

Austin App

Revisionist Pamphlets

**D E A R
D A R E
R E A D**

AAARGH PUBLISHERS ONLINE
Internet 2003

Table of Contents

<u>A STRAIGHT LOOK AT THE THIRD REICH</u>	3
<u>THE SIX MILLION SWINDLE</u>	43
<u>The "Holocaust" Put in Perspective</u>	69
<u>POWER & PROPAGANDA in American Politics & Foreign Affairs</u>	80
<u>Austin T. App, 1902-1984</u> (biographical article by K. Stimley)	93

A STRAIGHT LOOK AT THE THIRD REICH

Hitler and National Socialism, How Right? How Wrong?

(Material underlined for emphasis in the original is capitalized here.)

Decades after Unconditional Surrender, an objective look at the Third Reich and National Socialism is long overdue. [1] Three recent trips to Germany have convinced me that any German policy which is patriotic and national -- as truly German as we want American policy to be truly American -- one which is firmly anti-communistic and yet not slavishly subservient to the Western victors, will be denounced as neo-Nazi. Yet unless Germany can soon generate such a policy into office, it seems destined to fall under the domination of Soviet Russia.

GREEN LIGHT FOR SOVIETS TO INVADE

Indeed, the United Nations Charter (in Articles 53 and 107) reserves to Soviet Russia the right to invade West Berlin and West Germany whenever it can allege a revival there of neo-Nazism OR militarism. Every time anyone accuses anything in West Germany or in Austria as NEO-NAZI he is flashing a green light to Soviet Russia to invade these FORMER ENEMIES. When in 1968 West Germany faintly protested the Red invasion of Czechoslovakia, Moscow pointed to Articles 53 and 107 and convulsed Bonn with terror and silence. And blackmailed it into ratifying the Red theft of the Oder-Neisse territories -- one fourth of Germany -- and to sanction the expulsion of its fifteen million inhabitants (which includes the Sudeten Germans) and the murder of 2,500,000! And in the Moscow-Bonn treaty, West Germany had to endorse Articles 53 and 107!

Yet a nation whom the victors do not allow to be nationalistic cannot long remain a nation; it will go the way the Soviet Zone of Germany and Czechoslovakia have gone -- right under the noses of the Anglo-American champions of democracy! The worldwide Communistic conspiracy and a lingering Morgenthauism have succeeded in labeling all German patriotism as NEO-NAZI and to keep representing the Third Reich as monstrously evil, and National Socialism as the most Satanic governmental philosophy and system in the world!

IT IS HIGH TIME TO TAKE AN HONEST LOOK

The time is overdue to investigate what validity this judgment has and who is behind it. According to some signs, what is not totally evil is National Socialism, and what IS totally evil is the propaganda of the Unconditional Surrenderists! For example, I was jolted when the very respectable German paper, DEUTSCHE ZEITUNG: CHRIST UND WELT, "War Hitler ein grosser Man?" (April 18, 1969) dutifully denies that Hitler was great, complains that he ruined Germany, but dares to cite as one small, positive accomplishment of his that he "broke up class structure of bourgeois Germany and created a condition of social equality." In other words, National Socialism, it appears, was an instrument for social democracy, and this is really the

most Christian element of democracy! This would represent a huge hunk of good in National Socialism!

GENERAL WEDEMEYER ALSO FOUND GOOD ASPECTS

While absorbing this revelation in the anti-Nazi DEUTSCHE ZEITUNG, I happened to re-read WEDEMEYER REPORTS (Devin-Adair Co., 1 Park Ave, Old Greenwich, Conn. 06870, 497 pages, hardback, \$7.50; paperback, \$2.95). In it, General Albert C. Wedemeyer, a Nebraskan American, whom the U.S. Army sent to study in the German KRIEGAKADEMIE from 1936 to 1938, who therefore is one of the few Americans who saw Nazi Germany when it was in peaceful operation, before it was distorted by war, made the following observation:

"There were some aspects of the Third Reich which seemed good at the time -- for example, the public works program; encouragement of the arts, music, and sciences; the building of roads and communications; and cultural opportunities such as travel abroad for underprivileged people at government expense." (p. 38)

In other words, German National Socialism, the Third Reich, which Talmudists, Communists and our media continue to represent as the very epitome of concentrated evil had in reality some good in it! I cannot help adding here that it also suppressed the greatest contemporary American, Swedish, Danish and West German shame -- and that of the Weimar Republic -- pornography! Nor did it tolerate the effects of pornography -- prostitution, perversion, sodomy! It frowned upon divorce, encouraged marital fidelity. And whatever else Hitler might have been, he was not an adulterer like Roosevelt, who two-timed his wife, with two of his secretaries! Nor like Wilson, whose adultery caused the Jews to blackmail him into appointing Brandeis to the Supreme Court and to railroad the U.S. into World War I!

THE THIRD REICH NEEDED NO BARBED WIRE TO KEEP ITS OWN PEOPLE IN

Indeed, upon a moment's reflection, we realize with a start that Nazi Germany before the war certainly had nothing like the Berlin Wall to keep its citizens in, nor a barbed wire entanglement -- no Iron Curtain -- around its frontier, through the middle of Europe, all hideous barbarisms which characterize our lend-lease Pals, the Communists! We also recollect that until 1939 people could come and go to and from Germany very much as in the U.S. and Great Britain! But NEVER to and from Soviet Russia, or its satellites, like the Soviet Zone of Germany, hypocritically called the DEMOCRATIC GERMAN REPUBLIC.

Most of all, Jews could leave Germany at will -- with their belongings -- and without paying a head tax! In contrast, Soviet Russia, which the media continue to represent as a kind of Utopia compared to Nazi Germany, does not let Jews -- nor any other ethnic groups, not even the remaining Oder-Neisse Germans -- go and come as they please. And they charge huge head taxes for the Jews they do let out! In reality, Hitler permitted them, even encouraged them, to emigrate, precisely what Jews bitterly complain that Soviet Russia denies them!

Yet, these same Jews continue to call National Socialism intrinsically evil, but Communism, but for a few AD HOC inconveniences, relatively good! In short, the Third Reich was a relatively open and exposed society, like our own, while Soviet Russia and its satellites are barbed-wired in like huge concentration camps!

WILL HITLER LIKE GOLDWATER BE REHABILITATED?

Ever since our media have ganged up to represent President Nixon as liar, thief, and dictator, a veritable Hitler, they also begin to talk of Senator Barry Goldwater as the American ideal of a senator. Recently Walter Cronkite pontificated benignly over Goldwater:

"If Goldwater now sounds like the voice of moderation and reason, in this current of public confidence perhaps it's because ... he seems to plead no special cause, right now, except frankness and honesty ... Once ... He was ridiculed as an anachronism ... are the times catching up to Barry Goldwater?" (NATIONAL REVIEW, November 9, 1973)

Goldwater was not only called an "anachronism", he was denounced as a Hitler, a Fascist, and a racist. If "the times are catching up to Barry Goldwater", and rehabilitating him, perhaps the times will by and by catch up with Adolf Hitler, too? That is why I am writing this booklet!

Here is what a few of the great U.S. publicists, who in 1964 still denounced Hitler as an abomination, said of Goldwater. Martin Luther King croaked, "We see dangerous signs of Hitlerism in the Goldwater campaign." If he wins, there will be an "outbreak of violence" by Negroes. George Meany, who is especially down on Nixon now, announced "a parallel between Senator Barry Goldwater and Adolf Hitler." Jackie Robinson said he believes he now knows "how it felt to be a Jew in Hitler's Germany." Drew Pearson, one who didn't believe in the Devil but knew Hitler was the Devil, perceived "The smell of Fascism ... in the air" at the San Francisco Convention. Governor Pat Brown found that "Goldwater's acceptance speech had the stench of Fascism ... All we needed to hear was "Heil Hitler"!

And of course C. L. Sulzberger, of the NEW YORK TIMES, which to ruin Nixon made a monster out of the Watergate peccadillo, found that:

"... in January 1963 a dangerous group of financial supporters including racists and labor-baiters began to push Goldwater's candidacy ... the kind of business backing behind the Nazis in the early Thirties."

James Reston, another pundit of the NEW YORK TIMES, reminded Goldwater "that most of the extremist tyrants of history, from Caesar and Napoleon to Hitler and Stalin, acted in the name of liberty and justice." (We are indebted for these quotations to NATIONAL REVIEW, November 9, 1973.)

IF HITLER WAS LIKE GOLDWATER, HE MUST HAVE BEEN PRETTY GOOD!

We see that in 1964 the top American opinion-makers equated Goldwater with Hitler, and the Republicans with Nazis and Fascists! And within a few months they so defamed Goldwater that he sank from a Republican hero to a "monster" few dared to name and fewer to vote for! Now, if the moral cripples in our media can do this to a now revered American politician, can anyone doubt that they could and would do worse to a foreign politician with whose country they tricked us into a war? If they could make Goldwater look like Hitler, and the Republican Party like Nazis and Fascists, then one cannot accept their defamations of Hitler nor of National Socialism. OUR TOP OPINION MAKERS DURING AND AFTER WORLD WAR II, AND OUR TOP MEDIA, OUTSIDE THE "CHICAGO TRIBUNE", WERE LIARS, MORAL CRIPPLES, AND PROSTITUTES OF ROOSEVELT AND MORGENTHAU -- AND LARGELY STILL ARE!

What they have been saying about Hitler and National Socialism, like what they said about the Kaiser in 1919 and Barry Goldwater in 1964, is the crudest, the dirtiest, the vilest character-assassination! Only moral cripples would stoop to it, and only a brain-washed public would believe it.

THE U.S. MEDIA AND THE PUBLIC HAVE TRADITIONALLY BEEN UNFAIR TO OPPONENTS

The top U.S. media, possibly because they are dominated by Jews, as their smearing of Goldwater in 1964 and of President Nixon now, and of President Herbert Hoover in 1928, shows, have no tradition of fairness to anyone they hate. They are smear-terroristic liars and slanderers. But, sad to say, they have also in wartime subverted much of the public to a frenzy of prejudice. Even in our Civil War, where Americans fought against Americans, Americans of the North were told and came to believe that Choctaw County stunk with dead bodies of murdered slaves, and that Southern belles (really sisters of the belles of the North) had worn necklaces strung of Yankee eyeballs! And Northern politicians, like Thaddeus Stevens, said of the Southerners, fellow Americans, "Humble the proud traitors, strip them of their bloated estates ... desolate the section ... reduce them to hopeless feebleness."

If Yankees could believe that Southern girls wore necklaces of Yankee eyeballs, would they not even more readily believe that Germans made lamp-shades out of the skin of prisoners, or that they boiled Jews into soap? If in 1918 they wanted to hang the Kaiser on a sour apple tree, as the monster criminal of World War I, a world leader now universally recognized as one of the most decent and moderate of all War leaders, certainly less dishonest and less vindictive than Wilson, Lloyd George, Clemenceau, and General Foch, is it not likely that for possibly no more justification they would want to hang Hitler, and did in fact at the Nuremberg Trials hang Germans no more guilty than the Kaiser, or Hindenburg, or Ludendorf? Clearly what judgment American pundits and the media and as a consequence, much of the public screeched about the German leaders and people after World War II deserves not to be credited -- and needs to be studied honestly and be revised. This -- and only this -- I am trying to do in this booklet.

WOULD HITLER LIKELY ESCAPE THE GERMANOPHOBIA OF WORLD WAR I?

Have you ever heard that Hitler "burned books" and that therefore the Third Reich must be destroyed, its cities bombed, its workmen's homes destroyed by fire bombs, its churches bombed to bits, and a maximum of women and children massacred, as in Dresden? Well, in World War I, after Wilson appointed George Creel as director of public information, really as the government prostitute for publicizing false atrocity stories against the Central Powers, such as that Germans went around slicing the arms off Belgian babies, the allegedly fair-minded American public outlawed the German language from the schools:

"German music and literature, German church services, the German language, the activities of all German societies ... came under the ban of super-patriots ... The "Hun" language was banished from the curriculum ... German books were thrown out of libraries, sold as trash or burned in the public square with patriotic ceremonies." (Whittke, GERMAN PRESS, pp. 268-9)

Is it likely that a public that could be propagandized into such a frenzy of hatred of an enemy long since recognized as marvelously chivalrous, would not be whipped to a worse paroxysm of hatred and vilification during and after World War II, when in addition to the George Creel type of slanderers the Third Reich and the German people have been exposed to the likes of the Jewish Communist Ilya Ehrenburg, who ordered all German women raped, and Jews like Theodore N. Kaufman (GERMANY MUST PERISH), who wanted all German men castrated, and Secretary of the Treasury, Henry J. Morgenthau (GERMANY IS OUR PROBLEM), who wanted all Germans starved to death?

HATE PEDDLERS MUCH WORSE IN WORLD WAR II THAN IN WORLD WAR I

Is it really likely that when such genocidal barbarians swayed Allied propaganda and policy, that Hitler and the Third Reich and the German people could have been given an honest and fair treatment? Obviously when such monsters of slander and vilification and genocide were the darlings of our media fairness to the Third Reich -- to Hitler, to National Socialism -- has had no more chance than the proverbial snowball in hell! I am writing this booklet only to try to start setting the record straight -- no more. I don't want to defend the Third Reich, nor National Socialism, I just want truth and justice for them. Though it be Utopian to hope so -- in as much as even after 2,000 years it still does not grant truth and justice to Jesus of Nazareth, -- I am most eager to have the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai Brith accept my modest and sincere intention!

CRUSADERS FOR "DEMOCRACY" PERMIT EVERY TYPE OF GOVERNMENT EXCEPT NATIONAL SOCIALISM

The one thing the self-acclaimed "Crusaders" for the destruction of Germany proclaimed over and over again was and is that every people has the right to choose what form of government it wants but that no outside power or unpopular armed clique may force its type of rule on a people. In the third Article of the Atlantic Charter, the top hypocrites of the world, Roosevelt and Churchill, preached "the right of all peoples to choose the form of government under which they will live"!

But what did they do? As soon as they had forced Unconditional Surrender on the hapless German and Austrian people, the peace dictators violently, without a plebiscite, tore Austrians from Germany and told them they must adopt the Rooseveltian New Deal as their form of government or be starved to death. And again the Western victors, without consulting the German people, told the West Germans under their occupation, that if they do not wish to be exterminated as Nazis or Nazi sympathizers, they must adopt the Rooseveltian New Deal and nothing else.

IF ANGLO-AMERICANS COULD FORCE THEIR GOVERNMENT ON GERMANY, COULD NOT MOSCOW IMPOSE ITS FORM OF GOVERNMENT?

Is it possible that the Anglo-American peace dictators did not realize that if they claimed the right and duty to force their form of government on the German and Austrian people, under their occupation, Soviet Russia would feel justified to force Communism on its zones of occupation? Could our diplomats have really been so stupid and blind! Or did they secretly, barbarized and bolshevized by Morgenthauism, want to deliver the German people to the Reds? Morgenthau wanted U.S. troops to leave Europe and let Europeans occupy all of Germany, which in effect meant handing it over to the Soviet Russian rapists and burglars. Morgenthau was flanked by Communist Harry Dexter White, and he by Alger Hiss. John Barron (in *KGB*, N.Y. 1974, p. 188) lists among his catalogue of Red spies in our foreign service, such characters as Ullmann, Reno, Coplin, Gold, Greenglass, Julius and Ethel Rosenberg (whom the media now glamorize), Sobell, Perl, Soble, Wolston, and Alfred and Martha Stern. And such as Klaus Fuchs and Philby for Britain.

No wonder that with characters like that the Anglo-Americans forced the New Deal on West Germany in a way which invited Soviet Russia to force Communism on the eastern half of Germany -- and on Poland, the Baltic States, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Rumania, Bulgaria, and Yugoslavia! That is the right "of all peoples to choose the form of government under which they want to live" which the "holy Rooseveltian Crusaders" inflicted on Europe -- and on China, North Korea, and North Vietnam!

SINCE THE VICTORS SO FAVORED THE TOTALITARIANISM OF COMMUNISM, BY WHAT LOGIC DID AND DO THEY PROHIBIT NATIONAL SOCIALISM FOR THE GERMAN PEOPLE?

If "liberated", and all other nations can choose the form of government they want, just why can't the Germans choose National Socialism, in preference to the atheistic, barbarous, abominable totalitarianism of Bolshevism the victors not only permitted to them but forced upon them? And upon a dozen unfortunate Baltic and Asiatic peoples?

Let me again explain for the benefit of the Morgenthauistic smear-terrorists, I do not recommend National Socialism or Fascism, I do not advocate it for Germany or for Italy. What I do declare solemnly is that since the Potsdam Pact any impulses of patriotism in West Germany, of honorable nationalism, no matter how timid, are immediately denounced and destroyed by the American, British, Israeli and Soviet

press as "neo-Nazi". And in a fright, the bulk of the German press, which the Rooseveltians after the war handed over to exclusively liberal, socialistic, pro-Communistic, virtually treasonable so-called Germans, immediately claque in unison with the Germanophobic international press and chokes off any German patriotic movement. This is what, for example, it did to the NPD (National Democratic Party). This was an absolutely harmless but patriotic and national German party, and its chairman, Adolf von Thadden (whose sister had been executed by the Nazis) was about as anti-National Socialistic as it is possible to be. But he and the party were patriotically German, they wanted a Germany with some national pride, and most of all they wanted to get rid of the Communistic savages in the eastern part of Germany, one half of the total, and reunification in the boundaries Wilson's Fourteen Points and the Rooseveltian Atlantic Charter had guaranteed to the vanquished!

GERMAN PATRIOTISM SQUASHED, CALUMNIATED AS "NEO-NAZISM"

For this the same type of moral cripples who in 1964 equated Goldwater with Hitler screeched day and night about the revival of National Socialism in Germany and howled to have it crushed, even if necessary by having Soviet Russia invoke Articles 53 and 107 and march into West Germany!

It is because of these very articles that I insist it is necessary to put National Socialism in proper perspective. I solemnly declare that since the Potsdam Pact the victors have not allowed Germany to be patriotic. They have not allowed it to have national pride. They have not allowed it to have a modern army with modern weapons -- and an army without modern weapons is a swindle. It is an invitation to the Soviet Russians to march in.

Any but a Morgenthauist ought to realize that a Germany that is denounced as "neo-Nazi" at every breath of patriotism has no alternative, and only one choice -- to go Communist, to seek its reunification from Soviet Russia, rather than from a United Western Front. At the first sign of a real depression, and as in people's hearts the desire of a reunified Germany grows, (but which they may not express), the German people can only turn to Communism. The West which encouraged the Berlin Wall and accepted the Iron Curtain without a protest, and which calls all real patriotism "neo-Nazi", which staffed its chief papers with virtual traitors, cannot seem to honest Germans as an alternative! Hope remains only if it quickly stops denouncing patriotism as National Socialism and Fascism!

I saw the German dilemma once. In 1931, Germany suffered from the worst depression I ever experienced or read about. The Communists, mostly with Jewish leaders (e.g. Rosa Luxemburg, Karl Liebknecht, Kurt Eisner), were aggressively and ruthlessly advancing their Red barbarism. The only opposition to them was Hitler and his followers. It was clear to me, then a young man, that the German people, to whom America and the West had refused self-determination for Austria, the Sudetenland, Danzig and the Corridor, South Tyrol, had only one alternative, Communism OR National Socialism.

May I here add that it was at that time impossible to oppose the Red barbarism energetically without being denounced by Communists and Jews as "anti-Semitic". I

may add, THAT is still is the case. It happened to Lindbergh, to Father Coughlin, and to Senator Joseph McCarthy!

THANKS TO HITLER, PART OF EUROPE IS STILL FREE

It may take another hundred years, or a Third World War with the Communistic monster which the Rooseveltians created, before it will be realized that it was Hitler and his National Socialism to whom, from Spain to England, Western Europe must be grateful for having preserved them at least until now from the Red barbarism. Because the Rooseveltians knocked the sword out of Germany's hand at Stalingrad, half of Germany, all of Poland, the Balkans, the Baltic Republics have since 1945 suffered the frightful agony and tyranny and totalitarianism of the Bolshevik savages to which the Anglo-Americans at the Potsdam Pact betrayed them! That it was Hitler who saved Spain, the western anchor of freedom, from the Bolshevik take-over is already admitted.

AGAIN THE GERMAN PEOPLE MUST BUT MAY NOT CHOOSE PATRIOTISM AND FREEDOM

Luckily, as by and by even our journalistic moral cripples will admit, Hitler and his National Socialists and Mussolini and his Fascists in those so critical years for Europe won. But what makes me tremble is the fact that again the German people, normally, has only the choice of throwing off the unpatriotic phony democracy of the Rooseveltians forced upon it in 1945 or to let Soviet Russia engulf it. The present German government, that of Brandt, Wehner, and Bahr, all former Communists and, according to all appearances still more Communistic than German, in the last two years have sanctioned and ratified the Communist robbery of the Oder-Neisse territories, one-fourth of Germany proper, have betrayed the Sudeten Germans to the Red Czechs, have endangered West Berlin, are paying huge indemnities to the Communists countries to reward them for the most atrocious mass crime in all recorded history, the expulsion, and total robbery, and clubbing to death of 20% of the East Prussians, Pommeranians, Silesians and Sudeten Germans. A government that does that is not for Germany, it is for Soviet Russia!

And the Brandt-Wehner-Bahr collection of former Communists could afford these frightful betrayals of German rights because they knew that Washington, with a co-racialist as Bahr as Secretary of State, Henry Kissinger, and President Nixon bludgeoned into serving Zionist and Morgenthau interests rather than American and Western ones, would be happy if West Germany served "detente" by betraying all of Eastern Germany and all the satellite and enslaved nations!

GERMANY BEING BETRAYED HELPLESSLY TO SOVIET-RUSSIA

The government imposed upon Germany by the Rooseveltians, never truly independent of U.S. Morgenthauism, is, with the additional impulse of the prevailing phony "detente", betraying Germans to Soviet Russia. Had the NPD not been smear-terrorized out of influence some years ago, this would not be happening.

But the frightful condition is that, whereas in 1931 Germany was allowed to chose an alternative to Bolshevism, in 1974 it is damned as "neo-Nazi" if it chooses patriotism and nationalism -- and therefore has no alternative. Its only hope for reunification is surrendering to Soviet Russia!

And let me make it absolutely clear, any patriotic impulse in Germany, any effort for an adequate defence, any sense of national pride is immediately damned by the Bolshevik, Israeli, and American media as "neo-Nazi" deserving of another Nuremberg trial!

To try to expose this suicidal Germanophobic hate propoganda I am writing this booklet. I AM NOT WRITING IT TO GLORIFY NATIONAL SOCIALISM, MUCH LESS "NEO-NAZISM", I AM WRITING IT TO PREVENT GERMAN PATRIOTISM FROM BEING SMEAR-TERRORIZED AS "NEO-NAZI".

JUST WHAT IS WRONG WITH NATIONAL SOCIALISM THAT IS NOT TEN TIMES WORSE IN BOLSHEVISM?

But to achieve this so critically important fairness in the West, I seem to be able only to do it by asking just what is so wrong in National Socialism that is not ten times more wrong in Bolshevism? Just why at Potsdam did the Western victors tell the Germans on pain of destruction not ever to tolerate or embrace National Socialism, but to be very open-minded about Communism, and in fact ordered the defenseless Germans to accept it as their system of government for the eastern half of Germany!

Why this insane foaming-at-the-mouth hatred of National Socialism? Harry Elmer Barnes, before World War II the great darling of Jews and Liberals, wrote:

"In short, there is no unique or special case against Nazi barbarism and horrors unless one assumes that it is far more wicked to exterminate Jews than to massacre Gentiles." (BLASTING THE HISTORICAL BLACKOUT, May, 1963, p. 35).

