores in 1980 — except this time the armada is from India, with more than 70 times as large a population. And it is only the first armada of many. If any book will awaken White Americans to the danger they face from uncontrolled immigration, it is **THE CAMP OF THE SAINTS**. For your copy (Order No. 03014) send \$9.50 (which includes \$1.50 for postage and handling) to:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS
Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA.

Health and AIDS

by
Ernst Zündel

To my friends
in the English-speaking world:

17 March 1990

The news does not seem to be very uplifting today. Southwest Africa being handed over to the communist SWAPO—who would have believed that only a few years ago?! Who would have thought that South Africa would hand over that mineral-rich area to a noisy enemy sworn to destroy the Republic of South Africa?!

Inside South Africa, the release of Mandela is, of course, nothing short of revolutionary! The media world-wide is going crazy. One can only compare what is happening with a media-shark feeding frenzy.

Many of you have called me, upset and worried by these developments. You were astonished at my calm and quiet reassurance that "the universe is unfolding as it should," in the face of these "war-dancing" or "joy-dancing" blacks which fill the TV screens world-wide.

Let me say that I am glad for Mandela, the man, to get out of prison, for I think that to be free is wonderful. However, I question the wisdom and wonder what was going on in the minds of the South African government. Maybe they got too much of that African sun? They give me a "gag order" in Canada for publishing a pamphlet, Mandela is released after being convicted of planning terrorist attacks and associating with people who preach the Marxist gospel of violent revolutionary struggle! Mandela was released *unconditionally!*

South Africans of all colours will have to decide if they want to destroy the only functioning and "people feeding" modern state in Africa. We who do not live there can only watch and see what will be done.

To those of you who get my newsletter, I would simply say this: grit your teeth! Organize your defenses! Hang in there! Time is working for you, as astonishing as this may sound to you

at this moment. Africa, black Africa to be specific, is facing a population crash, a demographic disaster of the greatest magnitude! The "AIDS Belt," as experts call it, is already in very serious trouble and will be a disaster area in another 2-3 years. Believe me when I tell you that if the projections of various experts are correct, then there will be no "functional armies" in some of these states by the mid-nineties. The same will hold true for medical services; the infrastructure, even now tenuous at best, will be collapsing as the western-trained civil servants and government employees, bank clerks, administrators, even policemen will either be dead, dying or terribly sick. The urban black elite seems to be the worst hit, apparently, and thus the most threatened with virtual extinction. For those who have lived in or have been to Africa, it will be immediately apparent that every one of these states will virtually dissolve into roaming bands of violent bandits, factions and tribes.

Little danger to the outside world will come from these regional bands of marauders. As these states collapse, harbors and airports will be closed. More food shortages will kill more people, a disaster the likes of which we cannot imagine will befall millions, if not hundreds of millions of people! "Survival of the fittest" will take on new meaning, because it will mean that those who are not yet sick will want to stay healthy and will follow a primordial path to security. We might well experience "Stone Age" behaviour, in the Space Age!

Five years, only five short years, could change every military, political and economic as well as demographic projection ever made by those arrogant eggheads in their Ivory Towers and "Think Tanks." Nature, rape, mutilated, polluted, sometimes briefly "tamed" by man's efforts, would be striking back with a vengeance. Man-made laws on the "freedom to travel" worldwide, by people suspected to be diseased because they come from "hot-beds of infection," would be as rigorously enforced, and travelers, asylum-seekers, would be quarantined as in the days of Empire.

Oh, you can laugh, call me a dreamer, unrealistic, go ahead! I hope you are right—I hope I am wrong. But look at the statistics,

26 Liberty Bell | June 1990

think about the news stories, the projections which must follow the trends to a logical conclusion. Africa is in bad shape. Everybody who has studied AIDS is just about agreed on that point. Let's now look at some of the other deductions we have to make. Hiding our heads in the sand won't make AIDS go away.

Let's look at Superpower USA and AIDS. Let's look at a story by UPI, 23 February 1990, coming out of Atlanta.

AIDS Cases Expected to Climb Again

by Charles S. Taylor

ATLANTA (UPI) The United States has "yet to turn the corner on the AIDS problem," with up to 57,000 new cases expected this year and nearly a half-million cases possible by the end of 1993, federal officials said.

New data indicate an average of more than 80,000 people are becoming infected each year with the AIDS-causing human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), and there are now about 1 million HIV-infected individuals in the United States, the national Centers for Disease Control said Thursday.

Dr. James Curran, who heads the CDC's AIDS task force, said the finding that new HIV infections are occurring at a greater rate than active cases of AIDS shows that "we have yet to turn the corner on the AIDS problem. There is no premature declaration of victory here."

The new projections of HIV infection and AIDS cases were formulated at a recent workshop on AIDS sponsored by the CDC. The projected 52,000-57,000 AIDS cases for 1990 represent a 14 percent increase over the 44,000-50,000 estimated for all of 1989. Curran said the projections through 1993 show increases at a slightly slower rate than 14 percent.

New AIDS cases are expected to range between 56,000 and 71,000 in 1991, between 58,000 and 85,000 in 1992, and between 61,000 and 98,000 in 1993. By the end of 1993 total deaths from AIDS will range between 285,000 and 340,000, the experts said.

Then let's look at an article in the *Chicago Tribune* of 10 November 1989, by Margareth Roth:

Army Can't Find a Strategy for Fighting its Invisible Enemy — AIDS

The afflicted include single men, married women and—as with AIDS cases in the civilian world—a disproportionate number of blacks and Hispanics.

While the infection rate among men is more than twice that for women, married women are more likely than single women to be infected with the AIDS virus, Army officials don't know why.

Black soldiers make up 54.7 percent of the HIV-positive cases in the Army but account for only 27.1 percent of all troops. Likewise, Hispanic soldiers represent 6.4 percent of the HIV-positives, but only 3.7 percent of all soldiers.

The federal Center for Disease Control keeps statistics only on people with AIDS, not those who carry the AIDS virus but have not developed the disease.

The Army will admit no one who carries the virus, but it has been unable to stop soldiers from getting infected after they join. Efforts to alert them to the dangers of AIDS have been dampened by the Army's official taboo on homosexuality. A film created in 1987 to broach the subject has yet to win approval from the Army chief of staff.

There are supposed to be no gay soldiers. Homosexuality is grounds for dismissal from the armed forces. Yet the military reflects the society at large, and some soldiers are gay. Army doctors assume, in fact, that homosexual sex is most often responsible for soldiers contracting AIDS.

Measuring how many soldiers are practicing unsafe sex and talking to them about the dangers of AIDS are impossible goals, since any gay soldier is unlikely to risk dismissal by being frank.

Legally, military doctors are bound by regulation not to use any information against troops provided in AIDS counseling, such as an admission of homosexual sex or illegal behaviour, such as sex with a prostitute or drug use.

Practically speaking, infected soldiers may be intimidated by a doctor's rank and be less than candid. "When they come see me, I'm still an Army officer," say Col. Charles N. Oster, chief of infectious diseases at Walter Reed Army Medical Center.

After a US military commander grouped all "his" AIDS sufferers in a special barrack and assigned them jobs which dealt with the everyday operations of the post, the barracks quickly be-

28 *Liberty Bell* / June 1990

came known as the "HIV-Hotel." A stink was raised, an investigation followed, and Margaret Roth covered it this way:

In probing the reasons for the reassignment of at least 65 HIV-infected soldiers, the inspector general found that the command at Ft. Hood had acted to safeguard military readiness, "an issue of primary importance to deployable units... No evidence was discovered that suggested the policy arose from an antipathy toward these soldiers."

A Forces Command officer who asked to remain anonymous put it this way: "Put yourself in the position of a commander. He's go to say these are the guys I can take (overseas). These are the guys I can't take. Somehow you've go to identify people you can take on a certain contingency mission."

So multiply this by the thousands in a few years' time, and you have an army of bed-ridden, barrack-bound invalids!

