

AMERICA'S DECLINE

THE EDUCATION OF A CONSERVATIVE



REVILLO P. OLIVER

ABOUT THE AUTHOR: Dr. Revilo Pendleton Oliver, Professor of the Classics at the University of Illinois for 32 years, is a scholar of international distinction who has written articles in four languages for the most prestigious academic publications in the United States and Europe.

During World War II, Dr. Oliver was Director of Research in a highly secret agency of the War Department, and was cited for outstanding service to his country.

One of the very few academicians who has been outspoken in his opposition to the progressive defacement of our civilization, Dr. Oliver has long insisted that the fate of his countrymen hangs on their willingness to subordinate their doctrinal differences to the tough but idealistic solidarity which is the prerequisite of a Majority resurgence.

SOME QUOTABLE QUOTES FROM *AMERICA'S DECLINE*

On the 18th Amendment (Prohibition): "Very few Americans were sufficiently sane to perceive that they had repudiated the American conception of government and had replaced it with the legal principle of the 'dictatorship of the proletariat,' which was the theoretical justification of the Jews' revolution in Russia."

On Race: "We must further understand that all races naturally regard themselves as superior to all others. We think Congoids unintelligent, but they feel only contempt for a race so stupid or craven that it fawns on them, gives them votes, lavishly subsidizes them with its own earnings, and even oppresses its own people to curry their favor. We are a race as are the others. If we attribute to ourselves a superiority, intellectual, moral, or other, in terms of our own standards, we are simply indulging in a tautology. The only objective criterion of superiority, among human races as among all other species, is biological: the strong survive, the weak perish. The superior race of mankind today is the one that will emerge victorious—whether by its technology or its fecundity—from the proximate struggle for life on an overcrowded planet."

' *AMERICA'S DECLINE*

ORDER No. 1007—\$8.50

plus \$1.00 for post. & handlg.

376 pp., pb.

ORDER FROM:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21; Reedy WV 25270 USA

Liberty Bell

ISSN: 0145 -- 7667

SINGLE COPY \$3.00

MORE OF REAGAN'S LIES

by Foreign Correspondent Jim Taylor

page 17

ALSO IN THIS ISSUE:

POSTSCRIPTS, by Professor Revilo P. Oliver: An Overgrown Story, page 1; *AD ASTRA PER ASPERA*, page 4; *SIC TRANSIT*, page 8; Our Last Chance, page 9; Hark, Hark, the Dogs Do Bark!, page 16. TOWARD REALISM IN UNDERSTANDING THE ORIGINS AND DEVELOPMENT OF NATIONAL SOCIALISM, by Dr. Charles E. Weber, page 44. THE POLITICAL BATTLE FOR VICTORY, by The Nordic Press, page 51. NOTES ON RACIAL IDEALISM, by Allan Callahan, page 54.

VOL 14 — NO. 6

FEBRUARY 1987

Voice Of Thinking Americans

LIBERTY BELL

The magazine for *Thinking Americans*, is published monthly by Liberty Bell Publications, George P. Dietz, Editor. Editorial Offices: P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA - Phone: 304-927-4486.

Manuscripts conforming to our editorial policy are always welcome, however, they cannot be returned unless accompanied by stamped, self-addressed envelope. Manuscripts accepted for publication become the property of Liberty Bell Publications.

COPYRIGHT 1984

by Liberty Bell Publications

Permission granted to quote in whole or part any article except those subject to author's Copyright. Proper source credit and address should be given.

ANNUAL SUBSCRIPTION RATES:

SAMPLE COPY with several reprints	\$ 3.00
THIRD CLASS - U.S.A. only	\$25.00
FIRST CLASS - U.S.A.-Canada-Mexico only	\$32.00
FIRST CLASS - All foreign countries	\$35.00

AIR MAIL - Europe-South America	\$45.00
Middle East-Far East-So. Africa	\$49.00
Sample Copy	\$ 4.00

BULK COPIES FOR DISTRIBUTION:

10 copies	\$ 18.00
50 copies	\$ 65.00
100 copies	\$110.00
500 copies	\$400.00
1000 copies	\$700.00

These prices apply only to our standard 52-page editions.

FREEDOM OF SPEECH—FREEDOM OF THOUGHT FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION

The editor-publisher of *Liberty Bell* does not necessarily agree with each and every article in this magazine, nor does he subscribe to all conclusions arrived at by various writers; however, he does endeavor to permit the exposure of ideas suppressed by the controlled news media of this country.

It is, therefore, in the best tradition of America and of free men everywhere that *Liberty Bell* strives to give free reign to ideas, for ultimately it is ideas which rule the world and determine both the content and structure of culture.

We believe that we can and will change our society for the better. We declare our long-held view that no institution or government created by men, for men, is inviolable, incorruptible, and not subject to evolution, change or replacement by the will of the people.

To this we dedicate our lives and our work. No effort will be spared and no idea will be allowed to go unexpressed if we think it will benefit the *Thinking People*, not only of America, but the entire world.

George P. Dietz, Editor & Publisher

POSTSCRIPTS

by
Revilo P. Oliver

AN OVERGROWN STORY

Over the past year or two, several correspondents, one of whom enclosed a copy of a published newsletter, have enlightened me about the true origin of the famous colossal statue of the goddess Eleutheria, who, most inappropriately wearing the radial crown of Apollo Helios, stands on a monumental pedestal at the entrance to the harbor of New York City. As is well known, the statue was given by a group of Frenchmen and Jews who collected money for it, even from French schoolchildren, and, whatever the intention of the donors, some tawdry verses by an enemy alien were inscribed on the pedestal to advertise the United States as the world's garbage dump for anthropoid refuse.

The information sent me was timely, since, on the fourth of July of this year [1986], the memory of the founders of the American Republic was desecrated by a series of vulgar shows and exhibitions, largely directed by Jews for profit, centered about the colossal statue and intended to convince stupid Americans that it was so noble of them to throw away the country they once had and put it on the world's trash-heap. The ceremonies were so disgusting that another correspondent, who witnessed most of them, suggested that the thing to do with the statue was pack it up and ship it back to France.

Now the story sent to me was that the statue was one that the Alsatian sculptor, Auguste Bartholdi, had designed for erection at the entrance of the Panama Canal, when that great project was completed by Count Ferdinand de Lesseps, who wanted to repeat his triumph of engineering in the Suez Canal. When it became apparent that the French corporation headed by De Lesseps could never complete the canal across the Isthmus of Panama, Bartholdi's work, with slight changes, was converted into a statue of Eleutheria, the goddess whom the Romans

called Libertas, for presentation to the United States. One version of the story adds that the collection of money in France served as a cover for subversive propaganda against the government of the French Emperor, Napoleon III.

The story was obviously wrong, made impossible by chronological considerations. The use of the proposed statue for covert propaganda against Napoleon III does not fit, because Bartholdi's first public proposal of the project was made in 1871, after the Franco-Prussian War and the consequent fall of the Second Empire. His proposal, furthermore, was made eight years before a French consortium decided to build a canal across the Isthmus of Panama and asked the aged Count de Lesseps to head the corporation and direct the work. And finally, the statue was erected on Bedloes Island and dedicated in 1886, two years before the Panama Company became bankrupt and probably before anyone not "on the inside" could have known why it would inevitably end in bankruptcy. (France, after the fall of the Second Empire, was a "democracy" like the United States, so the company was simply looted by great financiers and their accomplices in the Chambre de Députés, who by clever propaganda shifted the onus of their guilt to Count de Lesseps and his son, and had them prosecuted for it by the corrupt government. The real scandal was concealed from the public until it was exposed, years later, by Dumont and other wicked "anti-Semites," who did not know that Jews can do no wrong.) And the statue was dedicated more than twelve years before anyone could be certain that the French Canal project, on which work was carried on, sometimes desultorily, by a successor corporation, would fail and be sold to the United States through more of the noisome corruption that is a necessary part of "democracy" and constitutes its chief charm.

The story was therefore a canard, but it did not seem to be merely a malicious invention, such as is so common in the Jews' newspapers and other media of communciation and misinformation. On the contrary, it had the characteristics of a story that has grown about a kernel of fact by more or less innocent misunderstanding and imaginative elaboration. The only thing of which one could be certain without some little research was that Bartholdi had wanted to produce a modern counterpart of the famous Colossus of Rhodes, a huge statue of Apollo Helios created by Chares, a pupil of Praxiteles, for the Republic of Rhodes; it was erected at the entrance to the harbor of Rhodes and dedicated in 290 B.C. (It stood there until it was over-

thrown by an earthquake. The prostrate figure, still one of the marvels of the ancient world, lay there for centuries until a wily Jew, with the instincts of his race, bought it from the impoverished people of Rhodes and melted the bronze for use in the highly profitable manufacture of weapons.) Bartholdi, therefore, must have intended his colossus for the entrance to *some* harbor or waterway. As for the rest of the story, I was mildly curious, but I did not have a biography of Bartholdi or similar source of authentic information at hand and I did have on hand many more—too many more—questions of much greater importance to our race.

I was pleased to find the answer in the September-October issue of the *Aramco World Magazine*. Bartholdi, wishing to imitate the Colossus of Rhodes and perhaps influenced by the monumental sculpture he saw on a tour of Egypt, did design a colossal statue to be placed at the entrance of the Suez Canal, and he did enlist the good offices of Count de Lesseps in his efforts to sell his project to the Khedive of Egypt. Bartholdi had finally to abandon that project because the necessary funds were not available and, perhaps, also because some persons who influenced the decision had good taste in art.

The magazine prints photographs of Bartholdi's drawings and model for the colossus of Suez. Bartholdi had a head buzzing with "democratic" notions and he had the grotesque idea of placing at the entrance of the Suez Canal, which had been the work of Aryan brains and Aryan technical skill, the colossal figure of an Egyptian peasant woman, a female of the fellahin, with her coarse mongrel features emphasized by her slovenly dress and odd hat, holding aloft a torch to symbolize Progress, in which she and her kind had no part.

Defeated in his project of erecting that monstrosity, Bartholdi, still determined to rival Chares, finally had the idea of making a colossal statue for presentation to the United States, and he had the good sense to abandon his proletarian sympathies for traditional art, while retaining the concept of a colossal female figure holding aloft a torch. Some of his early designs are shown, including one in which the goddess of Liberty, already adorned with the radial crown borrowed from Apollo Helios and his statue at Rhodes, was to stand on a step-pyramid, probably suggested by the famous pyramid of Djoser in Egypt. Bartholdi's limitations as an artist are most clearly shown by a plan to make the statue in New York Harbor serve as a kind of lighthouse, with the light coming, not from the uplifted torch,

but from the figure's forehead, doubtless to show that her brains were white-hot with ideas. He obviously profited from criticism by competent artists or amateurs with good taste, for while his finished colossus is no masterpiece of beauty, it is acceptable and certainly is not offensive, as were some of his early designs for it. What is now so offensive about the statue is not its design as sculpture, but what it has been made to symbolize. It has been made a monument to the suicidal mania of the American people.

So there you have the kernel of truth in the current story about the now desecrated statue of Eleutheria. The process by which that kernel was concealed by accretions of fiction is simply typical of the growth of folk-tales and all stories that pass from mouth to mouth and are altered by misunderstanding and faulty memories. I could give you a score of other examples offhand, and perhaps hundreds with a little effort to collect them, but let this one suffice for the present.

* * *

AD ASTRA PER ASPERA

Nine years ago, the Jews had not yet exhibited their power over the lowly natives of their newest Promised Land by ordering the expulsion of Werner von Braun and Arthur Rudolph, the Germans who had made it possible to send vehicles into space, and the National Space Agency was still interested in scientific research. The craft that was launched in 1977 has now reached and passed Uranus, where it made observations of that planet and its satellites that have greatly enhanced our knowledge of our solar system. This was a scientific triumph of great importance to our civilization and race. It was noticed in a paragraph on the back pages of newspapers that devoted hundreds of columns to a trivial event, the destruction of the multi-racial show-boat called a "shuttle."

The observation of Uranus was made possible by the expert work of some scientists who, though doubtless demoralized by the conversion of the Agency to the show-business, remained at the controls of the intricate machine that observed Uranus. The machine was essentially a robot that obeyed commands sent to it from the earth. The numerous and delicately precise commands that were necessary to make the robot observe Uranus and its satellites efficiently are described in the issue of the *Scientific*

American for November. The many details cannot be summarized or even adumbrated here, but a reading of the article cannot fail to arouse your admiration and restore your respect for the once great race that was capable of such an achievement. It will also give you a vision of what could be accomplished if, as Professor Van Allen urged, the "Space Program" were returned to scientifically useful work.

The promoters of the entertainment-business, naturally, were not chastened by the loss of the show-boat that they destroyed by their own fecklessness. All they want is a new show-boat with which to titillate and impress the innumerable individuals who are addicted to staring at their boob-tubes. And, no doubt, they are already dreaming of a series of romps in the welkin to amuse and propagandize *hoi polloi*—a nicely graduated series of stunts that will become as thrilling as performances on a flying trapeze in ordinary circuses and rise to a grand climax, the first copulation in space, which, needless to say, will be between a big black ape and a young and pretty white slut.

* * *

SILENCE IS GOLDEN

A fairly long article, "The Emergence of Maya Civilization," by Professor Norman Hammond of Rutgers University was published in the *Scientific American* for August 1986. It describes many vestiges of Mayan culture, many of them recently discovered, including, for example, two step-pyramids (comparable to the Egyptian pyramids of that type) which are believed to have been built c. 150 B.C., i.e., long before the period A.D. 300-900, in which the Maya were at the apogee of their civilization. According to the author, the pyramids belong to the end of a period of a thousand years or so, during which many of the characteristics of the later period appeared. This earlier florescence of the Maya was followed by what one could, with a little hyperbole, call a Dark Age that lasted for about five hundred years. I can only accept these conclusions in a field in which I have no competence.

What arrested my critical attention was the assumption that since c. 9000 B.C., the date given for the earliest traces of human occupation, the region of Yucatan and Guatemala in which the Maya flourished has been occupied by only one

ethnic division of one race—that, in other words, the earliest inhabitants were the ancestors of the historical Maya, who were racially identical with the squalid and rather brutish inhabitants of that territory today. That implies an amazing socio-historical phenomenon for which there is no parallel elsewhere in the world, a territory that was continuously occupied for more than ten thousand years by one nation without ethnic change. That seems to me incredible.