Morgenthau, whose plan envisaged the starving to death of the German race, when the Nuremberg Trials were proposed against Germans, "... would have preferred simply to shoot the arch criminals"; furthermore he wanted "... all members of an organization like the SS (to) be found guilty of a crime against humanity collectively, and punished without further trial" (John Morton Blum, MORGENTHAU DIARIES, years 1941-45, p. 397). This genocidist, who arrogated to himself the moral superiority to shoot Nazis on sight, said to his Communist assistant, Harry Dexter White:

"The only thing you can sell me, or I will have any part of, is the complete shut-down of the Ruhr ... Just strip it, I don't care what happens to the population ... I would take every mine, every mill, and factory and wreck it ... Steel, coal, everything. Just close it down ... I am for destroying it first and we will worry about the population second (p.354).

NO NAZI EVER AS GENOCIDIC AS MORGENTHAU

This is one of the Allied monsters who wanted to kill all National Socialists and all "neo-Nazis"! Had any German, any National Socialist, ever spoken anything as inhumane as Morgenthau spoke on the Ruhr, the Allied hypocrites would have hanged him forthwith. I maintain that no National Socialist, no German before, during, or after World War II ever wanted anything so genocidic, or savage as Morgenthau! Yet, the holy crusaders, who, forbade National Socialism forever and ever, did not move a finger to try and hang Morgenthau! What right had the likes of him to condemn the National Socialists? He was not civilized enough to have the right to kill a jackal in the act of killing a lamb! And that pretty well goes for most of the frenzied slanderers of National Socialism! Harry Elmer Barnes wrote:

"Extremely severe sentences were imposed on Germans convicted at Nuremberg of "plotting" evil deeds, and it is doubtful if anything more evil than the Morgenthau Plan was ever plotted. (BLASTING THE HISTORICAL BLACKOUT, p. 33)

There wasn't! The Morgenthau Plan, which Roosevelt made official American policy, and which was in effect until 1947, was positively the most genocidic peace plan in all recorded history. And genocidists of this stamp presume to condemn German National Socialism from the face of the earth!

Father Daniel Lyons, S.J., writing in TWIN CIRCLE (October 12, 1969), commenting on how the press favorably discussed Ho Chi Minh, "the Joseph Stalin of Vietnam", added that Roosevelt, too, often praised Stalin, and adds, "Stalin killed more people than Hitler by far, but it was not until Khrushchev denounced Stalin that the U.S. press stopped praising him."

STALIN AT WORST MERELY PUT MORGENTHAU TO WORK

The genocide that Morgenthau plotted on paper (and which we Christians of America managed to keep from full implementation), Stalin put into action. He deliberately starved six million Ukrainians to death, he murdered 2691 priests and 5409 monks, he tore down or converted 15,000 Russian churches to stables at worst and museums at best.[2] He murdered 15,000 Polish officers, P.O.W.'s at Katyn and elsewhere, he slave-labored perhaps two million German P.O.W.'s to death (of 96,000 captured at Stalingrad only 6,000 returned alive!), he looted and plundered for decades the half of Germany under his administration, and he put under Soviet tyranny half of Germany and seven satellites, his soldiers raped a million German, Austrian, and Hungarian women, and he organized the most bestial mass atrocity in all recorded history, the expulsion of 15,000,000 Oder-Neisse and Sudeten and Balkan Germans, the total robbery of all of their possessions, and clubbing and raping to death of some 20% of them.

To equate such a monster of satanic evil with Hitler is almost as blasphemous as equating Jesus of Nazareth with Genghis Khan! Or his communism with Hitler's National Socialism!

WHY ROOSEVELT AND CHURCHILL HATED HITLER AND "LOVED" STALIN

Yet the holy "crusading" top hypocrites of the world, Roosevelt, Churchill and their entourage did not with one word suggest Stalin should be tried as a war criminal, nor did they then or since ever suggest that the Communistic system of government must forever be banned, that it may not be imposed on half of Germany or on the seven or more satellite nations brutally betrayed to the Soviets in the Yalta and Potsdam Pacts!

Someday history will acknowledge what Harry Elmer Barnes wrote in his review of George N. Crocker's ROOSEVELT'S ROAD TO WAR (Regnery, 1959):

"The great crime of our age was the British plotting and launching of the war and the American support of and entry into that war. The only adequate bulwarks against Communist expansion were Nazi Germany, Fascist Italy, and Japan. When they were destroyed or defeated there was nothing left of sufficient power to contain Communism."

Supporting this is Dr. Kurt Glaser's sentence, "Nach Stalingrad kaempften die deutschen Truppen fuer Europa -- After Stalingrad the German Wehrmacht fought for Europe" (DER ZWEITE WELTKRIEG, Wuerzburg, 1965, p. 124).

And Roosevelt, without the slightest provocation, knocked the sword from German hands and Unconditional Surrendered Germany into rubble! Why was he so determined to destroy Germany? To quote Dr. Barnes again in his review of A.J.P. Taylor's ORIGINS OF WORLD WAR II, where in effect Taylor absolved the Third Reich for responsibility for the outbreak of the war, Barnes wrote:

"There is little evidence that he (Roosevelt) was deeply disturbed by Hitler's anti-Jewish policy; he was much more annoyed by the fact that Hitler's "New Deal" had succeeded in spectacular fashion while his own had failed to bring prosperity to the United States." (BLASTING THE HISTORICAL BLACKOUT, p. 30)

NATIONAL SOCIALISM IS NOT LIKE, IT IS THE ANTITHESIS OF BOLSHEVISM

Even Conservatives often talk as if Bolshevism and National Socialism were essentially alike. Many clergymen are prone to this error. Liberals among themselves have a soft spot for Bolshevism and a frenzied hatred of National Socialism and Fascism. They do recognize these as antidotes to Bolshevism. But when they meet a conservative or a clergyman who fears Communism they quickly tar both with the same brush, as if to say, "After all Bolshevism is only a milder form of Fascism." But the criminal leftists who kidnapped Patricia Hearst did not call Mr. Hearst a Communist, they called him "The corporate chairman of a fascist media empire" (TIME, February 25, 1974). Even many Germans, seeing how the West appeases and glorifies Communism, want to suggest that it is after all a step-brother of National Socialism. For example, the patriotic "Vereinigung Mitteleuropaeischer Foederalisten", in its Manifesto, April, 1969, says, "Future historians will find no essential difference between brown and red Jacobinism" (p. 5).

SUPERFICIAL RESEMBLANCES OF ALL GOVERNMENTS, OF ALL DICTATORSHIPS

The error of those who equate the two is to forget that all systems of governments in part resemble one another. In fact all governments have a chief executive, whether called dictator or not; they have a police force, more or less efficient or severe; they have tax collectors; they have prisons or concentration camps (even the Rooseveltians had the latter); they have a secret service, a spy system; and if state and church are both dedicated, there will be some tension between them. One could go on. Soviet Russia, the Third Reich, and the U.S. had many things in common. That did not make them similar!

Another error is judging a political system while it is at war, while, as in the case of the Third Reich, it was frantically struggling against Unconditional Surrender. For example, when the victors saw any concentration camps it was during the collapse of the Third Reich, when for at least three months it was in chaos, when bombing and strafing had destroyed its system of transportation. Even the civilian population and the army then had suffered from inadequate food. Naturally those in concentration camps during those weeks were not fed as well as the Nisei in the Rooseveltian concentration camps in the unendangered and unbombed West! Things were so chaotic in Germany by the time of surrender that even after Eisenhower had captured Dachau, he kept everybody locked in, 32,000; shortages were so bad that according to Nerin E. Gunn (DAY OF THE AMERICANS) while Americans controlled Dachau, 300 internees starved to death each day, "even more than under the Germans."

ATROCITIES IN WAR NO GAUGE OF A POLITICAL SYSTEM

The tendency to condemn German National Socialism for all eternity because of alleged atrocities (not even true ones) committed in the extremities of war, of Unconditional Surrender, is perhaps the worst fallacy of the victors. It is in fact itself an atrocity! Did not Roosevelt put 120,000 totally innocent and unindicted Nisei into concentration camps during the war? Does that make the New Deal forever a criminal form of government? When Eisenhower's troops took Dachau, they peremptorily, without warning or trial, murdered the 300 guards there, men whose duty had been no different from that of our guards, including the chief, Milton Eisenhower, in our own concentration camps (See Gunn, op. cit.). Did this Rooseveltian atrocity invalidate our political system?

I cite Dachau here several times because that is the German concentration camp about which the most grotesque and exaggerated Allied atrocity lies were spread! And in 1949 I visited Dachau myself, and became aware of the frightful Jewish-Bolshevik manufacturing of atrocities (which has since crystallized into the unblushing, oft-repeated swindle of the Six Million Jews "gassed"!). Pastor Edward Winter, a German Lutheran chaplain, in December 1947 was assigned to Dachau. In his published experiences he writes:

"... precisely in this camp (Dachau) I was again and again reminded of and shocked by the brutality of many 'Christian' Americans. When, for example, the SS-Lazarett was incorporated from Munich to Dachau, which had really nothing to do with the Dachau concentration camp, these Americans shot to death the total Sanitation personnel, over eighty persons. Among them were several nuns and a few amputated

patients. They were shot in their beds without any judicial hearing." (DEUTSCHE WOCHENZEITUNG, October 6, 1973).

Pastor Winter puts Christian Americans in quotation marks. That might mean that they were in fact not Christian but Talmudic! But for this the Pastor could have been jailed had he spelled this out! My first comment is, is it any wonder that the Rooseveltians and the Morgenthauists, keep complementing the Bolsheviks in their day by day hammering away at alleged, not proven, German atrocities? Is it not an obvious defamation scheme to cover up their own, quite provable, atrocities? Was that not the purpose of the Nuremberg Trials, as it also was the purpose of the "Sole Guilt" clause in the abominable Versailles Treaty? Is it any wonder that Jews try to cover up Der Yassin, where they hacked to pieces 250 Palestinians and threw them in wells, in a literally far more bestial atrocity than anybody has even tried to accuse the Third Reich of?

The atrocities I cited here are of course merely a fraction of the totality of atrocities committed by the victors, especially, of course, by our lend-lease pals the Bolsheviks.

ATROCITIES OF THE VICTORS MORE NUMEROUS AND MORE BRUTAL THAN THOSE OF THE THIRD REICH

The victors have good reason to cover up their mass atrocities by inventing Nazi atrocities, like the most repeated and effective one, that of the 6,000,000 Jews "gassed". At least 500,000 of those "gassed" in German concentration camps are now in Israel drawing huge reparations from West Germany! God knows how many of those "gassed" are running around in New York and helped cause the Energy Crisis by blackmailing Nixon into rushing several billion dollars worth of the latest weapons to help Israel clobber the Arabs! And then, in their media (New York Times, Washington Post, Newsweek, etc.) crying themselves hoarse because the Arabs did what we in June did with soybeans, curtailed their sale of oil!

ATROCITIES NOT PECULIAR TO NATIONAL SOCIALISM

Even if the wartime atrocities alleged against the Third Reich, were factual (which they are not), they still would not be valid grounds for rejecting National Socialism. But in the light of the atrocities of the victors, all alleged and real Third Reich atrocities dwindle to fractions of the enormous, historically established mass atrocities of the victors.

Upon being made victorious by the U.S., the present French "democratic" government, rounded up and murdered at least 120,000 patriotic Frenchmen. DeGaulle was president during this massacre, and Jews and Communists controlled the press. The victims of the government of Marshal Petain, when France Surrendered, simply felt compelled to form a government acceptable to the Germans. This is exactly and precisely what the Germans on both sides of the Iron Curtain had to do and did, when the Anglo-Americans and Soviets won. Therefore the French execution of 120,000 ranks with one of the worst mass murders in history, worse than that of the French Revolution, worse than any Germans ever committed on themselves or anyone else!

Does this mass atrocity make the democratic French system of government forever abominable and interdicted?

THE WORST SPECIFICALLY ANGLO-AMERICAN ATROCITIES

It has been said that when the Americans and British finally invaded Germany and saw what their indiscriminate bombing had done to the beauty that had been Germany, the savage destruction of, not so much factories, but the workingmen's quarters (according to the Lindemann Plan), the rubble made of much of the world's finest architecture, the destruction of more churches, of world famous cathedrals and domes, than any vandals of history except the Bolsheviks had ever destroyed, and most of that after the war was really won, after the Germans had pathetically indicated they would surrender (if only Unconditional Surrender did not include the raping of their women, as Ilya Ehrenberg, the Jewish Soviet Propaganda Minister was ordering the troops to do). Hence the Anglo-American invaders, presumably had enough decency to reflect in effect as follows:

My God, what unnecessary barbarism we have been guilty of here in Germany. How can we ever explain it even to our people, when they start coming over here and see it. After all, the bulk of them are not Jews, whose Morgenthauism instigated all this, but Christians!

There is only one salvation for us. To manufacture and harp on a mountain of atrocities, especially against the Jews (who control the media). And to publicize this properly we must organize an overpowering spectacle of alleged atrocity trials, for which Nuremberg, the scene of the great Leni Riefenstall Documentry of 1934 is just right. And we must operate on a few ironclad rules:

One, we make our laws as we go along; the words EX POST FACTO will not be tolerated;

Two, this means we will absolutely prohibit the defense from using the argument that the victors had committed the same deeds; Three, we will institute a system of blackmail against any German subordinate, threatening him with execution or delivery to the Bolsheviks if he does not testify so as to make his Chief a war criminal; Four, we will on no account contradict any charges of our fellow prosecutors; if for example, in the unhappy Katyn matter, where the Soviets obviously murdered 15,000 Polish POW's, if they accuse the Nazis of this crime, we will act as if this were a true charge; Fifth, in short, our only object is to hang as many Germans as we plausibly can, and to blow up real and phony Third Reich atrocities to such monstrous proportions that Allied crimes will not be adverted to, and the dust of deception be thrown forever into the populations of the world, including most importantly, the Germans themselves. The latter must be deluged with a guilt complex which will induce them to pay reparations to Jews and Israel for the next 100 years!

When the victors, including Israel, if they do not "delete any tapes", will finally open their archives to historians, the way the German archives were thrown open, what I put in stark terms above will be confirmed. What I asserted above is what actually

was done by and with the Nuremberg Trials; and I insist, injustices like that do not just happen, they are planned that way!

Harry Elmer Barnes has termed the strategy of the victors to justify their crimes by "incessantly portraying the allegedly unique abominations of the Germans ... the new smother-out vintage" ("Public Stake In Revisionism", Rampart Journal, Summer, 1967, p. 32). In the smother-out technique, the victors try to justify their own crimes by accusing the Germans of being guilty of such "brutal outrages" as proved them "such degenerate gangsters" that the Allies "were justified in resorting to any degree of plotting and duplicity ... " (Blasting the Historical Blackout, p. 32).

IMPORTANT TO INSIST THAT ALLIED CRIMES WERE TEN TIMES WORSE THAN THOSE OF THE THIRD REICH

When I call the crimes of the victors ten times more numerous and more vicious than those of the Third Reich, I mean this literally -- and would be willing to stake my life on the truth of it. Dr. Barnes enumerates the worst Allied crimes, and adds that it ill becomes the victors to harp on alleged German ones:

"Only those committed by the Germans have been subjected to searching investigation and given world-wide publicity. Even assuming that all the charges ever made against the Nazis by anybody of reasonable sanity and responsibility are true, the Allies do not come off much, if any better." (Blasting the Historical Blackout, p. 32)

In fact they come off shockingly worse! Nobody has ever even accused the Nazis of sniping civilians down in the fields and streets from the air! In his article on "Apocalypse At Dresden" (H. S. Grossman, Esquire, November 1963), writes that after the phosphate and fire bombing of undefended Dresden:

"... scores of Mustang fighters diving low over the bodies huddled on the banks in order to shoot them up. Other Mustangs chose as their targets the serried crowd that blacked every road of Dresden they have become the symbol of Yankee sadism and brutality."

Above I mentioned how German guards were murdered at Dachau. I do not here enlarge on what even Col. Lindbergh lamented, how Eisenhower's "crusaders" liberated (stole) the rings, wrist watches, cameras, radios from the already impoverished German population. And valuable art works from homes and museums; and even Hitler's two autos! But if Rommel's men had done it in France, we would hear about it every day. I personally am only too glad that our "crusaders" did not brutally rape a million German girls as our Lend-Lease pals did; I am glad they only starved them first, (until 1947, while the Morgenthau Plan was in force), and then seduced them with a candy bar!

You may recall the hue and cry that was made when some phony Allied atrocity monger alleged that Ilse Koch had made lamp-shades out of Jewish skins. The charge was a shameful lie! But not a lie is the charge (which Lindbergh in his Journal attests to) that our G.I.'s carved letter openers out of the bones of fallen Japanese! Is the

United States or the New Deal branded as a criminal regime for that? If Ilse Koch had indeed made such a lamp-shade, would that in any way be more of an "atrocious" than carving letter openers out of "Jap" skeletons? America has a long way to go if it wants to be even-handed in its judgements regarding World War II!

WORSE AND MORE IRRESPONSIBLE CRIMES OF THE VICTORS

A far more irresponsible Anglo-American crime was, after German surrender, when military necessity could not be alibied, the dismantling of what German factories remained -- and shipping them to Russia. This implemented the blueprint of the Morgenthau Plan (JCS 1067, JSC 1779), whose real object was the shrinking, the division of Germany, and the depriving the people of machinery, so that they would starve to death -- yet could not be said to have been directly massacred!

One can hardly imagine a barbarism more calloused, in the face of the rubble of ruins in Germany, than to dismantle, to destroy what few remaining German factories were left -- and give them to the savages that raped a million German women. I don't see how the All-just God will ever forgive the dismantling. First, to say that the Marshall Plan made up for it is false. If there had been no dismantling the Germans would not have needed the Marshall Plan. Secondly, for three to five years the Germans were not only humiliated and robbed by the dismantling, they also suffered the kind of mass starvation on account of it, which drove German girls into seduction and prostitution to keep themselves and families alive. Dismantling was a major war crime, one the Germans in the territories they conquered, like France, did not commit!

THE NASTIEST ANGLO-AMERICAN MASS ATROCITY

But the nastiest, the most heartless, the most inhumane Anglo-American mass atrocity has been labeled by our own State Department as "Operation Keelhaul". Because of the conviction that the Third Reich, that National Socialism was a humane and liberating force, millions of Russians, Ukrainians, Cossacks, and Balts surrendered to the Germans, and over a million asked to fight in German uniforms for the liberation of their countries from Bolshevism. The Germanophobes hate to admit that the German Wehrmacht really had more of a United Europe in its ranks than any army before. But for Roosevelt and Morgenthau, a basically free, united Europe would have been created. Now half of Europe is behind the Iron Curtain, surrounded by barbed wire -- a mass concentration camp!

Anyhow, Stalin was convulsed with fury at those who preferred Nazi Germany to Bolshevik Soviet Russia. And the Anglo-Americans were unhappy about it, too. Their strategy was to induce with promises of security these Soviet Union people in German uniforms or arms factories to let themselves be captured by the "holy and crusading" Western Allies.

THE TREACHERY OF THE "LEAFLET OPERATION"

Under the Psychological Warfare Division, labeled "Leaflet Operation", the Rooseveltians dropped six billion leaflets over German lines, urging the Soviet Russians in German uniforms to surrender to the West, solemnly promising them

good treatment, and denouncing "as lies the Nazi propaganda that Soviet nationals fighting or not fighting with the Germans would ultimately be forcibly repatriated to the Soviets if they ever surrendered or deserted to the Americans" (Julius Epstein, *Operation Keelhaul*, 1973, P. 28).

To the everlasting Anglo-American shame, the so-called lying Nazi propaganda was proven right, and the legend on the six billion leaflets a lie and a treachery! When General Vlasov with his army of some 850,000 Russians in German uniforms and other Russians had fought his way out of Czechoslovakia westward and on May 7, 1945, tried to surrender to the Americans, trusting the leaflets, they were disarmed, and forcibly delivered to Stalin, who on August 2, 1946, hanged all the generals and sent the others to Siberia to slave labor camps, from which few returned alive.

Julius Epstein declares:

"There is no need to demonstrate that the forced repatriation of non-Vlasov men in German POW camps, taken over by the Americans and the British, was illegal and utterly inhuman, contrary to every rule of war. As for the forcible repatriation of Vlasov and his men, it must be considered illegal..." (p. 27)

This illegal inhumanity, this brutal atrocity, was committed, not by the National Socialists, but by the arch-democrats, the self-appointed "re-educators" of the Germans. As Solzhenitsyn courageously said, it was an Allied, not a Nazi atrocity! The Anglo-Americans, by clubbing, shooting, even drugging forcibly repatriated millions of people to their death or slavery in Soviet Russia whom they had solemnly pledged to grant asylum!

BRITISH AND AMERICANS CLUBBED THE COSSACKS BACK TO SIBERIA

The Western Allies also even more treacherously and brutally denied asylum to the Cossack contingents in the German Army, General von Pannwitz's division. The trusting Cossacks were so stunned by the treachery that they went on a hunger strike, "We prefer to starve rather than return to the Soviet Union" (Epstein, p. 79). They refused to board the trucks for their repatriation.:

"British soldiers with pistols and clubs began using their clubs, aiming at the heads of the prisoners. They first dragged the men out of the crowd and threw them into the trucks. The men jumped out. They beat them again and threw them onto the floor of the trucks. Again, they jumped out. The British then hit them with rifle butts until they lay unconscious and threw them like sacks of potatoes in the trucks." (See Epstein, *op. cit.*, p. 78)

The Eisenhower "Crusaders" treated the Cossacks no less brutally. And when the Soviets received them, they murdered the leaders and enslaved the rest. But the Rooseveltians were not content only to club the European Soviet Russians back to the barbarians of Moscow, they also clubbed, abused, and drugged the hundreds of POWs, Soviet Russians, captured in German uniforms, out of American POW camps, e.g., Ft Dix, New Jersey; Rupert, Iowa; Winchester, Virginia; Doleville, Alabama;

and Toronto, Canada. When the Eisenhower "Crusaders" tried to deport one group of 200 to Soviet Russia, they:

"... were ready to fight for their lives. First, they refused to leave their barracks when ordered to do so. The military police then used tear gas, and, half-dazed... (they) were forced to board a Soviet vessel." (See Epstein, p. 103)

In desperation the 200 started to destroy the ship's engine. They were taken from that ship, and resisted boarding another. Then a sergeant:

"... hit upon the idea of doping the prisoners. Consequently he mixed barbiturates into their coffee. Soon all the prisoners fell into a deep, coma-like sleep. (Thus) the prisoners were brought to another Soviet boat for a speedy return to Stalin's Hangmen." (Epstein, p. 104)

The Third Reich was never guilty of such broken pledges and treachery. Do those guilty of this despicable "Operation Keelhaul" really have the right to denounce German National Socialism as a monster of evil among the world's governments? The Morgenthau Rooseveltians, who threw all the German archives open to a thousand sniffers trying to find some Hitlerian, National Socialistic order for the "gassing" of Jews -- utterly in vain, of course -- have still classified the "Operation Keelhaul" as top secret, after nearly 30 years, to prevent scholars from seeing and revealing its moral turpitude!

BUT THE CHIEF WESTERN CRIME WAS ABETTING THE SOVIET MONSTER ATROCITIES

While the Morgenthau Plan makes America and Britain the top theoretical war criminals of all recorded history -- there is no more genocidal plan in existence -- practically in actual commission, no Western crimes, whether Anglo-American or German, can be compared to the utter bestiality and barbarism of the mass atrocities committed by or under Communistic direction (the shock and protest of us Christian Americans prevented the final and full implementation of the Morgenthau Plan!).