The *Globe and Mail* of Saturday 23 December 1989 had an article by Stephen Strauss, titled "How to prevent spread of AIDS? Separate politics from statistics." He goes on with remarkable frankness to say:

In 1986, women accounted for a very small percentage—4.8 or 40 cases—of the total. In 1989, women accounted for 5.7 percent, 186 cases, of the total. And as much as it strikes a racial cord to say it, when blood transfusions (50) are removed from the list of reasons, many (at least 70) of the cases of AIDS in women in this country remain restricted to those who were born in Haiti and those having sex with men who were born in Haiti or Africa. The Haiti connection accounts for the fact that the lion's share of women with AIDS—127—is found in Quebec.

In many places, the pattern of AIDS in the Caribbean—and Haiti in particular—now follows the African model. There the disease is roughly evenly distributed between men and women. Why this different pattern is in place still remains a mystery. Until the cause is understood, another racially linked fact also must be faced.

Recent arrivals from Haiti are a particular risk group for the heterosexual spread of the disease. I once asked Dr. Robert Ramis, an epidemiologist working on the problem at Montreal General Hospital, whether he would advise women to steer clear of Haitians.

He answered: "Do you want a truthful answer or a publishable one?" The truthful answer is yes. If you want to reduce your risk, you cut out risky behaviour with groups in which the disease is relatively widespread.

Liberty Bell / June 1990 29

The problem, he also pointed out, is that the public was confused about how one got the disease. They had been led to believe that behaviour alone caused the illness. Sharing needles, or anal sex or blood transfusions. But in fact what harbors AIDS is a virus. If you are partnered with someone who is not infected and you are both monogamous and don't inject drugs, you are fairly safe.

I frankly don't know how to combine AIDS' racial and sexual incidence patterns with a caring social system, except to say that we cannot avoid the numbers by hiding behind political liberalism. Unpalatable truths won't go away just because they are unpalatable.

So, now we have it; if Stephen Strauss, who by looks and name seems Jewish, can come to these conclusions, why can we not?

But the stories don't end there; China now admits to a much larger AIDS problem than hitherto. Brazil, which until 1987 denied any AIDS problem, is now third in the world after Africa and the USA. Thailand reports 50,000 infected prostitutes and IV drug users. India reports "AIDS crisis in blood banks which have been infected by repeatedly using dirty needles." UN soldiers sent to Beirut are all tested for AIDS upon their return to their home countries! France has an acute AIDS problem; even little Switzerland, that jewel of a country, the epitome of cleanliness and conservatism, has a frightening AIDS problem.

In those countries, Stephen Strauss' advice should also be taken! Statistics should be separated from politics and consideration of race or anything else! The public has a right to know the facts, all the facts. I for my part am convinced that by the end of the 1990s we won't recognize the world. I believe in the survival of the fittest—I do not mean the muscle-bound Rambos, pictured by Hollywood films, no, I mean those of us who think a problem through before they act. But once they act, they do so with calm, even cold, detached, deliberate and forceful steps to protect themselves and their families. I guarantee you that there is not a single man-made law that will stand in a man's, or a group of people's way who have made up their minds that they, their wives and children are going to live.

We are not facing pleasant times, but what will come out of the next upheaval will be a little more reality-oriented than the

sick liberal minds with their unnatural and therefore doomed-egalitarian marxist ideas. These people are already the political dinosaurs of tomorrow.

Ten years, that's all it will take—maximum. The one thing which could change all that is an effective vaccine or some other potion which prevents, arrests or even cures AIDS. The chances are slim for that!

The AIDS virus is apparently mutating into ever different strains, so all of you who put your blind faith into our modern ability to find a cure for everything, well, they have not found a cure for the common cold.

Cheer up! Where there is life, there is hope! □

* * * * *

THE BIG AIDS DECEPTION

from *Sunday Times*, South Africa, 21 January 1990

SCIENTISTS examining satellite photographs taken over Central Africa noticed a curious phenomenon. Several formerly prosperous villages in Zaire had been deserted and bush was already encroaching on the roads leading to ghostly circles of abandoned dwellings.

Further investigations revealed that AIDS was the monster that had caused the villagers to flee in panic into the bush—leaving their dying behind them.

The researchers, from the World Health Organisation, then turned their attention to Uganda. There the picture was similarly "clear and awful" with more deserted "AIDS villages."

Entire towns were heading for a similar fate—in Kasensero, for instance, one in every four inhabitants had already died and nearly all those still alive were infected with the disease known as "Slim."

Kenyan prostitutes on the streets of Nairobi were tested—and not one was found to be free of the virus.

It has only recently dawned on Western AIDS agencies that African nations are guilty of grossly and shamelessly under-

reporting their AIDS casualties, often to avoid loss of face and keep the tourist dollars flowing.

Piecing together the evidence, independent researchers have painted a grotesque picture of a continent dying of AIDS. The most frightening projection of all has come from WHO itself which believes the virus could claim half Africa's population within the next ten years.

The SA AIDS Economic Research Institute predicts the disease will cause a huge population vacuum in Central Africa by the mid-1990s, causing all mineral production to cease.

"AIDS is everyone's problem," say the posters lining the avenues of Harare. But the next line is the telling one: "Jesus Christ—the only hope."

The implication is one increasingly heard in Africa—that short of divine intervention the continent is lost to AIDS.

Five years ago Zimbabwe's blood transfusion service was proud to become the third in the world to screen blood for the HIV virus. Now the nation leads the continent in the great African AIDS deception.

Collapse

Two years ago Zimbabwe decreed that the official death toll be *reduced* from 380 to 119. Nearly all research was halted. There are reports, officially denied, that sufferers are confined to AIDS concentration camps near Lake Kariba.

All reference to AIDS on death certificates is banned and blood transfusion services are under orders not to release HIV statistics because these are "state secrets."

Western agencies believe up to a million Zimbabweans are sero-positive—a quarter of the nation's adults—and have warned that the country faces economic collapse by the end of the next decade.

"It's an internationally-held opinion that statistics from many African countries are huge underestimations," says South African AIDS expert, Professor Ruben Sher. "Only 10 percent of cases in Africa are being reported." WHO has no choice but to accept statistics provided by reporting nations—many of which are up to a year late and subject to each nation's prejudices. Thus

late last year WHO announced there were 33,000 sufferers in Africa.

This is an amazing distortion of the true picture. Malawi, one of Africa's smallest countries, is estimated to have a sero-positive population at least TWICE the size of the official total for the entire continent.

In Africa there is a surprisingly widespread belief that AIDS is an invention of the church aimed at curbing sexual freedom. This led to a popular quip that SIDA, the French acronym for the virus, stood for Syndrome Imaginaire pour Discourager les Amoureux.

In the Zairean cabinet, jokes about AIDS were once rife. But they suddenly stopped when a senior cabinet minister died of AIDS—along with his wife and mistress.

Further south, three Zambian cabinet ministers died within a year from causes never publicly revealed, but widely rumoured to be AIDS. Then President Kenneth Kaunda publicly admitted that his fifth son had died of the disease.

There is strong evidence that AIDS originated in Africa, whether or not one believes the green monkey theory. Nevertheless, African nations—with the possible exception of Uganda, Zaire and Zambia—still often hold the belief that AIDS is a white man's disease.

"In the US they said AIDS was from Haiti," says Renee Sabatier of London's Panos Institute. "In Haiti, they said it was from Africa. In Africa they said it was a disease of the degenerate West."