What, you will ask, about the Olmecs, who were generally regarded as the precursors of the Maya? Professor Hammond seems never to have heard of them. You may even be so bold as to ask about the many artefacts and other indications of the presence in that part of the world at various times since c. 800 B.C. of visitors from across the Atlantic. You should know better than to ask such a question. It is taboo. Aryans will behave more docilely if they are convinced that civilizations, every bit as good as their own, just spring up everywhere by some kind of spontaneous generation.

To suggest today that other races might have reached the Western Hemisphere before Columbus is heresy, just as it was heresy a thousand years ago to suggest that a Christian shaman could not magically convert wine into Jesus-juice for the salvation of pious blood-drinkers. For an engaging and well-written summary of the evidence for trans-Atlantic visitors to Yucatan and adjacent regions that was available about twenty-five years ago, see Constance Irwin's *Fair Gods and Stone Faces* (New York, St. Martin's Press, c. 1963). Mrs. Irwin is admittedly presenting the evidence to prove her case, and it is quite possible that some small part of it may be forged, e.g., the head of baked clay found in 1928 at Balsas in Mexico, far to the west of the Isthmus of Tehuantepec, and known among anthropologists as "the Sheeny" or "Uncle Sam," since it is remarkable for its distinctively Semitic or possibly even Jewish features, including an accipitrine proboscis and a long, curly beard painted black. We can place no reliance on such evidence, but neither can we ignore, for example, the distinctively negroid features of the four colossal heads of basalt, one of which was equipped with a concealed speaking-tube for the convenience of holy men intent on explaining the ways of God to man. The heads, eight feet high, were found encompassed by jungle near the swamp-engulfed city of La Venta on the maritime border of Tabasco. And if you explain them away, what shall you do with unquestionably authentic reliefs that you can

explain only by postulating that at one time the Indians of that region suddenly sprouted beards that they subsequently lost, but of which they were so proud that they adopted the custom of Egyptian rulers, who wore artificial beards on ceremonial occasions? When you have done that, you will then have to postulate odd Indian humor to account for a glyph that shows a beardless Indian trampling on a bearded man. It may be possible to explain away such evidence, but it must not be simply ignored to avoid offending the chaste ears of "intellectuals" who cling with passionate faith to the Christian hokum about the equality of all races.

I owe to *Instauration* a reference to *The Blood of Kings*, by Linda Schele and Mary Ellen Miller of Yale University. It is a significant book I would otherwise have missed in the unending deluge of bound volumes that pour from the presses these days. It amply documents what *Instauration* calls "the traditional bowdlerization of the Mayan past." Everyone who has read at all in the field has noticed that even respected scholars, in an effort to preconize Mayan "civilization," gloss over evidences of savagery; if they do not ignore them as completely as they ignore all evidence for the influence of other races, but I confess that the quantity and quality of the evidence collected and candidly presented in this book astonished me.

We are now compelled to confront the psychological paradox that the Maya, with their impressively accurate calendar, which must have been the fruit of diligent observations conducted with quasi-scientific accuracy over centuries, were also blood-thirsty savages, who not only tortured innumerable victims before butchering them, but even resorted to sexual and other mutilations of themselves to conciliate or appease gods as vicious as old Yahweh.

The Maya in their *Blütezeit* believed that their calendar, which, aside from their monumental architecture, is their principal claim to civilization, had a profound religious significance and controlled their destiny; they would therefore have perpetuated it, no matter whence they had derived it. The Jews took all their knowledge of civilization and its arts from other races. Is it conceivable that the Maya did not do likewise?

* * *

SIC TRANSIT

The continuing civil war in Ceylon (now called Sri Lanka according to the dubious tradition that the island is the Lañkā of the Rāmāyaṇa) and the frequent reports of riots and massacres there remind me that thirty years ago, in 1958, I read with appreciation a charming little book, *A Garland of the Muses*, distributed by Blackwell in Oxford. It was the work of L. W. de Silva, who was, until his retirement, Judge of the High Court in Ceylon, which, until a few years before, had been part of the British Empire. It consisted of translations in admirably adroit and sensitive Latin verse of poems or parts of longer poems by more than two dozen English poets. The translations were some of the best Latin verse that has been written in this century, and what made them the more remarkable was the fact that Mr. de Silva was not an Englishman and had not been trained in the British schools in which the tradition of a high and difficult art still precariously survived. He was of Portuguese ancestry, of course; he had been born in Ceylon, and educated in a college there. As few would be prepared to believe, he had taught himself to write excellent Latin poetry. And I suppose he included in his little book no original Latin verse of his own in obedience to the tradition that the real test of scholarly skill in poetry is translation, in which the writer must render as accurately as possible the poetic conceptions of another, and is not free to modify the sentiment to suit prosodic or rhetorical convenience.

India, as I believe Sir John Littleton was the first to remark, was never ruled so well as when it was governed by gentlemen who wrote Latin verse. Some Hindus today nostalgically agree.

The unending civil war in Ceylon is only the inevitable result of the suicidal mania of our race, the "anti-colonialism" inspired by the Jews and carried out by their shock troops, neurotic Aryan twerps who think it intellectual to defame their ancestors and sabotage their own civilization.

Anyone who had even a smattering of the history of Ceylon and some idea of the biological diversity on an island that is inhabited by persons who belong to fifty distinct ethnic groups, each of them incompatible with most of the others,¹ could have

1. There are two major groups, separated by irreconcilable racial, religious, and linguistic differences. The Sinhalese are racially a typical Hindu amalgam in which an Aryan element is still perceptible; their religion is Buddhism in its purest extant form; their language is Indo-European, a

predicted with certainty the chaos that now prevails there—and will prevail until the problem has been simplified by *decisive* massacres. And I am sure the prime movers of the "anti-colonial" agitation were intelligent enough to know what would happen and planned it that way.

They will deny it, of course. The intelligent "Liberal" has always disclaimed responsibility for the ruin he creates. He is like a man who throws a bomb into a crowded room and then shows distress when he sees the bodies of the dead and maimed. He protests that he did not know that the bomb would explode. If we are charitable, we will believe him; and if we are rational, we will see to it that he never again has an opportunity to display his idealism and good intentions.

* * *

OUR LAST CHANCE

I make no apology for recurring so frequently in these pages to the dire disease improperly called "AIDS." I was glad to see in the September issue that the author who writes under the rubric "Nordic Press" agrees that the coming epidemic will give Aryans a precious but undeserved last chance for racial survival, assuming that a considerable number of them still have the intelligence to use that chance when it comes.

The epidemic is the subject of an ever increasing number of books and articles in periodicals of all kinds, and the official efforts to conceal its present extent and terrifying potential or to misdirect the attention of the public are surely a proof that the imminence of catastrophe is real, thus dissipating a last possible suspicion that the gravity of the epidemic disease might be sensationally exaggerated to distract attention from some act of governmental treason to be perpetrated under its cover.

It must be taken for granted that the Jews who have occupied our country and now have us in subjection are aware of what the future will bring and must be devising means to prevent the catastrophe from loosing the noose they are drawing ever tighter about our throats. But I cannot imagine what they can do, especially if, as there is some slight evidence, they

dialect of Pali. The "Tamils" are a purely Dravidian people; their religion is a debased form of Hinduism adulterated with the aboriginal cults of the Deccan; and they speak Tamil, a Dravidian language slightly influenced by more civilized tongues.

have a racial peculiarity that makes them more susceptible to the disease than our race.

Dr. James McKeever, who has made a thorough study of the dire infection, in his new book, *The AIDS Plague* (Medford, Oregon, Omega Publications, 1986) thinks it quite likely that from 20% to 25% of the present population of the United States will die within the next twelve years. He does not estimate the relative mortality among the various races, but it is not necessary to know that to foresee that when one out of every five or even every four persons in this country is dying an extremely painful death from a highly contagious disease, the reaction of our people will be uncontrollable by anything short of a general massacre. Although I remind myself how often I have erred by underestimating the swinish apathy of Aryans in this country, I nevertheless believe that even their torpor will be broken when every individual stands in the shadow of death and the smoke rises ceaselessly from the vast pyres on which the bodies of the dead are reduced to ashes with a hope that the virus in them will not have survived the combustion.

I believe I first mentioned the disease in these pages in December 1983, when, alluding to kuru, a viral and brain-consuming disease among the cannibals of New Guinea, I suggested that it was similar to "AIDS," which was then believed to attack only White male homosexuals. That was a lucky guess, since the great similarity, if not identity, of the two diseases is now established. But I certainly went astray in later speculations that Immunity Deficiency might be like syphilis, which is deadly to our race but only a slight inconvenience to Indians and most niggers. I was, of course, misled by our prostituted press, which deliberately deceived the victims of its mendacity by publishing photographs of White homosexuals who were infected and dying, with the implication that other races were not affected.

It is now conclusively proved that the lethal epidemic originated among the niggers of the Congo, where it was contracted by niggers from Haiti, who brought it with them when they entered the United States as refugees and infected Whites who were not only homosexual perverts, but so utterly degraded that they copulated with Blacks. The epidemic began, perhaps twenty years ago, in the Congo, and it is now estimated that in a broad belt across the whole of central Africa, south of Ethiopia and north of Rhodesia, some 30,000,000 niggers, both male and female in almost equal proportions, are now infected; and there

is no apparent reason why so vast a number of them will not transmit their deadly disease to the whole of a population that is by nature dirty, feckless, and improvident. There is some evidence that infected Blacks die more rapidly than White men. It would seem therefore that a drastic improvement in the ecology of central Africa is in prospect. There is no known reason why the epidemic will not spread to the southern part of the continent, and if the contagion spreads rapidly enough, it may even save the people of South Africa from the consequences of their Christian folly.

Even the propaganda machine has had to admit at last the racial factor, while trying desperately to explain it away. For example, the *Washington Post* on 24 October admitted that niggers and "Hispanics" (the foolish euphemism for the mestizos and other mongrels that the government in Washington is importing in ever increasing numbers from Mexico) are far more susceptible to the infection than other races, since 70% of all infected females, and 80% of all infected children belong to the two racial groups, although they form, of course, a relatively limited minority in the population of the United States.

This proportion doubtless holds in estimates of the total extent of the epidemic at present. An analysis of the statistics reluctantly released by the Federal Center for Disease Control on 31 July shows that thus far male homosexuals are almost the only members of the White races now infected, and *The Thunderbolt*, in an issue distributed in August, even went so far as to proclaim that "for all practical purposes heterosexual White people are immune to AIDS." There is at least some hyperbole in that statement, for it is most unlikely that we have a racial immunity to immunity deficiency, but it is at least vastly encouraging that so very few normal men and women of our race are now doomed to perish from the infection. And there is further encouragement, if I am correctly informed that in Belle Glade, Florida, the town which has the highest per capita number of diseased individuals, about half of the population is White and none of them has shown symptoms of the disease, which therefore must be confined to the racial garbage that pollutes the town.¹

1. The datum purportedly comes from Dr. Gus Sermos, an agent of the Center for Disease Control, who was sent to Florida to investigate the seventy-six known cases of Immunity Deficiency in that state and quickly discovered another 1,100, before the agency punished him for his tactless efficiency. In an interview published in Larouche's newspaper, *New*

There is some uncertainty about Jews, who seem White, but, according to haematological analyses, are a hybrid race with 5% to 10% of Congoid blood. No one, of course, would make a public statement that would bring upon him the ruthless vengeance of God's Master Race, but rumors circulate privately to the effect that Yahweh's Masterpieces share the negroes' susceptibility to the lethal infection. There are some indications that corroborate the rumor. It is admitted that precautions, not sanctioned by the *Talmuds*, are now being taken to minimize the danger that Immunity Deficiency will be transmitted by the homosexual act that rabbis perform on circumcized male infants. And the same reason would explain the violent reaction of the Union of Hebrew Congregations to the Department of Justice's ruling that it will not prosecute employers who discharge employees known to be infected with "AIDS." As reported in the *Christian News*, 29 September, the Jews screamed about the "vicious" act that "discriminated" against persons who have the deadly disease, thus permitting employers to deny them an opportunity to infect others. So passionate a reaction suggests whose ox is being gored. And it is significant that no one who reported the furor paused to notice the enormity of Communist rule over an enslaved nation in which a White man no longer has the right to decide whom he will employ in his own home or business.

In the meantime, official agencies are trying to conceal the extent of the epidemic. The *Wall Street Journal*, 28 May 1986, exposed the trick of classification that distinguished between what is officially recognized as "AIDS" and what is called "ARC," which is obviously the same disease and is ten times as prevalent. Even more deceitful is the talk about "carriers," that is, persons known to be infected and to infect others, but who have not yet started to die; this is accompanied by some Pollyanna's cheerful estimate that only 10% or some other small

Solidarity, 3 February 1986, Dr. Sermos roundly charged that in Florida, and probably throughout the country, the Center "has been completely [his emphasis] and actively negligent, or irresponsible, to any need of the surveillance program around the state. And they just completely poo-poo any efforts to discuss it with them; they just don't want to hear it." He says that he was himself told to "ignore the situation in Belle Glade" because it was "too politically sensitive." It was so sensitive, of course, because it might make lowly White men have wicked thoughts about the noble niggers and mestizos whom it is their Christian duty to cherish, nourish, and serve.

proportion of the "carriers" will "develop" the disease themselves. That is patent nonsense when speaking of an infection of which the period of incubation may be as long as fifteen years or even more. It is obviously an attempt to comfort the one to two million homosexuals who are now infected but have not yet shown symptoms of the disease's terminal stage. The American press is also trying hard to give the impression that "AIDS" will soon become, like syphilis, a general venereal disease, transmitted by normal sexual intercourse between men and women. Some instances of women infected by men are zealously reported, but are meaningless because race is not mentioned and it is known to be a peculiarity of Blacks that females are as commonly infected as males. There is obviously a concerted effort to prevent White Americans from thinking unkind thoughts about noble niggers and sweet perverts.

The *British News of the World*, which claims the largest circulation of any newspaper in English, in its magazine section on 28 September reported tidings of great joy: a White woman, her race made certain by photographs, is dying of the disease and she contracted it from her husband, who was a "carrier" and didn't know he was infected. That shows that "AIDS is now a plain, old-fashioned sexual disease"—and what could be nicer? We can no longer entertain vilely discriminatory opinions about savages and perverts, can we? But fortunately *News of the World* overdid it. To jerk tears they also published a photograph of the woman with her child, who is quite obviously a mongrel with Indian or Negro blood or both, and that identifies her husband, whose name is Diaz. She is not a very good advertisement for a good, old-fashioned sexual disease among White people.