Even to mention Anglo-American or Nazi German crimes in the same sentence with those of the Soviets is a vile slander. It is like equating the Thief on the Cross with Moloch!

SOVIET RUSSIANS MASS RAPISTS OF THE WORLD

The nastiest atrocity in war is rape. It is also the most authentic. With other alleged atrocities, one has a right to ask why they were committed. This question should especially be asked in the case of alleged crimes against Jews. Perhaps there was a military necessity! But there is never a military necessity for rape. It is barbarism and savagery undisputed. This is an atrocity the German Wehrmacht did not commit, nor the SS. In fact, no large army in the world has been more decent in that respect than the German Army. In contrast the Soviet Russians have been the foulest mass rapists in European history. And it was an official, non-repressed atrocity.

The Jewish propaganda Minister Ilya Ehrenburg kept broadcasting to the troops as they approached Germany:

"Kill. In Germany, nothing is guiltless. Neither the living nor the yet unborn... Crush forever in its den the fascist beasts. Violently break the racial pride of the German woman. Ravish them as booty. Kill, you gallant Red soldiers!"

It took a vindictive Jewish Communist to give the most beastly directive in history: "Rape the German women as booty!," It matches the most genocidal book in history, Theodore N. Kaufman's *Germany Must Perish* -- castrate all Germans; and the most genocidal official peace plot in history, also by a Jew, the Morgenthau Plan! It is no wonder that Jews and Israelis try to distract from their genocidal plots by manufacturing the swindle of the Six Million!

In line with the Morgenthau spirit and Ilya Ehrenburg's directive, when the Red soldiery captured the first German village, in East Prussia, Nemmersdorf, they murdered every last German villager. And they raped to death every German woman and girl from eight to eighty. And that in the most bestial manner recorded in history! They stripped several of them, crucified them to barn doors, and then raped them to death! (See "Red Genocide in German Village", p. 6, Boniface Press, 25 cents)

BESTIAL RAPE FROM BERLIN TO VIENNA AND BUDAPEST

Leaders of civilized countries fear the propensity of their soldiers to theft, murder, and particularly rape; and try to prevent these. Soviet Russia, under its Jewish propaganda Minister Ilya Ehrenburg is both the first professed atheistic country in the world -- and probably also the first that virtually commanded its army to rape! The mass rape stretches from land to city, from Koenigsberg and Breslau, to Berlin and Vienna.

TIME MAGAZINE (April 1, 1966), reviewing Cornelius Ryan's *THE LAST BATTLE*, said how among the 2,000,000 women left in Berlin, when the Red Army approached "the fear of sexual attack raced through the city like a plague." When the Reds had taken the city: "Rape, plunder and suicide became commonplace... All told, the number of rape victims in Berlin -- ranging from women of 70 to little girls of ten -- will never be known, although Ryan reports estimates from doctors that run from 20,000 to 100,000." Having been raped is one thing a woman does not like to talk about -- therefore the figure of 100,000 should almost certainly be doubled or tripled. Some women were raped from forty to seventy times -- many until they were dead.

When the Red savages took Vienna, a harmless city of song and waltz, according to Cardinal Griffin, 100,000 women were raped many times. John Dos Passos, as a correspondent for Life Magazine (January 7, 1946), reported:

"The Viennese tell you of the savagery of the Russian armies ... Even in the working-class districts the troops were allowed to rape and murder and loot at will."

Cardinal Mindszenty (Tablet, March 2, 1946) reported that in Budapest:

"Bishop William Apor of Győr, and 53 priests were killed by the Russians when he tried to protect a group of women and children who had taken refuge in his palace."

Here alone the Reds killed some ten times more priests than the Nazis executed for what they believed to be treason! (See "Ravishing The Women of Conquered Europe," Boniface Press, 15 cents.).

Will any honest man claim that in the face of this Red bestiality the U.S. should allow and encourage Communism in half of Germany and a Communist Party in West Germany, but should forever under pain of death and destruction forbid the Germans ever to allow a National Socialist Party, or a "neo-Nazi" one! At the Potsdam meeting, only a manure pile of the most shameful hypocrites the world has ever seen could have favored Communism over National Socialism.

THE CRIME OF SLAVE-LABORING GERMAN POW'S

The frantic concern of Americans for the speedy return of American POW's from the North Vietnamese, and that of the Israelis for the return of their POW's in Syria, shows that a top mark of civilized warfare is the speedy return of prisoners of war as soon as an armistice is signed. Did the holy crusading victors, the self-appointed "re-educators" of the Christian German people, speedily return the millions of German prisoners of war? No, they did not! They made sure to get their own men home, even in "Operation Keelhaul" repatriating by force those who did not want to return. But with regard to German prisoners the "re-educators" of Germany retrogressed into the barbarism of 500 years ago. In recent centuries only the crusading Unconditional Surrenderists have been degenerate enough to do it. This happened when our government, according to Senator James O. Eastland, was "under the control of Mr. Baruch and Mr. Sidney Hiltman and Mr. Morgenthau" (March 26, 1946). In September, 1944, Morgenthau and Roosevelt, at the Quebec Conference, bribed Churchill to insist on "forced labor outside Germany," for German POW's.

This from genocidists and hypocrites who thought it noble to acquiesce in Communism but crucify everything National Socialistic! At Yalta Stalin promptly demanded "10,000,000 such slaves to work in Russia after the war for an indefinite period" (Peace Action May, 1945).

ALLIES HAVE NO ALIBI FOR ABUSING GERMAN POW'S

This Morgenthau-inspired Allied paganism of employing German POW's as "forced labor outside Germany" resulted in the starvation and abuse-unto-death of possibly several million German POW's. Britain, more so France, less so but also the U.S., got into the act of slave-laboring German prisoners of war instead of living up to the Geneva and Hague rules of land warfare. But of course, Christians at their worst, are not as barbarous as Communists and Jews at their average. The real savage and abuse-unto-death of German prisoners of war occurred in Soviet Russia as prescribed by the Jewish U.S. Morgenthau Plan.

Nor can the Allies use as an alibi that Nazi Germany treated its POW's badly or in violation of the Geneva Conventions of 1929 and 1932. On March 17, 1945, our War Department declared, "For the most part, the Germans have abided by the Geneva Convention regarding prisoners of war." George C. Marshall, when an American Legion Commander demanded brutal treatment of German POW'S, on January 5, 1945, answered that we are bound by the Geneva Conventions "and our soldiers in

German hands receive generally reciprocal treatment" (National Legionnaire, February 1945).

ALLIES ABUSED GERMAN POWS

As soon as the "wicked" Nazis had freed Allied POW's, the Allies, all of them, started abusing, starving, over-working their prisoners of war. The U.S. kept only 300,000 and, to its everlasting shame, delivered 100,000 a month to the French for abuse. They had also refused to accept the surrender of millions of German soldiers, in order to betray them, instead, to Soviet Russian slave labor! On June 1, 1946, Pope Pius XII for the second time deplored "the hundreds of thousands of men still held prisoners." Their rights "cry to heaven... and demand that an end be put to this regime of prisoner of war and concentration camps." Christians in this country agreed -- and protested. The Chicago Tribune, in an editorial, "Uncle Sam: Slave Dealer" (February 20, 1946), wrote: "The United States, by turning over the prisoners (to France and Britain) becomes a common slave dealer... There has never been in the history of Christian civilization a parallel to the actions against its conquered enemies of which this nation has been guilty."

WHAT RIGHT HAVE THESE ALLIED SLAVERS TO CONDEMN NATIONAL SOCIALISM

I ask, do the slave-laboring, POW-trading Allied barbarians of 1945 have a moral right to judge German National Socialism, to ban and forbid it in every form for all eternity? I say they do not have that right. They have more of a duty to hang themselves as war criminals!

Our army, its leaders generally having been civilized, on V-E Day "informed its German prisoners that the Geneva Convention called for their repatriation as soon as feasible after the end of hostilities" (Newsweek, May 21, 1945). That is what our army and our Christian citizens wanted and would have done. What prevented this humanity was the tribalists that keep screeching the lie that the Germans "gassed" 6,000,000 of them. It was the Jews who kept screaming for abusing German prisoners of war, for keeping them from home, for slave-laboring them. Walter Winchell, the most influential of all the Jewish genocidists at the time, lumping all German POW's together as Nazis, screeched:

"Sending back healthy Nazis to live in Germany is an insult to living and dead American soldiers. Those Nazi prisoners deserve to be put behind bars for the rest of their Ignoble lives -- like all vicious and hardened criminals." (San Antonio Light, May 30, 1945)

THE VOICE AND POWER OF THE TALMUDISTS

There is the voice of the Talmudists, the barbarians of the Morgenthau Plan! These were the voices that made America guilty of vindictive peace ranking, in comparison to which all the peace-making of the National Socialists was reasonable and humane. After this Jewish blast from Winchell, the Army quailed and started to abuse its 300,000 German POWs and to slave-labor them. Only after September 6, 1946, did

Secretary of State James Byrne, a Christian, not a Morgenthauist, declare that the U.S. is finally "taking prompt steps to return German prisoners of war in our custody..."

But our slave-laboring for a year and a half signaled a green light to the top barbarians of our time, the Soviet Russians to labor to death millions. One year after Unconditional Surrender, a Berlin AP Dispatch, May 18, 1946, reported that 1,500,000 German POWs were still missing in Soviet Russia! Whereas 99% of American POWs in Germany were returned safe and sound in a matter of weeks, that is what Morgenthauism, as Winchell wanted it, encouraged Moscow to do!

THE BIG THREE AT POTSDAM DECREE EXPULSION!

Aloisius Cardinal Muench, then bishop of Fargo, North Dakota, wrote, "The forced migration of millions of people is the greatest crime of this age. There is nothing in all history to equal it." Even Churchill, fellow conspirator of it, at last called it a "Tragedy on a prodigious scale." That was the total robbery and the bludgeoning to death of 20% and expulsion from lands they and their fathers had cultivated for seven to eight centuries! It was the greatest mass atrocity in history -- and it was precipitated by Truman, Attlee, and Stalin at the Moscow Conference! Truman and Attlee, however, merely confirmed what Roosevelt, Churchill and Stalin had conspired at Yalta!

Ironically, at the very time the Nuremberg legal lynchers were prosecuting Germans who allegedly, "forcibly deported inhabitants who were predominantly non-German and introduced thousands of German colonists", the Big Three at Potsdam ordered:

"That the transfer to Germany of German populations or elements thereof, remaining in Poland, Czechoslovakia, and Hungary, will have to be undertaken." This enforced, and shockingly brutal "transfer" involved millions of innocent Germans (against whom no charges were ever leveled), in comparison to a relatively orderly transfer by Nazis of, not millions, but by the Allies own admission of only thousands. Such a transfer, really an exchange of thousands, has long had international sanction. It especially happened a lot in the Balkans after World War I. It involved a transfer, and exchange, of habitat and of property, not a total robbery!

But the Potsdam order opened the sluice-gates to the most massive, totally unprincipled robbery, expulsion and murder in the pages of history. It precipitated the robbery and expulsion of 15,000,000, not thousands, and the bludgeoning, raping, and freezing to death of 2,500,000 men, women, and children from East Germany and Czechoslovakia, plus a half million from the Balkans, chiefly from Tito's Yugoslavia!

WHO MADE THE ATROCITY OF MASS EXPULSION ACCEPTED PRACTICE?

After World War I, such mass expulsions were not even thought of. When the Versailles peace dictators handed the Germans of Danzig and the Corridor to Poland they did not even dream of expelling these ancient German natives; nor when they handed a quarter of a million South Tyrolese Germans over to Italy, nor three and a half-million Sudeten Germans to Czechoslovakia, they did not for a moment think of

instituting a mass expulsion atrocity which even surpassed the worst committed by Pagans.

Who put this atrocity principle into their heads and made it acceptable? Why the same Talmudists who try to cover up their atrocity tracks by yammering that the Nazis "gassed" 6,000,000 Jews! Their conspiratorial blueprints for atrocity against the Germans, affecting mostly not the Nazis but the Christian Germans, are so frightful, so brutal, so genocidal to millions and millions of innocents that they have to try with lies and deceptions, and half-truths and swindles, as that of the 6,000,000, to cover their tracks. They were at the bottom of every mass atrocity that made the peace of Yalta and Potsdam, as Time Magazine called it, "history's most terrifying peace"! It is the peace which in the Atlantic Charter, in 1941, had been prophesied as the most humane!

MASS EXPULSIONS A MORGENTHAU POLICY

The Potsdam official order for the mass atrocity of total robbery and expulsion got its birth and its sanction in the Morgenthau Plan. In this Morgenthau, Baruch, and Communist Harry Dexter White demanded that the millions of peaceful inhabitants of the Ruhr, Germany's most industrialized area, be totally robbed, their mines and factories destroyed, and then clubbed into southern Germany, there, by implication, to starve to death. At Quebec, Morgenthau got Roosevelt to make this plan official, and both bribed Churchill, who at first resisted, into adopting it. In England, Morgenthau got Eisenhower to approve it, salving his conscience by passing all Germans off as "paranoiacs"! According to Robert Welsh (The Politician, p. 26) it was "just one man, James Forrestal (whom the Communists later, either directly or indirectly murdered), "who prevented Eisenhower and his Communist pushers" from destroying Germany forever with the Morgenthau Plan.

POTSDAM CONFERENCE OPENED GATES TO GENOCIDIC EXPULSIONISTS

The Potsdam Conference elevated brutal expulsions to acceptable policy. The Bulgarians, Rumanians, Hungarians all jumped on the bandwagon to totally rob, drive out, their German minorities, some of them like the Siebenbuerger Saxons there since Columbus discovered America, the majority at least as long as American Independence. The most bestial was Tito of Yugoslavia, who had hundreds of thousands bludgeoned to death during the genocide. Perhaps that is why in Jewish and liberal circles Tito is ever glorified! Compared to his bestialities anything that can be alleged against Hitler is boy scout stuff!

More massive and almost as bestial was what Benes, another leftist idol, and Masaryk did to the 3,500,000 Sudeten Germans, whose ancient lands had in the Munich Pact, properly, if belatedly, been incorporated into Germany. They therefore were German citizens. But Benes and his Reds not only totally robbed all of them, drove them into Bavaria and Austria with only a suitcase of belongings, but murdered most bestially 2,250,000 of them. They drove women into the river to drown, ripped babies apart and hurled the parts at them, shot down men or clubbed them to death. What the

Czechs did to the Sudeten Germans is alone far worse in numbers and brutality than anything the Nazis are accused of having done to Jews!

ODER-NEISSE EXPULSION WORST MASS ATROCITY IN HISTORY

As soon as the Potsdam Pact gave them the Red light, the Poles drove out the several million Germans in the areas given them after World War I, mostly in violation of Wilson's principle of self-determination. They totally robbed and murdered thousands of them.

But once feeling that the Morgenthau Plan and Potsdam Pact had elevated genocidal expulsions to respectability, they and the Soviet Russians also proceeded to expel the 9,000,000 Germans, who, since time immemorial had developed East Prussia, Pomerania, West Prussia, and Silesia. These lands were German; they were only put under Russian and Polish administration; they were so indisputably totally German that even the hypocrites who committed the Versailles Treaty did not question their total Germanity! Nor did the expulsionists make a contrary claim, nor accuse them of any wrongdoing. They expelled them merely on racist grounds, for their ethnic Germanism!

All the wrongs done to American Negroes in 300 years, or to Jews in 1000 years of German history do not equal the genocide, the barbarity, the brutality, the inhumanity of these Oder-Neisse expulsions, The genocidists plausibly believed their genocide sanctioned by the American Morgenthau Plan and Truman's signature on the Potsdam Pact!

DRIVEN INHABITANTS ON SHORT NOTICE TOTALLY ROBBED, 20% KILLED IN THE PROCESS

The inhabitants of the Oder-Neisse territory were on short notice ordered to the market place, told to leave doors open and cows and horses unattended, and take along only a small suitcase of clothes. At the market place they were ordered to trek on foot towards Western Germany. In often the bitter cold of winter, scantily dressed, they trekked on foot. And on the way Poles and Russians would fall upon them, take from their suitcases what suited them, often ripping clothes from their bodies. Often the genocidal beasts would rape women and children and in one way or another murder 20% on the way, a proven 2,100,000 of them. This horrendous number of murdered victims does not include those maimed and disabled for life. The Oder-Neisse expulsion of nine million Germans from their anciently settled homes is the most numerous crime of racism, of genocide, in all recorded history. It surpasses ten fold whatever wrongs Jews may have suffered from the Nazis. And most Jews who suffered were in some way guilty -- as partisans, or spies, or saboteurs -- whereas the German expellees were not charged with any wrongdoing.

Jews who keep caterwauling about the wrongs the Nazis did to them should reflect that this most massive genocidal atrocity in history against the East Germans must in all honesty be held to have been instigated by the Germanophobic Jewish publicists and politicians, like Ilya Ehrenburg, Henry Morgenthau, Harry Dexter White, Bernard Baruch (who vehemently supported the Morgenthau Plan), and Theodore N.

Kaufman, to name the worst ones. The Jewish media, the New York Times, the Washington Post and the rest, supported the Germanophobic genocide. These papers, unbelievably, even were sympathetic to Theodore N. Kaufman's book demanding the sterilization of ail German males, so as to exterminate the German race in two generations!

THE POTSDAM PACT PROHIBITS A GERMAN ARMY AND NATIONAL SOCIALISM

The same Potsdam Pact, whose signers on the basis of their expulsion order alone, ought to have been hanged as war criminals, forbade German militarism and German National Socialism with brimstone and hellfire. And they threatened prison for every member of the National Socialist Party. Justice Robert H. Jackson, chief U.S. prosecutor at Nuremberg, even wanted 7,000,000 German soldiers tried and presumably hanged for membership!

On July 17, 1945, Truman, Churchill, Attlee, and Stalin met near Potsdam to dictate the fate of Germany. Because they had forced the German people to surrender unconditionally, they acted as if the Germans had no rights, apparently not even the right to object to the rape of their women. The four did not even give lip service to Wilson's "open covenants openly arrived at." Such high flown idealism had served Allied statesmen only to trick and swindle the American people into both the first and second "crusades" against the German people. After the trick had succeeded, they changed their policy to killing as many Germans before an armistice, including sniping women and children down from the air, as they could. After armistice their policy was to starve, drive from their homes, seduce or rape as many as possible, and hang as war criminals as many leaders as the Christian world would tolerate!

THE VANQUISHED HAVE NO RIGHTS, NOT EVEN TO AN ARMY, OR THE PARTY THEY WISH

So at Potsdam the great "democratic" dictators of the peace proceeded on the principle that the vanquished have no rights and that the victors are morally commissioned by their genius, not by God -- for the Stalinists were virulent atheists -- to tell the Germans how forever they must be governed and what form of government they may have, as if they were little children! The government they forced on the German people, democratically, must forever suffer "complete disarmament and demilitarization" and never have any "industry that could be used for military purposes" (the Morgenthau Plan) and must concentrate on "the development of agriculture and peaceful domestic industries" (also the Morgenthau Plan) -- in short they prescribed knitting and potato growing for a country since 1945 half the size of Texas with six times as many people. In short, it was the Morgenthau Plan couched in hypocrisy, to exterminate the German people by starvation.

HYPOCRITICAL MOUTHING OF IDEALS

Ad nauseam are repeated such phrases as "equal rights for all citizens without distinction of race, nationality or religion." And this properly translated means, "Don't ever criticize Jews and be sure to give them every possible advantage"! But forbidden

to all the German race were "all types of aircraft and sea-going ships" and the "production of metals, chemicals, machinery." Here again is the Morgenthau Plan showing through -- proposing to starve the German race out on a cow pasture!

But where that parcel of peace-dictating war criminals -- New Dealers, monarchists, and rank Bolshevistic atheists -- displayed their self-righteous idealism was in their condemnation of National Socialism and their prohibiting it to the German people in all eternity on pain of even a more genocidal treatment than they were already meting out to the German race.

THE HYPOCRITES TAKE AIM AT NATIONAL SOCIALISM

The holy crusading peace dictators at Potsdam state their purpose in occupying Germany as follows:

"To destroy the National Socialist Party ... to dissolve all Nazi institutions, to ensure that they are not revived in any form, and to prevent all Nazi and militarist activity or propaganda.

They mean to control German education so as "completely to eliminate Nazi and militarist doctrines." And all "Nazi leaders, influential Nazi supporters ... shall be arrested and interned." Apparently for such National Socialists "equal rights without distinction of race, nationality or religion" were not to be granted: they were to be arrested for no other reason than for holding a political philosophy, one intrinsically anti-Communist.

At the same time "all Nazi laws which ... established discrimination on grounds of race, creed or political opinion shall be abolished." Yet, these hypocrites, while pretending to protect "political opinion", want to arrest anyone who holds the political opinion of "National socialism"!

THE SKUNK IN THE WOODPILE APPEARS IN THE WORDS ON GERMAN "MILITARISM"

But what has made the development of a truly self-reliant, independent Germany virtually impossible is the prohibition "completely-to eliminate Nazi and militaristic doctrines" and "to ensure they are not revived in any form." This means that the victors could at any time label anything truly patriotic and self-reliantly German as "neo-Nazi". It should also be clear that if the Western Allied arrogated unto themselves the power to enforce their sort of "democracy" on the helpless West Germans, the Soviet Russians would take that as a green light to subject the Soviet Zone Germans to their own abominable form of Bolshevism!

America's business was to insist that the Germans had the right and duty to assume whatever form of government they wished, including, absolutely, also National Socialism or what is called fascism. It should also be obvious that as long as America can tell Germany -what type of government it may have and what sort of political parties it may have, so long is West Germany its satellite, just as the miscalled German Democratic Republic is Soviet Russia's satellite!

NEITHER GERMANY NOR THE THIRD REICH UNIQUELY MILITARISTIC

The whole clamor against German militarism only masks the Allied determination to keep Germany weak. And it has nothing to do with National Socialism. After W.W.I, the Allies also prohibited a German navy and army (except for a token force of 100,000). National Socialism had not been born then. After WWII, the Rooseveltians also prohibited an army and a navy to Japan (not because Japan was National Socialistic, but because it, too, was strong).

To deny an army and a navy and an air force to Germany (or Japan) is first of all a monstrous crime. Secondly, it is an even greater stupidity. On September 26, 1973, Congressman Daniel J. Flood of Pennsylvania said in Congress:

"The historic functions of Germany and Japan have been to serve as dikes against Russian expansion in Europe and in the Far East, respectively. WWII destroyed those two great bastions against Soviet expansion in what eventually became one of the most extensive series of territorial conquests that the world has ever known. It was aimed at setting up a global system of socialistic republics.

"In Europe, the donation of 10 formerly independent nations, through secret agreements by pro-Red forces in Washington, assured Soviet domination in East Europe..."

Congressman Flood puts his finger on the right spot when he blames this stupidity on the "pro-Red forces in Washington!"

SELF-DEFEATING STUPIDITY

One proof of a stupidity (and of a crime) is that it proves to be unenforceable or impractical. Hardly had the Rooseveltians forced permanent total disarmament on Germany, when the Communists started re-arming their Soviet Zone and instigated the Korean War! And the U.S. which in 1945 had threatened to execute any German with a gun, by 1949 practically threatened West Germans with concentration camps unless they would agree to re-arm! Not of course, to re-arm effectively, so as really to defend themselves and liberate their enslaved lands, no, only sufficiently to become infantry for the U.S. and NATO, in short, only sufficient to be eligible as future war criminals! Modern weapons, which must include nuclear weapons, they are still denied. That is why, and it is the only reason, why West Europe cannot defend itself and needs a contingent of American troops stationed there!