The truth is no one knows where AIDS came from. But it is here and there is no cure. It's time all African nations admitted an epidemic is sweeping the continent. □

**THOSE WHO WILL NOT READ
HAVE NO ADVANTAGE OVER THOSE
WHO CANNOT READ**

POSTSCRIPTS

by Revilo P. Oliver

WHEN ABORTION IS A CRIME

All simpletons know there ain't no difference 'tween races, but nevertheless female Congoids commonly become puberate when they are nine. A few years ago in Chicago, one specimen, perhaps a little precocious, being barely nine and in an advanced stage of pregnancy, was mentioned in the local press because she had been raped by her uncle, and had been taken to a hospital where she would be aborted. Immediately, a passel of men and women, all of the species that is so stupid that it permits itself to be taxed for the comfort of Congoids and to accelerate their breeding, assembled outside the hospital to protest what they called a crime. They wailed that old Jesus had injected a soul into the precious fetus and destined it to grow up to afflict white boobs like themselves. Whether old Jesus had also incited the rape was not clear; perhaps that question did not occur to their clotted minds.

There is one instance in which a similar abortion would have been a crime against humanity. Whether it occurred or not, I unfortunately do not know.

The *Weekly World News* is given to sensationalism, but, unlike more respected newspapers, it never, so far as is known, invents the stories it publishes. In its issue for 20 December, it stated that a fifteen-year-old female Congoid in Kenya was pregnant and would give birth normally in January. She said she had been raped by a baboon.

There was obviously something wrong with that story, because, as everyone knows, male baboons, having many activities to occupy their time and hold their interest, become sexually

aroused only when a female in oestrus presents herself to them and solicits fecundation.

According to the obstetrician's report, however, there was ample proof that the child in the womb had been engendered by a baboon. He had, *a priori*, believed such an event impossible, because, as you know, baboons are not apes; they are monkeys, and therefore farther removed from the human species, having diverged from the evolutionary line much earlier than gorillas, orangutans, and chimpanzees.¹

He was, however, convinced that the "young woman was impregnated by a baboon... We are certain the child [in the womb] is not entirely human. It has the facial features of a baboon and appears to be covered with a thick mat of hair."

"God only knows," he added, "how it will behave after it is born. The infant may be weak and helpless like a human—or it may swing from the chandeliers."² Obviously, the infant was one that should properly arouse the solicitude of all intelligent persons, and the pregnant mother and her child, when born, deserved the utmost care, since it was a phenomenon that would provide crucial data about the genetics of anthropoids.

There were suggestions of abortion, although an abortion in the eighth month of pregnancy would endanger the life of the mother. I do not know whether the nitwits who "demonstrated" in Chicago would have been certain that Jesus had been at work in Kenya, thus making the semi-baboon sacred as a future customer for holy men, but aborting it would have

1. The reproductive systems and procedures of human females, of the higher races, at least, differ greatly from those of other primates, thus raising physiological questions that you may wish to consider.

2. The physician was, of course, exaggerating to make his point. Infant baboons require considerable care from their mothers (often assisted by other females in the band) before they are ready to scamper about, but it is true that they develop more rapidly than do infant Congoids, who, in turn, develop much more rapidly than infant Caucasoids (and, no doubt, Mongolians). There are further differences between the White races and subraces, and some indications that Nordic infants develop the most slowly of all.

been a crime against mankind, preventing our race from obtaining scientific information of the utmost value on a crucial matter.

Unfortunately, I do not know what happened in Nairobi. Unless the reader who kindly sent me the article I have cited overlooked a subsequent item in the *Weekly World News*, there was no report in the American press, for the *New York Times* and similar publications well know why American boobs should not be allowed to learn that female Congoids can conceive by baboons.

Baboons, as I have said, are monkeys (Cynocephali), and that is what made the pregnancy in Kenya so astonishing. It has long been believed that human females could conceive by gorillas (Anthropithecii). One apparent hybrid, named Julia Pastrana, became quite famous in the middle of the Nineteenth Century; see the article by Allan Callahan in *Liberty Bell*, March 1986, pp. 58 ff. The author justly observes that although she exhibited human intelligence, the fact that her child, sired by the man who virtually owned her, closely resembled her corroborates the opinion that she had been engendered by an ape.³ In January 1976, a similar creature, evidently part gorilla or orangutan (as shown by the published photograph), was purchased for \$8000 by an attorney in New York named Michael Miller, who thought it a capital investment from which he intended to profit. I do not know what happened.

3. As Mr. Callahan saw, this is the crucial datum, to be weighed against the report that the creature was born in Mexico, where there are no gorillas or other apes suited to paternity. The truly horrible science of teratology has been largely limited to recording the appearance of anthropoid monsters without ascertaining the genetic causes. The best known examples of monstrous births are the so-called Siamese twins, and some of the most shocking deformity is found in what may be called uncompleted Siamese twins, i.e., the individual, who may live to become adult, is born with some organs that were evidently part of a twin that for some reason ceased to develop further. Some creatures that have been exhibited (like Julia) as partly simian have probably been deformed mulattas or mestizas.

If any reader of these pages knows what was the result of the highly significant pregnancy in Kenya, I shall be very grateful for the information.

BUILDING YOUR FUTURE

The scandalous affair of the Savings & Loan Associations, also known as Building & Loan Associations, has received relatively little attention from its victims, the American taxpayers. Perhaps the world's beasts of burden are so used to their servitude and the yoke about their necks that they realize it would be futile to complain when they are goaded.

There have, of course, been articles in the press, more or less openly predicting that the worst is yet to come. The best that I have seen in a periodical of wide circulation is the series of articles in *Forbes* by Ashby Bladen, of which the first, in the issue for 21 March 1988, shows that he clearly understood the political causes of the débâcle, although he prudently refrained from tracing them to their source.

The details of the malodorous fraud were set forth in an incisive article by Stephen Pizzo, Mary Fricker, and Paul Muolo, "Inside Job," which appeared in *Playboy*, presumably in the issue for December 1989, of which a kind correspondent has sent me photocopies. It describes the amazingly audacious swindle carried out by unsavory individuals who are named, and whose antecedents, criminal affiliations, and police records should have prevented even naive persons from entrusting them with so much as one dollar.

Most significant is the blatant ostentation with which the thieves displayed their corruption, traveling in their own private jet planes, living in conspicuously wasteful luxury, proudly displaying vulgar prodigality, and giving lavishly expensive parties at which they provided teams of highly talented whores for their guests. You may at first sight wonder why the many individuals who witnessed the ostentatiously spendthrift antics of the heads of the various Savings & Loan Associations, and

knew from the press of some of their patently spurious investments, did not immediately withdraw whatever funds they had entrusted to persons whose apolaustic prosperity could not have been honestly attained. You will see the reason at once: they knew that no matter how much had been stolen, the solvency of the agencies was guaranteed by the taxpaying animals in the United States.

When you read the article you may wonder at the cleverness of scoundrels who can make \$30,000,000 disappear overnight, and loot a single agency of \$540,000,000 by making loans to pals who intend never to repay them. Individuals so talented might have been useful in really high finance on the international scale, if they had prudently arranged to have their deprecations concealed.

What is clear is that the débâcle had been foreseen, if not planned, by the thieves whom the dim-witted taxpayers elect to form the Congress of the United States. A politician, as Mencken observed, confesses that he is a liar, a thief, and a scoundrel, but his racket demands a certain animal shrewdness in addition to the talent to tell nonsense convincingly to the gullible majority of voters. This requires an intelligence which must have perceived the consequences of freeing Savings & Loan Agencies from regulation while making the taxpayers guarantee their solvency. Not even the most fatuous uncle would tell his favorite nephew, "Go, have fun, and I will honor all the cheques you write on my account." That, in effect, is precisely what the Congress made the taxpayers promise.

Congressional complicity is further demonstrated by the efforts of Senators to prevent inquiry into the affairs of obviously bankrupt associations. Whether the Senators received remuneration in the famous brown paper bags that some, when thoroughly soused at lunch, forget and leave on the table,¹ or merely followed the political rule, *asinus asinum fricat*, is uncertain.

1. Several years ago, the retired *maitre d'hotel* of the Senate restaurant reported in his memoirs that when the drunks happily staggered from

The world's beasts of burden will be taxed \$285,000,000,000 (two hundred and eighty-five *billion* dollars) to pay for the fun of the heads of the associations that have *thus far* become bankrupt, and the authors of the article estimate that each individual taxpayer will have to contribute at least one thousand dollars.