The British newspaper reports that American research has shown that females die of the disease faster than males, which is only what one would expect from the physically weaker sex. (Our rabid feminists will doubtless demand a constitutional amendment to prohibit the virus from showing such "sexist" discrimination.) It tells some pathetic stories about women, presumably White, who are dying of "AIDS" they contracted from "bisexual" husbands or paramours. It mentions a prostitute who thinks she may have infected some five hundred men before *and after* she discovered that she had the disease—and adds the nice detail that British whores now charge American customers £50 in addition to their usual fee to compensate for the added risk. But, on the whole, the tidings of great joy

become less cogent when one examines the details, and we are still far from something as jolly as syphilis.

An amusing attempt to distract public attention was made by one of the vulgar weekly papers that are sold to half-witted housewives in the abominations called "supermarkets." In a screaming headline on its first page, the *Weekly World News*, under date of 30 September, reported that "HOUSEHOLD PESTS CARRY AIDS!" and that cockroaches, flies, bedbugs, etc. "could turn YOUR home into a death-trap." When one read the article, one found that the only basis for the hysteria was that niggers in the Congo are so filthy they have infected their cockroaches. Similarly infected insect vermin have not been found elsewhere, but a moment's reflection will show that, as the yellow rag failed to mention, mosquitoes really may transmit the infection, since they puncture the skin of their victims, although not so deeply as a hypodermic needle, and they do draw and ingest blood.

Now no one seems to have pondered the implication of the determinations made by the eminent British specialist, Dr. John Seale, whom I first mentioned in May 1985. In August 1986 he published a long article in the *Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine* which is only now attracting attention outside medical circles. The attention, however, seems to be focused on his positive statement that the virus has now produced "a lethal pandemic throughout the crowded cities and villages of the Third World of a magnitude unparalleled in human history." It is much more than an epidemic, which affects some fraction, large or small, of the total population; it is a pandemic, which strikes down the entire population. All of them are or will be infected, and all of them will die. Aryans who are infected with the Christian virus weep and moan at that prospect, instead of rejoicing that the disease may exterminate races that are now breeding like guinea pigs and with whom we, if we survive, would have to fight, perhaps desperately, for room on a planet that is already frighteningly overcrowded. Again, it would seem, the blind mechanism of the universe is giving us a chance that we do not deserve.

The part of the article that should give us satisfaction and hope, if we are rational, seems to have detracted attention from the part that is most significant pathologically. The dire malady, as Dr. Seale remarks, is most improperly and misleadingly called "AIDS." I have suggested a proper medical term, 'aphylactosis,' but that, too, is inadequate and misleading, because *immunity*

deficiency is only one of the effects of the viral infection and it is one that does not appear in many cases. If I understand Dr. Seale correctly, the disease is, at least for all practical purposes, identical with kuru, and it therefore has the same amazingly long period of incubation, of which the *mean* time may be as much as fifteen years. In other words, persons now infected may begin to die at any time between next week and thirty years hence. (I hope that is an exaggeration; don't you?) And what is more, in many cases, it will be impossible to detect the infection before the appearance of the symptoms of impending death.

As is well known, the disease shows wide variations in the ways in which it causes death, and the accepted explanation is that by destroying the body's natural system of immunity, it leaves the individual to die of whatever potentially lethal disease he happens to contract. But now Dr. Seale reports cases in which the virus goes directly to the brain, which it will eat away, sooner or later, without taking the trouble to destroy the immune system or to stimulate the futile production of antibodies in the blood, which are now the only means of detecting an infection before the terminal symptoms appear.

It follows, therefore, that the virus must have infected many more persons than is supposed in the estimates that have been made, and that in many cases it will necessarily remain undetected until the victim exhibits the dementia that will occur when the virus begins to devour his brain. Fortunately, it is probable that, as is known to happen in kuru, once the cephalophagic virus has begun work, it will in about six months have devoured so much of the brain that the victim will have become a dying vegetable, unable even to move a muscle.

And finally I shall ask a question that seems indicated, however dire its implications. Is it possible that persons in whom the infection has been lodged in the brain, without stimulating the production of anti-bodies in the blood, could nevertheless transmit their undetectable infection to others? So far as I can see, given the virus's terrifying versatility, such an effect must be considered possible so long as there has been no scientific investigation, of which the complexity and difficulty will, when envisioned, chill the blood of the most optimistic.

* * *

HARK, HARK, THE DOGS DO BARK!

The Jews have set their dogs throughout the world to barking at South Africa, supposedly in indignation that the Aryans of that nation are dilatory in discharging their duty to turn their country over to savages and arrange for their own enslavement and the extermination of their accursed children. And while the terriers of the press produce a deafening uproar of "world opinion," the hounds in charge of the various Jewish colonies snarl and bay, decreeing "sanctions" wholesale.

There is nothing novel about the attack on the White population of South Africa. In the United States, the pulpit-punks who work the Jesus-racket claim credit for having led it. The Religious News Service, under date of 13 October, distributed a feature article by Janelle Bussart reporting the vain little shysters' boasts that over the past fifteen years they, by low intrigue and a kind of blackmail to which the Mafia would have hesitated to stoop, harassed and bluffed a large number of American corporations into "disinvesting" to put pressure on the South Africans to commit suicide. They admit that no more than a tiny minority of stockholders yielded to their yammering, and that they succeeded only because the managers of the numerous corporations were so craven that they permitted themselves to be bluffed by the meddlesome pests and their little mobs of thoughtlessly vociferous dupes. Having thus given expression to their malice, the witch-doctors took pride in their dirty work, exhibiting the righteousness syndrome that so often inspires or conceals the vicious Bolshevism that makes Christianity today so deadly that our race cannot long coexist with it.

The con men of a vapid superstition found their natural allies, of course, in all the "Liberal intellectuals," who, while they may not believe that Jew-boys can walk on water or have a ghost as their daddy, have the Christian hatred of all superiority and the Christian love of everything that is debased, degraded, deformed, and degenerate, and cling to a blind faith that a god in whom they do not believe will fulfill his promise that "the first shall be last, and the last shall be first," in a glorious eversion of all human civilization. They have been squawking about "apartheid" for years.

But why such magnified uproar at this time? Why the flurry of "sanctions" decreed by the stooges in so many governments of what still are theoretically Aryan nations? It is ostensibly to "put pressure" on the government of South

MORE OF REAGAN'S LIES

by
Jim Taylor

Foreign Correspondent

President Ronald Reagan has been selling arms and supplies to Cuba. That's right...Cuba! It is not a misprint.

Of course, as everyone knows, the U.S. and Israel have been selling arms to Iran for the past five years. That's old news. But I have ironclad proof that in addition to the sordid Iranian affair, Mr. Reagan has been shipping sophisticated weapons to the Cuban and Angolan armed forces, to two of the most vicious Communist countries, who hate Americans with a passion. And you are reading about it right now for the first time in any publication.

Since I was the first to disclose the fact that the U.S. was sending arms to Ayatollah Ruhollah Khomeini in Iran, at least five years before it finally broke into print in the controlled American media, I guess it is only fitting that I am now the first writer to expose the Cuban deal with Washington. It required nearly five years for the closed press to catch up with me and let the taxpayers know how their money was spent in the Iranian affair. Let us hope that it won't take another five years before the American news media finally decide to let the public in on this Cuban deal. But remember that you read it first in *Liberty Bell*.

Cuban-Americans living in Florida, fugitives from Castro's brand of Communism, have given me a great deal of information about the Reagan administration's dealings in Cuba and Angola. They have also contacted Representative Henry Gonzalez (D-Texas) in an effort to do something in Washington about this latest sell-out of the American people. Mr. Gonzalez has tried, without much success, to get Congress to investigate this affair. The Congressman has access to the same records as I have received.

When I visited Angola last year and talked with Cuban army officers there, they told me a great deal about how America is assisting them. First of all, over 90 percent of Angola's annual budget comes, not from the Soviets or Cuba, but from the

taxpayers of the United States of America. That much is well known already to the entire world. However, when Cuban officers informed me that they had received military assistance from the CIA, I was highly skeptical. I thought these officers, after several drinks, were just blustering and bragging, Latin style, for my benefit. Now I have learned that their statements were not just talk, and that the Cubans have received both military and other supplies from Mr. Reagan. Cargo planes have made at least 120 flights into Angola, and at least two shiploads of supplies from the U.S. have also been delivered there.

Perhaps you think you have read all about the American-Israeli involvement in the arms deals with Iran. But the shackled and controlled American news media have revealed but a small portion of the whole picture of deception and intrigue that is foisted off on the American public. And they have not even mentioned Cuba.

I have been in contact with a firm known as Southern Air Transport of Miami, Florida. And the information I received from them has been double-checked with my contacts inside Cuba. So if you think that what the press has told you about these arms deals is complete, guess again. Here is the rest of it.

To begin with, records obtained from the Civil Aeronautics Board show that Southern Air has flown an average of two planeloads a month of supplies to Havana. The payload on these flights varied from 15 to 26 tons of cargo per flight. And this air-cargo company has been linked to the administration's covert Iranian shipments too. This means that despite Mr. Reagan's harsh words about Cuba, he and the CIA have been cooperating with Fidel Castro for a long period of time.

The U.S. State Department tried to cover up these illegal flights from Florida to Cuba by stating that the planes were just ferrying supplies to two people in the American Interests Section of the Swiss Embassy in Havana. But there were too many planeloads just to send them the few necessities they might not be able to purchase inside Cuba.

Southern Air spokesman William Kress said his planes also flew cargo from Dobbins Air Force Base in Georgia to the airport in Luanda, Angola, a nation run by the Cuban Marxists and black African renegades. In December of 1985 alone, the airline made 120 flights, ferrying a total of 2,700 tons of cargo to Angola from American military bases.

And believe me, fellow Americans, these weren't CARE packages for the needy either. You don't send charity cargo

secretly from a U.S. military base straight to the Communist régime of Angola. So you can readily see that my reports about the U.S. assisting Cuba financially and otherwise last year were entirely accurate. When in Angola, I also discovered that the U.S. had hired 4,000 Cuban soldiers to guard the Gulf Oil Company facilities against raids by the non-Communist rebels, who were assisted by the Republic of South Africa. This should prove to you once and for all that, contrary to public statements by Mr. Reagan criticizing Cuba, he and the Cuban leader are not enemies at all, but are working closely together. The fact that these arms are partly for use against the hated government of South Africa, will make many Americans praise Mr. Reagan, not condemn him, for helping a Communist state. I believe more people will disapprove his Iranian deal than the one with the Cubans. And Southern Air was owned by the CIA from 1960 to 1973, when it was sold.

It seems that the administration is out to "get" retired Air Force Major General Richard V. Secord, considered a key figure in the Iran deal along with Lt. Colonel Oliver North and Vice Admiral John Poindexter, both of whom lost their positions. General Secord was removed from the Special Operations Planning and Advisory Group.

The General and his business partner, named Albert Hakim, owned an interest in a Swiss company known as Compagnie de Services Fiduciares, which was supposedly used to funnel illegal profits from Mr. Reagan's deals with Iran to the Contras in Nicaragua. Only the Contra forces never got any of this money from Iran. Then there was a big flap about where these funds ended up, if they did not go to the Contras.

Now Israel claims to have been assisting the Contras since 1979 with training and arms. Israeli researcher Benjamin Beit-Hallahmi said that they began aiding the Contras long before Somoza was ousted. He is a professor at Haifa University and he also said that the Somoza government was one of the first to recognize Israel in 1948.

Well, Attorney General Edwin Meese claims that \$250,000,000 was deposited in a Swiss account for the Contras. However, the Contra leaders swear that they never received a cent of that fund. This has made some CIA people very nervous about just what had happened to the money in that account. Bookkeeping errors are common in government bureaus but to lose this amount surely required something more than a few decimal points getting accidentally in the wrong column of

figures. Mr. Meese said the CIA had difficulty in identifying separate accounts of the weapons sales to Iran and other purchases or sales.

"It was never contemplated that some money would stick to the pipes," one of Meese's aids told the press. Now that is just a clever way to say that someone simply stole the money. That "sticking in the pipeline" business is government talk.

Then Mr. Meese himself let the cat out of the bag by saying that Iran paid an inflated price to the Israelis for these arms, including not only huge commissions to the Israeli arms dealers but also high brokerage fees of ten to thirty million dollars.

And, of course, only the Israelis know precisely the amount of profit they made on these sales. Mr. Meese said that the Israeli representatives had marked up a 12-million-dollar arms deal by over 250 percent. So usury is not dead after all and neither is outright fraud when you deal with the Zionists.

Now the CIA is required by law to remit any profits earned by selling material and is prohibited from using such funds for other purposes or other operations of any kind. But, as I have written many times, when dealing with Israel, all U.S. laws are automatically abridged or abrogated altogether. The holy Zionists can never be wrong and they can never be accused of anything unlawful, not even when their guilt has been proved. But just how do you get the greedy little mites of Israel to go by the rule of law or the decency of civilized people? The obvious answer is, "you don't."

So in the final analysis here are the results of the Iranian arms deals:

1. Iran got the arms.
2. Israel got the money.
3. The Contras got nothing.
4. As usual, the U.S. taxpayers got stuck with the bill.
5. And poor little Iraq got pounded by American missiles and planes in the hands of our new friends and partners, the ruling bunch of war criminals in Iran.

Now what kind of a Reagan-Israeli arms deal do you call that?

All Americans should know by this time that, although the news media refuse even to mention Israel's part in the arms deals, the Zionists thought it all up in the first place. It was their idea, not Mr. Reagan's. The Israelis had two main purposes in mind. First of all, they wanted to make sure that Iraq did not win the war. And second, they wanted to get a better

deal for the Jews in Iran. This was stated clearly by the Israeli arms dealers and other spokesmen for Israel. They seem to have forgotten that all the profits involved were for them. Later one Israeli official said that after their chief purposes were realized, they might help get American hostages out of Lebanon, but this was only incidental. Now that's very kind of the Zionists, isn't it? After they attain their goals, they may decide to help the Americans get released.

The revelations last fall about the American-Israeli military aid to Iran in the war with Iraq were absolutely devastating to the already tarnished image of America around the world. The stories which the closed American press was finally forced to print many years after I had written about the matter appeared only because of wide-spread coverage in the press throughout Europe and the rest of the world. It certainly shamed our government for lying to the people who pay for all this—the good ol' reliable and never-complaining American taxpayers. Also, the American government's secret support of Iran in this unusual war for over six years is a mockery at the Constitution and a slap in the face to the people of this nation. It is a repudiation of American principles. It is as though the government were to sell the Liberty Bell for scrap.