The long and short of it is that a Germany that may not have a modern army, navy, and air force is an invitation to Soviet Russian domination. And it also was so before Hitler re-armed Germany.

THE TRUTH ABOUT GERMAN OR NATIONAL SOCIALIST MILITARISM

Neither Imperial Germany nor National Socialist Germany was uniquely militaristic; neither had as large a peace-time army as its nearest neighbors, France and Russia. What the Allies like to mistake for militarism is the bravery and patriotism of the

German Army, which in a war fights more efficiently than its opponents. When 5,000 Israelis defeat 25,000 Arabs, the media do not attribute it to Israeli militarism, but instead moon about the wonderful heroism and efficiency of the Israeli soldiers. But when Germany with 4,000,000 men throws back 15,000,000 French and Russian and British, it is due to robot militarism!

It is forgotten that peace-time U.S., before WWI, had no conscription, and a very small army, but once in war and mobilized only the German army could match it as a fighting force. Did that make America a militaristic robot? Obviously not. It merely proved that a highly civilized nation with sufficient patriotism can in a few months convert into a powerful military machine. So it was with Hitler's Third Reich. Who can build the best cars and the best expressways can also build the best tanks and shoot the straightest! And to deny a highly civilized country a modern army, navy, and air force is to court and deserve a world war!

NATIONAL SOCIALISM, THE THIRD REICH, WAS NOT AS MILITARISTIC AS MOST OF ITS NEIGHBORS

For the peace dictators to try to equate National Socialism with militarism reveals their dishonesty and their hatred of a strong Germany. But it is no more right to prevent Germany from being as strong as its intrinsic virtues make it than it would be to clobber America because it claims to be the strongest nation on earth. To check German strength was the basic and criminal cause of both world wars.

When Hitler in 1939 decided to liberate the Danzigers by force -- after a wait of 20 years for Allied justice -- he had an army much smaller than that of France, and less than half of that of Soviet Russia. And Britain and America had each three times as powerful a navy and as much of an air force.

Even during the first three years of the war Hitler did not mobilize Germany as fully as France, Russia, Britain, and America mobilized. Albert Speer (Inside The Third Reich, Macmillan, 1970, pp. 220-21) complains that even as of April 1943, Hitler did not permit the drafting of women for industry. More of them were employed in 1918 than in 1942! [3.]

It seems literally true that Hitler was a man of architecture and art, not of armaments and war. He was not eager to mobilize, but reluctant.

WHO BEARS MOST GUILT FOR WORLD WAR II?

The victors in desperation to find actual German atrocities equal to those of the victors themselves take refuge in the accusation, more easily made plausible without proof, that Hitler and National Socialism are "solely guilty" of WWII. At Versailles, without a shred of proof, they accused Imperial Germany of the same thing. The scoundrels and hypocrites thus try to justify their own very provable guilt and mass atrocities!

To blame the Third Reich they absolutely reject causes, and want to concentrate only on who fired the first shot. When the Israelis fired the first shot in 1967, the journalistic lepers quickly exonerate them by alleging Arab threats. But the fact that

after 20 years, the Poles, with the connivance of Britain and America, refused to give self-determination to the Danzigers, they refuse to recognize as justifying Germany finally to walk in and free them by force. It was a justifiable "police action", not a world war! To blame the war on the first shot is like blaming the police for firing first when they surprise a gang robbing a bank!

Once and for all, Hitler was morally not only justified in finally resorting to force to liberate Danzig, but had the duty to do so, which every leader has to come to the aid of his oppressed fellow countrymen. Inasmuch as Roosevelt's treachery eventually caused him to fail in his gallant attempt, Hitler was strategically wrong. One has no right to use force, if there is no prospect of success. But that is a matter of hindsight. The truth is that in WWII the Third Reich fought for justice, and the Allies fought to prevent justice -- and their own Wilsonian self-determination! In Hitler's later pre-emptive attack on Soviet Russia, he was far more justified than the Israelis in their allegedly pre-emptive attacks on Egypt in 1956 and 1967. Hitler then also fought to protect Europe more than just Germany. Let who denies this look at the map that resulted when Roosevelt knocked the sword from Hitler's hand at Stalingrad -- with 11 billion dollars worth of lend-lease trucks and armaments! Half of Europe and half of Asia now squirm and languish under the Communistic terror!

NOR WAS NATIONAL SOCIALISM INTRINSICALLY RACIST

In addition to alleged MILITARISM the Potsdam Pact for all eternity bans National Socialism for its racism, that is, in reality for its confrontation with Jews. While it is true some National Socialists often condemned Jews by lumping all of them into a common racial denominator -- the easily provable fact is that National Socialism wanted to be rid of its Jews, have them emigrate, not essentially on racial but on ideological grounds. It considered Talmudic Jews to be subversive, pro-Communistic, anti-German.

Hitler himself clearly so expressed himself. He told the Nobel prize winner Max Planck:

"I have nothing at all against Jews themselves. But the Jews are all Communists, and these are my enemies -- it is these that I am fighting... all Jews stick together like burrs... It is up to the Jews themselves to draw a dividing line between the different kinds. But they have not done that, and therefore I must proceed uniformly against all Jews." (See "Max Planck and Adolf Hitler", Bulletin of American Association of University Professors, Autumn, 1959, p. 439)

In other words, his attitude is not racial, it is not anti-Semitic, it is anti-Talmudic, just as Christ was when he called such Jews liars and the offspring of Satan!

The most conclusive proof that Hitler and National Socialism were not racist, were not in the true sense anti-Semitic, is that the Third Reich treated Christianized Jews preferentially. The National Socialist Third Reich carefully distinguished between Talmudic and Christianized Jews! Even Talmudic Jews admit this. Philip Freedman (Their Brothers' Keepers, N.Y. 1957) inadvertently shows that the Nazis were not anti-Semitic but anti-Talmudic. He writes:

"In view of the fact that baptized Jews were exempted from the Nazi-like decrees, 4,500 was embraced Christianity." (p. 73)

On February 27, 1943, when 10,000 Jews were to be deported from Berlin:

"The Christian wives of those arrested were able to wring concessions from the Nazis, who released the men." (p. 93)

The Rooseveltians did not similarly spare Christian Nisei and Issei from the West Coast concentration camps!

EVEN PHONY BAPTISM SAVED JEWS FROM CONCENTRATION CAMPS

On July 12, 1944, the Nazis ordered that Jewish converts could "found their own organizations, Union of Baptized Jews. More than 70,000 received membership certificates ... and thus were saved from deportation" (p. 86). Freedman further reveals:

"One tactic that proved successful was the veritable epidemic of 'mercy baptism' ... Another was mixed marriages ... After 1941 conversions were administered on a mass scale with both party's tacitly agreeing that the convert could renounce his vow after the war." (p. 105)

Not surprisingly the government eventually "placed a deadline on conversions." It will be recalled that the whole tragedy of the Spanish Inquisition was caused by such phony conversions!

In Lwow (Lemberg) after the Nazis occupied the city, Freedman reports that "no less than 4,000 Jews attempted to evade the German net by baptism" (p. 125). Even Drew Pearson, a congenital liar and German hater, married to a Jewish wife, reported that from Nazi-occupied Romania, Jews "could leave as Christians, but not as Jews. So overnight ... bishop Roncalli made them Catholics" ("Pope a Rebel", December 5, 1959).

It is important to emphasize that Jews saved by Baptism were saved from being INTERNED IN CONCENTRATION CAMPS. There was never a question of their being intended for "gassing". Only the guilty imagination of the victors and Talmudists could suppose the National Socialists to have been as genocidal as they themselves proved to be. The Nazis had more right to put Jews in concentration camps as "potential dangers", than Roosevelt had to put Nisei in concentration camps!

HITLER AND THIRD REICH BASICALLY CHRISTIAN

Furthermore, the fact that Hitler and the Third Reich gave immunity to baptized, to Christianized Jews, should once and for all demolish the vile slander that National Socialism was intrinsically against Christianity. It was not. National Socialism was fundamentally, positively Christian. In a conference with Friedrich Christian Prinz zu Schaumburg-Lippe, Hitler declared that a confrontation between religion and politics is damaging to both, that the State needs "religious citizens as the foundation for a moral and clean society", but that "it is unchristian to abuse religion in the interest of politics or economics." Further:

"It is Christian teaching which gives the people the necessary firmness of Belief ... His Party therefore did not wish to place boundaries too narrow but espouses 'Positive Christianity' ... a Christianity not confessionally tied down ... To know this Christianity as strong, was for him of the greatest importance." (Quoted from *Wer War Hitler?* by H. S. Ziegler, Tuebingen, 1970, pp. 10-1)

It is pitiful how American clergymen, Protestant and Catholic, preached that America must help the Bolsheviks pulverize Germany because the Third Reich and Hitler were anti-Christian. It shows how brainwashed even sincere people can become. Soviet Russia was openly atheistic, it totally destroyed, or converted to garages or museums, thousands of Christian churches, the Third Reich none. Yet these Christian clergymen, either brainwashed or prostituted, thundered against the Third Reich and glamorized the Soviet Union!

BETWEEN A STRONG REGIME AND A STRONG CHURCH ALWAYS SOME TENSION

Certainly there was often tension between the Nazi government and the Christian, especially the Catholic Church. And Msgr. Neuhaeusler and Pastor Niemoeller were put into the Dachau concentration camp. But the Soviets murdered some 7,000 priests and bishops! And would not Roosevelt have put Father Coughlin into prison if he had not been able to browbeat his bishop to forbid him to write and preach! And he did in fact incarcerate some such noble clergymen as Lutheran Pastor Kurt Molzahn of Philadelphia!

The Catholic Church never flourished more than in the Third Reich. And when the bishops protested a projected government policy of euthanasia the "wicked" Nazi government listened to the bishops and rescinded the policy. Has our Supreme Court and our government so far rescinded its inhumane, shameful policy of abortion, in line with our bishops' protest? No. On the contrary they keep saying the Churches, Catholic and Protestant, have no business advising the government!

No, the tension between the National Socialist government and the Catholic and Lutheran Churches was the normal tension between Religion and Politics, when both are active, dedicated, and aggressive. It is the old tension between what is God's and what is Caesar's. At the moment the very Catholic government of Spain, Franco's, is having a quarrel with a Basque bishop and the Vatican! It is a family quarrel. And that and no more summarizes the tension between Hitler, National Socialism, the Third Reich, and the Catholic and Lutheran Churches. Throughout the war, the Third Reich subsidized Lutheran and Catholic schools. Has our "democratic" government done the like for Christianity?

I cannot help reflecting that, except for the brainwashed clergymen, the liberals who profess to deplore Hitler's "persecution" of the Church did in fact in their hearts hope that Hitler would liquidate the Church the way their beloved Soviet Russians liquidated the Christian church there! Part of their hatred of Hitler and National Socialism is probably subconsciously attributable to the fact that under Hitler the churches flourished as never before!

THE BALANCE OF ALLIED AND NAZI ATROCITIES

So brainwashed and propagandized the American and British public have been that if you asked them which side committed the most atrocities, the Germans or the victors, they would bridle up and deny that there were any Allied atrocities at all, but the most abominable atrocities in history by the Germans. Yet what is the balance sheet?

As we have indicated above, here is a summary:

RAPE: the VICTORS, mostly the Soviet Russians, raped one million German, Austrian, and Hungarian girls and women; the German armed forces, virtually none.

SLAVE-LABORING PRISONERS OF WAR: the VICTORS slave-labored some 2,000,000 German POWs, a majority unto death; the GERMANS, none.

EXPULSION OF NATIVE POPULATIONS: the VICTORS drove out 15,000,000; the GERMANS, a few thousands and not by expulsion, but by exchange.

TOTAL ROBBERY: the VICTORS totally expropriated the Oder-Neisse, Sudeten, Volksdeutsche [Ethnic German] farms, homes, cattle, furniture, everything, billions and billions of dollar's worth; the Germans virtually no robberies of civilians and only internationally legal requisitions otherwise.

MURDER OF CIVILIANS: the VICTORS clubbed, raped, abused to death, 2,500,000, expelled Oder-Neisse and Sudeten Germans, and another half-million or more Balkan Germans; the GERMANS virtually none except in internationally legal reprisals for assassinations and partisan sabotage, as at Lidici and Rome, numbering at most in the thousands.

COLD-BLOODED MURDER OF POW OFFICERS: the VICTORS (the Soviet Russians) murdered 15,000 Polish officers at Katyn and elsewhere, not as reprisals but cold-bloodedly, and then at the Nuremberg Trials attributing these murders to the Nazis; the GERMANS, none.

FORCED REPATRIATION OF CIVILIANS AND POW'S: the VICTORS, namely the Anglo-Americans, clubbed, shot, drugged some 2,000,000 prisoners, who had fled Soviet Russia into the more civilized Nazi Germany, back into the Soviet Union, where their leaders were shot and the rank and file slave-labored. The name for this atrocity is "Operation Keelhaul"; the GERMANS, not guilty of "Operation Keelhaul".

DISMANTLING: the VICTORS, even though they had made rubble out of one-third of Germany by area bombing, demolished whatever factories were left and transported the dismantled parts to their own lands, mostly Soviet Russia; the GERMANS, (in their occupied territories), not guilty of dismantling.

THEFT FROM OCCUPIED CIVILIANS: the VICTORS Americans, with a pointed gun or threat, took from German civilians watches, cameras, rings, paintings, anything they could carry and mail, and the Soviet Russians robbed all these things and everything else they could haul off by railroad, even church bells; the GERMANS, in their occupied territories, were correct.

CONCENTRATION CAMPS: the VICTORS had at least 10 times more concentration camps, and mostly bestially inhumane ones (Soviet Russia), even the Rooseveltian U.S. had ten for Nisei and Issei, ostensibly for "potentially dangerous" persons; the Germans also had such concentration camps, not a fourth as many or as brutal as the victors had and they were not death camps as alleged, but work camps, including Dachau and Auschwitz. Concentration camps are no more intrinsically evil than jails and prisons.

FOREIGN OR CONSCRIPTED LABOR: the VICTORS, right after armistice, made a scramble for German scientists and conscripted them to work in the U.S., Britain, and Soviet Russia; the GERMANS during the war recruited foreign labor from their occupied territories, just as West Germany now has recruited nearly 3,000,000 foreign workers (Gast Arbeiter). The Nazi use of foreign labor during the war was perfectly legitimate. The U.S. hired Mexican labor during the war! In general the Germans treated their foreign labor essentially like their own workers, as best as wartime conditions permitted.

ATROCITY BALANCE SHEET STRAINS ALLIED "SMOTHEROUT" STRATEGY

As I said above, the Allies shocked by their own wanton destruction of Central Europe, decided with war crimes trials and otherwise so to harp on and blow up alleged Nazi atrocities that the public would excuse their own barbarity and say, "What if Hitler was right at Danzig, what if Roosevelt tricked the U.S. into the war by the backdoor, the Nazis were so bestial that this was justified!" This has been Allied strategy. And still is. That was the purpose of the Nuremberg Trials, of the Eichmann trial, of the order to West Germany to continue the trials against Germans but on pain of everlasting damnation not ever to try Allied war criminals nor ever to use as a defense that the Allies did likewise, or worse! This became obviously difficult to carry off as more and more evidence indicated that the Allied war crimes and atrocities were ten times more numerous and more bestial than any alleged against the Nazis (e.g., rape). As a consequence they resorted to speculation and to fabrication.

SPECULATION AS AN AID TO THE "SMOTHEROUT"

Since the Allied war crimes were so much worse than those of the Third Reich, the Allied hypocrites resorted to speculation as to, not what Hitler actually did or said, but what he would have done had he won. To stupefy the gullible clergyman they speculate that he would have wiped out the churches. There is not a shred of evidence for this speculation. But there is a lot of evidence that the churches would have flourished as hardly ever before. Another speculation is that he would have gone on to conquer the world. This is a damnable, preposterous imputation. Hitler made it clear throughout his career that he merely wanted to correct the Versailles Treaty and establish a united Germany in the boundaries corresponding to Wilson's self-determination. Even at the height of his victories, he offered to withdraw to Germany's rightful boundaries as soon as the Allies agreed to peace. The Israelis, it will be noted, plunged the whole world into an energy crisis rather than withdraw to the proper boundaries of 1967! In line with his correct policy, Hitler did not annex

Czechoslovakia, he merely extended a "protectorate" over it, as the U.S. in Latin America has done often.

Another speculation is that he would have dictated an unjust peace and dismembered nations and shifted boundaries in violation of self-determination. If Hitler had been the devil incarnate he couldn't have committed more violent dismembering, more brutal territorial robbery than the victors did. No doubt that is why their conscience inspires that speculation. In that respect alone the victors created what Time Magazine called "history's most terrifying peace."

The sober, for Americans unpleasant, truth is that while Hitler was victorious he arbitrated the most just boundaries in the Balkans that Europe had ever known. Hitler was the fairest, the most honorable arbitrator of boundaries in the history of Europe. To speculate that he would have reverted to Allied criminal practices had he finally won is an exercise of hypocrisy and satanism worthy of the culprits of the most massive atrocity in history, the expulsion of the Oder-Neisse and Sudeten Germans!

THE LAST RESORT OF LIARS, FABRICATING A MASS ATROCITY

Not finding the Nazis guilty of real war crimes at all commensurate with the monstrous ones of the victors, they resorted to the only alternative open to hypocrites and liars, namely, to fabricate a mass atrocity. This they did with the legend of the six million Jews "gassed", four million in Auschwitz and two million elsewhere. This is a 95% fabrication and swindle. They have not even attempted to offer one shred of historically valid evidence for this. Auschwitz, for example, had a total of no more than 450,000 inmates -- and no "gas chambers". Not even at the Eichmann trial were they shameless enough to talk of 6,000,000 Jews killed by the Nazis, even though they base the whole flimsy slander on the hearsay that Eichmann once told his subordinates. Hoettl and Hoess spoke of 5,000,000 victims in general, not specifically of Jews (Time, June 6, 1960, reports it as five million; the Jewish Newsweek, June 6, 1960, hikes it to six million!). Eichmann, even had he said it, could not know the true figures. He himself never killed a Jew; he merely organized the transportation of Jews to concentration camps in the east, just as someone, presumably Milton Eisenhower, organized the transportation of 112,000 Nisei from California to Colorado, Nevada and Utah!

In short the fabricators of the 6,000,000 swindle have never come up with any valid proofs whatsoever. And they smear-terrorize anyone as an anti-Semite, who does investigate this myth in a scholarly way. The more we study the question the more it appears that more women and children were bombed and sniped to death in 24 hours in Dresden by the Allies than Jews -- if we except those legitimately killed as partisans and saboteurs -- were executed by the Nazis. (For more information see "The Six Million Swindle, by Dr. App, 30 pages, 50 cents; and The Myth of the Six Million, 2nd edition, 1973, 119 pages, \$2.00. Both available from St. Boniface Press).

JUST WHY THE PAROXYSM OF HATE AGAINST NATIONAL SOCIALISM

We ask again, just why at Potsdam and in our media is National Socialism (and what these call neo-Nazism) hated and prohibited with orgiastic frenzy, but Bolshevism treated benignly? Why is a Communist party tolerated, if not encouraged, in West Germany, but a National Socialist party prohibited for all eternity?

Certainly much of this vindictive hatred is due to Jewish eye-for-an-eye-ism, not for Jews executed by the Third Reich, but for the Third Reich's unabashed wish to have Jews emigrate from Central Europe to a national home of their own such as Madagascar! Jews consider anyone's dislike of them such an insult that for it they were chiefly responsible for plunging the world into WWII, for making the peace the most genocidic and unjust in history, and for keeping up an incessant hate -- and slander campaign against all things German. And to blackmail Germany into astronomical reparations for this alleged "insult" to Jewish self-esteem! Of course, not adverted to is the sad fact that all civilized nations (except atheistic Soviet Russia) secretly would gladly be rid of their Jews, and that Jews themselves accuse all nations of anti-Semitism, not the least our Christian America. For example, in December, 1960, the Jewish Anti-Defamation League and the University of California undertook a study of anti-Semitism. This they defined as anything critical of Jews, right or wrong. And what did they come up with? With the terrifying information that one-third of the American population is intensely anti-Semitic, and another one-third moderately anti-Semitic.[4]

If this is the case in the American "democracy", then should not our "democracy" be as violently prohibited for all eternity as the Potsdam peace-dictators damned National Socialism lock, stock, and barrel? It is important to note that Jewish world-wide hatred against the Third Reich was as violent in 1933, before a hair of any Jew had been singed in Germany as it is now. The world-wide boycott of German goods was introduced in 1933! Therefore the whole propaganda about the six million Jews killed by the Nazis is merely to try to work Gentiles and Christians up to a genocidic hatred of things German which the Jews of the world have had since 1933.

POWER POLITICS, ENVY OF SUCCESS, REAL CAUSES OF HATRED AGAINST NATIONAL SOCIALISM

Except for the vindictive hatred of the Jews, the hatred of National Socialism can only be understood as power politics, as fear and envy of a system that proved more successful socially and industrially than the Bolshevist and even the Masonic "democracy" of the victors. Hitler and National Socialism in a few brief years converted the "democratic" corpse of Weimar Germany into the "corporative" dynamo of the Third Reich. And the hypocrites who clubbed the German people into accepting the Versailles Treaty -- democracy by dismemberment and the hunger blockade -- never forgave!

As Harry Elmer Barnes, quoted previously, wrote, Roosevelt was really little "disturbed by Hitler's anti-Jewish policy; he was much more annoyed by the fact that Hitler's 'New Deal' had succeeded in spectacular fashion while his own had failed." There is the secret why America, England, France and Russia hated German National Socialism -- and still do -- and, so as not to be shamed by comparison again, eternally prohibit it!

In November 1936, Churchill said to General Robert E. Wood, "Germany is getting too strong, and we must smash her." And in 1938, Bernard Baruch, later a chief promoter of the genocidal Morgenthau Plan, said to General George C. Marshall, "We are going to lick that fellow Hitler. He isn't going to get away with it." (Both quotes in *Blasting The Blackout*, pp. 24, 25). Get away with what? Why converting Weimar Germany from a graveyard of unemployment and despair to the joy and prosperity, the autobahns and the enthusiasm, of the Third Reich!

NATIONAL SOCIALIST GERMANY THE WORLD'S MOST EFFICIENT REGIME

The undeniable, terribly sobering truth, is that Hitler and National Socialism were possibly the most successful regime in history. That the U.S. and Soviet Russia and the British Empire after 6 years were able to defeat it and Morgenthauize it does not contradict this. It merely proves that nations twenty times as large and as militarized as another can finally crush it: an elephant can crush the best zebra!

We speak of the miracle of West Germany's recovery after the war. And indeed it was a "miracle". But it occurred during a world-wide boom, it had the good will and the Marshall help of America, and most of all it was not handicapped by a world-wide Jewish boycott. On the contrary, the revival of Germany from 1933 to 1939, from bust to boom, happened during a world-wide depression (which even Roosevelt's "democracy" could not correct), it had to contend with an international Jewish boycott (this before one hair of a Jew had been singed and no "six million" fabrication existed!), and it started from the bottom of the whole country at a deadstill from unemployment and Communist rioting (sparked mostly by Jews).

Within even a few years, unemployment was ended, express-ways were built, and the whole country was booming! From depression it had changed to hope and joy! It became in fact the most prosperous country at that time in the world!

HOW DID HITLER AND NATIONAL SOCIALISM CREATE THIS MIRACLE RECOVERY?

Some of the amazing success may be due to Hitler's charismatic personality, but even Hitler could not have done it without a healthy governmental philosophy and an efficient political system.