But, after all, why not? The stupid creatures have long consented to be taxed for "foreign aid," and to provide prosperity for the Kikes in Israel and for boss niggers in "developing" countries, and nothing can be more certain that creatures so low in intelligence that they would consent to be taxed for "foreign aid" to anyone have become a species of mammal that is no longer viable.

There is, therefore, nothing scandalous or immoral about the looting of the Savings & Loan Associations. If the American imbeciles are willing to give part of their incomes for "foreign aid," they certainly cannot logically object to financing the high-jinks of Jews and other spoilers in the United States, where the money was spent.

Even the most perceptive writers on the subject of the Savings & Loan Associations seemed to treat the matter as unprecedented and unconnected with anything in the past. They do not see that what happened to the Savings & Loan Associations was already determined in 1932.

We are entitled to assume that the future of the United States had been planned, at least in general outline, in the fateful year 1913, when the Congress, at the behest of Sheenies despatched from Germany for that purpose, and in return for bribes that are said to have been absurdly small in comparison with what was sold, violated the Constitution to subject the American people to the owners of the Federal Reserve, for which preparation was made by the Communist device of the Income Tax.

their tables, they sometimes left behind paper bags, some of which, if I remember correctly, contained as much as \$100,000 in tightly-packed currency.

An obvious first step was the First World War, which not only inflicted death, destruction, and bankruptcy on the Aryan nations of Europe and established the Bolsheviks in Russia, but in the United States killed many young Aryans, forced both Federal and state governments to contract enormous debts to the usurers, and, perhaps most important of all, deformed our social structure and demoralized American society.

That war was followed by a blind American reaction, which was speedily contained and reversed by the simple device of precipitating the so-called "economic depression" and thus electing a government of traitors, headed by our great War Criminal, in 1932.

By that time, the future of Savings & Loan Associations was already determined, because the local associations were then eminently safe investments for persons who were content with a modest but secure return on their capital. It was necessary to convert the Americans from saving and investing to spending and borrowing from the usurers. At one time, "New Deal" propaganda even claimed that it was "unpatriotic" to save money: that was "hoarding" and delayed "recovery" from the "depression." It was everyone's duty to spend everything he had.

Led by venal politicians and dim-witted dupes, Federal, state, and local governments borrowed and squandered immense sums, ostensibly to "prime the pump" or construct "improvements" that were spendthrift's luxuries when financed by debt, but actually for the purpose of sabotaging and demoralizing the nation's economy and taxing everyone to pay ever more interest to usurers for imaginary loans, in a progression of which the only possible end will be the bankruptcy of an impoverished nation and its stupid inhabitants.

That is how, by design and crafty deceit, Americans were converted into "a nation of borrowers and spenders," as Mr. Bladen said in 21 March 1988. Were converted, in other words, to a feckless horde of imbecile spendthrifts.

It is true that many felt misgivings when they saw the vogue of policies patently foolish, but they were usually befuddled by the sophistries of shysters who called themselves expert economists and spoke nonsense with assurance and authority. Naive persons assumed that such big brains must perceive factors too abstruse to be tested by common sense.

Financial folly was authorized by the pretentious economics devised by John Maynard Keynes, who claimed that nations and individuals could make themselves rich by spending money borrowed from usurers. His strange and, no doubt, crafty theory² was eagerly accepted by the vampires who batten on the credulity of the ignorant, and they financed a propaganda of intensive deception that induced persons who seemed to be both sane and sober to countenance the theft of their property.

The purpose of the "new economics" was not merely to loot the nation; that was just the preliminary to the reinstatement of slavery.

It is obvious, of course, that slavery depends on making the slave totally dependent on his owner for the necessities of life. That required the abolition of private property—real property—before the boobs could be successfully enslaved.

As we all know, the very first targets of the "financiers" were the agrarian part of the population. Ownership of land gave a real independence, even after ownership was impaired by the imposition of taxes to be squandered in various kinds of

2. Keynes was an intelligent man and he cannot have believed what he said. When you read him, your first impression that what he recommends is a moderate use of inflation, and that the guilt falls on politicians who violate that advice, but if you pause to reflect on his proposed remedy, you will see that it really amounts to what a proverbial metaphor in Sanskrit describes as trying to extinguish a fire by feeding it enough wood to glut its appetite. Keynes was a noted pervert, and emotionally unstable. The late Malcolm Muggeridge, who was well acquainted with Keynes and the circle about him, believed that Keynes devised his economic hokum to take vengeance on society, which he blamed for the loss of a favorite "boy-friend." If you reread Keynes with that in mind, you may see in certain quirks of vocabulary and style corroboration of Muggeridge's opinion.

do-gooding. A steady pressure since the 1920s reduced American farmers, once the largest potentially cohesive segment of the population, to a small minority, totally dependent on regulations and handouts from the Federal government, and now being gradually but methodically dispossessed and replaced by coolies from the Orient.

In American towns, the local owners of local hotels, grocery stores, comparatively small factories, and other businesses had a certain limited independence. They have been replaced by the hirelings of enormous corporations of unascertained ownership—hirelings who own nothing, not even the houses they are “buying” at usurious rates on long-term mortgages, and of which they will have to sell their equities, if any, when their masters transfer them to other posts.

Now real estate is really rented from the governmental thieves who impose taxes.

The few corporations that were safe investments so long as there was any social stability have been crippled or looted or are parlously near to being taken over by the enemies of the American people. Government bonds, once thought safe investments, have been made one of the most outrageous swindles by inflation of the currency.

The American serf, soon to become notoriously a slave, now exists to work about five months of the year for his owners, and is temporarily allowed to work the rest of the year for himself. But he is, in fact, owned by the Federal Reserve—totally owned since the last bits of money were taken from the uncomprehending creature and replaced by trading stamps, bits of intrinsically worthless paper of which the purchasing power is being steadily and rapidly reduced, and which will soon become entirely worthless, unless, perhaps, the bits can be “recycled” to manufacture more useful paper.

The Building & Loan Associations that have been and will be made bankrupt were, of course, doomed, long before the present scandal, by manipulation of the currency. They had

entered the current phase with much of their funds in loans at interest rates of 6%, 5.5% and sometimes even 4.5% on contracts prohibiting rapid repayment.³ Naturally, the dividends they paid investors were only 5% or less.

Before the national economy was thoroughly sabotaged, the Savings & Loan Associations could count on a steady recovery of their invested capital as the borrowers paid off in monthly installments the mortgages on the homes they were buying. Almost all such associations therefore guaranteed to their investors the right to reclaim their investment at any time on very short notice. When the Federal Reserve began to ravage the economy by setting high rates of interest, with the hypocritical pretense they were trying to check the inflation they were simultaneously creating, many persons quite naturally wanted to withdraw their capital from the Associations in order to profit by the higher returns that were available elsewhere, often directly from the U.S. Treasury. When the reserves that had been thought an ample cushion against fluctuations were exhausted, the Associations had to borrow at rates capriciously set by the usurers, sometimes more than twelve percent, to honor withdrawals of funds, while they were receiving from their borrowers much lower rates of interest.

Obviously, even honestly managed associations were put under stresses that made them financially unstable by the end

3. Rates of interest differed in many states, but were always below the legal maximum, because the original purpose of the associations was to encourage persons of moderate means to own their own homes, for that, in a sane America, was regarded as the foundation of a stable society. In most states, the maximum legal rate of interest was 7%, although Texas and a few other ‘Western’ states allowed 10%. Mortgages on real property in excess of the legal rate were invalid, and the courts usually were reluctant to permit evasion of the legal limits by “finder’s fees” or collecting interest before it was earned. In the 1950s, when many insurance companies had a surplus of funds to invest and were debarred from speculative investments, they offered loans on real estate at the low rate of 4.5% provided the borrower would agree not to repay the loan in less than thirty-five years; some Building & Loan agencies tried to meet that competition.

of the 1970s, often before. The looting described in the article in *Playboy* that I have cited was, so to speak, merely the icing on the Federal Reserve's delicious cake.