After all, it wasn't long ago that Iran stormed the U.S. Embassy and held Americans as hostages in degrading circumstance for more than a year. Every American must remember these Iranian crimes against our nationhood, to which Washington made no answer, except to utter cover-up phrases and half-truths. I guess it is more accurate to say that everyone except the government's employees remembers. This is far worse than the manufactured Watergate farce. This is something every true American should rise up against, especially after all the lies by Mr. Reagan and the others. And did you notice that one day Mr. Reagan praised Colonel North as a real American hero, and then, the very next day, he fired him? What kind of judgment is that? Mr. Reagan just doesn't want you people to know it was the Israelis who started the entire imbroglio.

Of course, regular readers of my column throughout the world already knew all about the American sales of armaments and munitions of war to Iran, because I exposed this way back in 1982. It is not something new. It is not some small amount of arms either, as Mr. Reagan tried to get the public to believe. And, lastly, these arms are not only "defensive" in nature. As I have emphasized many times and as all military men know, February 1987

there is no such weapon as one that is solely defensive. Every so-called "defensive" weapon can be used offensively, ever since the beginning of time, when rocks were thrown at enemies. So please don't fall for any of that nonsense from the White House about only "defensive" weapons being sent to Iran. This is insulting to your intelligence. Also, Mr. Reagan, in uttering such an outright lie, must believe that the people of America cannot read. Because when people read that the very same missiles which Mr. Reagan sent to Iran were used in raids on Baghdad, then everyone can visualize for himself or herself just how "defensive" these weapons of war turned out to be. Stories from the White House about this matter wouldn't have fooled a bunch of four-year-olds at play in a sandpile.

I have been writing consistently and speaking about the American assistance to Iran for over four years. Israel is the cause of all this. The Zionists want Iraq knocked to pieces and split into several small tribes or small states, easier for them to conquer and hold in slavery. Everyone except this writer seems afraid to mention this fact. The trained press tiptoes around it, but Israel instigated the entire Iranian arms deal from the first day. There is no doubt about it. When I told people five years ago what the U.S. and Israel were doing, no one took much notice. Few people cared. But now the world press and even the prejudiced sheets like the *Washington Post* have verified every detail of my own investigation of some five years back.

As for the true amount of weapons and munitions sent by Israel and the U.S. to Iran, the Associated Press finally settled on a figure of about two billion dollars. Even this seemingly astronomical figure is short of the mark. My own sources, which have never been wrong, tell me that it is closer to ten billion and may be as high as twenty billion dollars' worth of advanced equipment as well as replacement parts for planes, tanks, etc. So why allow Mr. Reagan, even if he is still our "leader," to tell you it was only one small plane-load? Why not accept the truth, which you will never get from the White House?

The entire deal is not complicated. It is not difficult to understand. When David Jacobsen, held hostage in Lebanon by Iranian-controlled Moslems, was finally released, it was part of Iran's payment to the U.S. for saving the Teheran government's war effort from total disaster and eventual surrender to Iraq, which Israel did not want. The entire idea originated with the Israelis, not the American government. Every time that the far

better equipped and better trained Iraqi forces were on the verge of victory, the U.S. and Israel came to Iran's rescue. I must emphasize that it was not any one-time deal. It was almost continuous assistance to Iran for six years, beginning with badly-needed tires and parts for jet fighters and bombing planes. And when Iran was running out of ammunition, it was the good old U.S.A. and Israel to the rescue from stockpiles in Israel and Spain.

Informed directly by my contacts in Iran, I will tell you about the bungling of a CIA-type of operation when the former National Security Adviser, Robert McFarlane, and four other Americans were sent on a secret mission to Iran. This misguided bunch of Laurel-and-Hardy comedians, posing as U.S. "diplomats," arrived in Teheran disguised as aircraft crewmen(!) carrying a Bible autographed by Mr. Reagan and a huge cake shaped like a key. The key meant the key to better relations between the two nations, I suppose. But it was a failure and a ridiculous one at that. It makes one wonder about Mr. Reagan's priorities. First the classic military blunders in attacking tiny, undefended Grenada and then military action against civilians in both Syria and Libya without rhyme or reason—and now a secret mission to Iran. What can the aging actor do for an encore? Since the U.S. government had civilians placed on trial in New York for selling arms to Iran, it appears that what was good for the goose was not good for the Gipper.

Anyway, Hojatoleslam Hashemi Rafsanjani, Speaker of the Iranian parliament, told the world press about the bizarre shenanigans of Mr. McFarlane and his clownish crew. The Iranian official said that the disguised diplomats from Washington arrived in Teheran aboard a cargo plane loaded with military equipment for Iran. He also confirmed to the press that this and other arms deals led to the release of hostages, including Mr. Jacobsen. "No comment" was Washington's answer at the time, which only means that the secret mission had been approved by the White House. Then Larry Speakes of the White House Staff made things even worse by issuing a statement to reporters aboard Air Force One. He said, "As long as Iran advocates use of terrorism, the U.S. embargo on arms sales to that country will continue. No arms have been sold to Iran." I just wonder where Mr. Speakes has been all this time, not to have heard about the billions of dollars worth of arms that have been sent to Iran! Was he deliberately lying to the public and the press? Or did he not know anything?

February 1987

23

Now imagine what we have here. Despite the fact that the entire world (except the American public) knows all about the U.S. military assistance by which the United States has kept Iran in the war with Iraq for at least the past four years, Mr. Speakes didn't know about! Or, at least, he didn't want to know about it. This is politics? Naturally, no newsmen on board believed the White House mouthpiece and most laughed at his vapid statements to the press.

Now the Iranians, whom I have interviewed many times, are not a very trustworthy people. I was in Iran about one month before the staff of our embassy were taken as hostages. In the entire world, I have found only two groups of people to whom honesty is a foreign word—the Israelis and the Iranians. You cannot rely on these people. You can't make deals with them. They'll beat you every time. And you better not turn your back on them.

So it was only natural that in dealing with Iran, Mr. McFarlane and company would run into trouble. Mr. Rafsanjani, who is also an Islamic clergyman, had the five Americans detained in a Teheran hotel room for five days. I may add that with so many Iranians claiming to be clergymen, they have something in common with American Blacks. I never met a black male who wasn't some kind of self-appointed "Baptist minister of the Gospel." And I never talked with any Iranian officials who didn't claim to be holy men of some sort.¹

An improved relationship with Iran has long been one of the demands of the militant Islamic kidnapers who are now holding Americans captive in Lebanon.

Iranians at the airport were surprised when McFarlane presented them with the cake and the aforementioned Bible from Mr. Reagan. Just like children playing games, I think. He also brought along five specially-made revolvers, intended as gifts for high-ranking officials. Only, he never met any such officials. The Iranians he did meet thought he was crazy because he and his crew showed up using false Irish passports. Some hungry revolutionary guards at the airport quickly ate the cake. And all Iranian officials refused to accept the Bible from Mr. Reagan.

Can you imagine what it would look like for five people from another country to arrive in New York, Paris or London

1. It's an old Persian custom. Readers will remember James Morier's delightfully amusing *Hajji Baba of Isphahan*, based on his observations when he was the British envoy to Persia in the early Nineteenth Century.

with false passports, a key-shaped cake, an autographed Koran and five special-made, "customized" revolvers as gifts? I think in most countries, they would end up in the nut house, rather than received as legitimate emissaries of a foreign government.

But the fake passports upset the Iranians the most, possibly because they still remembered how several American diplomats escaped Iran by using Canadian passports at the time the other Americans were seized as hostages.

According to the Iranians, they did allow Mr. McFarlane and his merry men to telephone Washington from the Hotel to report their predicament. Of course, the Iranians had sense enough to tape-record all conversations. These were distributed to the European press so that the Americans could not lie themselves out of this sad situation. The American republic, once respected world-wide for representing the old-fashioned Teddy Roosevelt doctrine, now walks softly and carries a big cake to beg favors from the biggest terrorist gang in the world. It was degrading, to say the least. And did you notice that the Iranians let three hostages go, but then kidnapped three more so as not to lose any trading chips for the next big deal with Washington to keep them fighting a fierce war with Iraq? It seems that at least so long as they do not run out of kidnapped Americans, they can get everything they want.

Mr. McFarlane's motley crew of nefarious adventurers included two "former" National Security Council members, one an interpreter, and two hired guards. Sending two armed guards into Iran was not only ridiculous, but quite reckless, very dangerous, and extremely stupid. They were quickly disarmed and taken into custody upon landing at the airport.

Then Mr. McFarlane identified himself and requested to see government leaders, telling everyone he came from President Reagan. Iranian officials at the airport replied that they could not speak with him without permission from Ayatollah Ruhollah Khomeini, the 86-year-old, once exiled barbarian who now runs everything in Iran, even telling women in that country how to dress and when to take a bath. For some unknown reason the head potentate of this strange land refused permission for anyone to make any deals with the Americans at this time. This information was relayed to Mr. McFarlane along with an admonition that his illegal entry into Iran by using false Irish passports was a very serious criminal offense.

The Iranians were going to jail McFarlane and his merry men because they were already receiving billions of dollars

worth of U.S. weapons on a weekly basis and they feared this fast-talking bunch of kooks would somehow interfere with the only source of weapons which was keeping them in the war against Iraq. But instead, they finally deported them. McFarlane had told them that a large force of American troops was poised and standing by to rescue him if he did not return in a certain number of days. He did not say in what country these alerted troops were stationed. But the Iranians did not want any more wars than they could handle so they shipped McFarlane and party out posthaste.

This entire excursion into Iran was a comedy of errors from the first. And if the troops who were supposedly waiting to rescue Mr. Reagan's strange envoys were anything like the dope-taking misfits who were sent into Iran by President Carter to rescue the U.S. hostages, Mr. McFarlane might have had to spend the rest of his days in an Iranian prison. So much for American blustering. It doesn't work any longer. It never will again. It did not work against Cuba. It didn't work in Nicaragua. It certainly didn't work in Lebanon. It did not work against Libya. And it won't work in Iran either. The days when the U.S. was respected are gone forever.

What irks me most is the fact that the U.S. would go to such lengths, even to free a couple of people being held hostage, when our government knows well that the thousands of tons of U.S. weapons given to Iran will be used to kill thousands of innocent people in Iraq. It is not a fair trade. It is not a bargain any way you look at it.

For the past three years, many of our people have wondered how Iran kept getting more badly-needed weapons and ammunition. Well, since the Iranians have mostly American tanks, planes, and artillery, and must therefore secure American replacement parts, there is only one place they could get them—from the U.S. and Israel—the only nations in possession of such stockpiles of American-made weapons of war. Now that's not too difficult to figure out, even in the face of White House and State Department denials.

Most editorial space in the major European press these days has been devoted to another childish reaction by the U.S. and Britain over the alleged involvement of the Syrian government in terrorism. Now the U.S. maintains that Syria was responsible for all the terrorist acts in Europe, such as the bombing at the Rome airport and the one at a nightclub in West Berlin. But, just remember that a short time ago the U.S. maintained that

Libya, not Syria, was responsible for all these same attacks in Europe. Americans have short memories. But, I hope, not that short. Mr. Reagan, at every press conference, piously accused Libya of all acts of terrorism in Europe because he was seeking an excuse to attack Libya and keep the promise to do so which he had made to the Israelis. But now the situation has changed a little. The Israelis, cowards that they are, feel a little threatened by the better-trained and improved Syrian armed forces, so President Reagan has now been forced to blame Syria for every act that was previously attributed entirely to little Libya.

Numerous newspaper editorials have reminded Mr. Reagan and the public that on January 20, 1981, his first inauguration day, he ridiculed President Carter's efforts to get the hostages released and promised never to make any deals with terrorists. He even went so far as to pontificate by saying, "no more Irans, no more hostages and never again would the U.S. pay ransom to terrorist kidnappers." But he did exactly that when he secretly shipped American arms to Iran for help in freeing Americans in Lebanon by forces loyal to Iran.

But this is not the entire story, because the U.S. has been aiding Iran in its war with Iraq since 1981 by sending weapons and even oil shipments to the Iranians after the Iraqis bombed crucial oil terminals. And all this time, the President has solemnly maintained that the U.S. was neutral and had never aided Iran or Iraq. However, it has been well known throughout the rest of the world that Iran has fought for six years almost exclusively with American war material. There was never any U.S. embargo of arms to Iran. That was merely a false statement on paper at the State Department and in the controlled U.S. press, put forth to fool the very gullible American taxpayers so they would not learn that their government was supporting the very same Ayatollah Khomeini who had ordered the outrageously brutal and inhuman treatment of the American hostages. You taxpayers were not supposed to learn that your money was being spent by the administration to support terrorism by Iran, not to prevent it.

Now the word is out on Mr. Reagan's unholy activities which have kept Iran in the war for years. Remember that the missiles from Iran which are now raining down on Baghdad are straight from America via Israel. And what the American people still do not know unless they read this is that Mr. Reagan was supporting Iran long before there were any American hostages taken in Lebanon. So forget about Lebanon and the

hostages there having anything to do with shipment of arms to Iran by Mr. Reagan. Why did he violate his pledge to the American people? It is quite simple. Israel told him to do so. The *New York Times* and other press reports in November stated that the President consented to the deals with Israel to support Iran against Iraq merely to help Israeli businessmen make some money. But the *Times* is not telling you the complete story. It is true that in selling arms and sending transshipments through Israeli facilities, some greedy Zionist businessmen (middlemen as always throughout history) did become wealthy. Even so, this was not the real reason for Mr. Reagan's dedicated support of the Iranian war machine. The Israelis want Iraq broken up into small and easily controlled states. And it was the Zionists that told Mr. Reagan to take the side of Iran. The fact that some Israeli businessmen got rich on the deal is incidental. Getting American hostages released is also just another pretext for the gigantic arms deals to Iran. The one and only purpose, thinly disguised by hostage deals and assisting Israeli arms dealer to make money, is to assure that Iraq is defeated in the war. And I can assure you that the Israelis don't give one tinker's damn whether any American hostages are ever released. Their sole purpose is a more selfish one—expand Zionist control in that area of the world.

I am writing this to make absolutely certain that American readers learn the true facts. This way you can analyze what you see and hear from the closed American news media and see what is true and what is untrue. Also, it is laughable that the controlled press wants you to actually believe that the latest shipment of arms by Mr. Reagan to Iran was his first and only one. No sir! Never! He has been engaged in doing such bidding for Israel from his very first day in office six years ago.