Dr. Burton Klein (*Germany's Economic Preparation for War*, Harvard University Press, 1959), according to the review by Harry Elmer Barnes, testifies to this recovery miracle as follows:

"Germany in August, 1939, was a more powerful and impressive Political unit than had ever been known in this area in the history of Europe. Germany reached at that time the "apex" of its power, whether the system was a desirable one or not." (See Barnes, *Revisionism and Brainwashing*, p. 29).

Surely it is ironic that the peace dictators peremptorily prohibited the one system of government that had surpassed all others in efficiency. What other motive than power

politics and envy and a determination to keep Germany a doormat of the victors could it have been? And is still?

Some have said National Socialist prosperity was due to rearmament. But the same pundits, when adverting to the tragedy that living standards in Soviet Russia are pitiful, still at the level of 1913, attribute this to resources spent on arms. And when it is noted that Israel can continue only with the help of enormous gifts from America and billions of reparations from Germany, it is quickly added that this is due to the drain of military preparedness against the Arabs! But when Hitler's Germany was unprecedentedly successful economically and industrially, lo and behold, the pundits attribute it to its alleged "militarism".

ECONOMIC SUCCESS NOT DUE TO REARMAMENT

This should have crippled the Third Reich economically: yet in spite of its modest rearmament it enjoyed a startling economic boom. Dr. Klein debunks "a fundamental charge against Hitler ... the assertion that National Socialist Germany was a purely military economy." Dr. Barnes, reviewing Dr. Klein's book, asserts:

"... this book devastatingly refuted the indictment of National Socialist Germany as a military industrial camp which had been designed solely to wage war. France and Britain each spent as much (or more) for armament as did Germany, and combined they spent much more." (Revisionism and Brainwashing, p.4)

And Soviet Russia, of course, was a military colossus, quantitatively, compared to peacetime Nazi Germany.

No, there was something in German National Socialism intrinsically effective and superior to Bolshevism, and even to virtually all of the "democracies" set up at gun-point by the victors after WWI, and certainly, to nearly all of them (except so-far West Germany and Japan) set up after WWII. Most of them are now Soviet Russian satellites, totalitarian dictatorships, surrounded by barbed wire! Even Italy, still in the West, has had 36 different governments in 31 years, all since the U.S. gun-pointed "democracy" upon it and prohibited "fascism" for ever and ever! [5] (Wall Street Journal, March 11, 1974).

PERHAPS "CORPORATIVISM" ACCOUNTS FOR SUCCESS OF THIRD REICH

It is ironic that the much maligned National Socialism was economically and socially ten times more successful than any Bolshevist regime, but even more so than most "democratic" ones. It did not, like Communism need to close off its borders. Its citizens did not want to escape en masse, not even the Jews wanted to leave. Oddly enough, the so-called other rightist, "fascist" regimes, like Mussolini's Italy, Franco's Spain, Salazar's Portugal, and presently Brazil, Greece, and Chile (since the overthrow of Allende the Communist) have open borders!

But not only that. They also were or are relatively successful economically, socially, industrially. Whereas Communist Chile in a few years had nothing but chaos and

inflation, the so-called fascist take-over is already turning from bust to boom. The same thing happened in Brazil.

CORPORATIVISM SEEMED TO ENERGIZE NATIONAL SOCIALISM AND FASCISM

We therefore must reluctantly conclude that some principle in National Socialism and in so-called fascism seems to be energizing, stimulative. The answer probably is "corporativism". This was the preferred political system among Catholic theorists -- until the victors prohibited saying a good word for it. In a corporative society, capitalism and labor cooperate, employees and employers in the same industry are organized mutually. And private property is state supervised but secure. In Communism on the contrary, private property is abolished, even to small farms. And the so-called proletariat is organized to hate industrialists and private employers. Communism preaches the hatred of classes; National Socialism (and it seems, fascist governments in general) put owner and worker into the same "club", make them partners, not antagonists.

In so-called "democratic" governments, labor unions, too, tend to be antagonistic to employers and owners. Unrestrained labor unionists, at the voting booth, far outnumber employers -- and if trained to confrontation, can wreck not only any industry but the whole country. That is how the Weimar Republic was convulsed into strikes and chaos, and England and Italy now. And union power and unreason seem to be endangering "democracy" in our U.S.A.

It is often remarked how until under the socialists Brandt, Welner, Bahr, German labor and industrialists worked and prospered in marvelous harmony. One can plausibly speculate that this harmony was due to the lingering memory and influence of the corporativism of the Third Reich. Communism is essentially a system of mutual hatreds; democracy is endangered by the divisiveness of unionism and the encouraged antagonism between labor and industry; National Socialism (and fascism) arranges occupations and trades and industries into equivalents of the ancient guilds, it puts workers and work-givers into the same "guild", into partnerships. This makes for a common interest, and mutual effort. That may be the essential reason why National Socialism was so astoundingly successful (and in Italy and Spain fascism was relatively, too).

It also seems to be the intrinsic, the under-the-skin reason why the victors have such an insane hatred of National Socialism (and fascism) and want to ban it forever from the earth. It is power politics and envy at their worst; it is stupid and criminal. And it is tragic and dangerous at best.

ALLIED EQUATING NATIONALISM WITH NEO-NAZISM (OR FASCISM) TERRIBLY DANGEROUS

Not letting sovereign peoples choose what form of government they wish, even if fascist, is obviously criminal. It is also unutterably stupid. If the form of government desired were totally evil, it will, like the Red regime of Chile, if unassisted by armed

foreign, tanks, quickly collapse. If it has much virtue then no combinations of victors and power-political scoundrels can forever suppress it.

The terrible danger in our time is what I have stated at the beginning and what is the reason for my writing this booklet. It is that the way National Socialism is condemned and banned in the Potsdam Agreement encourages the Communists, the Talmudists, and the power-political enemies of a united, strong Germany to label every German motion of patriotism and nationalism as "neo-Nazi". This, first, effectively gives Soviet Russia, on the strength of UN Articles 53 and 107 the green light to invade West Germany. Secondly, since hatred of National Socialism appears to be chiefly an American and Jewish fetish, the German people increasingly have come to despair of American help in effecting the reunification of Germany; thirdly, if their patriotism and nationalism is denounced and banned as "neo-Nazi", the German people have no alternative but to favor or pretend to favor Communism and Soviet Russia in the hope of eventual re-unification through them.

That is a real danger. It is a plausible one, it is also for the German people a virtually necessary strategy -- if the Western victors don't soon stop equating German patriotism with National Socialism: if in fact, they talk about permitting all peoples to adopt a government of their own choice, but hypocritically tell the Germans on pain of destruction not to choose a rightist government. Only rightist governments can save Europe, can reunite Germany; to denounce rightist movements as "radical" or "neo-Nazi", and to prohibit them, is criminal and stupid. Even if any peoples of Europe choose National Socialism or fascism, it is none of our "damn" business, no more than it is theirs to object to our brand of "democracy", Watergate and all!

[1] In their Forward to FIGHTER ACES OF THE LUFTWAFFE, Horrido (Ballentine, 1970), authors Trevor J. Constable and Col. Raymond F. Toliver write, "A quarter of a century after the end of the Second World War, the time has come to dispel many myths and inaccurate views concerning the Luftwaffe fighter pilots which have their roots in wartime propaganda" (p. xv). What they rightly say of the Luftwaffe is even more true of all of Germany, of the Third Reich, its leaders, its people!

[2] See D. Konstantinow, DIE KIRCHEN DER SOWJETUNION, Munich, 1973, pp. 16, 17, 50.

[3] According to Deutsche Wochen Zeitung (February 8, 1974), President Nixon in a conference with his closest advisers, indicated he had read Speer's Memoirs and called attention to Speer's technique of mobilization. Columnists Evans and Novak got wind of this and professed shock! Translating the German version back to English, they wrote, "According to the unwritten law of American politics it has always been a sacrilege, to praise Hitler-Germany on the public stage in any manner whatsoever." What a devastating comment on American fairness, on our freedom of the press, on our decency to a defeated enemy! It also should prove that this booklet, A STRAIGHT LOOK AT THE THIRD REICH, is long overdue!

[4] According to National Review ("The New Anti-Semitism", March 29, 1974, the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith has found a new crop of Anti-Semites, in place of Lindbergh, Gerald L. K. Smith, Forrestal, etc. Among the new ones are: "Evans and Novak, Senator J. William Fulbright, Dean Francis B. Sayre of Washington's National Cathedral, the American Friends Service Committee, Father Dan Berrigan, and, would you believe, the Christian Science Monitor." "Why, because they "have occasionally had political criticism to make of some aspects of Israeli or U.S. policy in the Middle East." The ADL reacted "to political difference of opinion with ... defamation." If such Americans can be smeared as Anti-Semitic, then German National Socialists and any patriotic Germans would be so smear-terrorized. And if America has such influential Anti-Semites should its "democracy" not also be destroyed forever and ever? (Of possible interest, "Anti-Semitism a Phoney Bogey" 8 pages, 15 cents. Boniface Press)

[5] In March, Secretary of State Kissinger got some flak when he said "that there have been rarely, fully legitimate governments in any European country since World War I" (See Time, March 25, 1974, p. 31). These countries generally all had "democratic" governments forced on them virtually at gun point by the Wilsonians and Rooseveltians. Most of them are totalitarian barbarisms now, dominated by Soviet Russia. And the more totalitarian they are, the more they call themselves "democracy". That is an ominous facet about "democracy", that it lends itself to verbal appropriation by Communism! Incidentally, Germans never made as much of a "religion" out of National Socialism as Americans for decades have made out of "democracy." But recently there are some misgivings about the wise benignity of "democracy"! Wall Street Journal entitled an editorial, "Democracies in Trouble" (March 19, 1974). And columnist Major General Thomas A. Lane entitled an article, "Is Democracy Failing?" It surely has failed wherever the U.S. forced it on others by threat and command and similarly suppressed native forms!

THE SIX MILLION SWINDLE

(Boniface Press December 15, 1973)

Blackmailing the German People for Hard Marks With Fabricated Corpses

In as much as the Talmudists claim to be "God's Chosen People," and press this claim with the world's worst smear -- terrorism, anyone undertakes with fear and trembling the exposure of what one Christianized Jew, Benjamin H. Freedman, headlines, "Brainwashed U.S. Christians Duped by Unholiest Hoax in all History!" ("Fact for Fact," April, 1959). He was alluding to the fervently propagandized myth that the Nazis "gassed six million Jews."

Because all defamatory propaganda ultimately does a lot of harm, usually in the long run to both the defamed and the defamers, a search for the truth is mandatory. It was Christ, long ago crucified by the brood of vindictive Talmudists who first warned them against their eye-for-on-eye-ism!

Chancellor Brandt in Israel Pelted with Egg and Pamphlets!

Suggesting the harm the six-million swindle can do, we relate a few incidents during German Chancellor Willi Brandt's June visit to Israel. Brandt (born illegitimately Frahm, his mother having reputedly been raped by a Jew) passionately hated the law-and-order, anti-smut Nazis. During the whole war he spied for Soviet Russia and so hated the Christian German people that in several Norwegian books he incited partisan activities against it and even urged German soldiers to "frag" their officers!

Yet, how was this frenzied anti-Nazi treated on his good-will tour to Israel, which had its hands stretched for a new round of marks to supplement the twenty plus billion dollars already blackmailed out of the West German people? The International Tribune (June 11, 1973) headlined the visit: "Brandt Is Target Of Protesters In Jerusalem Visit":

"Boys burned a facsimile of a Nazi swastika flag outside City Hall ... About 150 demonstrators, restrained by barricades in front of City Hall, shouted, 'Go home' and 'We shall not forgive,' in reference to the Nazi killing of six million Jews as Brandt arrived for a reception given by Mayor Teddy Kollek."

Placards proclaimed, "You slaughtered 6,000,000 of our Brothers and Sisters. Go home!" Two other boys burned a piece of cardboard with a black swastika painted in the center. To quote the International Tribune again:

"As Mr. Brandt arrived at City Hall, a young girl behind the barricades threw an egg at him. A security man tried to catch it, but it splattered in his hand, the yoke spewing over the back of an Israeli official. Mr. Brandt did not react and security men whisked him inside. The girl fled... Another youth threw a handful of leaflets at the chancellor. The leaflets bore reference to the Nazi era."

Half Million Survivors of Concentration Camps in Israel

Over and over, *ad nauseam* we hear Communists, Talmudists, and brainwashed liberals declare pontifically that the Nazis had orders to execute all Jews. Yet the same article in the International Tribune, which is published by the Jewish New York Times and the Washington Post, goes on to remark, "About 500,000 of Israel's 2.6 million Jews had been in a Nazi concentration camp." But if half a million Jews now in Israel survived Nazi concentration camps, then the Nazis evidently had no orders to "gas" them! Obviously, then, Hitler and the Third Reich had no plan or desire to exterminate all Jews. They had plenty of time to have executed these 500,000!

Therefore, as my first, incontrovertible point, the charge that Hitler and the Third Reich wanted to exterminate all Jews is a totally fabricated, brazen lie! Because the Jews, quite probably in Theodore N. Kaufman's *Germany Must Perish* (by sterilization) and Henry J. Morgenthau's *Germany Is Our Problem* (extermination by starvation), were barbarous enough to have plotted the extermination of a hundred million Germans, their guilt complex forced them to imagine that the Germans, too, could have been equally barbarous so as to want to exterminate Europe's six million Jews! But of course the Germans (and the Nazis) were Christian gentlemen compared to the Morgenthauist savages. {NOTE: Kaufman (*Germany Must Perish*, Argyle Press, Newark, N.J. 1941) genocided as follows: "To achieve the purpose of German extinction it would be necessary to only sterilize 48,000,000... which excludes, because of their limited power to procreate, males over 60 and females over 45. Morgenthau, our Secretary of Treasurer (*Germany Is Our Problem*, Harper and Bros., N.Y. 1945), outlined the shrinking of Germany, the total destruction of the Ruhr; herding the population into the shrunken Germany, deprive it of all machinery and export, to depend on agriculture without machines -- and starve to death. He boasted that in Directive JCS 1076. Allied post-war policy adopted it. Senator Henrich Shipstead, in the U.S. Senate, May 15, 1964, called it "America's eternal monument of shame, the Morgenthau plan for the destruction of the German-speaking people." In Kaufman and Morgenthau the Jews have "the honor" of producing and applauding the world's top genocidists in theory; Stalin and Ilya Ehrenburg remain the top genocidists in practice. American Christians partly thwarted Morgenthau and Kaufman!}

The Six Million Swindle is a Blackmail for Indemnities

The Talmudists have from the beginning used the six million swindle to blackmail West Germany into "atoning" with the twenty billion dollars of indemnities to Israel. They put enough pressure on Washington not to sign the American-German treaty for German autonomy until Adenauer agreed to such indemnities to a bastard state that had not even existed during the era of the Third Reich.

But not only has Israel blackmailed West Germany into subsidizing it, Israel and World Jewry have also blackmailed it with the figure of six million into paying pensions and indemnities to every Jew who survived Nazi-occupied Europe and millions who after the war sneaked into West Germany from behind the Iron Curtain and then claimed to have suffered under the Nazis. {NOTE: *U.S. News*, March 15, 1965 reports: "WHERE GERMANS HELPED ... West German 'personal restitution'

to Israel individuals who suffered from the Nazis still goes on, coming to 139 million dollars in 1964," i.e., in only one year. U.S. News asks, "How can you tell a survivor of Auschwitz, for example, that he shouldn't spend the pension money the German Government has started giving him?"} Claiming such indemnities has probably produced the greatest heyday in history for Jews to commit perjury for one another, and for fraud and lying and cheating on a horrendous scale. Two examples: Dr. Phillip Auerbach, concentration camp survivor, got himself appointed Bavarian Commissioner of Reparations. In 1952 he was finally caught and sentenced to two and a half years in prison for huge frauds and swindles in his behalf and that of his co-racialists. He thereupon shot himself. Prof. Hans Deutsch got eight-five million Marks from Bonn for various clients. He then asked for thirty-five million Marks for the Hungarian Huvatny Art Collection which he claimed the Nazis had stolen. This luckily could be proven a lie, and he got eighteen months in prison. In reality, of course, he should have been hanged. The frauds in connection with pressuring indemnities out of Bonn cry to heaven for exposure and rectification!

Examples of Personal Indemnities

One can assume that everyone of the 500,000 Jews in Israel who claim to have been in German concentration camps is bleeding Germany for indemnities. Here are some examples: U.S. News, looking "... at some individual cases" (Aug. 10, 1964), lists a "Jewish businessman who now lives in the U.S. ... (who) has received a total of \$32,534 in damages and gets monthly compensation of \$219.94 besides."

"The widow of a Jewish doctor, who was forced to emigrate in 1939 and died in South Africa five years later, has received \$25,020 and is entitled to \$7,080 in additional restitution payments."

Now there are two likely falsehoods in this last item. In 1939 her husband was hardly forced to leave, the German government let him leave -- with his property. The Third Reich let Jews emigrate exactly as World Jewry now wants Soviet Russia to let them emigrate, but for which Soviet Russia requires some \$30,000 for each emigrant! Nor is the widow entitled to anything from Germany, but the Jews have so blackmailed it with the six million swindle that it pays and pays and pays!

U.S. News reports further: "A rabbi, forced to flee from Berlin and now living in Brazil, gets a pension of \$500.00 a month." How was he forced to flee? He more probably, like the Kissinger family, wanted to -- and Nazi Germany let him -- without requiring an emigration tax of some \$30,000!

Another instance:

"A Jew who passed a civil-service examination in pre-war Germany but was barred from the job because he was Jewish, emigrated to Israel. West Germany sends him \$187.00 a month, the pension he would have earned in following a civil-service career in Germany."

What an impudent blackmail! What would happen in the U.S. if every Jew and every Negro and every Gypsy who took and passed a civil service examination but was not

hired -- because a lot of others passed the examination, too -- would forever have to be pensioned by the American taxpayers!

Why Bolsheviks Support the Six Million Swindle

Aside from the fact that most of the most vindictive Communists are also Jews (like Ilya Ehrenburg, the Soviet Propaganda Minister who exhorted the Soviet troops to rape all German women and kill any men who tried to protect them), the general run of Communists, Soviet-Russians and others, eagerly help Israel and World Jewry to propagate the six million swindle.

They have a mighty good motive. It is to cover up as long as possible the grim fact that more Jews came to grief in territory controlled by Stalin than in Nazi-occupied lands. This horrid truth Talmudic leaders well know. But they also know that from the Bolsheviks, whether in Moscow or in Warsaw, or even in East Berlin, they cannot blackmail reparations for either real or fabricated Jewish corpses. Therefore they maintain a conspiracy of silence about Jews persecuted and killed in Red-dominated lands, so as to blackmail the West Germans and in fact, all Christians more effectively.

Statistics on Jews Who Disappeared in Red-controlled Areas

In "Stalin's Macabre 'Relocation Plan'" (Human Events, Oct. 6 1970, John Boland cites how the Bolsheviks murdered 4,143 Polish prisoners of war at Katyn and packed another ten thousand in barges and sank them in the White Sea. He then reports:

"Now there is evidence that thousands of Soviet Jews were similarly 'eliminated' on orders from Stalin. The exact number cannot be ascertained, because the victims were allegedly loaded in boxcars and rolled over a cliff into Russia's huge Siberian freshwater lake -- Lake Baikal ...the forcible deportation of all Soviet Jews to the 'Jewish Soviet Republic' of Birobidjan ended for many of them in being pushed over the cliff into the lake."

In this way, writes Boland, "the Jews could be liquidated without the trouble of building crematoria as Hitler did in Germany to solve his 'Jewish problem.'" (Incidentally, it is a defamatory cliché that Hitler built crematoria to dispose of murdered Jews; he built them to dispose of corpses in general, including especially those resulting from Allied air raids!)

Confirming Human Events, Rabbi Benjamin Schultz, chairman of the Jewish League (so Die Welt of Hamburg of September 25, 1954, reported), declared before our Congress that during the Second World War, 3,390,000 Jews on Soviet territory disappeared without a trace. He maintained that this massacre has been purposely kept secret so as not to embarrass the Soviet Union.

German historian Erich Kern, after research on Rabbi Schultz's startling statement, concludes that, even if this figure is a bit too high:

"However, in any case, it is certain that about twice as many Jews were done to death in territory controlled by Soviet Russia than in that controlled by the Germans." (See: Deutsche Wochenzeitung, January 13, 1967)

What can be more to the advantage of the Bolsheviks than to have got rid of a few million troublesome Jews and to waltz the blame off on the Germans! And what could be more to the advantage of Israel and World Jewry than to blame the Germans, who could be blackmailed into astronomical reparations, for the Jews who disappeared in Russia, from which absolutely no indemnities could be blackmailed! However, this mass Soviet extermination, which world Jewry finds it inexpedient to recognize, may help explain the world-wide viciousness with which Jews belabor the Soviet Union for not permitting free Jewish emigration, something the Bolsheviks don't permit for anybody else either, not even for the forlorn Germans left in the Oder-Neisse territories or for East Germans who want to transfer to West Germany!

Thousands of Jews Killed by Native Populations Before Germans Arrived

When the Germans advanced into Bolshevik territory, most Jews retreated towards Moscow in the wake of the Soviet armed forces. But it is also tragically true, but not willingly publicized, that many thousands of Jews who did not migrate east in time were massacred, not by the Wehrmacht, but by angry native populations before the Germans arrived. The Jews in general had so identified themselves with Red oppression from 1917 on, that the native population often revenged themselves at the first opportunity. What helped inflame the native fury is that the retreating Soviets committed wholesale massacres upon persons who opposed Soviet rule, whom they had arrested when the Germans invaded but had no chance to transport to Siberia because the German advance was too fast.

Herman Raschhofer, in *Political Assassination* (Tuebingen, 231 pages, obtainable from Boniface Press, 8207 Flower Ave., Tacoma Park, Md. 20012), writes of:

"Incontrovertible proof that many murders committed against the inhabitants of Lwow (Lemberg) had already taken place before Lwow was occupied by the Germans ... responsibility for these earlier atrocities lies with the Soviet authorities" (pp.26-7).

Lwow is typical of what occurred in other cities. In Lwow alone 3,000 corpses executed by the retreating Soviets were counted (Op.cit., p.34).

When the native populations subsequently saw these murders; they had, writes Roschhofer, "the firm belief... that their Jewish neighbors had been partly responsible for the arrests of many nationalists, as Jews had cooperated with the Soviet authorities and, in particular, with the NKVD" (p.50). The thousands of corpses of "political prisoners only, for the criminals had been released," generated anonymous "mass violence against the Jews... indeed a pogrom." Thousands were killed in Lwow alone, and similar violence against Jews occurred in many places as soon as the Soviets had retreated and before the Wehrmacht arrived. The latter actually tended to protect the harassed Jews.

This is a fact that is never brought out, for no indemnities can be squeezed out of the peoples behind the Iron Curtain. Hence the Communists and the Jews keep blaming the Germans for hundreds of thousands of deaths in territories controlled by the Soviet Russians, just as even at the Nuremberg Trials the Red prosecutors accused the Germans of murdering 15,000 Polish POW's, over four thousand of whose corpses were found at Katyn! One can say categorically, accusations by Reds anywhere have no validity!

Polish Persecution of Jews

By whomsoever Jews came to grief, the Third Reich has been blamed and West Germany has been made to pay enormous reparations. One of the nastiest slanderers, second only to World Jewry, is Red Poland. It also by magic coincidence found that the Nazis killed six million innocent Poles! When pressed, it lists as Poles three million Jews allegedly killed, and it includes as massacred all Poles who fell in combat. The Poles whine as if only their soldiers had had a right to shoot, not the Germans! There, too, speaks a guilty conscience, for the Poles have perennially persecuted the Jews, and during and even after World War II they killed many of them.