The looting will help put white slaves securely in the ergastula they built for themselves. The slaves seem proud of their fetters, of the iron collar they riveted about their own necks. They are so accustomed to their bonds that they cannot imagine what it would be like to be freemen, as Americans once were.

Many Americans with moderate incomes still believe that Old Ronnie lowered the income tax, although many of them had to pay more last year with the abolition of certain exemptions and allowances, and will, of course, always pay much more by virtue of the continuous inflation of the Federal Reserve's trading stamps.

Experts differ as to whether the insurance companies or the banks will be the next to be collapsed. A secondary question is whether either or both operations are to precede the next war, which is surely now being secretly arranged and scheduled. The answers to both questions are known, of course, only to the owners of the United States, i.e., the owners of the Federal Reserve.

There has been some muttering of discontent with present and coming slavery, but there is nothing you can do about it.⁴

There was a time when the American people could have recovered control of their currency. A sagacious and courageous lady, Gertrude M. Coogan, a highly respected financial adviser, warned them in 1935—yes, 1935—in her book, *Money Creators*,⁵ which was sponsored by former Senator Robert L. Owen, who had been Chairman of the Senate Committee on Banking

4. In 1981 James von Brunn made a valiant attempt to force consideration of the constitutionality of the Federal Reserve Act in a Federal court. He was released from prison on probation in 1989.

5. The book is available from Liberty Bell Publications, \$8.00 + \$1.50 postage.

and Currency in 1913, and had himself drafted the original bill for establishment of the Federal Reserve system, and who was still a member of the Senate in 1920, when he saw and protested the beginning of the Federal Reserve's calculated measures to inflate and then break the national economy, although he did not then perceive that the purpose was to create the "economic depression" that, in turn, permitted the election of the crypto-Communist monster who became the great War Criminal of all time.

Had the American people been willing to face a few unpleasant facts in 1935, they might have remained a relatively free people. It may not have been too late for them to avoid slavery in 1959, when an honest officer of the Federal Reserve, Malcolm Bryan, was President of the Federal District that had its capitol in Atlanta, Georgia, who told his fellows, "If a policy of active or permissive inflation is to be a fact, then we can secure the shreds of our self-respect only by announcing the policy.... We should have the decency to say to the money-saver, 'Hold still, little fish; all we intend to do is gut you.'"

The little fish wanted to be gutted, it seems. The country and all the Americans in it have been gutted, and now there is nothing they or you can do about it.

You can do nothing about it because the Federal Reserve now owns you and can squash you at any time you trouble your masters. And, anyway, unless you are a very exceptional American, you wouldn't *want* to do anything about it—not if you know what is really involved.

Who are your owners? They are of course the secret owners of the Federal Reserve's Class A stock. And who are they?

The secret has leaked out in recent years according to reports which are substantially in agreement. The last report, which has just come to me, is in a bulletin issued on 27 March 1990 by James E. Braddock, managing principal of an investment group in Albuquerque, New Mexico. He says that "according to very accurate sources in Zurich and Saudi Arabia," the

owners of the Federal Reserve are, "in order of their ownership":

1. Rothschild Banks of London and Berlin.
2. Lazard Brothers Banks of Paris.
3. Israel Moses Saif Banks of Italy
4. Warburg Bank of Hamburg and Amsterdam
5. Lehman Brothers Bank of New York
6. Kuhn, Loeb Bank of New York
7. Chase Manhattan Bank of New York
8. Goldman, Sachs Bank of New York.

All the owners are obviously Sheenies, with the possible exception of the Rockefellers, who are believed to own the Chase Manhattan Bank. Many believe them to be Jewish, but they could be only accomplices in crime; a careful study of their genealogy will be necessary before their claim to be White can be irrefragably refuted or confirmed.

Now you see where that leaves us. Surely no good Christian American could ever be so bigoted and wicked as to become a Nazi and be ungrateful for the privilege of licking the dirty feet⁶ of the divine race whom his God placed over him and all other lower animals. Why, that would be aunt-eye-Seemittic! Better, far better, to live and die in righteous slavery.

OUR JEWICIAL SYSTEM

Many Americans, with their talent for ignoring the obvious, still imagine that their courts are concerned with the administration of justice in litigation that comes before them. When a wolf seizes a sheep in a pasture, the rest of the flock stops grazing and runs in a general *sauve qui peut*. Sheep are stupid mammals, but they at least become aware of their danger and have not lost their instinct of self-preservation. A flock of Ary-

6. Assuming, of course, that although you are not an Aryan king, you are entitled to share in the privilege of washing Kikes' dirty feet with your tongue, in conformity with the orders which, as all good Christians well know, were issued by their god, whose very words are quoted in their Holy Book, *Isaiah 49,23*.

ans, however, just goes on grazing, too busy feeding to notice what is happening to one of them.

I have mentioned several times in these pages the way in which the alien dictatorship in Washington acted to suppress Lyndon LaRouche, and noted that he and his immediate associates were convicted of not repaying certain loans after all their assets had been seized by the Federal government. In the April issue, p. 59, I quoted an observer who reported that "it was actually forbidden to mention in court that the reason the loan payments had stopped was that the government had seized the funds." In other words, the person who presided over the court and pretended to be a judge was actually a commissar who understood that his function was to do his masters' bidding and imprison the victims they had selected. He differed from other hireling terrorists in that he used a simulation of legality instead of a gun.

Even if one has no more esteem for LaRouche¹ than I have, the travesty of legality in that trial should alarm every American, if he retains even ovine intelligence.

That was not the only known instance of the use of mock courts to punish Americans for insubordination to their alien masters. Everyone who looks at newspapers was aware of the commissar, disguised as a judge, who ordered the inhabitants of Yonkers, New York, to import niggers to spread democracy by raping White women and mugging White men. And in Georgia another pseudo-legal thug, engaged in criminal oppression of our race, was sensationally removed from office by the only means by which that can now be done.

1. As I remarked when trying to guess why the masters in Washington want to destroy LaRouche, about the only items of his propaganda to which they could reasonably object are (a) an opposition to the depredations of international finance, which, however, is made ineffectual by misleading identification of the predators, and (b) opposition to the administration's efforts to spread and popularize the African Plague (commonly called "AIDS"). In addition to the periodical I mentioned in April, his followers continue to publish a weekly newspaper, now called *The New Federalist*. The issue for 1 January contains a generally excel-

It is true that there are still some honest judges, even in Federal courts, and I noted one remarkable instance in my article on LaRouche, but they are probably all old men who are tolerated until death or retirement makes it easy to replace them with more progressive individuals. It is just possible that there are a few younger judges of integrity who were appointed by oversight.²

The corruption of the Federal judiciary (with, of course, a concomitant corruption of state courts) was carried out gradually and unobtrusively by the slightly disguised Communist apparatus that acquired control of the United States in 1933. When the corruption became obvious, a little of the blarney that intoxicates "do-gooders" sufficed to make it acceptable to the boobs.

That was true even in 1954, when the building erected for the Supreme Court was occupied by Earl Warren and his gang

lent article on the Plague, which, however, is vitiated not only by the usual concealment of racial factors, but by the claim that a principal cause of the disease is "malnutrition." That canard could be used to justify continued looting of Americans by what is called "foreign aid," one of the many means of hastening the reduction of the boobs to acute penury. The falsity of the *New Federalist's* propaganda is shown by the item of good news from the South African press, reproduced elsewhere in the present issue of this magazine, which shows that the Plague is especially prevalent among the well-fed and pampered boss-niggers in the jungles to which the American nitwits enthusiastically converted the African colonies that were ruled by civilized peoples. The observer whom I quoted in April noted that the Jews were extending their control of LaRouche's organization, now that the Federal terrorists have him in prison.