What his plea on television reminds me of is when a shoplifter gets caught red-handed. The report then states that this was the thief's first act of stealing anything, when in reality it was not the first time at all. He or she may have stolen fifty or a hundred times. This is merely the first time the thief got caught—not the first theft. And so it is with our glorious leader in the Oval Office. It was merely the first time he was caught red-handed, like the shoplifter. This is the first time the press has exposed his traitorous acts on behalf of Israel and the world Zionists he loves more than his own native land.

Right after it was established in the press as fact that Mr. Reagan had betrayed his country in order to help Iran do

damage to Iraq to satisfy the demands of Israel, there were many political statements voiced by Congress about having a full investigation. But no real investigation will ever be held. These members of Congress just wanted to make political statements for the benefit of the very naive voters back home who were stupid enough to send them to Washington in the first place. It will all die down rapidly when the Israeli Embassy thinks it is getting too close to involving the Israelis in this entire sordid mess. A few phone calls by the Zionists and any thought of Reagan's "Watergate" will quickly be forgotten.

I agree 100 percent with Vladimir Sakharov, a KGB agent who defected to the U.S. about 15 years ago. He was in Tempe, Arizona to deliver an address at the Arizona State University. He told me, "The American people will not even have an opportunity to know what went on in the arms deal with Iran. I don't think we will ever find out. It will be covered up and kept from us. A veil of silence known as 'national security' will prevent the truth from being told." He also knows that Israel was behind the entire Iranian arms deal. As a former KGB agent, he should know what he is talking about.

It would be impossible to have any kind of reasonable investigation without finding that Israel started the entire mess and that the conniving Zionists organized the most disgraceful episode in U.S. history. And I don't need to tell you that there are no motives in any way, shape, form, or fashion. There are no statesmen left in Washington today. There are no individuals willing to risk everything to do what's right and not just when Israel is involved. So nothing will be accomplished in the end. And only readers of this magazine will ever learn the full extent of the affair which now assures that the United States of America is identified as the most untrustworthy and sneaking nation in all history.

People don't mind speaking out in opposition to President Reagan. They don't mind speaking against Congress. They don't mind speaking out against America. But no one in Washington dares to speak out against Israel. And that is the simple and exact truth of the matter. Courage, spirit, and the ability to stand up and fight for justice is in extremely short supply in this land of ours. And it is getting worse, not better.

Let me just quote you a few lines from General Charles de Gaulle of France. I know that this great leader, who died in 1970, was disliked because of his strong-minded beliefs, but he had the right idea. Someone has to be a strong leader. Here

are his memorable words, which are apt when applied to America today:

"People get the history they deserve. When you lament a misfortune and fear that worse is to come, people will tell you it's the law of history, it is the will of God, it is the will of evolution. Don't believe it. They will explain it all very lucidly. Stand up, gentlemen, against such clever cowardice. It is more than stupidity. It is a mortal sin against the Holy Spirit, not to do so."

De Gaulle was correct then and his words are still correct today. Americans are stupid when they allow their representatives in Washington do display such craven cowardice. Our government cringes, weak-kneed in fear before the world Zionists. And never in all the history of our republic was this more in evidence than when the President of the United States allowed himself to be bullied, chastised and insulted in the White House by a little Zionist creep named Elie (the Weasel) Wiesel, to whom he had just awarded a Congressional Gold Medal, the highest civilian honor our nation has to offer. Only a Zionist could get by with insulting the President to his face on national television, and then have Mr. Reagan just stand there and take it with a sly smile of the coward that he is when confronted with a spokesman of the international Zionists.

And this man Wiesel, whom some Jewish historians claim was never in a Nazi camp except for a few days as a mere child, has made a good living writing about the big bad Germans who allegedly mistreated him. First he claimed to have been in two concentration camps. Now he claims it was four of them. Only in America could a little hatemonger be honored as the outstanding "American" of the past decade.

In my opinion, Mr. Wiesel and the other four million(!) "survivors" of the "Holocaust" throughout the world are living proof that their stories are highly exaggerated. Or else, how did they all make it through such ordeals in such excellent health that over forty years later they are still in prime physical condition with no aftereffects whatsoever? Look at his tale of woe realistically. If the "Nazis," as he writes, killed ALL who were unsuitable for work; then how does it happen that little Elie Wiesel, a frail child unable to do any work at all, survived not only one but four horrible death camps without a mark on him and with no ill effects at all? It doesn't make sense. Just how did the awful "Nazi" exterminators overlook this individual all those war years? How does he justify the fact that

they didn't harm him but consigned "six million" like him to a horrible death in the "gas chambers?"

To top it off, this little professional first-class hatemonger was last year awarded the prestigious Nobel Peace Prize for writing such nonsense as the following direct quote from one of his works called *Legends of our Time*.

"Every Jew, somewhere in his being, should set apart a zone of hate—healthy, virile hate—for what the German people personify and for what persists in the German. To do otherwise would be a betrayal of the dead."

That is the hatemonger's deliberate statement in print.

It has been estimated that there are approximately fifty-five million Americans of German descent in the United States. Add to that, another fifty million who are partly Germanic and you have nearly half of our population made up of this hard-working, intelligent, and cultured group of people. But you, I am sorry to say, are American citizens in name only. You have no rights in this country, politically or otherwise in the basic sense. At best, the Zionists in Washington consider you as second-class citizens. You, along with Americans of other nationalities, allow a mere two percent of the population to run your lives and restrict your inviolable rights, supposedly guaranteed by our Constitution.

At meetings of various Zionist groups in Washington, they speak of you people as "lice on a leash." That's how sure they are that you will never be able to rise up against their control of the media and government. Think what twenty-five or fifty million people could accomplish if they were as united as the Zionists. You never gain anything in Washington by sweet talk and polite requests. That's not how the Zionists control. They do it by threats and by inflicting loss of jobs, loss of buying power, and even loss of homes on those who oppose them. They win. You lose. Little David always defeated Goliath, just as it says in the Good Book.

From varied European sources, including officials of both France and West Germany, I have received ample evidence that the entire incident of the bomb that was found in London aboard a plane leaving for Israel, was the clever work of MOSSAD. Even the French Prime Minister Jacques Chirac stated publicly

IN MEMORIAM
1917 — 1987
70 years of German ethnic
impotence in the U.S.A.

that Israeli agents and renegade Arabs concocted the plot in order to discredit Syria. Of course, he denied making such a statement the next day, undoubtedly yielding to severe American-Israeli-British pressure. The *Washington Times* quoted West German Chancellor Helmut Kohl as telling that newspaper that his foreign minister, Hans Dietrich Genscher, had informed him about this far-fetched Israeli plot. Mr. Kohl also stated that Syria was in no way involved in it.

London police reports of the incident were immediately classified as secret when some of the inspectors at the airport wondered just how the Israeli security guards knew exactly where to look to find the plastic bomb, located at the bottom of a pregnant Irish girl's suitcase. Of course, Mrs. Margaret Thatcher's government, in close association with Washington, the capital of Zionism, saw to it that these reports were kept from the press.

Even after repeated forced denials by both the French and West German governments, the reporter who arranged these interviews, Mr. Arnaud de Borchgrave, editor-in-chief of the *Times*' bureau in Paris, steadfastly maintained that his stories were correct in all details about MOSSAD's planning of the entire affair. Mr. de Borchgrave maintains that Mr. Chirac consented to publication of his statements, but did not wish to be quoted directly after talking in detail about it for over 90 minutes. Furthermore, the Paris editor has on tape every word of that interview. And all Mr. Chirac has said publicly is that his statements were misinterpreted, not that they were not true, as the controlled U.S. press has told you.

It is not at all unusual for the Israelis to do these things. Remember Unit 101, a Zionist group which put on Arab uniforms to wreak havoc in Jordan and Syria. Also the Lavon affair comes to mind—when Israel attempted to murder the U.S. ambassador in Cairo and blame it on "dissident Arabs." And everyone remembers the unprovoked sneak attack upon the U.S.S. *Liberty* nineteen years ago.

Dirty tricks are a tactic upon which the outlaw state of Israel was founded. Born in deception with the aid of common criminals like Begin and Sharon, Israel has followed such a path for over forty years. So why should we be surprised at this latest criminal episode in Britain?

Of course, to take it one step further, the Zionist agents pulled another "Eichmann style" kidnapping when they smuggled Mordechai Vanunu, the Zionist scientist-technician

who defected with full information about Israel's nuclear plant, out of London to Tel Aviv. As every alert reader knows, Mr. Vanunu took 200 color photos and secret documents to the *Sunday Times* of London, which published complete details of Israel's clandestine nuclear plant at Dimona.

After the 32-year-old Israeli gave British officials all the secret information, the *London Observer* reported that Shimon Peres, then Prime Minister of Israel, telephoned Mrs. Thatcher on September 23 to let her know that MOSSAD, the band of international criminals who masquerade as an intelligence service for Israel, planned to kidnap Mr. Vanunu in London and transport him illegally back to Tel Aviv, just as they had once done with Adolf Eichmann in Argentina over twenty-five years ago. Mrs. Thatcher was expected to look the other way and allow the Israelis to kidnap this defector with no interference from British authorities. But as a well-trained Christian Zionist of the Reagan variety, she did better than that. The British leader not only did not allow any British interference, she had the British turn Mr. Vanunu over to the Israelis so that no kidnapping was necessary. He was then easily and quickly flown from London to Israel, where he went on trial for treason and other assorted crimes against the state.

But this was not the end of this unusual episode. The final chapter had not yet unfolded. About two months later, when the European press got on to this story, both Britain and Israel feared bad publicity because Mr. Vanunu had been spirited out of England in clear violation of British laws. So the very imaginative Israelis then concocted a wild tale for the press about the kidnapping to save face for Mrs. Thatcher and to assure the world that no British laws were violated. The press of the world was handed a news release, dutifully printed by the Associated Press in the U.S., stating that a beautiful blond Israeli female agent lured Mr. Vanunu out of Britain to a yacht with the promise of sexual favors. Then, once the vessel was out of British territorial waters, the Israeli Navy took Mr. Vanunu into custody on the high seas and sailed to Israel. This fanciful report failed to reveal whether or not Mr. Vanunu ever got to bed down this so-called female "agent" before he was arrested. Anyway, not even the dumbest American newspaper reader would believe such a made-up story. I rather doubt that Reverend Jerry Falwell, who maintains that the Israelis are infallible, believed this far-out tale of intrigue on the high seas.

But in Washington, where fairy tales have been normal for

many years, this sort of a report is accepted without question. It now seems that Israel and the U.S. are vying with one another to see which nation can put forth the most unbelievable lies. Now take the tit-for-tat contest with the Soviets on the Nicholas Dannilof case. The Russians arrest one of our spies; we arrest one of theirs. We arrest someone we say is a spy; they say he isn't. They arrest one of our spies; we say he isn't. We throw out twenty-five Soviets at the U.N.; they throw out some of ours in Moscow. We retaliate; they retaliate. Then they say our embassy in Moscow cannot employ any of the 260 Russians who were working there. Each country kept thinking up ways it could do something nasty to the other to get even. Pretty silly, wasn't it?

I have an example of human behavior to illustrate what I think about this low-level, kindergarten type of diplomacy which goes on between the two most powerful nations on earth. It concerns a pair of Southern ladies, each of whom wants to undercut and outdo the other.

First Lady: "Where are you from?"

Second Lady: "I'm from where we know enough not to end a sentence with a preposition."

First Lady: "Oh, all right, where are you from, bitch?"

World Jewish hate groups, along with our own home-grown and tax-supported department of Hatemongering in Washington, better known as the secretive Office of Special Investigations, have gone all out on a campaign of outright lies against President Kurt Waldheim of Austria. Other heads of state who have been targets of the OSI, which is merely an over-zealous branch of the Simon Wiesenthal Nazi-hunting fanatics, have been Colonel Qaddafi of Libya and President Assad of Syria.

But right now Mr. Waldheim is the main target. They even went so far as to dredge up a senile, elderly Jewish woman in Phoenix, Arizona to "testify" against Mr. Waldheim, despite the fact that she initially claimed he wasn't the man who harried her in 1941.

In a prepared script, obviously written out for her by the Jewish hate groups, Mrs. Betty Albert said, "I will never forget meeting him. The year was 1941, the place a prison camp on the outskirts of Bordeaux, France. He accused me of espionage." She maintains that she was tried and found guilty in violation of German law. She was then sentenced to death—just like thousands of others with similar tales but who still are alive and well today. She said she bravely responded to Mr. Waldheim by

saying, "Well, you only die once." Right out of the movies, isn't it? How many times have you heard that same expression on the silver screen or on television?

I have a small question for her. If all her tale is true, how come she is alive and well forty-five years later in Phoenix, Arizona after being sentenced to death in France in 1941? What miracle saved her from the gallows? And since records show that Mr. Waldheim was never in Bordeaux, her "facts" don't hold water. But most Americans believe every word of it and she got nationwide publicity for her vague statements with absolutely no proof to back them up.

It ought to be obvious to the American public that the hatemongers have made a parlor game out of discrediting Mr. Waldheim without any facts at all. But the Waldheim campaign is just the beginning of the real campaign being waged against all things German. Mr. Ephraim Zuroff, a former member of the OSI, said he has a list of 120 more suspected Nazi criminals now residing in the U.S. The names of forty more "Nazis" have been given by him to Australian Foreign Minister Bill Hayden. And another influential Jewish hate group with power in Washington claims to be after 6,000 more known "Nazis," who will be rounded up and "tried." Mr. Zuroff stated that "this is a last-ditch campaign to execute these Nazi criminals before old age and death catch up with them." It seems that the haters are in a race against time to hang these people against whom they have no credible evidence whatsoever. Also, notice how the number of supposed "Nazis" grows each year. Pretty soon there will be a list of more suspected Nazi war criminals in the U.S. and elsewhere than there were men in the entire German armed forces during World War II. They are going to locate Nazis all across this land.

Another American, John Demjanjuk of Cleveland, Ohio, has been taken to Israel and tried for "Nazi" crimes. Evidence isn't needed in such cases, according to the Israeli government. Fake documents are turned out quickly and that is all that is required. Patrick Buchanan of the White House staff said he has absolute proof that Mr. Demjanjuk is innocent and is merely the victim of mistaken identity and a great miscarriage of justice. So much for his opinion.