Jakob Presser, for example, in *The Destruction of Dutch Jews* (Dutton & Co., 1969, p.544), although a Talmudic Germanophobe, writes of the Poles:

"And how could anyone forget that, even after the Liberation, Jews were still being murdered in Poland, where a daylight pogrom was organized, not by professional criminals, but by young mothers, by students of Polish literature, by devout Catholics who prayed to God before the massacre? And not only did they murder the Jews but they indulged in an orgy of torture, in a veritable witch's sabbath in July 1946, barely a year after the end of the war."

Naturally, the Poles want to add these Jews to the swindle of the Six Million, and the Jews join in, because from the Poles they cannot get blackmail indemnities, but from the harassed, smear-terrorized Germans they can! Prof. Harry Elmer Barnes, in explaining how paradoxical it was for the Allies to fight for Poland on the basis of the Jewish issue, wrote:

"There were in Poland, in 1933, six times as many Jews as in Germany, and they were treated as badly as were the German Jews under Hitler." (*Blasting the Blackout*, p.35)

Furthermore, writes Barnes, by 1939 "Hitler's anti-Jewish program" had moderated, whereas the Polish anti-Jewish treatment had not improved to any notable extent. Jews told Barnes repeatedly, he asserts, that "I should not overlook the far more extensive plight of the Jews in Poland." No wonder the Red Polish stooges want to waltz their Jewish casualties off on the Germans!

The Swindle of the Six Million Make Liars Out of Some Respected Writers

Many respected writers stoop to lying in order not to arouse the smear-terrorism of the six million fabricators. John Gunther, for example, wrote:

"And never let it be forgotten that of the 3,500,000 Polish Jews who lived in that country before the war, not less than three solid millions were murdered by the Germans. The total number of Jews surviving in Poland is only between 70,000 and 80,000. (Philadelphia Inquirer, February 10, 1949)

Who pressured Gunther into this monstrous and illogical falsehood? In 1939 there were 12,000,000 native Germans in the Oder-Neisse territories put under Polish administration in 1945. Now there are at most a few hundred thousand. Would it be logical to say the other 11,800,000 were tortured and murdered? Of course, only 80,000 were in Red Poland by 1949; its smarter ones had left that Jew-hating country and swarmed into the haven of Germany -- to claim indemnities -- and from there to New York and to Israel. When Col. Albert C. Morgan, some months after the war, said that Jews were leaving Poland in organized masses, Walter Lipshitz Winchell screamed that the statement "was an insult to six million tortured dead" (See: Time January 21, 1946). During "a major anti-Jewish pogrom at Kielce on July 4th, 1946," writes Richard Harwood ("Did Six Million Jews Really Die?" Historical Review Press, London, 1984, p.17), more than 150,000 Polish Jews suddenly fled into Western Germany. Harwood adds:

"Their appearance was somewhat embarrassing, and their emigration to Palestine and the United States was carried out in record time. Subsequently, the number of Polish Jewish survivors underwent considerable revision; in the American-Jewish Year Book 1948-1949 it was placed at 390,000, quite an advance on the original 80,000."

Nor does Gunther allude to Jews killed by the Poles, nor the very significant fact that some half of the 3,500,000 Jews allegedly in Poland in 1939 were in fact in the eastern Ukrainian Poland, which the Soviet Russians occupied in 1939. One can without far more evidence assert that more Jews died in Poland and Russia while under Red control than while under German control.

Statistics of Jews Spared by the Nazis

What has to be absolutely established before anything else is that the Nazis had no plan and no wish to exterminate all Jews. To say they had is a lie. If they had had such a plan, absolutely no Jew in Nazi-dominated territory would have survived. The Third Reich was too efficient for any Jews to escape had it wished to exterminate all Jews, but the Third Reich only wanted them to emigrate.

When I visited Germany and Austria in 1949 I found them deluged with uncouth-looking Eastern Jews. They were arrogant to all Germans, they all seemed to engage in black-marketeering, and the German police seemed forbidden to touch them. They lied, cheated, and stole from Germans almost at will. In the currency revaluation, Germans had to surrender a hundred marks for ten new ones, but Jews including refugees from the East, got a hundred new marks for a hundred old. This huge indemnity paid to them is not mentioned in the books, but it gave the Jews a ten to one advantage over honest Germans in Central Europe!

Here are some figures of Jews who turned up from German occupied lands after the war. Everyone proves that Hitler had not ordered him exterminated. The New York

Times (March 26, 1950) reports that in March of that year 23,000 Jews had left Hungary:

"...that 224,000 Jews had emigrated from the 'people's democracies' and from Yugoslavia, leaving 600,000 whom the Israeli government is still eager to have in Palestine."

The Times writes of 150,000 still left in Hungary, of 30,000 having emigrated from Czechoslovakia, leaving 15,000 there; of 30,000 having left Rumania, leaving 380,000 there; of 85,000 having left Poland, "leaving 50,000 registered as members of the Jewish community. It is believed that some thousands of others are unregistered." The Times writes that "... from Bulgaria 42,000 have emigrated, leaving only 4,000 or 5,000; from Yugoslavia 10,000 have emigrated."

The Third Reich Had Five Years to "Gas" These Jewish "Refugees, But Didn't

Had Hitler intended to exterminate all Jews he had five years' time to "gas" all 824,000 Balkan Jews, who, according to the New York Times, "...swarmed into the Western zones of Germany, between 1945 and 1947." And even higher percentages were very much alive in 1945 in France, Belgium, Holland, and Norway. One Rabbi Phillip S. Bernstein, advisor of Jewish affairs to the United States Army commanders in Germany and Austria, wrote:

"...the only realistic solution to the plight of Europe's 250,000 Jewish refugees is resettlement in the United States and Palestine" (Washington Post, October 24, 1947).

That may explain why since 1945 New York is a Jewish Sodom and Gomorrah and Washington, D.C., a half Jewish and half Negro employment agency!

Yet, in spite of all these Jewish survivors, one vindictive Germanophobe, David Borac, in *The Jewish Press* (September 1972, Rosh Hashona Issue), dares to write: "...the German Nazi would not rest so long as one Jew lived anywhere and even as their defeat was starkly apparent they lived for one thing, to kill as many Jews as possible before the end."

This is the kind of monstrous, barefaced lying of which only Communists and Jews are capable! Vindictive Jews seem intent on proving Christ right when He denounced Jews in language far harsher than Hitler ever applied to them, "You are of your father the devil... for he is a liar, and the father thereof" (St. John, Ch.8, V.44).

First, David Borac lies on a specific point. Far from a policy of killing "as many Jews as possible before the war ended," Himmler in October 1944 prohibited any further execution of Jews (See: Haertl, *Freispruch Fuer Deutschland*, Verlag Schuetz, 1965, p.191). This order also indicates that Himmler, not Hitler, was in charge of Jewish policy. Secondly, David Borac, of course, commits an overall lie: if the Nazis had purposed living to kill as many Jews as possible, then not one single one of the 824,000 Balkan and Polish Jews would have been alive by May 1945. Jews who spread such vindictive lies ought to strangle themselves in their own guts -- and spare the world their venom!

Hitler's "Endloesung" Meant Emigration, Not Extermination

The Third Reich did no more plan or even think of physically annihilating Jews, other than partisans and subversives, than it thought of making stew out of them. Only a cannibal fears that a conqueror will eat him. So only genocidists, like Morgenthau, Kaufman, and Ilya Ehrenburg, wretches wallowing in the Talmud two thousand years backward of Christianity, reflecting their own plots to exterminate the German race, could hit upon the slander of accusing the Nazis of trying literally to kill them off. What the Nazis wanted is what every civilized country has wanted and five of them put into practice, namely, to get the Jews out of their hair, all of them, to have them emigrate!

That is what the word "Endloesung -- Final Solution" meant, and nothing else. Every civilized nation has wanted to get rid of them; and every one, except so for the United States, has at one time or another expelled its Jews. Good old glorified England did it in 1290, France in 1306, 1322, and 1394, both our dear allies in twice destroying Germany. Hungary expelled them in 1349, Austria in 1420, Spain in 1492, Sicily in 1492, Portugal in 1497. Several German and Italian and Balkan provinces did it between 1350 and 1450, Norway and Sweden in 1814. And our dearly beloved lend-lease pal, Russia, in part expelled the present blackmailers of Germany in 1792 and 1904.

What the Nazis tried to do was, therefore, first of all, quite in tune with the historical and civilizing development in Europe. It was neither unique nor especially National Socialistic. Only the U.S., having been until recently a huge colonizing area, has so far not expelled unassimilating Jews. They now control the media and the money -- and sex education and pornography! They also furnish for more than their quota of grand-larcenists, like Bernard Cornfeld, who made and broke the IOS, and Stanley Goldblum, President, Equity Funding Corporation, the largest bank fraud in recent history. They also got us into World War II and then converted the peace into barbarism with their Morgenthau Plan. And recently, in the Arab-Israeli War, they pressured the government into rushing armaments to the Israeli, instead of getting them to return to the 1967 boundaries. It thus provoked the wretched energy crisis. In short, they subvert our international standards and our Christian culture.

Why The Third Reich Wished To Get The Jews Out

That is also what the Jews had done in Germany during the Weimar Republic. Most objectionable was their assault on patriotism, on love of the country in which they were virtually guests. Of such Jewish anti-patriotism America got a taste during the Vietnam War! Nation Europa (Bemerkungen zum deutsch-juedischen Verhoeltniss, 8630 Coburg, Germany, February 1964, 8 pages) explained that all the bloody Communist putsches in Weimar Germany were directed by Jews, such as Eugene Levine, Max Levien, Tobia Akselrod, Kurt Eisner, Gustav Landauer, Erich Muehsam, and Ernst Toller. It should have added Rosa Luxemburg and Karl Liebknecht, leaders of the vicious Red Spartacus Party.

When the pamphlet by Nation Europa first appeared I reviewed it with two paragraphs which I here re-confirm:

"But worst of all had been that all through the Weimar Republic a majority of intellectuals and writers had indulged in continual defamation of the German nation. Walter Mehring called the Stahlhelm soldiers of World War I "Dreck," i.e., Dirt. Kurt Tucholsky called the volunteers of 1914 victims of mass intoxication: "Massenbesoffenheit." Arnold Zweig called Germans a nation of cattle and murderers: "Nation von Stimmvieh, Geschaeftsmachern, Moerder, Abruueckern, Operettenliebhabern und Amtskadavern."

"It was such destructive nihilism directed by German Jews against the German nation in the Weimar era that brought resentment and sparked the National Socialistic conviction that such vicious, pro-Communistic influences must be eliminated from public life.

In other words, long before there was a Third Reich, the Jews in Germany defamed Germany the way American Jews and liberals defamed America and our armed forces during the Vietnam War! That is why Hitler, a veteran wounded in World War I, in his Mein Kampf demanded that some "12,000 - 15,000 Marxist Jewish" subversives be called to account. He did not in Mein Kampf or anywhere else order any extermination of Jews. Mass extermination was the specialty of Morgenthau, Kaufman, Harry Dexter White, and Bernard Baruch, the genocidists of the Morgenthau Plan. But extermination had no place in German or Nazi ideology or policy! Traitors, spies, partisans, subversives and other criminals, as they should also be in America, were marked for execution. No others. That in the turmoil of war and the nightly obliteration bombings some not guilty came to grief, and that reprisals for partisan sabotage, possible innocent themselves, were executed, quite in accordance with international law until 1949, should be obvious and self-evident.

In War, Concentration Camps For "Potential Enemies" Are Standard Policy

The Nazis wanted, not extermination, but the emigration of Jews and Gypsies from Germany and Christian Europe. These are, historically, the only two earthly tribes whom no country ever wanted or wants, who generally come in by stealth, bribery, or pressure tactics. The Jews are considered Grand Larcenists and subversives, the Gypsies Petty Larcenists -- nomadic liars, cheats, and thieves. Neither of these tribes really assimilate with their hosts. In any war they tend to supply spies and subversives against the host country (e.g., even in World War II, which we fought for the benefit of the Jews, we had the Rosenbergs and dozens as bad).

Little Germany, surrounded by enemies with ten times its own population and thirty times its size could not afford in wartime to let such potential enemies run around free. Putting most of them in concentration camps was perfectly legitimate and also completely in harmony with Anglo-American practice. The British introduced such camps in the Boer War, and the Rooseveltians rounded up all West Coast Japanese of American descent, who had not performed one act of sabotage, 125,000 of them, men, women, and children, and hauled them off into ten barren concentration camps, complete with barbed wire and watch towers, just like the German counterparts! For West Germany to have to pay indemnities to the former inmates of those camps is an outrage. The U.S., far from paying the Nisei indemnities, compensated them only up to ten per cent for the property it stole from them in 1942! If we don't refer to the

Soviet Russians here, it is because they are too barbarous to belong in the same sentence with Germans, English, and Americans. In their hundred and more concentration camps, the inmates are bestialized and starved and few come out alive. Even their prisoners of war, if not murdered outright as at Katyn, were worked and starved to death. Of the 96,000 Germans, for example, captured at Stalingrad, only 6,000 ever returned, broken in body and spirit. Such acts of genocide and bestiality the Nazis never, absolutely never, committed against prisoners of war, nor against Jews and Gypsies. The Soviet Russians and Communist rulers generally are simply too barbarous, too bestial, to deserve a place among civilized nations! It is they whom America should have helped defeat, not the Germans. Sooner or later this will have to be done! As Churchill, too late realized, "We killed the wrong pig."

Expelling Native Populations Was More Allied Than Nazi Policy

Just as concentration camps for "potential enemies" were not unique with the Nazis, so the transfer of populations was more a policy of the victors than of the Nazis. The Soviet-Russians, Poles, and Czechs, with Rooseveltian approval, surpassed the Nazi transfer of thousands by fifteen millions, by the most brutal and genocidic expulsion in world history! Compared to that, Nazi pressuring of Jews to emigrate was humane. Their original plan was to settle them and give them a national home in Madagascar. Madagascar, with 227,737 square miles, four times the size of England, twenty times that of Belgium, was far more spacious than Palestine, and with only a thin population of four million would not have precipitated an atrocity like the expulsion of one million Palestinians at home there for 1800 years!

But the Polish government in 1937 considered it could not ship its three million Jews. George Bonnet of France said it could not find ships for its 200,000 Jews. So the sensible plan failed. America and England forced a few million Jews on the Palestinians, who were murdered or expelled, and Israel has in its thirty years fought more wars than allegedly warlike Germany fought in a hundred years!

At any rate the Nazis wanted the Jews to emigrate, not to expire! But nobody wanted them. Even Roosevelt exclaimed, "What would I do with a million Jews!" But was the Nazi desire to push the Jews out a unique or particularly heinous crime, one for which West Germany has had to pay billions of indemnities? Should anyone think so, then I ask: "Is it a greater crime to expel a Jew than to expel a Gentile (an East Prussian, Silesian, Pomeranian, Sudeten)? Is it worse to expel a tribe that is scattered all over, not in a solid settlement, that did not settle the land originally, but more or less sneaked in one at a time after the pioneer hardships were over and cultivated enough so that over-reaching its natives became easy? Clearly, expelling solid populations settled there for 700 years is an incomparably greater atrocity than transferring a collection of scattered, generally rather recent settlers.

Yet the hypocritical peace dictators, who whine about Hitler's wish to settle 600,000 Jews overseas, ruthlessly drove out 15,000,000 East and Balkan Germans, mostly in the winter, totally robbed them of their farms, homes, cattle, furniture, drove them on foot into shrunken and bombed-out Germany. And on the way they starved, raped, clubbed 2,500,000 to a frightful death. That is almost twenty per cent of them, mostly innocent women and children (the men were kept and abused as prisoners of war).

This Allied mass expulsion is the most horrendous genocide in world history. And it was directed only at a race, at people of German ethnic nationality!

Genocidal Victors Publicly Order The Expulsions

If Nazis committed an atrocity against Jews, they tried to conceal it, they kept it not only from the world, but from the German people. Not so the Unconditional Surrenderists. They committed their god-awful atrocities against the defeated German people with the air of holy, self-righteous crusaders! In the Nuremberg trial, the victorious monsters went about hanging Germans for having "forcibly deported inhabitants who were predominantly non-German and introduced thousands of German colonists" (Count 3, Section J). Note the word thousands, not fifteen million!

And while these criminal hypocrites were so prosecuting Germans, they openly, unabashedly, on August 2, 1945, in the Potsdam Pact, ordered:

"That the transfer to Germany of German populations or elements thereof remaining in Poland, Czechoslovakia, and Hungary, will have to be undertaken."

This genocidal master-stroke involved several million innocent people. Worst of all, the other Balkan countries interpreted the Potsdam decree as sanctioning mass expulsions, and proceeded to expel and partly murder their German minorities, usually solid settlements 100 years old. And the Red Russians, Poles, and Czechs, inspired by the U.S. Morgenthauists, brutally expelled, robbed, and partly murdered the populations from one-fourth of Germany, the Oder-Neisse territories placed under their administration. This expulsion of 12,000,000, including the Sudeten Germans, murdering 2,500,000 of the unfortunates, represents the greatest mass atrocity in history. Compared to this, all real and alleged Nazi war crimes dwindle in size and in gravity. They were committed in time of war against "un-conditional surrender"; the Allied expulsions and brutal murders of 2,500,000, mostly women and children, were committed after victory and armistice! And they were a direct consequence of the Jewish Morgenthau Plan and policy.

During the Allied, Lindemann Plan bombing of population centers, one little candle light in an attic could direct the bombers on their mission of genocide. Regulations against potential enemies had to be severe. When the Anglo-American bombers and Mustang snipers fire-bombed undefended Dresden on February 13, 1945, after victory was already assured, they probably burned to death in one night more innocent women and children and old men than the Third Reich executed Jews. 135,000 corpses were counted, and probably again as many burned to dust. When we add the victims of other area bombings, more German women and children, not counting the men, were bombed and sniped to death than Jews were executed.

A Priori Case Against Six Million Figure

An extremely plausible argument, that the six million figure is a swindle, is given by World Jewry itself for its frantic opposition to all research on the figure. Israel and Jewish organizations smear-terrorize anyone who tries to investigate, so much so that the eminent scholar who wrote *The Myth of The Six Million* (Noontide Press, Box

76062, Los Angeles, California 90005, 2nd edition, 1973), considered it the better part of wisdom to remain anonymous!

One can assert positively if Jews knew the figure of six million to be even nearly correct they would welcome scholarly research to confirm it to the world. Even the Gentile-controlled Time Magazine fears this smear terrorism too much to try to get the facts. After I had several times begged Time not to keep repeating the fraudulent six million figure, it answered evasively:

"The six million which we quoted, as I mentioned in a previous letter, is one that is usually accepted by all government sources, on the basis of a number of affidavits similar to that of Dr. Wilhelm Hoettle (sic) which I quoted to you in my earlier letter." (July 27, 1949)

The fact that the only evidence Time and Jewish sources can present for the six million figure is an affidavit by Hoettl and some even less authentic ones should alone suffice to discredit the figure. And we must emphasize, in law and justice it is not the accused who must prove his innocence but the accusers who must prove his guilt. It is the responsibility of the blackmailing Jews to prove the accusation of six million, not of the Germans to disprove it. The most innocent man, accused of infidelity to his wife, would have a hard time proving his innocence! Therefore the law requires the accuser to prove his accusation! This the Jews have not ever earnestly tried to do. They merely keep repeating the six million accusation. If pressed for evidence, they base themselves on what one Hoettl said from hearsay about Eichmann, and what similarly one Rudolf Hoess thought he remembered Eichmann as saying. Testimony as flimsy as theirs would in domestic American courts would not even convict a shop-lifter. Only at the rigged Nuremberg trials was it received and heralded as the incontrovertible truth. And of course by Ben Gurian and Golda Meir and their stooges.

What About The Hoettl, Hoess, and Eichmann Testimony?

The only evidence that Talmudists and their stooges ever pretend to offer for the six million is what Hoettl and Hoess, under threat of their lives, claimed to have heard Eichmann one time say. Dr. Wilhelm Hoettl was a colonel in the security services, not a very high rank, a subordinate of Eichmann's, who himself said, "I was merely a little cog in the machinery." Hoettl himself claims to have been a British agent sometime during the war. The London paper, Weekend (January 25, 1963) confirms this. It also reveals that when Hoettl gave his affidavit he had been threatened with delivery to the Hungarian Communists. This must be interpreted as a promise of immunity if he gave sufficiently damning testimony against his superior Eichmann, but hanging if he didn't.

According to the British at Flensburg he declared he had one time heard Eichmann say that four million Jews died in concentration camps and another two million elsewhere as reprisals and so on. He was then rushed to the Nuremberg Trials, where he dutifully repeated his hearsay. But oddly enough, though the German attorney for the Defense, one Dr. Kaufmann, repeatedly requested that he be called for cross-examination, the Nuremberg lynchers did not dare expose this key evidence to cross-

examination. Again, at the Eichmann trial in Jerusalem the legal lynchers were afraid to expose him to cross-examination. And during the same trial Eichmann insisted that Hoettl had twisted his casual remark and that he had in fact never named figures to Hoettl because he could not know such figures (See: Heinrich Haertl, *Freispruch fuer Deutschland*, Goettingen, 1965, p.190-1).

Here lies the truth of the matter. Neither Eichmann nor Hoettl could know the figures. And Hoettl got immunity for what was almost certainly a perjured affidavit. Yet on it rests almost the whole myth of the six million. The only other testimony advanced is that of Rudolf Hoess. He was for a while commander at Auschwitz. Again, threatened with the noose if he did not incriminate his superiors, he testified at Nuremberg that two and a half million Jews died there. Even Gerald Reitlinger accuses Hoess of "perverse megalomania" for this figure. To show how unreliable poor frightened Hoess had been, when he later was delivered to the Poles he reduced the two and one-half million to only 1.13 million. The Poles thereupon irately hanged him! Eichmann himself declared:

"Since the war I read that 2,500,000 Jews were physically liquidated under Hoess' command. I find this figure incredible. The capacity of the camp argues against it. Many of the Jews confined there were put to work details and survived. After the war the Auschwitzers sprouted like mushrooms out of the forest floor after a rain. Hundreds of thousands of them are today in the best of health." (See: *Life Magazine*, November 28, 1960) And, we might add, collecting indemnities from West Germany! Anyhow, threatened with the noose unless he talked, he claimed to have heard Eichmann one day declare that he went to his grave happily because he had five million Jews on his conscience. This too was accepted as Gospel fact, without cross-examination, by the Nuremberg lynchers and World Jewry.

To show the guess work and the shameful unreliability involved, the *Gentile Time Magazine* (June 6, 1960) reported Eichmann said, "Five Million Jews"; the *Jewish Newsweek*, however (June 6, 1960), hiked the figure to "six million Jews." That is how Jewish media throw a million Jews around -- when it serves their blackmail! *Life Magazine*, in its purported auto-biography of Eichmann, by no means certainly authentic, quotes Eichmann as follows:

"In the last days I called my men into my Berlin office. I told them, 'I will gladly jump into my grave in the knowledge that five million enemies of the Reich have already died like animals.' (Enemies of the Reich, I said, not 'Jews')." (*Life*, December 5, 1960, p.150)

These Memoirs of Eichmann are one of many frauds on this topic. Richard Harwood (*Did Six Million Really Die?* p.18) writes:

"Certainly the most bogus 'memoirs' yet published are those of Adolf Eichmann ... they are made as plausibly incriminating as possible without straying too far into the realm of the purest fantasy, and depict Eichmann speaking with enormous relish about 'the physical annihilation of the Jews.' Their fraudulence is also attested to by various factual errors..."