2. About forty years ago, as I recall, there was a rather sensational scandal in a Federal Circuit Court of Appeals and one of the judges, guilty of numerous crimes, was convicted of some of them and imprisoned. The dominant political party felt it necessary to mitigate the scandal by appointing a man of known probity, esteemed as such by the lawyers of the region. The choice fell on a friend of mine, whose legal brilliance had enabled him to specialize almost entirely in appeals to state and Federal courts, and who, I was told, had the distinction of having carried appeals to the Supreme Court in Washington more often than any other individual lawyer (i.e., excluding large firms of attorneys). He was

of aliens and traitors, who issued an unmistakably Judaeo-Communist edict that the children of White Americans must be subjected to demoralizing, debasing, and often brutal association with niggers in the public schools. There was some futile protest by the comparatively few Americans who retained some sense of self-respect and some recollection of the liberty to which Americans had aspired in 1776, but the great majority of righteous boobs responded with idiotic grins of satisfaction in their degradation and servitude.

That edict was followed by a whole series of patently Communist edicts, which the imbeciles did not understand—not even after Warren appeared as an accomplice, either before or after the fact, in the assassination of Jaeknapes Kennedy, who was sacrificed by his masters in an operation to suppress the growing discontent of a minority of Americans who, through their "Indignation Meetings," had succeeded in arousing some glimmerings of thought in the narcotized majority.

If Americans were still a viable species of mammal, they would be alarmed *en masse* by the repeated demonstrations that the Germanic legal system, which was once the pride of the Anglo-Saxons in England and in the colonies that revolted from England at the end of the Eighteenth Century, has been re-

accordingly offered the appointment at the bargain price of \$50,000. He was tempted, because his overriding ambition from boyhood had been to become a judge like his two grandfathers, both of whom had been justices of state supreme courts. He could well afford the indulgence, but had scruples about compromising with the corruption that is a function of 'democracy.' He hesitated for some time, and, if I remember correctly, the price was reduced to \$35,000 (in real money, which was still in use at that time), before he accepted the offer, telling his friends, "If those sons-of-bitches think they will have the slightest influence over me after I have been appointed [for life], they are greatly mistaken." It was he, however, who was mistaken. He discovered that he could not simply hand a paper bag with \$35,000 in currency to someone on a street corner: he would have either to pay by cheque or deliver the cash in a room of a hotel in which many rooms were notoriously "bugged" to permit recording on both tapes and films. He would thus be subjected to perpetual blackmail, and he, of course, told the political gang to go to their natural home, Hell.

placed by the Judaic legal system that was in evidence in Russia under the Soviets. But almost every individual of the doomed race, if sufficiently conscious to notice what is happening, must say to himself, "I will crawl on my belly and my owners will not notice me, or, if they do, I will passionately lick their boots to placate them, so why should I care about what happens to less abject members of my species?"

The newsletter of the Railway Claims Services, 31 March, reports another example of the pseudo-juristic commissars' work. An employee of the Illinois Midland Railroad, while "visiting" a rerailing operation (i.e., looking on at work in progress, as idlers often do), was injured and suffered the loss of an arm. On 26 February in Springfield, Illinois, a jury returned a verdict that awarded the injured man \$9,042,615 in compensation!

The Illinois Midland is a small railroad that extends from Pekin to Taylorville, a distance of 118 miles.³ The verdict amounts to more than the net worth of the railroad, which is thereby simply confiscated.

The report does not show the composition of the jury, which may have been partly or entirely composed of anthropoid garbage swept up from the streets. That it was mentally and morally incompetent is obvious. But its incompetence was excited by a commissar on the bench.

What is significant is that the commissar who presided at the trial prevented the jury from learning that (a) although the man had not been injured in the performance of his job, the railroad had paid all of his medical bills, and (b) the railroad entered into a contract to employ him at a good salary for the rest of his life, and was doing so.

The commissar was clearly serving the Federal government's long-standing policy of tightening the noose 3. It is what is left of the Chicago, Peoria & St. Louis, which may have been the first railroad in Illinois that was forced into bankruptcy and dismemberment by governmental policy, sixty years ago. A comparable case, despite the great difference in territory served and kind of traffic, was that of the New York, Westchester & Boston.

about the boobs' necks by liquidating the railroads.⁴ In Illinois, between one-third and one-half of all the railroad tracks have already been torn up and sold for junk, thus effectively eliminating the many comparatively small businesses, still owned by Americans, that depended on the destroyed railway lines for existence.

The same issue of the newsletter reports a new racket. A jury awarded \$527,000 to a man who claimed that his hearing had been damaged by the noise made by the diesel engines in the locomotives he operated on the hard-pressed and precariously solvent Iowa Interstate Railroad, which runs from Bureau, Illinois to Council Bluff, Iowa.⁵ No one seems to have paid any attention to the fact that the man voluntarily continued to earn very high wages by operating the noisy locomotives, thus voluntarily damaging his auditory faculties, if indeed they were damaged by anything except the normal process of aging, which usually does reduce acuity of hearing, especially in males.

The newsletter remarks that *thirty thousand* similar claims are now pending against railroads, and, furthermore, a labor union has filed a suit to permit pseudo-legal extortion of manufacturers of locomotives as well as of the railroads that operate them.

An American businessman, president of several comparatively small businesses that have not yet been taken over by the aliens' huge corporations, writes me, apropos of the recent ver-

4. This is not the place to undertake an analysis of the indispensable function of railroads in a national economy that is not self-destructive. Economic sanity is beyond the intellectual capacity of a nation that sees nothing amiss when automobiles can be made in Japan from imported materials, shipped across four thousand miles of ocean, and sold for less than the inferior products of our domestic industry.

5. It is a fragment of the famous transcontinental Rock Island System, not to be confused with what is now the Chicago, Central & Pacific, a surviving piece of the once great Illinois Central, which is being dismantled by the Jews who now control it. Some pieces of it are sold to companies hurriedly organized in an effort to save railroad service for the communities affected, more than one of which has already ended in bankruptcy and liquidation. Other pieces are torn up by the company and sold as junk, probably to Yiddish junk-dealers.

dicts against railroads, "It appears that the jewdicial system is intent on destroying every bit of our industry.... I think that all of my businesses are on borrowed time."

He is right, of course. When Americans gave their country to their enemies in 1932, American industry was spared until it had served its purpose by making possible the Jews' victory in their war against our race and civilization. After 1945, the wrecking crews started to work in earnest, with the immediate objective of ensuring the Jews' One World by making the nation militarily helpless,⁶ and then to destroy what was left of the private property that prevented Americans from becoming totally enslaved and mere chattels existing at the pleasure of their owners. The boobs, their little skulls filled with "Liberal" muck, were delighted.

The standards of life that were once taken for granted by the middle class in this country have been drastically reduced, year after year, but the victims can remain drugged with verbiage for a few more years, since only actual physical suffering will teach the stupid Aryans that they are now slaves, livestock owned by the enemies to whom they idiotically gave their country and themselves.

RÉVISION

I salute the appearance of a new and worthy periodical in France, *Révision*, published by Alain Guionnet at 11, rue d'Alembert, 92130 Isay-les-Moulineaux. It is evidently to be a monthly, 260 francs for six issues, and 500 francs for thirteen. Single copies are 18 francs, with \$3.75 given as the American equivalent.

The cover bears a reproduction in color of what appear to be the obverse and reverse of a dollar bill that was issued when American currency, although debased, was still real money, since

6. Some little attention was momentarily excited recently when a Japanese indiscreetly disclosed the fact that our ballistic missiles, which are all that remain of armaments that can be used in a real war, depend on parts imported from Japan.

the intrinsically worthless piece of paper could be exchanged at any bank for a silver dollar. The two sides of the bill, however, are the outer faces of a diptych, which, when opened, shows the sinister symbol of interlaced triangles called the Seal of Solomon, with the reminder that the value of the dollar bill depends on the signature of the Secretary of the Treasury of the United States, a Sheeny named Morgenthau, who, you will remember, was one of the foremost accomplices of our great War Criminal.