While on the subject of war criminals, I'd like to mention one of the real ones. Mr. Richard Lessner, editorial writer of the *Arizona Republic*, wrote an article about Prime Minister Yitzhak Shamir of Israel. Mr. Lessner is one of the few journa-

lists left in the U.S. establishment press not controlled by the Zionists. He wrote, "Stern Gang godfather becomes Israeli's Prime Minister." Well, allow me to go back a few years and mention some of the vicious crimes Mr. Shamir has committed in his life-long criminal career. Yes, folks a terrorist is now leading Israel.

Shortly after 1 P.M. on November 6, 1944, a car containing the British Mideast resident minister, Lord Walter Moyne, driven by his secretary and aide-de-camp, drove up to his official residence at Number 4 Galabiya Street in Ghezira, a suburb of Cairo.

At the steps of the mansion, the car stopped and Lord Moyne's aide and driver got out of the car. At that instant, Elishu Hakim and Eliabu Bet-Tsouri, two members of the Lehi terrorist group of Mr. Shamir, known also as the Stern Gang, walked up to the car. Moyne opened his door, whereupon Hakim shot him to death with a pistol. Bet-Tsouri turned his gun on the unarmed driver, killing him instantly. The two murderers got away on bicycles, believe it or not, but were eventually caught by the Egyptian authorities and executed after being found guilty.

Mr. Shamir was one of the three terrorist leaders who ordered the murder of Lord Moyne. Mr. Shamir is now regarded by Washington as a statesman and politician. But to me he is still a murderer. And let me just remind everyone what more sane Jews of the past thought of Mr. Shamir. In the 1940's, most American Jews and the leading Zionists of Israel such as Stephen Wise, Albert Einstein, Chaim Weizmann and even David ben Gurion regarded Shamir, Menachem Begin and Abraham Stern as common murderers and terrorists in whom no one should place any trust. But, as we have learned, terrorists remain terrorists only if they lose and are caught. Victorious terrorists sometimes become heads of state in Israel. With the passage of years and Zionist propaganda to change historical facts, and through Jewish mists of nostalgic fantasy, the most vicious criminals are transmogrified into heroic "freedom fighting" patriots. And, of course, American officials kiss these blood-stained killers and praise them. I might add that when Mr. Reagan murdered all those innocent civilians in Syria and Libya, he became the same as his Israeli friends—nothing more than a common murderer, too. But, as I said, winning terrorists never have to pay for their horrible crimes. Only the losers are ever jailed, tried and hanged.

The murder of Lord Moyne and his defenseless driver outraged even American Jews. Rabbi Stephen Wise called for the immediate execution not only of the actual killers but of Shamir and Begin too. The assassination devastated Winston Churchill, who had previously been a firm supporter of both the Balfour Declaration and world Zionism. From then on he privately turned against the Jewish State.

Forty years later, however, surviving Lehi gang members remain unrepentant for the brutal murders they perpetrated. "The Irgun (Begin's group) were only attacking buildings so we thought it more effective to kill people," a gang member told Nicholas Bethell, author of *The Palestine People*. The Stern Gang specialized in murdering British policemen and stated publicly that they only killed Lord Moyne because they could not get at Mr. Churchill.

Terrorists Begin and Shamir also murdered Count Folke Bernadotte, the Swedish negotiator who had been appointed by the U.N. to mediate a settlement of the Arab-Israeli situation. For years it remained a mystery who actually engineered the killing of Count Bernadotte. But in 1980, a dying Jehoshua Cohen confessed that he committed this crime on orders from Mr. Begin.²

Why does the U.S. not have an OSI to hunt down Israeli terrorists? Why are the "Nazis" all criminals and the Zionist murderers now considered the good guys? For only one reason. The Israelis did not lose a war. Only losers remain persecuted. Winners never pay for their crimes. Then it must reasonably follow that the Germans didn't make a mistake in fighting the war; their mistake was in losing it, as General George Patton said.

Recent events have taught us that the Israelis not only occupy the West Bank of the Jordan River; they also occupy the East Bank of the Potomac River.

And we have also learned that our first president and the current one have a little something in common. George Washington couldn't tell a lie. Ronald Reagan can't tell a lie from the truth. That's the kindest way to put it, anyway.

2. [The dying Cohen may have spared the real killer. See the article by Richard H. Curtiss reprinted in this issue. —Editor.]

* * *

PORTRAIT OF A MIDEAST TERRORIST

by Richard H. Curtiss

Whether or not it's a media conspiracy, when there's good news about Israel or bad news about an Arab state, it's on page 1. Conversely, bad news about Israelis or good news about Arabs is on page 65. Editors who break the rule may lose advertisers or even their jobs. Here's some information you may have missed if your local newspaper doesn't have a Page 65.

"A man who goes forth to kill another must believe one thing only—that by his act he will change the course of history."

Yitzhak Yizernitzky

By nightfall on September 16, 1948, the hardened terrorist who spoke those words had driven the leaders of newly-created Israel to the brink of international disgrace. At 5:45 p.m. an aide had rushed into the office of the four-month-old state's founder-prime minister, David ben Gurion, with a telegram that seemed to jeopardize the very foundation of his life's work. A convoy bearing Count Folke Bernadotte, the United Nations mediator who was seeking to settle the dispute between Jews and Arabs that had engulfed the whole former British mandate of Palestine in warfare, had been halted at a Jerusalem roadblock by four uniformed Israelis. As the mediators, traveling under United Nations flags and accompanied by an Israeli liaison officer, offered identification papers, one of the armed Israelis trotted along the row of three cars, scanning faces of the occupants. When he reached the last car he paused to peer at the Swedish diplomat in the rear seat. Then, wordlessly, the uniformed terrorist poked his Sten gun through the car window and fired a long burst. Count Bernadotte and a French officer seated beside him died on the spot. Another Swede and a British officer were slightly injured. Only an American officer in the front seat was unscathed.

At 11 p.m. an ashen-faced Israeli Foreign Minister, Moshe Sharett, received in his office a U.S. Government official, James G. McDonald, a former American employee of the World Zionist Organization, had been sent to Tel Aviv by President Truman with the title of "Special Representative" rather than Ambassador to circumvent General George Marshall, the World War II Chief of Staff, who was then Truman's Secretary of State. Marshall had strongly opposed recognition of the Jewish State before it defined its borders, an action it has not taken to this day, and Truman knew Marshall would resign before he would agree to sending a former employee of what had become the state of Israel to be the first U.S. Ambassador to that state. Truman had warned McDonald that State Department professionals believed the Israeli government to be little more than a junta incapable of enforcing and unwilling to abide by its own laws.

McDonald was relieved, therefore, when Sharett assured him:

"We are setting up the most rigid search for the assassins and their accomplices and we shall execute justice at the moment guilt is proved."

Everyone in Israel knew the men behind the cold-blooded assassination were Israel Sheib, Nathan Friedman-Yellin and Yitzhak Yizernitzky. They comprised the executive committee of the "Fighters for the Freedom of Israel," a Jewish extremist group known to Israelis by its Hebrew acronym, Lehi, and as the Stern Gang to the British soldiers it had been killing throughout World War II. Knowing that Britain's Eighth Army was hard pressed to defend North Africa against the repeated attacks of German General Erwin Rommel's Afrika Corps, the Stern Gang maintained a "second front" by assassinating British soldiers to force the British out of Palestine. When asked by other Jews how they could justify the Nazi war effort, Yizernitzky and other Stern Gang leaders maintained that because Britain had suspended Jewish immigration into Palestine at the beginning of World War II, Churchill's Britain and Hitler's Germany both were enemies of a Jewish state.

In early 1944 the same three-man Stern Gang executive committee had plotted the successful assassination in Cairo of Lord Moyne, the British Minister of State in the Middle East, and an unsuccessful assassination attempt against Sir Harold MacMichael, British High Commissioner in Palestine.

Now, in 1948, after giving the order to kill Count Bernadotte as he crossed from Arab to Jewish territory in Jerusalem, Sheib and Yizernitzky went into hiding in a Stern Gang safe house. Friedman-Yellin, who had already suggested shifting the group's focus from terrorism to political action, may not have known the date of the action to which he had agreed. He was arrested and put on trial as were some of the Stern Gang rank and file who had been rounded up in their camps in accordance with Sharett's pledge to the U.S. representative. When strong U.S. negative reaction to the murders did not materialize, however, all of the militia members, who had already been allowed to leave their jail cells to visit their families at home, were released within a few months, as was Friedman-Yellin.

As Nathan Yellin-Mor he later became the editor of a left-wing newspaper advocating peace with the Arabs. Joshua Cohen, who trained the assassins of Lord Moyne and was widely believed to be the triggerman who killed Count Bernadotte, subsequently became David ben Gurion's personal bodyguard. Even more astonishing was the evolution of Yitzhak Yizernitzky, under a new name, from Stern Gang chief of "operations" to official of the Mossad, Israel's equivalent of the CIA. The campaign of letter bombs mailed from Europe to German rocket scientists working for the Egyptian Government was attributed to him. Later he left Mossad to pursue a highly successful third career in politics. In October of this year he began his second term as Prime Minister of Israel under his new name: Yitzhak Shamir.

(Facts in this article are drawn from *Genesis 1948: The First Arab-Israeli War*, published in 1979 by Dan Kurzman, former *Washington Post* foreign correspondent.)

The Washington Report on Middle East Affairs
December, 1986

This article first appeared in the February 1987 issue of *Liberty Bell*. Annual subscription \$25. Sample copy & booklist \$3.

Reprints of Jim Taylor's articles are available at the following prices: THE NEXT VIETNAM (Sept. 1986 issue): 4/\$1.50 - 10/\$3.00 - 50/\$13.00 - 100/\$25.00. HAMMERING THE U.S. (Oct. 1986 issue): 5/\$1.00 - 50/\$9.00 - 100/\$16.00. BUSH AND ZION (Nov. 1986 issue): 3/\$1.50 - 10/\$4.50 - 50/\$20.00 - 100/\$35.00. REAGAN AND ZION (Dec. 1986 issue): 2/\$1.50 - 10/\$6.00 - 50/\$25.00 - 100/\$45.00. ANTI-AMERICANS (Jan. 1987 issue): 2/\$1.50 - 10/\$6.00 - 50/\$25.00 - 100/\$45.00. MORE OF REAGAN'S LIES (Feb. 1987 issue): Single copy \$1.00 - 10/\$8.00 - 50/\$35.00 - 100/\$60.00. For Postage and Handling include \$1.00 for orders under \$10.00, 10% for orders over \$10.00. West Virginia residents must include 5% for Consumer's Sales Tax. Order from: LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV, 25270 USA.

THE TRUTH ABOUT LIBYA

We are interested in hearing from young people who would like to join us, a group of politically committed friends, for a 14 day visit to the Socialist People's Libyan Arab Jamahiriya.

This will be an opportunity to observe first hand the workings of the national revolutionary system and the progress being made in Libya under the leadership of Colonel Muammar Qadhafi.

Money is no obstacle. What matters is a comradely spirit and a keen desire to understand the national revolutionary socialist ideology upon which Libya is built. For further details write to [and mention you read it in *Liberty Bell*]: The Green March, Post Office Box 9, Capalaba, 4157, Queensland, Australia.



To bear
Witness
To the
Truth!

LIBERTY BELL NETWORK

COMPUTER INFORMATION NETWORK
AND DATA BASE NO I.D. REQUIRED
FREE ACCESS

The Hot-Line
304-927-1773
312-863-4347

NOTHING BUT HARD
FACTS! NO GAMES -
NO FICTION!
ON LINE 24 HOURS
300/1200 BAUD SERVICE

POSTSCRIPTS: continued from page 16

Africa. But why such impatience? As I pointed out in these pages in December 1983, the stupid majority of South Africans adopted a new constitution that guaranteed that they would soon follow the Rhodesians into terror, agony, and death. And in May 1984, I gave evidence that showed how deeply the minds of that South African majority had been rotted by the Judaeo-Christian superstition, which is racially as deadly as is kuru to individuals. Furthermore, everyone who reads S. E. D. Brown's admirably courageous *South African Observer* knows that P. W. Botha, who heads the government, is merely the creature of the big Sheeny, Oppenheimer, who, according to the British press, shares with Armand Hammer (on whom see Jim Taylor in the October issue of *Liberty Bell*) the privilege of entering the Soviet Union at any time without a passport, and of being royally welcomed as a great benefactor of the Bolsheviks. So why should the world-destroyers bring pressure on their own agent in South Africa?

The answer, of course, is that the concerted circumlatration by their dogs is intended, not to coerce Botha, but to defend him. You need not be told this, if you perpended the article in the October issue of *Liberty Bell*, "Myths That Will Destroy South Africa," written by a man who has an unrivaled knowledge of all of the southern part of the African continent, savage as well as civilized. The South Africans are being induced to commit suicide by a bundle of gigantic deceits, comparable for their audacity to the Jews' great Holohoax.

As that article made clear, South Africa is even now in a position in which she can not only defy her enemies but profit greatly by so doing. She can force the corporations that want to "disinvest" to sell their holdings in South Africa for a fraction of their value, and their patents and other claims to their products can be confiscated and the various goods manufactured in South Africa for the profit of South Africans. As for "sanctions," the obvious answer to them is an embargo on the strategic materials the Jewish colonies have to buy from South Africa. And as for insubordinate Blacks, the South African police and army can send them running to the border at a speed that might be record-breaking. And Whites who are sick with the righteousness syndrome can be escorted to the airports. There is no physical or economic need for national suicide.

As a nation, the South Africans are collectively being driven to their doom in a state of delirium induced by superstitions and hallucinations, and the more intelligent ones perceive what

their government intends. If they are English, they probably hold the British passports they were permitted to retain to facilitate their escape when the time came, and many of them are fleeing from the doomed country as fast as they can dispose of their property there, although most of them probably mooned over the darling and "oppressed" savages and decried *apartheid* and "bigotry" in past years, when they could be both "intellectual" and confident that it couldn't happen there.

The intelligent Dutch, however, have no place to go, and they are belatedly offering concerted resistance to the government that is betraying them. Despite all the exertions of professional liars in the press, some of the government's lackeys have been defeated in elections. The influential organization known as the South African Bureau of Racial Affairs is planning and demanding the establishment of an All-White state (oh, horrors!) that will leave the savages to their own devices in their own territories. And, above all, the new organization known as the Afrikaner Weerstandsbeweging, with its paramilitary units called Brandwag, has the Jews and their hirelings worried.