Not Hoettl, Not Hoess, Not Eichmann Could or Did Attest the 6 Million Figure

Essentially, on this off-the-cuff remark of Eichmann's, never properly proved, the whole swindle of the six million rests. Be it noted, first, that Eichmann said five million, not six; secondly, he referred to "Enemies of the Reich," not Jews; thirdly, he was at the time talking casually, not professionally and authoritatively. Furthermore, he said far more officially, "I would like to stress again, however, that my department never gave a single annihilation order. We were responsible only for deportation... "Eichmann ordered no Jews killed, nor anyone else. He could not have five million lives on his conscience, literally, only rhetorically, the way I, as a G.I., might boast that I helped kill several million German -- "Enemies of the U.S.A." In short Eichmann could not in any way know the number of Jewish casualties, and Hoettl and Hoess did not pretend to know but, under extortion to incriminate Eichmann, attributed conflicting words to him!

How Affidavits were Extorted and Perjury Encouraged

Affidavits, like those to which Time refers, are unreliable, often outright frauds, like the figure of six million itself. With a few heroic exceptions, all affidavits by Jews are in part or whole perjured, often well rewarded, and altogether unreliable. Affidavits from Germans, including from former Nazis, were rather customarily obtained by threatening the witness with hanging if he did not incriminate his superior sufficiently for hanging.

After Simon Wiesenthal, one of the best known prosecutors and persecutors of Germans is Dr. Robert Kempner, who seems to exploit dual American and German citizenship. Though a Jew, he worked for some years in the Third Reich as "Oberregierungsrat" in the Prussian Ministry of the Interior. When the war curtailed Jews in sensitive positions, he emigrated to Pennsylvania. After the war, he turned up as prosecutor of Germans at Nuremberg, a particularly unscrupulous one, who is still at it. His typically nasty Jewish method of extorting incriminating affidavits against the more important Nazi leaders is illustrated in the case of Dr. Friedrich Gaus, many years a minor official in the German foreign office. Dr. Kempner wanted him to testify falsely in order to get his superior Ribbentrop hanged. When Gaus complained that he could not honestly so testify, Kempner replied:

"The Russians are interested in you, Mr Gaus! For your violation of International Agreements! The only way, for you to save your head, is that you tell the truth. Or do you wish as the right hand of Ribbentrop to go to the gallows? You know the old German saying, 'Captured with him, hanged with him!' Who after Ribbentrop were the most guilty in the Foreign Office? Just say it; it serves no purpose, to spare these people."

Whereupon the terrified Gaus incriminated Ribbentrop as Kempner wished -- and thereby secured immunity! He was immediately released from solitary arrest and moved to the witness portion of the Nuremberg court. (See: "U.S. Anklager Kempner schwer belasted," Deutsche Wochenzeitung, Feb. 23, 1973).

Contradictory Evidence of German Witnesses Explained

Readers of our media may often have been perplexed by reports that some German defendants after the war had testified to enormous atrocities, and decades later, when on trial by the Germans themselves, contradicted their former testimony. The explanation is that the U.S. prosecutors, often Jews like Kempner, extorted false incriminating testimony by every kind of psychological torture, even when they did not ordinarily, like their lend-lease pals, the Soviet Russians, resort to bestial physical tortures. We cite as an instance the case of one Judge Hoffmann. In the desperate situation in which Germany found itself, it had to punish severely, often even with death, what to us seem minor thefts and black-marketeering. Hitler in *Table Talk*, explained this convincingly: "If in peacetime an 18-year-old youth grabs a woman's purse, I am not going to condemn him to death. Now we are in a war, we have blackouts, more women work... severe deterrents are necessary." (See: H.S. Ziegler, *Wer War Hitler?* Tuebingen, 1970, p.273-4). Jews naturally were no more privileged than Germans.

One Jew, named Katzenberger (proof incidentally that even in 1942 some Jews enjoyed relative freedom), was found guilty and was convicted by Judge Oswald Rothaug. An associate judge, one Dr. Hoffmann, concurred in the sentence with his signature. In 1946, the Morgenthau lynchers wanted a show case to suggest that the judges of the Third Reich discriminated against Jews. They induced Dr. Hoffmann to testify that the judgment he co-signed had been "legally invalid, inhuman, discriminatory." Thereupon he was set free, and Dr. Rothaug condemned and presumably hanged.

Decades Later Judge Hoffmann Recanted And Said Why

But lately, Bonn, itself smear-terrorized into continuing the prosecutions of alleged Nazi war crimes, revived the accusation against Dr. Hoffmann. Now he vigorously denied everything he had confessed to the U.S. extortionists in 1945. When asked, how come, he insisted that the Tribunal in 1946 had:

"...forced him either to serve as a crown witness by giving false testimony for the prosecution (against Rothaug) or else, like the victims of the prosecution to be also condemned to death." (See: *Deutsche National Zeitung*, Feb. 23, 1973).

That is how the U.S. prosecutors, who set out to "re-educate the German people," taught them how to blackmail lesser Germans into incriminating more important ones so they could hang them and besmirch the Third Reich! And plunge Germans into guilt feelings sufficient to subsidize Israel and World Jewry to the tune of twenty billions of dollars -- with no end in sight! Who of us, if confronted with a threatened death sentence would be heroic enough to refuse to incriminate a former superior or colleague?

Because of the Morgenthauistic extortion applied to German witnesses, their testimony must be suspect and may not be accepted without much corroboration. Hoettl's and Hoess' and Eichmann's statements on the question of Jews executed in the Third Reich had no corroboration whatsoever! As for the testimony of Jewish survivors of World War II, one, can say that, with the fewest heroic exceptions, it is peppered with falsehood and perjury! In the whole history of the world there has

never been so much concerted perjury and lying as in the persecutions and defamations of Third Reich Germans since World War II.

Eight Incontrovertible Assertions On The Six Million Swindle

One can summarize eight factors that controvert the figure of six million, which the media repeat *ad nauseam* without any evidence.

First, the Third Reich wanted to get Jews to emigrate, not to liquidate them physically. Had they intended extermination, 500,000 concentration camp survivors would not now be in Israel to collect fancy indemnities from West Germany.

Second, absolutely no Jews were "gassed" in any concentration camps in Germany, and evidence is piling up that none were gassed in Auschwitz. There were crematoria for cremating corpses who had died from whatever cause, including especially so the victims of the genocidic Anglo-American air raids.

Third, the majority of Jews who died in pogroms and those who disappeared and are still unaccounted for, fell afoul in territories controlled by the Soviet Russians, not in territories while under German control.

Fourth, most of the Jews alleged to have met their death at the hands of Germans were subversives, partisans, spies, and criminals, and also often victims of unfortunate but internationally legal reprisals. One reason for my denouncing the Nuremberg prosecutors as lynchers is that they hanged Germans on *ex post facto* rules of their own!

Fifth, if there were the slightest likelihood that the Nazis had in fact executed six million Jews, World Jewry would scream for subsidies with which to do research on the question, and Israel would throw its archives and files open to historians. They have not done so. On the contrary they have persecuted anyone who tries to investigate impartially and even call him an anti-Semite. This is really devastating evidence that the figure is a swindle.

Sixth, the Jews and the media who exploit this figure have never offered a shred of valid evidence for its truth. At most they misquote Hoettl, Hoess, and Eichmann who spoke only casualty of what they were in no position to know or to speak on reliably. Nor do the Jews themselves credit these witnesses as reliable even when they comment on what they could know, e.g., that the concentration camps were essentially work camps, not death camps!

Seventh, the burden of proof for the six million figure rests on the accusers, not the accused. This is a principle of all civilized law. Proving true guilt is easier than proving true innocence. It is hardly possible for a man accused of cheating on his wife to prove that he did not cheat on her. Therefore the accuser must prove his charge. This responsibility the Talmudists and Bolsheviks have not accepted, and the brow-beaten Germans have rather paid billions than to dare to demand proof!

Eighth, obvious evidence that the figure of six million has no scientific foundation is that Jewish scholars themselves present ridiculous discrepancies in their calculations.

And honest ones, whom we recognize by the fact that their co-racialists smear-terrorize them, and even beat them up, invariably lower the six million estimate by at least fifty per cent, to three million casualties from all causes, not those limited to Nazi executions.

Conclusions of A Jewish Scholar Who Was Persecuted Therefore

One the most distinguished honorable Jewish writers is Josef G. Burg (Ginsburg), now in Munich. Born in Czernowitz, Rumania, he survived a Nazi concentration camp, lived a year in Israel, and is now a bookbinder in Munich, where he wrote three books about National Socialism and Jews. They are: Schuld and Schicksal, 1962; Suendenboecke, 1967; and NS-Verbrechen: Prozesse, 1968. He is understandably critical of the Third Reich. Nevertheless he is honorable and heroic enough to try to be honest. His testimony in one post-war trial caused fifteen former SS-Men to be found "Not Guilty."

In his book, Suendenboecke -- Scapegoats, he insists that "Endloesung -- Final Solution" envisaged the emigration of Jews, not the "total liquidation of all Jews" (p.74). More importantly, he investigated the six million statistic thoroughly and honestly. He found it "a legend poisoning peaceful relations" (p.238). He comes to the conclusion that, even giving atrocity-mongers maximum rather than minimum figures, the highest "number of Jews that in the control of the Hitler regime were killed, lost their lives, or died could be no more than 3,323,000" (p.237).

Here an honest Jewish research slices almost three million from the six million swindle. And the three million lost include those who died naturally, were killed by Allied air raids, were executed as subversives and partisans, of whom one and a half million were fighting illegally against the Germans (p.238). International law regards partisans as armed assassins, who upon capture are subject to execution!

Burg comments cynically that while Jews whine about six million murdered, and collect a nice indemnity for them, Israel "...has at least one million, perhaps even two million, of these murdered victims very much alive here in the land, collaborating in the Israel re-construction" (p.233).

Josef Burg writes, "Today nobody believes any longer the six million figure. Neither the Jewish accusers, nor the Germans accusers, nor the Germans accused, nor world opinion." He says even Gideon Hausner, the fanatic Prosecutor of Eichmann in April 1961 in Jerusalem, avoided speaking of six million and confined himself only to "millions," which allowed plenty of variation (p.220). But Burg also insisted:

"All the statistics so far calculation by Jews and non-Jews will so long remain controversial, until World Jewry and Zionism will be in the position, and above all will be willing, to present to the public exact official statistics of losses." (p.238)

Twenty years since the end of the war, he writes, "...offered enough time to institute thorough investigations" (p.220). I insist that they have not done this because they knew research would demolish the six million swindle. Burg says the neglect to

investigate is due to the fact that "...from six million dead, one can extract for more reparations than from, let's say 6,000" (p.223).

For his own honest researches and truthfulness, Josef Burg was not honored in Israel. A few years ago, at a dinner with him in Munich, a slight, full-bearded, rabbi-like little man, he related some of the persecutions he has been exposed to by his co-racialists. He was expelled from the Jewish Culture Society of Munich. And once, bringing flowers to his wife's grave, Jewish rowdies physically beat him up. And a Hebrew paper commented, "The thrashing he got, this fellow entirely deserved!"

Other Statistics, By The Convert, Pater Daniel Rufeisen

Another honest Jew, Oswald, later Pater Daniel Rufeisen, also slices the figure of six million in half -- for all Jewish casualties of whatever cause. Josef Burg (in Suendenboecke) gives us in details. Rufeisen was an orthodox Galician Jew. Looking like an Aryan he offered his services to the Germans during the occupation. They made him Chief of Police in a town having collection depots for Jews. His secret purpose was to help as many to escape as he could. He helped hundreds before a Jew recognized him as a Jew and denounced him to the SS. They sentenced him to die as a spy. He escaped and found refuge in a Catholic Convent in White Russia. He became a partisan. After the war, having experienced Catholic charity, he became a Catholic Camelite monk, Pater Daniel Rufeisen. As such he went to Israel and claimed Israeli citizenship. But the "democratic" state of Israel declared him no longer a Jew!

The converted Rufeisen exemplified Martin Buber's principle, which is Jewish politicians and publicists would imitate, would go a long way to dissolve what they call anti-Semitism. Buber said that he did not fight even against anti-Semitism: "One must present the truth accurately, and then everything will follow by itself" (See: Norbert Muhlen, *The Survivors*, 1962, p.161). According to the *Neue Juedische Zeitung*, June 4, 1963, one U.S. journalist, J. Schmulewitvitch, unhappy about Rufeisen's conversion, in an interview, asked: "How could you accept the Faith of a world that permitted that one third of our people were slaughtered?" Rufeisen noted the Jewish tendency to want to blame all Christianity, not only the Nazis, for what happened to Jews, and he objected.

He replied that in 1939 there were only 16,000,000 Jews in the world, a third would amount to little more than five million. But since there were after the war thirteen million Jews around, a third could only be a little more than four million. After the interview he set out to investigate the matter of the six million. In 1963, he had calculated "the number of Jewish victims of World War II as about 3.1 million" (See: Burg, *op.cit.*, p.237). And this figure, cutting the six million in half, we note is so worded as to cover both casualties in the Third Reich and in the Soviet Union and elsewhere!

A Christian American Jew Also Finds 6 Million Figure "A Big Lie"

In a similar calculation, perhaps the best known American Christianized Jew, Dr. Benjamin H. Freedman, also found the six million a figure so exaggerated as to amount to a deliberate swindle. In a statement of his, dated October, 1966, he exposed

the juggling of figures of Jews in the world, in order to try to validate the figure of six million. On February 22, 1948, probably unconscious of the implications, and therefore objective, Hanson Baldwin and the New York Times, after a world-wide census, reported that "there were between 18,000,000 and 19,000,000 Jews in the world."

The figure "...created a panic among Zionists." So the American Jewish Committee and the Synagogue Council of America proclaimed, without evidence, that in 1945 there were only "10,000,000 so-called Jews in the world." Now, they or Baldwin and the New York Times were right, as Freedman believes; if so, it convicts the "6,000,000 murdered" as a "big lie," and must not be accepted.

Jews Contradicting One Another On The Figure

A certain proof that the figure of six million is, if not a calculated lie and blackmail, an irresponsible, unsubstantiated guess; is that Jews of scholarly pretensions vary enormously in their statistics. They also differ on how and when -- and of course totally omit the all-important why -- Jews were executed. Most say "gassed," others say "pickled in lime," others say "cremated," still others will have them starved, hanged, or shot.

In February, 1948, one Congressman, George G. Sadowski, of Michigan, dogmatized in an apoplectic frenzy (opposing the Marshal plan):

"That 10,000,000 Jews were killed, tortured, placed in slave labor camps ...that all means nothing." (Congr. Record, Feb. 23, 1948) And he calls Catholic and Lutheran Germany "a spawning ground for lust, for conquest." In other words, this Morgenthauistic genocidist is not merely a monstrous liar against Nazi Germany but against Christian Germany! And the figure he tries to foist upon the American people is ten million!

Ben Hecht Spews Hatred -- And Falsehood

Slicing off a full four million, Ben Hecht, who gloated "My hatred is, Jewish hatred," and complains that his ancestors, had not hacked Jesus up and fed him to the lions, so that the symbol of the cross could not have been revered, apoplexied over WABC-TV (October 22, 1958):

"...the murder of 6,000,000 men, women, and children of all ages, that murder was performed by the German people, not by the Nazis."

Notice again, this Jew does not just want to incriminate dead Nazis, but live Christians. The Talmudic savage elaborated:

"...grabbing 2,000,000 women from youth to old age, raping them by the thousands, stripping them of their clothes, putting them into lime kilns, and letting the lime eat them up alive."

That the Nazis grabbed two million women is a lie, that they raped them is such a shamefaced lie that anyone pronouncing it ought to choke on a wish-bone and die like a rat! The Germans did not rape women, even less than our American troops. It was

our lend-lease pals, the Soviet Russians who raped, often ten, twenty times until they were dead, more women than were ever raped in the history of the world. And "putting them in lime kilns" is another fanciful invention and a lie -- which not even his fellow revenge hounds repeat.

Shirer Has The Jews "Slaughtered," but Only Four Million

Now comes the most widely read of the Jewish Germanophobes, William L. Shirer. In his *Rise and Fall Of The Third Reich* (1245 pages, 1960), he misrepresents the Nazi "Office of Jewish Emigration," as having become "eventually an agency not of emigration but of extermination." Then he accuses it of "the slaughter of more than four million persons, mostly Jews" (p.351). Note the discrepancy in relation to Hecht and Sadowski. Where such discrepancies exist, the figure of six million is simply a guess and swindle.

Shirer himself testifies to the discrepancies. At one point he asks himself how many Jews the "final solution" massacred, and replies:

"The figure given in the Nuremberg indictment was 5,700,000, and it tallied with the calculations of the World Jewry Congress. (Gerald) Reitlinger in his prodigious study of *The Final Solution* (N.Y., 1953) concluded that the figure was somewhat less -- between 4,194,000 and 4,481,000." (Shirer, p.978)

Other Deflation Of The Six Million Myth

My main purpose is not to establish exact statistics, which cannot be done, as Josef Burg explained, before Israel throws open its material, but definitely to explode the six million number. For many, a very convincing testimony for those with leftist leanings comes from the Red German Democratic Report (East Berlin, March 18, 1966):

"...reputable historians in many countries have been unable to agree upon how many millions of Jews were killed by the Nazis. Eichmann gave the figure of six million; more recent research indicates that it may have been nearer five million."

As we noted above, Eichmann never said six million Jews were killed by the Nazis. Hoess and Hoettl, trying to save their heads by incriminating their superior, claimed to have heard Eichmann casually say something like that, which even then was only five million "enemies of the Reich," not Jews.

Another significant argument against the inflated six million figure is that the Jewish center, Yad Vashen -- The Martyrs Foundation, interested in confirming the six million -- confesses that after twenty-seven years of trying, it has been able to document only "2.5 million Nazi victims":

"Twenty-seven years after the end of World War II, the Jews are still counting and identifying their dead from the Nazi holocaust... More than 2.5 million dead have been documented... It will be impossible to reach the six million figure... But, I hope we can get to four million." (The Sun, Colorado Springs, Colorado, Jan. 30, 1973)

If in twenty-seven years they could not document six million dead, not even four million, it is simply because there are not that many. Even their 2.5 million figure, if limited to whom the Nazis executed, is a lie and a swindle. How the Talmudists guess and inflate, and respect everything Germanophobic, except the truth! One Walter Abeles (Tampa Tribune, March 27, 1970) asserts that the "Zentrale Suchstelle, in Arosen, Germany, has a list of names, addresses of over 5,000,000 people, all Jewish, killed by the Nazis." If this Search Central has all that, how come the Yad Vashen does not have it? In short, on the matter of the six million, Jewish sources do their best to confirm Christ's Words, "You are the children of Satan, the father of lies." The Menorah Journal (Spring, 1946) cynically commented:

"As reported during the war years by Jewish news agencies, the number of Jews killed in Europe totals several million more than the Nazis ever knew existed."

Lies And Exaggerations About Concentration Camps -- Dachau

The anti-German atrocity mongers have had a heyday with German concentration camps. Eisenhower's "Crusaders" captured the first one, Dachau, in April 1945. Eisenhower, in a non-publicized war crime, unceremoniously had the 300 guards shot. The Morgenthauists then built gas ovens to convince tourists that the Germans had "gassed" millions of inmates. In the summer of 1949 I had an interview with a most prominent survivor of Dachau, Coadjutor Bishop Neuhaeusler of Munich. To my shock, he insisted that there had been no gas chambers. The talk of millions he dismissed as a monstrous lie.

Soon the media also felt it had to reduce the millions and settled upon 238,000 "gassed." What proved to be the truth? Official records show that of these, 20,600 died, not by gassing, nor hanging, but from natural and other causes! That is how reliable the Jewish-controlled media have been on German concentration camps.

After similar shrinkage of alleged casualties had to be applied to the other concentration camps on German territory, the only ones Westerners could inspect, the Talmudic atrocity mongers zeroed in on Auschwitz. For it, the Soviet Russians prohibited inspection, so that both they and the eye-for-an-eye brood could have a heyday alleging and inflating its casualties. With arrogance and dogmatism that makes the doctrine of Papal Infallibility seem a display of modesty they pontificate in a range of from 2,500,000 to 4,000,000. Naturally with no effort to present evidence. One Benedikt Kautsky with scholarly pretensions claimed that "at least 3,500,000 were gassed at Auschwitz" (Teufel und Verdamnte, Zurich, 1946, p.275). Yet another Jew, Gerald Reitlinger, in his *The SS: The Alibi of A Nation* (p.268), found "that the total of all internees registered at Auschwitz was only 363,000 and by no means all of these were Jews" (statement in *Myth of The Six Million*, p.77). The fact that Jewish publicists cannot even approximately agree on figures should convince anyone that they are merely guessing and inventing, and smear-terrorizing. It is probable that the truth about Auschwitz will eventually resemble that of Dachau.

The Truth About Auschwitz Is Beginning To Emerge

But since it is their last concentration camp with which to give the swindle of the six million a semblance of plausibility, the Talmudists cling with a psychopathic frenzy to the bloated statistics of Auschwitz. The latest demonstration of this is furnished by Simon Wiesenthal of Vienna, self-appointed bloodhound for tracking down alleged Nazi war criminals. In March 1973 the Deutsche Buerger Initiative (614 Bensheim, Roonstr. 8, near Frankfurt) published a pamphlet, edited by Attorney Manfred Roeder, entitled, "Die Auschwitz Luege -- The Auschwitz Lie" (40 pages). It is an eyewitness account of Thies Christophersen, an attendant in Auschwitz from January 1944 to December 1944 -- one year. He insists that there were no gas chambers in Auschwitz and quotes Dr. Benedik Kautsky, referred to above, an internee for three years, as follows:

"I was in the large concentration camps in Germany. But I have to testify in truth to the fact that in no camp did I ever see any gas chambers."

Christophersen also states and approves the findings of the "Canadian Anti-Defamation Committee of Christian Laymen," namely, that:

"In the twelve years of Hitler's rule (1933-45) 200,000 Jews died, of any and all causes, through killing, executions of partisans and saboteurs, through bombs that fell on camps, but also through natural causes and aging."

Personally, the figure of 200,000 casualties of Jews from all causes in the Third Reich seems to me to be about accurate.

How Wiesenthal Forced Bonn To Restrict Roeder's Freedom Of The Press!

Though the pamphlet is a calm factual refutation of the six million figure and of variations from 2,500,000 to 4,000,000 for Auschwitz, it aroused the Talmudists to a rage. Simon Wiesenthal had the impudence to fire off a letter the German Judiciary (Rechtsonwaltskammer), inclosing the Roeder pamphlet, and demanded that West Germany ban the pamphlet as inflammatory and offensive to the six million murdered Jews! That illustrates how Jews fear the truth, how they block honest investigation of the six million swindle, and how they try to destroy freedom of the press whenever its truth offends their interests and prejudices! (See: Wiesenthal's letter, dated May 10, 1973). And of course, harassed and smear-terrorized Bonn immediately knuckled under Wiesenthal's arrogant pressure tactics. But happily, Attorney Manfred Roeder insists on a public trial to test whether, as in our famous Colonial Peter Zenger trial, the government can irresponsibly prohibit the publication of the truth whenever some foreign Jew demands it!

German Historians Regarding the Six Million Statistic

In general, German publicists and researchers have been afraid to come to grips with this problem, justified by innumerable instances of persecutions, illustrated by Wiesenthal's Tactics. Worse still, they are harassed by pro-Jewish governments and denied access to documents. Nevertheless, German scholars are as competent and accurate as any in the world. When any of them touch on this "hot" topic, they first apologetically proclaim that of course killing one Jew is an enormous atrocity (they

sound as if killing one Jew is worse than killing three million East Germans, or a hundred thousand Palestinians), that they do not want to minimize the horrible German (collective) guilt, that they only want to re-establish reliable statistics.