To the left of this symbol are comments, not entirely accurate, on the symbolism of the Great Seal of the United States, including the reverse, with its Masonic device, which was kept in abeyance until the loathsome thing called Roosevelt had it placed on paper currency. The thirteen tiers of stone in the unfinished pyramid represent the English colonies that formed the United States, and the pyramid is surmounted by the All-Seeing Eye, a symbol which the Masonic religion took from the Jews, who had filched it from the Egyptians; it is really the Eye of Horus.¹ The legend over the pyramid,

1. It is the eye that Horus lost in combat with the god of the Asiatic invaders, Set, who had slain and dismembered Osiris. Osiris, through the divine power of one of his two sister-wives, the faithful Isis, who had reassembled and reunited his body, was able, while dead, to engender in Isis a son to avenge him, Horus. Horus eventually recovered possession of the eye he had lost in combat, and used it to resurrect his father and make him Lord of the Underworld, where the righteous, whose innocence of sin has been proved by the weighing of their hearts in the scales of Anubis, dwell in tranquil felicity. Since the Egyptians did not have the implicit sadism of Christians, sinners are not tormented in the Underworld; their souls are simply annihilated, devoured by a monster that squats near Anubis at the psychostasy. Osiris was originally a god of vegetation, which dies each winter and is resurrected each spring, and naturally became a god that saved mortals from death and thus was a prototype of Jesus. — There was originally an opposition and even hostility between the aristocratic and relatively rational worship of the sun-god, Rē (Rā, Amon-Rē, Amen-Rā, etc.) and the emotional and essentially irrational religion of Osiris, the god who died and rose from the dead, but the two religions were eventually amalgamated and the eye of Horus was identified as the Sun. This was a decline, for heliolatry is the one rational religion that has appeared on earth: the Sun is in-

annuit coeptis.² is an affirmation of faith in the god of the contemporary deists, although both Christians and Jews could read their own meaning into it. The conclusion the author of the diptych drew from the symbols and the signature of Morgenthau is stated in bold characters, which may be translated as "This money is certainly Jewish!"

The opposite page of the diptych is even more interesting: "This dollar paid for the Jews' War. It is the only message that the Anglo-Americans are able to send us: will it suffice to recompense us for the disasters brought upon us by the JEWS' WAR? Money has no stench, but a Jew has."

The editors of *Révision* believe that this recognition of contemporary realities, which obviously dates from the time that the American boobs were financing what was called the Marshall Plan for the reconstruction and Judaization of the Europe they had ruined, was printed in Sweden.

Like all honest periodicals that do not kowtow to Yahweh's Master Race (including *Liberty Bell*), the new periodical is in urgent need of financial support, and the editorial on the inside of the front cover of the February issue pleads for subventions. It also reports that in Paris the Yids have become less aggressively assertive of their dominion, but are swarming in Nanterre (which has become a western suburb of Paris), where a Frenchman is on trial for the crime of having had a thought that was not *kosher*.

The editors remind us that the Yids are vehement champions of "Human Rights" because the Holy Talmud repeatedly states that only Jews are human and thus have the god-given

deed the Creator of life on this planet and hence of each of us. It is a pity that the foolish or venal technicians who pose as "Creation Scientists" do not take an opportunity to venerate a god who is not entirely illusory.

2. The phrase was suggested by Ascanius's prayer to Jupiter in the Aeneid: "audacibus annue coeptis." It thus referred to the audacity of the thirteen colonies in revolting from the mother country and trying to form a nation of their own, and is, of course, unexceptionable in that sense, having no reference to grotesque myths about a Hiram and "Solomon's Temple." The eye of Horus became a symbol of divinity in many religions imitated from or indirectly influenced by the Egyptian.

right to do what they will with dogs, horses, cows, Aryans, and other *goyim*.³ In Nanterre, the swarm of Sheenies is led by a lordly rabbi, whose mouth is adorned with a big "circumcised cigar."

The reference to the clipping of fine cigars prepares us for a vigorously satirical article on the barbaric custom of clipping the sexual organ of male infants, which, by the way, is a practice that according to the Holy Talmud is the *raison d'être* of the world, which would be destroyed, if male children were not sexually mutilated to give their foreskins in tribute to their ferocious god.⁴ And this leads us to an article on the Gospel of Thomas that was found at Chenoboskion (Nag Hammadi) in 1945, of which a definitive French translation was published in 1975. It is suggested that this gospel, which was buried and secure from interpolation for fourteen centuries, should be used to correct the much interpolated gospels in the "New Testament" now in current use by Christians.

The February issue includes a short chapter, "Évolution politique de la bourgeoisie capitaliste," from *Les financiers qui mènent le monde*, the latest of the many books written by Henry Coston, whose name will be familiar to everyone who has paid any attention to anti-Communist writings in France, and the journal offers for sale a new and expanded edition of that book. I do not have that book by Coston at hand, but I suppose it to be an application of what he said in *Le veau d'or*

3. The editors cite the Talmud from *Textes rabbiniques des deux premiers siècles chrétiens*, published by the Pontifical Institute of Biblical Studies in Rome, 1955. For English translations of these injunctions, see the *Christian News Encyclopaedia*, which I mentioned in *Liberty Bell*, November 1989, p. 3. See also the Reverend Father I. B. Pranaitis's *Talmud Unmasked* (available from Liberty Bell Publications, \$3.50 + postage). There are many compilations of crucial excerpts from the Talmud in German and other languages.

4. On that disgusting and savage practice, see Nicholas Carter's excellent study, *Routine Circumcision* (London, 1979; available from Liberty Bell Publications.) In *Liberty Bell*, October 1989, pp. 1-5, with an addendum in November, pp. 7-10, I reported on articles courageously published in the *Truth Seeker* issued in San Diego, which condemned "the crime of sexual mutilation" of children, and even advocated a law that

est toujours debout (Paris, Publications Henry Coston, s.a. [1987]), which contains a summary account of great financial bandits, mostly in the United States, supplemented by two hundred pages of small type in double columns, which are a "Who's Who" of the great malefactors of finance of the present and includes a few of their most notorious precursors (e.g., John Law) in past centuries. It is a very valuable work of reference, and, so far as I know, may still be obtained from Mme. Coston's Librairie Française (27, rue de l'Abbé-Grégoire, Paris 6).

The chapter is accompanied by a commentary in which the editors point out (as prudent M. Coston would never do) the relation of the financial plundering of the world to the celebrated *Protocols des sages de Sion*, of which they also have copies for sale.

The famous *Protocols* (available in English, French and German from Liberty Bell Publications, \$8.00 & \$7.50 resp. + postage) have always embarrassed Yahweh's Own, who scream that the work is a forgery—a claim facilitated by the variety of accounts of how the text came into the possession of Professor Nilus, who published a translation of it in 1901.⁵ The uproar is intended to make *goyim* afraid to read the document, but the talk about forgery is really irrelevant. I happen to have a report of a chemical analysis of the coal obtained by strip

would forbid it and make more Jews illegal (oh, happy day!). I gather that as a result of a recent decision in the courts, the rival *Truth Seeker* that was published in Austin, Texas, will suspend publication, but its proprietors, in the February 1990 issue of the *American Atheist*, published an equally vigorous article by Professor Frank R. Zindler, which exposes the Stone Age savagery of the mutilation of male children in the United States, which was made "routine" by venal physicians who, wittingly or unwittingly, served the Jewish purpose of making it more difficult to identify disguised Yids.

5. Intelligence agencies naturally and habitually try to conceal their sources. Some of the stories about how the *Protocols* were obtained seem to have been devised to discredit them. For one plausible account, see *Waters Flowing Eastward*, by "L. Fry" (the late Countess Paquita de Shishmareff), edited by the Reverend Dr. Denis Fahey, (London, Britons, 1965), pp. 74 ff.

mining in eastern Illinois. If I attributed that analysis to you and published it under your name, that would be a forgery, of course, but it would not in the least diminish the accuracy of the chemical analysis reported in it.