Now it is true that the time to extinguish a fire is when it is a small blaze in a wastebasket, not when a whole room of the house is ablaze and flames are issuing from the roof, but South Africa has not yet completely passed the point of no return, as has the United States, where the C.I.A.-F.B.I.-Mossad complex, with its apparatus of trained perjurers, prostituted courts, and mercenary terrorists, quickly suppresses any practical tentative of serious dissent, generally by outrages that are concealed from the public in one way or another by their press and boob-tubes. Botha dares not risk a civil war at this time, so he must rely on his masters to exert "pressures" that he can pretend to resist and thus convince South Africans that he is really on their side or, at least, that they shouldn't "rock the boat" in the contrived "crisis."

In the meantime, one has only to read the *South African Digest*, the government's propaganda sheet for Americans, to see that there has been no remission of the offensive war against our race by that government. The issue dated 26 September, for example, contains on one page, seriatim, five items of which no one can miss the import.

(1) The Jewish government of the United States is rushing in money to finance university scholarships for 137 niggers, confident that South African "educators" will respond to a fast buck as enthusiastically as do their American counterparts.

(2) Amid great rejoicing, a nigger was awarded the degree of A.M. at the University of the Orange Free State, in the heart of a region in which Africaners are predominant. His learned thesis was on how to set up an anti-White educational system in his "homeland," which is almost entirely financed by the big-hearted and numb-brained Whites.

(3) The "educational" racketeers in South Africa are preparing to decree "compulsory study of a Black language in White primary schools." White children must have their minds defiled with a savage jargon so they can plead for mercy when a high-minded savage rapes them or starts to beat them to death in the interest of what our big brains call "social justice."

(4) The government is going to "take over control" of the private schools to force them to accept niggers and Jews in preference to White boys and girls. No White child must be denied the privilege of being mauled by savages and debased by force or influence to the lowest level of humanoid life.

(5) A grinning mulatto has been elected to the South African chairmanship of something called "Aisec," said to be the "world's largest student organization," with four hundred branches in sixty-five countries and an agit-prop apparatus in twenty-five universities and technical schools in South Africa.

These little signposts occur, one after the other, on just one page of the periodical I have cited. They all point in the same direction and toward the same destination, the mass-grave our Christianized race dug for itself. *Fuit Ilium.* □

WHICH KIND OF MEMBER ARE YOU?

With his thumb, the hitchhiker says, "You furnish the gas and car, attend to repairs and upkeep, supply the insurance—and I'll come along for the ride. But, if you have an accident, I'll sue you for damages!" It sounds pretty onesided, but one wonders how many hitchhikers there are in many organizations and clubs. Many members seem to say, "You go to the meetings, serve on the board, serve on the committees, do the paper work, study the issues, take care of things that need to be done, and I'll come along for the ride! If things don't suit my fancy, I'll complain, criticize, and probably get out and hitchhike to another group."

HITCHHIKER OR DRIVER — WHICH ARE YOU?

PLEASE SUPPORT LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS
WITH YOUR MONTHLY, QUARTERLY, SEMI-ANNUAL
OR ANNUAL CONTRIBUTIONS!

TOWARD REALISM IN UNDERSTANDING
THE ORIGIN AND DEVELOPMENT OF
NATIONAL SOCIALISM

by
Charles E. Weber, Ph.D.

One of the strangest and most ironic anomalies of the American academic scene is a publication sent to nearly 7,000 subscribers, *The German Quarterly*, which is published by the American Association of Teachers of German, to which I have belonged for approximately three decades. One would expect the *German Quarterly* to have a tendency, indeed a duty, to present German language and literature in their best light to the American academic community. In recent years, however, the *German Quarterly* has frequently stepped beyond its traditional bounds and published various articles and book reviews dealing with German history in general, especially the history of the National Socialist period, 1933-1945. Whenever it has overstepped these bounds it has generally made an effort to present Germany in the blackest way possible. This approach reminds of lines 3581-3582 in Goethe's *Faust*:

Wie schien mir's schwarz, und schwärzt's noch gar,
Mir's immer doch nicht schwarz g'nug war.;
These lines might be roughly translated as follows:

"How black it seemed to me [referring to the pregnancy of one of the girls' unmarried friends], and no matter how I blackened it, it never seemed quite black enough to me."

An important example of the editorial policy of the *German Quarterly* is found in its November 1980 issue, which contained the highly laudatory essay by a certain Mark E. Cory, "Some Reflections on NBC's Film *Holocaust*." This shrewd, anti-German propaganda film was fiction by its own admission and had nothing to do with German literature and language, the normal concerns of the *German Quarterly*, since the film was written in English and intended (at least originally) for American audiences. I wrote a rather long letter to the editor of the *German Quarterly* at the time, Professor Ruth K. Angress of

Princeton University. I protested the inclusion of the essay and offered to write a reply to it if such a reply had a reasonable chance of being considered for publication. Professor Angress then wrote me a curt, angry reply dated 10 February 1981, which ended with the sentence: "The *German Quarterly* under my editorship is not going to offer space to Nazi apologists or Neo-Nazi propaganda." Later on, an advertisement for my book, *The 'Holocaust' / 120 Questions and Answers*, in the Winter, 1984 *German Quarterly* brought fourth a very angry letter, also from Professor Angress, which was printed in the Spring, 1984 issue. Some pieces in later issues of the *German Quarterly* also continued to wallow in the "Holocaust" mud. (For further details, see my article in the November, 1985 issue of the *Liberty Bell*, pp. 48-52, "The rôle of the American Association of Teachers of German as a Conveyer of Anti-German Hate Propaganda.")

Now, the Summer, 1986 *German Quarterly* contains two further pieces which examine National Socialist Germany. The first is a "review essay" by the present editor of the *German Quarterly*, Professor Henry J. Schmidt of Ohio State University. His "review essay" is entitled "Vergangenheitsbewältigung 1983." This title almost defies any convenient translation into English, but it is a term quite current in western Germany. Its meaning is approximately, "coming to grips with the past," specifically the National Socialist past, as a rule. The essay is a discussion of an exhibition organized in 1983 in Bonn entitled, *Klassiker in finsternen Zeiten* ("Authors of the Classical Period in Dark Times") and the lectures and panel discussion accompanying the exhibition, which, in turn, were collected in a volume with the title, *Beschädigtes Erbe. Beiträge zur Klassikerrezeption in finsterner Zeit*, Bonn: Bouvier, 1984, 144 pp. ("Injured Heritage. Contributions on the question of the Reception of Classical Authors in a Dark Era"). The main drift of the book and Professor Schmidt's essay on it would seem to be that the German authors of the Classical Period (such as Goethe, Schiller and Hölderlin) were emphasized during the 1933-1945 period as a sort of antidote to the literary scene of the Weimar Republic (1919-1933), thus injuring their reputation. Indeed, if we look at popular publications printed in Germany during 1933-1945, such as Hans Hagemeyer's valuable and attractive *Gestalt und Wandel des Reiches / Ein Bilderatlas zur deutschen Geschichte* (Berlin: Propyläen-Verlag, 1944) we find no lack of praise for such authors as Klopstock, Lessing (an advocate of

toleration of Jews, by the way), Goethe and Schiller.

If readers (including Professor Schmidt, I hope) are curious to know what the National Socialists found objectionable in the German literary scene during the reign of Emperor Wilhelm II (1888-1918) and especially during the Weimar Republic they would be well-advised to read the last article in Volume I of the invaluable *Forschungen zur Judenfrage* (Hamburg, Hanseatische Verlagsanstalt, 1937), "Die literarische Vorherrschaft der Juden in Deutschland 1918-1933." It is imperative that this article be translated into English because the writing and publishing of literature in the United States now have strong parallels to those of the Weimar Republic with regard to Jewish domination. An article on Kurt Tucholsky in Volume II of the *Forschungen zur Judenfrage* is also pertinent to this topic. Both of the articles are by Wilhelm Stapel.

The second piece in the Summer, 1986 *German Quarterly* pertaining to German history during 1933-1945 is a book review by Mark W. Roche (also of Ohio State University) of a work recently made available in English, *The Third Reich* by Klaus Hildebrand (Winchester, 1984, 184 pp.). Here are a couple of passages from Roche's review pertaining to principles applied to the study of the Third Reich:

"Historians have typically taken one of two approaches to their study of the Third Reich: the structural, with its emphasis on social, economic, and political [sic] processes that transcend the individual, and the biographical, with its focus on the personality and policies of Hitler. While arguing that 'both schools are equally important in themselves and to each other' (p. 113), Hildebrand acknowledges a tendency among his fellow scholars to exploit one method at the expense of the other."

"Many early attempts to trace the roots of Nazism in German history were admittedly unconvincing, ranging from the trivial to the absurd; yet [sic] Hildebrand dismisses the entire enterprise. According to Hildebrand, studies which attempt to demonstrate the origins of National Socialism in German history are no longer 'worthy of discussion'; the untenability of this approach had been established already 'in the 1950s' (p. 157). Yet much of the work of American historians such as Gordon Craig, George Mosse, Fritz Stern, and R.G.L. White, who indeed attempt to integrate National Socialism with the course of German history, was published as recently as the 70s and early 80s. The question of valid interpretive

strategies for National Socialism remains perhaps even more problematic that [sic] Hildebrand's already complex account will admit."

The notion that National Socialism was a result of centuries of German historical antecedents (as early as Martin Luther, according to some authors) and peculiarly German ethnic characteristics has little foundation in reality, although that point of view has been widely propagated in the United States, especially during World War II and by vindictive Jewish historians, who continue to have a preoccupation with the history of National Socialism, or "Nazism," as they usually prefer to call it.

In reality, National Socialism was a development based on various events which took place in Germany in the time after the outbreak of the First World War in 1914 and currents of thought also present in other countries, some of which we shall discuss below. We must also not overlook economic factors of the time, especially the hyperinflation of 1922-1923 and the massive unemployment of 1930 ff.

Americans, who have nearly a whole, large continent for their economic and national development, do not readily comprehend the problems with which Germany was faced after 1914. After 1918 Germany was literally chopped in two. I have attempted in lectures to American students to draw an analogy to hypothetical conditions in the United States if it had suffered a defeat like that of Germany in 1918. If, hypothetically, the United States were defeated in a bitter war with major powers allied with Mexico (=Poland in 1918) and Mexico were awarded a wide strip of U.S. territory extending north to the Canadian border, thus cutting off our important agricultural areas on the west coast and subjecting Americans remaining in the strip to all sorts of cultural and economic disadvantages, such a situation would have some close analogies to what actually happened to Germany after 1918 by the creation of the "Polish" Corridor. Then I ask my students, while reminding them, in addition, of the devastating Allied hunger blockade into 1919, how Americans would react politically and psychologically to such a situation.

Of course, we can only speculate as to whether something like National Socialism would have developed in Germany if Hitler had never been born, but such speculation is by no means fruitless. There are strong reasons for believing that something

like National Socialism would have come into being even without Hitler. One of the strongest reasons for such a belief lies in the fact that similar movements came into being in various other European lands, even before 1933. Italy, with its Fascism, and Romania, with its Order of St. Michael, are good examples, even though both of these countries were amongst the victors in the First World War.

Saying that some aspects of National Socialism were as American as apple pie is by no means a rhetorical exaggeration. A number of aspects of National Socialism had American parallels and even American antecedents. Long before 1933 a number of American states, including Oklahoma, had laws against interracial marriage, laws which had parallels to the Nuremberg Laws of 1935. German eugenic laws introduced in 1934 resulted in the sterilization of persons who had genetic defects (most notably hereditary feeble-mindedness, schizophrenia and other genetically determined forms of insanity) after careful proceedings in special eugenics courts. In this instance, too, American antecedents had an influence on Germany after 1933, an influence which can be documented. (See, e.g., the 1944 edition of Otmar von Verschuer's *Leitfaden der Rassenhygiene*; the author cites much data gathered by L.M. Terman and other American investigators in this area.) Two-thirds of all eugenic sterilizations in Germany were performed during 1934-1936. (For further details see *Instauration*, June, 1986, p. 5.) Quite a few American states had passed corresponding laws long before 1933, as early as 1907, in fact. American influences on the National Socialist policy of limiting Jewish power in the cultural and economic spheres can also be readily documented. In 1920-1922 Henry Ford published a famous series of articles in his newspaper, *The Dearborn Independent*, articles which were later reprinted in a set of four volumes [now available from Liberty Bell Publications; approx. 1,000 pp., pb., \$26. plus \$2.60 for postage & handling] and given gratis to purchasers of Ford motorcars. We know that Ford's publications on Jews were immediately translated into German and that they had a strong influence on Hitler and his National Socialists. The huge membership of the Ku Klux Klan was a prominent feature of American political life in the 1920s. The word *Aryan*, which was incorporated into German law after 1933, had been used in the American epic film masterpiece, *The Birth of a Nation*, [available on VHS cassette from Liberty Bell Publications] as early as 1915.

Not only was there an American concern with excesses of Jewish power, but there was a particular hostility toward Jews in all countries under the shadow of the Communist colossus in the east, which was widely and correctly perceived as a manifestation of a ruthless, largely Jewish conspiracy. (See Anthony Sutton, *Wallstreet and the Bolshevik Revolution*, New Rochelle, 1974.) Hostility toward Jews was especially intense in Hungary (notably as a result of the cruel but fortunately ephemeral Bela Kun régime), Poland, and Lithuania.

It is very much in the American national interest that we attain an objective understanding of the origins, nature and development of National Socialism. Looking back 40 years, the continued, unreasoning denigration of real or imagined aspects of National Socialism has not only had a devastating effect on the German psyche (perhaps manifested, for example, in the present very low birthrate in Germany, which could have a lethal effect on Germany as a nation), but also had a profoundly devastating boomerang effect on the nations which defeated Germany in 1945, an effect which very few, if any, commentators and historians have recognized. The extreme, protracted campaign against anything perceived, correctly or incorrectly, as characteristically National Socialist has resulted in the abandonment of wholesome eugenic laws and laws against miscegenation. Moreover, the pathologically simplistic condemnation of everything thought to be National Socialist has left Aryan Americans defenseless against Jewish cultural and psychological dominance, notably through the press and television, the latter of which is almost a monopoly of Jews and their minions. Our anti-Aryan immigration policies are also probably a result of a moronic overreaction against National Socialism. Do we Americans have to void every state law against sodomy just because National Socialists put homosexuals into labor camps during the Second World War? (It must be mentioned that strict laws against homosexuality were current in many other nations at the time.) Just because the National Socialists considered some art forms degenerate does not mean that, in fact, they were not. Most importantly, American taxpayers are required to pay untold billions of dollars each year for a defense against Communism, but Europeans (and not just Germans) who fought against Communism during the Second World War are constantly being denigrated in our media. This has led to a debilitating confusion in our national goals. While "Holocaust" courses have been forced

THE NORDIC PRESS

THE POLITICAL BATTLE FOR VICTORY

Two aspects of National Socialism readily become apparent for those of us who seek to follow its philosophy. First is the idealistic. This encompasses the ultimate goals of a racially pure population living in a society in which we may exercise our rights over our own lives, property, and natural resources without outside interference from other races. This is our *Weltanschauung*, the world-view around which our actions and philosophy revolve, the central issue of life which is the perpetuation of our race through the purity of its blood and the purity of the soil from which comes our sustenance.

The second aspect is one which many National Socialists today find distasteful for it involves direct action in the competitive, and vicious, world of politics. To bring the *Weltanschauung* into reality it must become the philosophical basis for the government of the racial community. Unless you subscribe to the concept that some great, all-seeing and all-knowing super being nestled in the heavens is going to reach down into the affairs of our race and set everything right for us, then you realize the political road is the only one open to us for accomplishing our goals. Distasteful as it may be, Aryan warriors must become involved in politics if we are to be victorious.

National Socialists today look to the racial concepts of Adolf Hitler as being the most meaningful to the struggle in the contemporary world. Hitler did not conceive the concept of racial purity, he was but one in a long line of racial realists who understood the workings of nature and the role which each race must play in fulfilling its destiny. What sets Hitler apart is that he realized the necessity for entering the political arena to attain his goals. The world of German politics in the 1920-1930 decades were as corrupt as those in contemporary Western countries today. Hitler entered the battle under the swastika banner of the National Socialist German Workers Party, the NSDAP, or "Nazi" party, to use the German name and abbreviation by which the party and its philosophy became known in Germany and throughout the world.

February 1987


51

onto naive American school children, these children learn virtually nothing about the present danger of Communism and its history.

On 3 September 1939, the British and French empires, with their vast territories, armed forces, and economic resources, declared war on Germany, a country roughly the size of Texas. Nearly half of the time in which the National Socialists ruled Germany was passed during a desperate war characterized by repeated genocidal threats against the German nation. National Socialism had been too successful, especially in the economic sphere. Even in wartime Danzig Hitler was so popular with the German people that he dared to greet them while standing in an open car. (See the work by Hagemeyer cited above, p. 508.) Hitler was also by no means devoid of friends and admirers in lands other than Germany, a fact often overlooked since 1945.

As a veteran of World War II born in the United States of purely Aryan extraction and living now in a painfully multi-racial society when my race is declining in relative, if not absolute, numbers and political status, I have no inclination to be an advocate of omnipotent, redistributive government. Nevertheless, an objective perspective on National Socialism can only be to the advantage of my race. That understanding can be attained only by examining all of the economic, ethnic and cultural currents throughout the world during the time when National Socialism existed. □

SURVIVAL MANUAL FOR THE WHITE RACE



William Gayley Simpson has spent a lifetime of keen observation, careful analysis, and deep reflection developing the principal thesis of his book: that the single, undying purpose of all human activity should be the ennobling of man. In support of this thesis he looks at the foundations of Western Society, at the structure of our government, at the effects of technology and industrialization on man, at the roles of the sexes, at economics, and at race. The book goes to the roots of the problems facing the White race today, and it shows the ways in which White society must be changed if the race is to survive. *WHICH WAY WESTERN MAN?* is an encyclopedic work whose conclusions can be ignored by no one with a sense of responsibility to the future. For your copy of *WHICH WAY WESTERN MAN?* send \$17.50 for the deluxe,

clothbound edition or \$11.50 for the softback edition (these prices include \$1.50 for shipping) to: LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy, WV 25270 USA

This will not be a retelling of history so well documented in Hitler's book, *Mein Kampf*, meaning, "my struggle," or, "my battle." Suffice to say, Hitler's first attempt to attain political power was at the muzzle of a gun—and it failed. He then realized the only avenue open to the National Socialist for realizing the *Weltanschauung* was the rocky road of politics. His success followed long years of dedicated, altruistic work and sacrifice. It did not come easily for Hitler, nor will it come easily for his followers today. The battle must be fought in the world of contemporary politics.

Fine, you say, but how is this to come about, how does it affect me? Each of us who accept the National Socialist philosophy eagerly await its *Führer*, its leader. But, we wait in vain, for we have not prepared the way for the arrival of a leader. A leader cannot lead without a body of followers, and today our racial ranks are so scattered, and so divided the leader's role lies in the future. Today it is our duty, as followers of the racial dream of Aryan unity, to prepare the way for the leader of our people.

To do this, we, as Aryan warriors, must be infiltrators, we must be vicious, we must be cunning, and we must never lose sight of our ultimate goal. Each of us must enter the political arena in our immediate community. We must become involved in the political process. We must become active as individuals and as Aryan families in making our views known and felt. One does not accomplish this today by marching into the PTA or city council meeting with a swastika armband. One accomplishes it by seeming to join forces with ZOG—our Zionist Occupation Government. We will remove ZOG as the minions of ZOG sought to remove us, by infiltrating the existing system and working from within to regain control. Some few will be able to work in the open for our goals, but others, just as the great mass below the iceberg's tip, will remain unknown.

There are still Aryans. As you express your Aryan views in the political arena, you will find you serve as a magnet to attract others. Do not be too ambitious. A string of minor victories are needed to insure each victory of major significance which will ultimately lead to our final triumph over ZOG. Do not hesitate to join or to affiliate with any of the existing political parties, for an Aryan warrior is not there to further their aims, but to further the *Weltanschauung* of National Socialism. If you must, resort to deception and even falsehood, the weapons now available, for surely our goal must justify the

means by which we will achieve our victory. Become active in your community's political life. If you are still a member of ZOG's various churches, make your voice and philosophy known by citing the many Christian biblical references which show an abhorrence for sodomites and race mixers. To aid in your research, refer to the book lists offered by all of our racial publications—help is available.

Any victory, no matter how small, is a firm stone in the foundation of National Socialist power. As our foundation grows, it will eventually support a political organization of tremendous power, and from that base will emerge the racial leader destined to carry our *Weltanschauung* to the victory which will be ours in the 21st Century. The contemporary policies of racial destruction through miscegenation are false and contrary to the natural order; they will collapse when struck by the force of Aryan power derived from strength founded upon the natural order. Do not feel cheapened by entrance into politics, it is the means by which our end, just as was Hitler's, will be attained.

As our Aryan goals begin to be realized in the political and educational arenas, then will emerge the party which will be our vehicle to political victory. In Germany it was the NSDAP, and may well be again, in the United States, Canada, the United Kingdom, Australia, and all of the other countries of Aryan foundation and population, it may be a party of another name, yet the goals will all be identical—the foundation of a National Socialist Aryan state. Today our task is neither easy nor pleasant, for we must travel under colors not of our choosing to attain our goals. Deception does not come easily to the Aryan warrior. We must look at the Jew to see the pathway of deceit which he used to infiltrate our Aryan governments. His path is easy to follow, and, though distasteful, we Aryans must also follow it to destroy our foe and thus insure the victory of our *Weltanschauung*. Tomorrow's world will be better for our struggle, and our children, and their children to follow, will live in a world of natural harmony.

And it must be clearly recognized that if a highly energetic and active body of men emerge from a nation and unite in the fight for one goal, thereby ultimately rising above the inert masses of the people, this small percentage will become masters of the whole.

Adolf Hitler, *Mein Kampf*.

Notes On Racial Idealism

by
Allan Callaban

William Penn observed that "men are generally more careful of the breed of their horses and dogs than of their children." And the situation, of course, is much worse now. Yet racial idealism is far from dead even though it is more or less taboo to speak of it openly.

Occasionally the taboo will be violated, however, and someone will timidly suggest that maybe we ought to examine the possibility of improving our genetic makeup. Immediately this hapless individual will be pounced upon and reminded that we may be able to produce better horses and dogs, or apples and oranges, because there is a consensus of expert opinion as to what is wanted; but who, they ask, would have the presumption to say what would make an ideal human being?

Here, I think, the critics have gone astray. Improving our white genetic makeup does not mean that we want all men to be six feet tall and weigh 180 pounds, or that we think all women should be blonde and blue-eyed. Rather, we seek not *one type* but *many fine types* within our white race. The other races, of course, are free to do as they please about their own genetic makeups; each can choose its own ideals.

Along this vein, though, we should consider that the dark races do not value darkness of skin, but *lightness*; and the lighter, the better. For example, in Brazil, where there has been widespread miscegenation over the centuries, mixed-blooded individuals, when seeking mates, will usually try to find someone lighter than themselves, with the approval and/or prodding of their families. If they fail, and a darker mate is taken, the family members will refer to it as "a fly in the milk."

Blacks may say "black is beautiful," but a look inside negro magazines proves that they do not mean what they say. Most of the black models are of the lighter hue, and these publications do not go in for sloping foreheads, thick lips, and prognathous jaws, either. Many of their models look more white than black, and are, in fact, mulattoes, with more Aryan blood in their veins than negro blood.

Among Orientals, too, we see a substantial variation in skin

color, with some being quite dark while others are lighter with a small minority being about as fair as Mediterranean-type white people. But again, as with the negroes, you would find few if any Orientals who would say "the darker the skin—the greater the asset."

The press today vilifies any white man who says lightness of skin should be an ideal, yet observe the double standard that is in effect. A black man can say "black is beautiful" and get nothing but smiles, or he can say that most Blacks would like to be lighter colored, and again get nothing but smiles. And most of them *do* prefer lightness of skin. They yearn to look white, want to feel white, would like to be white. But whatever his desires are, the negro gets no guff from the press. The white man, on the other hand, is immediately condemned if he says that his own race should stay white. He then retreats, because he is told he is injuring the black man's feelings. The feelings of his own people are ignored.

Ask black males if they want white women, and most will deny it, professing loyalty to their own kind. Yet they are increasingly going after white women, which is proven by the inter-racial marriage statistics. Race-pride would make the black male want to stay dark or get darker, yet the dramatic rise in mixed marriages shows that he wants to *whiten* the strain, not blacken it. In this he shows a distinct preference.

The white woman is now one of his "rights." Since he was "created equal," and now has full civil, political, and economic rights, he feels he is just as entitled to possess a white woman as is any white man.

Although some Caucasian men will take a non-white mate, many still have an ideal of female beauty that has not changed over the centuries. Curry lists the feminine ideal in mediaeval times:

. . . . blonde, golden hair, like gold wire, eyes sparkling bright and light blue, cheeks lilly white or rose pink, teeth white, evenly set, arms long, snow white hands, white, long slender fingers, waist small and willowy, skin everywhere dazzling white and as silk in softness.

Some think that chromosome-slicing geneticists and DNA men will eventually be able to perform miracles and produce any kind of human being they want. Possibly, but some of these speculations are so far out that we can hardly take them seriously. And even talk of performing a few very minor experiments along the line of improving the breed has brought out storms of protest from egalitarians, who call it "racism."

February 1987

Of course, if the scientists could turn all people into mongrelized equals, then the protestors might go along with this.

Man came into being some three million years ago. He is one species, so the different races can therefore interbreed (we wish they couldn't). However, just because they can do so doesn't mean that they are alike—or equal. Volkswagen cars and Mack trucks both have wheels, seats, lights, brakes, and various other things in common, but there are also many other things they *don't* have in common. And even the items they both have generally differ greatly in structure. Are the wheels of a VW very much like the wheels of a Mack truck? Or the brakes?

Yes, whites and blacks both have arms, legs, hair, and brains, but these are not the same in each race. In the latter, the arms are longer, the shanks of the legs are thinner, the hair frizzy, and the brain smaller. The negro brain also has shallower convolutions and a different make-up of the frontal lobes, which deal with higher reasoning power.

Does the negro have *anything* that the Caucasian would want to pass on to his offspring? What would it be? His smaller brain? His more ape-like arms? His frizzy hair?

In 1837 Guenevalt listed 47 differences between whites and blacks. There are also lesser differences between Caucasians and Orientals. Everything a healthy-minded white man should consider as a racial ideal is already possessed by his own race and he has nothing to gain by bringing the blood of the dark races into his own genepool. Wouldn't it be a tragedy if someday the blush on a white girl's cheek was no more to be seen, simply because there were no more white girls to be seen?

If human evolution had suddenly stopped, shortly after it began, man would be a short, hairy, ape-like creature today. Who would say that this creature made an ideal racial type that should have remained the standard forever?

Isn't it better that man continued to evolve, and is, maybe, still evolving today? If so, then evolution needs to come along the lines nature has already laid out, e.g., along racial lines. To go back the other way, by mixing everyone and all together, would be a mistake. If each follows its own path, the time may come when separate species will develop. Sir Arthur Keith said: "The truth is that the ways of natural evolution are incompatible with those of a common and universal civilization. We can have one or the other as a mode of life, but we cannot have both." (*Evolution and Ethics*, VII) □

KEEP THE *LIBERTY BELL* RINGING!

Please remember: *Our* fight is *Your* fight! Donate whatever you can spare on a regular—monthly or quarterly—basis. Whether it is \$2., \$5., \$20., or \$100. or more, rest assured it is needed here and will be used in our common struggle. If you are a businessman, postage stamps in any denomination, are a legitimate business expense—and we need and use many of these here every month, and will be gratefully accepted as donations.

Your donations will help us spread the *Message of Liberty* and *White Survival* throughout the land, by making available additional copies of our printed material to fellow Whites who do not yet know what is in store for them.

Order our pamphlets, booklets, stickers, and—most importantly—our reprints which are ideally suited for mass distribution at reasonable cost. Order extra copies of *Liberty Bell* for distribution to your circle of friends, neighbors and relatives, urging them to subscribe to our unique publication. Our bulk prices are shown on the inside front cover of every issue of *Liberty Bell*.

Pass along your copy of *Liberty Bell*, and copies of reprints you obtained from us, to friends and acquaintances who may be on our 'wave length,' and urge them to contact us for more of the same.

Carry on the fight to free our White people from the shackles of alien domination, even if you can only join our ranks in spirit. You can provide for this by bequest. The following are suggested forms of bequests which you may include in your Last Will and Testament:

1. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the sum of \$ for general purposes.

2. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the following described property for general purposes.

**DO YOUR PART TODAY — HELP FREE OUR WHITE
RACE FROM ALIEN DOMINATION!**