Whether or not the Elders of Zion were really so indiscreet as to put on paper an outline of their methods, the *Protocols* contain an accurate account of the methods that the Jews now use and have long used in their instinctive racial effort to help old Yahweh carry out his promise to them, that he would destroy every nation they invaded (e.g., *Exod.* 23.27). It is ironical that although many complacent Aryans could not discern the validity of the *Protocols* in 1904 and following decades, the Jews are now, by their arrogant assumption of mastery and their promotion of their latest great swindle, the Holohoax, establishing conclusively the accuracy and historical authenticity of the document they called a forgery, in an attempt to prevent their victims from reading it and learning how and by whom they are victimized.

Révision is a journal written on a high intellectual level that is rare among 'right-wing' publications. The longest article in the February issue is devoted to the situation in Soviet Azerbaijān and adjacent territories, where the recent outbreak of fighting between Shi'ite Moslems and Christian Armenians, with belated intervention by Soviet troops, was sparingly reported in the American press.

The article sketches the historical antecedents, without which no current event can be understood, and describes ethnologically the present inhabitants, making it clear that the real difficulty is the innate hostility between incompatible races and ethnic subgroups. Sound philology emphasizes the fact that language is not a racial criterion, but does often bring together peoples of diverse racial character and results in some confusing amalgamation by miscegenation and hence a divisive weakening of the racial stock, whatever it is. It is

noted that the present policy of the Soviets in their part of Azerbaijan does not differ basically from the policy of the Czars.

Révision is the most outspoken periodical that Aryans have in France today, and we must hope that it will survive and flourish and perhaps become a major force in liberating the real French from the nightmare of oppression by the Jews and the uncivilized aliens they have imported into France to destroy gradually its civilized people. Perhaps France will some day become what it was in the Eighteenth Century and even until the Jews used the Dreyfus case⁶ to acquire a preponderant influence over gullible Frenchmen, a civilized nation in which Yiddish terrorists cannot with impunity assault and try to murder men of intellectual integrity, such as Professor Faurisson.

I note, incidentally, that France under its Judaeo-Communist government has paralleled the United States in that there has been an enormous increase in superstition. According to the current (Spring 1990) issue of the *Skeptical Inquirer*, a poll conducted by *L'Express* shows that "a sizeable proportion of the population believes in paranormal phenomena of one kind or another, including astrology, witchcraft, sorcery, and telepathy." A poll "of more than 1,500 people indicated that those with a higher scientific [!] qualification were more likely to believe in the paranormal [a weasel word for supernatural drivel] than those with basic primary schooling—46 percent compared with 41 percent."

"France," the article concludes justly, "appears to be taking its cue from Nostradamus rather than Descartes these days." A book of the ambiguous predictions made by the crafty Jew called Nostradamus has sold more than 1,300,000 copies, and there are three hundred publishers who specialize in books of occult hocus-pocus. There are "more than 40,000 professional astrologers who declare their income to tax authorities" and "undoubtedly a far greater number of moonstruck stargazers, mediums, necromancers, and fortune tellers...choose not to de-
6. I summarized the Dreyfus affair in *America's Decline*, pp. 19 f.

clare their income." Estimate the number of ignorant boobs required to support that horde of nitwits and swindlers. For further details, see the periodical cited, pp. 232 f.

This extraordinary growth of infantile credulity in a country that once claimed to be the most rational and sceptical of all European nations must be accompanied by a probably enormous increase in the number of French men and women who are now befuddled by Christianity and similar religions, which the article in the *Inquirer* did not consider.

Surely it cannot be a coincidence that there has been a catastrophic decline of rationality in France, the United States, and all other countries that have fallen under the dominion of Yahweh's Pestilential Parasites. □

**THE BOOK
THAT MADE THE JEWS SO MAD
THEY HAD TO INVENT THE MOVIE
HOLOCAUST!**

**AUSCHWITZ:
An Eye-Witness Report**

**by Thies Christophersen
& Foreword by Manfred Roeder**

**Order No: 01017
single copy \$2.50 + \$1.50 for postage
5 copies \$10.00 + \$1.50 for postage.**

**Order from:
LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS
Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA**

FOR MY LEGIONARIES. The Legionary Movement in Romania, commonly known as the Iron Guard, —perhaps the oldest anti-Communist movement in the world, still alive—was founded by Corneliu Z. Codreanu in 1927. *For My Legionaries* (353 pp., pb., \$8.00 + \$1.50 for postage & handling), Codreanu's stirring work, is a complete and authoritative account of the ideals and principles of the Legionary Movement which shaped the character of young Romanians before WWII. Control over the communications media and the normal channels of book distribution by our international enemies makes it impossible to reach the broad market this unique book deserves. We are certain that *For My Legionaries* will soon become a collector's item. This book also provides the 'missing pieces' of the drastically censored *The Suicide of Europe* by Prince D. Sturdza, the identity of those who masterminded Romania's takeover and who are now engaged in carrying out the same program in the U.S. will no longer be unknown to you. ("Solzhenitsyn would appear to have not the slightest inkling of who conquered HIS country!"—B.C.) **FOR MY LEGIONARIES**, Order #06003, single copy \$8.00, 3 copies \$21.00, 5 copies \$35.00

THE ANTI-HUMANS, by D. Bacu (307 pp., hb. \$7.00 + \$1.50 for postage & handling) describes what was done to the young men whom Corneliu Z. Codreanu, the founder of the Legionary Movement in Romania, inspired, when seven years after his brutal murder, Romania was delivered to the Bolsheviks. They were subjected to what is the most fully documented 'Pavlovian experiment' on a large number of human beings. It is likely that the same techniques were used on many American prisoners in Korea and Vietnam. *The Anti-Humans* is a well-written document of great historical and psychological importance. Reading it will be an emotional experience you will not forget. "A sequel to Orwell's *1984*" —R.S.H. "A searing exposé of Red bestiality!" —Dr. A.J. App). **THE ANTI-HUMANS**, Order #01013. Single copy \$7.00, 3 for \$15.00, 5 for \$20.00.

For postage and handling add: On domestic orders, \$1.50 for orders under \$10.00, 15% of order total for orders over \$10.00. On orders from abroad, \$2.00 or 20% respectively. Sample copy of our monthly magazine *Liberty Bell* and copy of our huge book list containing hundreds of "Eye-Openers," \$4.00. Subscription for 12 hard-hitting, fact-packed issue \$35.00 (U.S. only). Order from:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS
Postoffice Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

KEEP THE LIBERTY BELL RINGING!

Please remember: *Our Fight is Your fight!* Donate whatever you can spare on a regular—monthly or quarterly—basis. Whether it is \$2., \$5., \$20., or \$100. or more, rest assured it is needed here and will be used in our common struggle. If you are a businessman, postage stamps in any denomination are a legitimate business expense—and we need and use many of these here every month—and will be gratefully accepted as donations.

Your donations will help us spread the *Message of Liberty and White Survival* throughout the land, by making available additional copies of our printed material to fellow Whites who do not yet know what is in store for them.

Order our pamphlets, booklets, and, most importantly, our reprints of revealing articles which are ideally suited for mass distribution at reasonable cost. Order extra copies of *Liberty Bell* for distribution to your circle of friends, neighbors, and relatives, urging them to subscribe to our unique publication. Our bulk prices are shown on the inside front cover of every issue of *Liberty Bell*.

Pass along your copy of *Liberty Bell*, and copies of reprints you obtained from us, to friends and acquaintances who may be on our "wave length," and urge them to contact us for more of the same.

Carry on the fight to free our White people from the shackles of alien domination, even if you can only join our ranks in spirit. You can provide for this by bequest. The following are suggested forms of bequests which you may include in your Last Will and Testament:

1. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the sum of \$. . . for general purposes.

2. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the following described property for general purposes.

**DO YOUR PART TODAY—HELP FREE OUR WHITE
RACE FROM ALIEN DOMINATION!**