Here we want to insert that numbers do matter. When millions are killed one may presume that most of them were innocent. When a few thousand are killed, one can equally presume that they were subversives and partisans. If killing one Jew is as horrendous as killing a million, then the U.S. becomes as guilty as allegedly the Third Reich, for the U.S. executed two Rosenbergs! I have yet to meet a Talmudist who to this day does not consider the two murdered!

What responsible German historians have dared investigate Jewish casualties concluded that the figure of six million is a brazen fabrication. And they tend to place Jewish casualties in the Third Reich, from any and all causes, as at most 1,500,000 and those executed for good or bad reasons at no more than some 200,000.

Some Specific German Findings

In 1966, one Alfred Wiegand implored Bonn to produce reliable statistics. Bonn answered that the lack of documents makes this impossible. It added that the figure of 5,731,000, mentioned at Nuremberg, did not mean Jews killed but Jews missing at that time, that the International Search Service (ITS) in Arolsen/Waldeck, subsidiary of the International Committee of the Red Cross, can establish only 238,000 deaths. Wiegand replied as follows (November 21, 1966):

Apparently the UNO and the Red Cross have some years ago calculated the number of regrettable casualties as between 250,000 and 300,000. The researches of the one-time concentration camp inmate, Dr. Paul Rassinier, calculated the number of Jews killed during World War II, based on American and Israeli immigration figures, "to be one million." (See: Deutsche National Zeitung, "Six Million und die Wahrheit," June 14, 1968).

But Bonn is too afraid of the anti-Semitic smear to try to set the record straight! It remembers Dr. Adenauer's describing the frightful danger of incurring Jewish hate! Also, that this hatred got the Son of God crucified!

And so we have only some courageous individual German exposures of the myth. In March 1966, the Anti-Defamation League Bulletin nastily attacked a German paper "...for urging a study of the number of murdered Jews in order that the long-refuted six million figure can be banished." And Newsweek (February 2, 1959) reports the rage of the Zionists and Reds because a German court claimed incompetence to imprison a German who had denounced the figure of six million "as an immense lie."

In 1961 the late editor of Nation Europa (Coburg), Artur Ehrhardt, submitted to the German Attorney General, Dietrich Zeug, in Ludwigsburg, his calculation that "as a maximum only one million to a million and a half Jews died during the time of the Third Reich" from any and all causes -- plagues, hunger, bombing, in short, through war-induced evils, fewest through executions." He estimated that "the number of innocent Jews killed as reprisals and otherwise can at most be 350,000" (See: Emil

Aretz, Hexen Einmal Eins, einer Luege, Hohewarte, 1973, p.26). For publishing this objective calculation, the Talmudists pressured the German Judiciary to arrest Ehrhardt for spreading defamations against the Jews. Ehrhardt, however, insisted on having his calculations submitted to research. As a result, the action, after a few months, was quietly withdrawn. The highly reliable Deutsche Wochenzeitung (Rosenheim, Oct. 5, 1973) considers Ehrhardt's figures the most reliable so far, and regards the government's withdrawal of its suit as tacit confirmation. And so do I, the author of this pamphlet.

Other German Findings

In "The Lie Of The Six Million," the important independent Deutsche National Zeitung (Munich, Jan. 21, 1966) asks plausibly, if six million Jews were murdered, how come that up to June 30, 1965, a total of 3,374,500 were very much alive and demanded indemnities from Bonn for alleged harm suffered from the Third Reich? It also asks, where, if the Nazis killed six million, all the millions of Jews who after the war emigrated from Europe to Israel, and to America, had come from? The number of surviving Jews, over three million, registered for reparations is one of the most incontrovertible evidences that six million were not executed.

An important German post-war historian, the late Dr. Peter Kleist, intelligence officer during the war for Eastern Affairs, wrote:

"The sober figures indicate, that the mass killing could only have included tens of thousands, at most a hundred thousand of Jews. Statistics of millions... belong in the realm of legend and propaganda." (See: Emil Aretz, p.392)

Author Emil Aretz (p.36) concludes that if the number should really be as high as 300,000, which he seriously doubts, it would be unbearably criminal and tragic enough, without an inflation to six million. That is how most Germans feel.

Another top German historian, Erich Kern, an S.S. officer on the Eastern Front (one of his several books, *Der Grosse Rausch*, 1948, was published by Scribners as *Dance of Death*, 1951, 256 pages) in his article, "Internationales Rote Kreuz weigert sich Zahlen zu nennen -- Red Cross refuses to give figures" (*Deutsche Wochenzeitung*, Jan. 13, 1967) wrote:

"Impossibly more than 1,500,000 Jews could have died under German occupation -- through pogroms by the East Europeans, in partisan warfare, through plagues, hunger, and cold -- and also in part through criminal policies... The number of these especially regrettable casualties can at most be estimated at 350,000."

In short, German investigators find that, not six million, but absolutely fewer than half a million Jews died of any Nazi action. One can confidently assert that more civilian men, women, and children were killed in a few hours in the criminal area bombing of Dresden, Hiroshima, and Nagasaki than Jews died of Nazi policies.

Why The Six Million Myth Must Be Exploded

The swindle of the six million must be exposed and exploded, not only because it is used to blackmail astronomical indemnities out of the Christian Germans, but because the Talmudists and Communists also use it to defame all German Christians, the Vatican, Christians generally and even Christian America. They use this swindle to make all Christianity feel guilty. Globe Magazine (May 27, 1967) carried an article "Beyond The Deputy's Silence," which begins, "You don't have to be a Christian to shove Jews into the gas chambers but it helps."

The Article continues:

"How could Christian Germany -- and Christian civilization, one billion strong -- have allowed the horrors to go on, year after year for 12 years, until for 6 million Jews it was too late?"

It goes on that Pope Pius was "leading the greatest of Christian churches, while Catholics, not the Nazis, were murdering 6 million Jews." Note, we Christians, not Nazis are blamed! Another quotation:

"And thus 6 million Jews were slaughtered in cold blood during the peak of Christian civilization, by baptized Christians, in a Christian country full of ancient churches and cathedrals -- and the slaughter was tolerated by a world imbued with 2,000 years of the Christian ethic."

Trying to impute the fraudulent six million casualty, not so much to National Socialism, but to Christianity, is a constant, if often disguised ploy of the Talmudists. The reason is twofold: first, Jews hate Christianity because of its testimony to Christ; secondly, they cannot squeeze reparations out of dead Nazis but they can out of live Christians, if they inject them sufficiently with guilt feelings.

U.S. Guilt Feeling Supplied Israel With Arms Without a Quid pro Quo

A secret, unacknowledged guilt feeling caused our government to supply Israel in the Arab-Israel War of 1973 with arms to slaughter Arabs without the *quid pro quo* of first requiring Israel to return to the 1967 boundaries, and so plunged us and Europe and Japan into a fuel crisis. Our arms delivery was a crime and really an act of aggression against the Arabs -- due entirely to Jewish pressure. The talk about Israel being an important bastion of U.S. security is hogwash and hypocrisy. Israel is a millstone about America's neck and we and Germany are its feed-trough.

And if any politician questions our one-sided military support of Israel, a dozen Talmudic media scream, "What about the six million Jews gassed? Would you rather have Arab oil than dishonor their memory!" Whereupon our politicians knuckle under and jump in to slaughter Arabs who rightfully want their land back.

No, the figure of six million is a brazen swindle. It must be refuted, exposed, and abhorred -- not only for the good of the Germans, but for the good of all of us, even for the vindictive Jews. A lie and a swindle in the long run hurt everybody!

[From the *Journal of Historical Review*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 43-58.]

The "Holocaust" Put in Perspective

I am highly gratified-and I am sure all the other speakers are too-that the Institute for Historical Review had the inspiration and the courage to organize this 1979 Revisionist Convention. It is badly needed and long overdue.

Every major war is conducted on tidal waves of propaganda, fair and foul. World War II, because it involved almost the whole world, and because the victors insisted on Unconditional Surrender, and because their side included the two most vengeful and vindictive ideologies in the world, Bolshevism and Zionism, also was guilty of the most shameless and unscrupulous propaganda so far on record. In part because of the never-forget-never-forgive mentality, World War II Allied atrocity propaganda has not ebbed down but kept in high tide, as with the recent phony documentary the NBC-TV *Holocaust*.

Therefore Historical Revisionism is more important than after any other war: the more atrocity- and hate-mongering vitiate the terms of peace, as at Yalta and Potsdam, the more Revisionism is needed to heal the wounds. The Institute for Historical Review does a vital service both to historical scholarship and also to basic values.

Since 1946, when mostly from small back-page items in brave little publications, I soon was sickened by mountains of evidence of the bestialities of the victors, especially the Soviet-Russians. In anger I published *Ravishing the Women of Conquered Europe*. The subtitle was: "The Big Three Liberators at Work Having a Wonderful Time Raping and Debauching the Women of Germany, Austria and Hungary; Re-Educating Them to Become Good Christians." I followed this with *History's Most Terrifying Peace*. I got thousands and thousands of grateful letters. But I also discovered what hatred and recriminations historical truth provokes among the vindictive vipers in public affairs and in the press!

For me it feels good after thirteen years to see California again. In June 1966 I spoke at the San Diego Mann Jr. High School on "Police Brutality a Phony Cry." But even farther back, in 1923-24 I spent a year in San Francisco, which climaxed with my taking a national scholarship examination (at St. Mary's College). What I won was a Knights of Columbus four-year full Fellowship to the Catholic University of America, in D.C., a milestone in my career. Being here today unrolls the kaleidoscope of a lifetime before me.

It was a lifetime during which I was ever painfully conscious of the ugly lies about the world wars, which sabotaged the ideals expressed in the Fourteen Points and the Atlantic Charter. I am sorry to conclude that American foreign policy has never been consistently wise or fair; and, if anything, it is even now getting worse rather than better. General Douglas MacArthur in 1952 (*U.S. News*, 18 July 1952) said:

"Foreign policy has been as tragically in error as has domestic policy. We practically invited Soviet dominion over the free peoples of Eastern Europe. ... permitting the advance of the Soviet forces to the West to plant the red flag of Communism on the ramparts of Berlin, Vienna and Prague, capitals of Western civilization."

In a similar vein former President Herbert Hoover said,

"The souls of one quarter of mankind have been seared by the violation of that American promise [namely, Wilson's Fourteen Points and Roosevelt's Atlantic Charter]. The ghosts of the Four Freedoms and the Atlantic Charter now wander amid the clanking chains of a thousand slave camps." (*U.S. News*, 18 July 1952)

The tragic fact is that America, far from having made the world safe for democracy and self-determination, got into and won the war by spreading so much hatred and atrocity propaganda about the Germans that at the end the leaders and the people wanted, not justice, but vengeance and reparations. They wanted kangaroo war crimes trials for the losers. In place of self-determination, the victors dismembered Germany and Austria, tore provinces away and totally robbed and expelled the inhabitants - twelve million of them - shipped her factories to Soviet Russia, instituted *ex post facto* laws and trials to hang Germans. While having from the beginning declared Allied war criminals, including Jews, untouchable, the Israelis and Bolsheviks have bludgeoned West Germany to keep persecuting so-called Nazis even to the present day. This June for the third time, under the leadership of Simon Wiesenthal, and in disregard of the democratic rights of the German people, the Bonn Parliament revoked for another spell of years the Statute of Limitations.

Recently President Carter said that American "causes were always just." William F. Buckley (*Star*, 19 July 1979) commented that,

"There was very little justice in the Mexican war, in the Spanish-American war, or in the seizure of Vera Cruz. ... our intervention in Vietnam, rather than our failure to consummate our mission there, was the unjust thing."

The unhappy mission of Revisionists will have to be to show that we got unjustly into both world wars against Germany, and, to our everlasting shame and sorrow, did probably more harm than any nation ever did before - in that the U.S., and only the U.S., had the means to lend-lease Soviet-Russia into Berlin - into the heart of Western Europe.

Anglo-American propaganda has managed to represent the Entente or the Allies as the "good guys" and the Germans and the Axis as the "bad guys." This is to fool the people and foul up the peace. The intrinsic reason America intervened in European wars to destroy Germany was not ethics but power politics. When America saw that Germany was clearly the strongest nation in Europe, the U.S. began to side with the second-strongest there, Britain.

But the American people preferred neutrality. Therefore they had to be exposed to horrendous atrocity propaganda, such as that the Kaiser wanted to rule the world, that Germans cut the hands off Belgian babies, that submarine warfare made all Germans criminals. Even so, a third factor had to be mobilized to grease America's entry into World War I. That factor was the Balfour Declaration.

Jews had for centuries been best treated by Germany and Austria and felt most congenial there, even to adopting Yiddish as their language. Consequently for the first two years of World War I American Jews were sympathetic to the Central Powers, and certainly against Czarist Russia. The British War Cabinet, in the face of German victories, decided to change the "very pro-German tendency among the wealthy American Jewish bankers and bond issuing houses" (See Conrad Grieb, *The Balfour Declaration*, N.Y., 1972, p. 3). The Zionist *quid pro quo* was for Britain to establish "a national home for the Jewish people in Palestine" and the Zionists to get America into the war on the side of Britain. The Balfour Declaration followed, dated 2 November 1917.

Perverting the American Jews from neutrality to intervention against the Central Powers had been pushed for a year or more - with success. What helped to make moralistic Wilson a rabid interventionist was the illicit affair he had had with a colleague's wife, Mrs. Peck (remarried, Mrs. Hulburt). Her stepson needed \$40,000 to keep him out of jail. The stepmother asked President Wilson for the money, in exchange for which she would return to him the packet of love letters he had written her. When Wilson could not pay this amount, Samuel Untermyer rushed to the rescue: If President Wilson would appoint a Jew to the next vacancy on the Supreme Court, Untermyer would settle Mrs. Peck's claim. Thus it happened that America was "blessed" with its first Jew on the Supreme Bench, and the interventionists on 18 January 1916 got a radical Zionist in a prestige position to help get America into World War 1.

On 2 April 1917, using as a pretext the sinking of the Sussex (which in fact had not been sunk), Wilson asked Congress on 2 April 1917, for a declaration of war against Germany. Dr. E.J. Dillon, in his *The Inside Story of the Peace Conference*, wrote, "Henceforth the world will be governed by the Anglo-Saxon peoples, who in turn are swayed by their Jewish elements" (See Grieb. *op. cit.*, p.7).

The Balfour Declaration sowed discord between the Germanic and the Jewish people, which in very fact led to World War II; to a Morgenthauistic and Bolshevik conclusion; to the expulsion by the Zionists of the Palestinians; and the sort of continuing friction which could bring about the Third World War. In this, all symptoms point to American's being again involved, not on the side of justice and the Palestinians, but as in World War II on the side of the Jews. If Soviet Russia were then to help the Arabs, the lines for it would be drawn - with America once again, as in World War II, crusading on the wrong side.

During the Weimar Republic German Jews did not talk or act like patriotic Germans. They were nihilistic, they denigrated the Wehrmacht; Walter Mehring called the Stahlhelm dirt (Dreck), Kurt Tucholsky called German volunteers of 1914 victims of mass drunkenness, Arnold Zweig called the German people a nation of murderers and vote cattle. On my first visit to Germany in 1931 I was shocked by this Jewish pejorativeness. When during the Vietnam War I read the American press, the *Washington Post* and *New York Times* and most of the rest, I recalled the similarity.

When Hitler became Chancellor his Third Reich government was the victim of every possible worldwide resistance and smear. It was an indiscriminate opposition on the

part of world Jewry, not only where Hitler was or might have been wrong, but also where he was obviously right, as when he demanded the self-determination for Austria, the Sudetenland, and Danzig which the victors in 1919 had denied. As early as 1933, before Hitler had harmed a single Jew, an International Jewish Boycott Conference, presided over by Samuel Untermyer, the same who had paid Wilson \$40,000 to appoint Brandeis Supreme Court justice in 1916, declared a crippling boycott on the Third Reich, while it was still in the throes of the inhuman reparations imposed at Versailles.

The boycott included not only the United States but some eight or more other countries. Simultaneously the anti-German propaganda of World War I was revived. And be it noted the International Jewish Boycott did not exempt the Jews of Germany from this hostile action. Nor did it keep it merely a Jewish action, but succeeded in pressuring the United States to cooperate with it: it imposed a general tariff against German goods as against the "most favored" status for all other nations, while International Financial interests tried to "call" sufficient German treasury notes to "break" Germany (see John Beaty, *The Iron Curtain Over America*, 1951, p. 63). The fact is that U.S. foreign policy from 1933 on was directed more to further Zionist interests rather than those of the U.S. or of the American people.

At Versailles the peace dictators had violated the right of self-determination of Austria, of the Sudeten Germans, and of the Corridor and Danzig. Germany had the right and the duty to champion this right for these people. Hitler did this, and was on the point of settling for a road through the Corridor and the return of Danzig, an ancient German city of 400,000.

What honest historians call the Unnecessary War broke out over this last injustice of Versailles, the worst and most costly war in history. Why did Poland refuse to negotiate? Because Britain guaranteed to go to war for Poland. Why did Britain give this foolish and tragic promise? Ambassador Joseph Kennedy, as related in the *Forrestal Diaries*, 27 Dec. 1945, reveals that the war broke out over Roosevelt's catering to Zionist interests, not to America's, nor even Britain's. We read:

"Neither the French nor the British would have made Poland a cause of war if it had not been for the constant needling from Washington ... Chamberlain, he says, stated that America and the world Jews had forced England into war."

Even worse, though the American people overwhelmingly wanted us to avoid the stupidity of intervention against Germany as in World War I, the same forces, Roosevelt and the Zionists, used every strategy to involve us. The insults and calumnies Zionist publicists hurled at Hitler, while the U.S. was still neutral, and before anyone had invented the atrocity story of the six million Jews "gassed", might have provoked any sovereign nation to hit back. Dr. Harry Elmer Barnes wrote that there is

"No greater paradox in history than a war in behalf of Poland on the basis of the Jewish issue. There were in Poland, in 1933, six times as many Jews as in Germany, and they were surely treated as badly as were German Jews under Hitler." (See *Blasting the Historical Blackout*, p.35)

Nevertheless, before there was any mention of a so-called "Holocaust," and while America was still neutral, American Zionists, with the approval of the media, produced the most mass genocidal book in history: Theodore N. Kaufman in *Germany Must Perish* (Argyle Press, Newark, 1941) literally urged the sterilization of 48,000,000 German men and women of childbearing age, so that, he explained, Germanism will be extirpated in two generations.

Once, as Clare Booth Luce said, Roosevelt had lied America into the war by the back door - by provoking the Japanese attack on Pearl Harbor - the same Rooseveltians and Zionists immediately started not only to propagandize for Unconditional Victory but for destroying Germany forever. The propaganda thrust was not for achieving a durable peace soon, but for permanent Unconditional Hatred. Among the most bestial peace plans in history ranks that of the Secretary of the Treasury, Henry Morgenthau Jr., assisted by a parcel of Zionists, chief of whom was Harry Dexter White, later exposed as a Communist spy. Morgenthau without a blush of shame for his monumental atrocity wrote *Germany Is Our Problem* (Harper, NY, 1945). It describes the Morgenthau Plan for the pastoralization of Germany which Morgenthau presented to Roosevelt and Churchill at the Quebec Conference in 1944. Germany was to lose most of its territory, all of its manufacturing facilities, and live by farming but without machinery. The mines of the Ruhr were to be destroyed, its five million Germans deported - Morgenthau said he did not care how they would be taken care of. Even harsh peace advocates like Cordell Hull and H.L. Stimson had enough humanity left in them to be appalled. They protested that the plan would starve thirty million Germans to death. Yet Roosevelt and Churchill, who had so piously proclaimed the Atlantic Charter, approved this most murderous peace plan in history. During the last year of the war, with victory visible, Jewish publicists demanded a Morgenthau peace, did not urge what was 'good for Europe and America', but what ministered to the vindictiveness and eye-for-an-eyeism of Zionists. Richard M. Bruckner wrote *Is Germany Incurable?* (Philadelphia, 1943); Dr. L.M. Birk, head, Director of Friends of Democracy, demanded that "Germany should be removed from the map;" and Louis Nizer in *What to Do with Germany*, published in 1944, urged that "150,000 German leaders should be tried and sentenced up to life." In the meanwhile Stalin's Jewish propaganda minister, Ilya Ehrenburg, inflamed the Bolshevik invaders of Germany to

"Kill. In Germany, nothing is guiltless. Neither the living nor the yet unborn ... Ravish them (the German women) as booty. Kill, you gallant Red soldiers."

When Jewish publicists urged vengeance on the Germans, they served the vindictiveness of the Zionists and the barbarous expansionism of Soviet Russia, not the good of the West Europeans and Americans. Even Stalin, when peace was in sight, restrained Ehrenburg's hate propaganda as a hindrance to making peace.

In past wars, when the enemy surrendered, the atrocity propaganda ebbed off. But after World War II this propaganda intensified after Unconditional Surrender. We ask why. For whose benefit? A few days after Surrender, Prof. Friedrich Grimm was interviewed by (who unknown to him) was Sefton Delmer, the British War Propaganda Chief, who boasted, "I am of the Central Office you talked about: Atrocity propaganda - and with it we won the total victory." When Dr. Grimm said, "I know, and now you must stop it!" Sefton Delmer retorted:

"No, now we shall start all the more! We shall continue this atrocity propaganda, we shall intensify it, until nobody shall accept a good word from Germans anymore, until all the sympathy you had in other countries shall be destroyed, and until the Germans themselves shall be so confused that they do not know anymore what they are doing!" (Quoted from Udo Walendy's *The Methods of Reeducation*, p. 8)

Here is revealed the strategy of infamy of the self-proclaimed crusaders for world peace and brotherhood. The deluge of atrocity propaganda against Germany during and after the war triggered history's most terrifying peace, and left a legacy of injustices which the U.S. is morally bound to try to correct. The worst of these is the monumental expulsion of fourteen million Oder-Neisse and Sudeten Germans, killing nearly three million of them, raping many of their women, and now letting Soviet Russia, and Poland, and Czechoslovakia claim those ancient German lands.

Worse psychologically, and unique in history, is the reeducation and the monstrously clever creation of a German government that toadies to international, Zionistic interests. Harry Elmer Barnes, commenting on Prof. Hoggan's visit to West Germany in April-May, 1964, and his hasty reception by Bonn and the media, wrote,

"The German situation in 1964 is a case of fantastic political masochism without parallel in human history. I know of no other instance in history where a people have almost frantically sought to cast the dark shadow of guilt upon themselves for a public crime they did not commit - exclusive responsibility for the second world war. ... in 1964, those who sought the truth about 1939 were being vilified and even exposed to prosecution as public criminals by the Bonn Government." (Unpublished manuscript, Malibu, Calif. 1 July 1964)

What has limited true German autonomy; what has kept Washington and London from agitating to get the Wall out of Berlin and the Iron Curtain out of Central Europe; what has kept Washington from ever alluding to the human rights of the seventeen million Germans of the German Democratic Republic; what has, if you will, kept Washington from insisting that Rudolf Hess be freed from Spandau before another bushel of wheat be sold to Soviet Russia, is in the final analysis the Zionist and Communist agitation about the Third Reich's alleged extermination of Jews.

The simple truth is that U.S. Foreign Policy has since Roosevelt's Lend-Lease been essentially more in the interests of Israel than of America, or the good of mankind. Now and then someone lets the cat out of the bag. J. Bernard Hutton, in *Hess: the Last of the Third Reich's Imprisoned Leaders* (MacMillan, NY, 1970, p. 180), commented:

"At Nuernberg, all the crimes of the Nazi leaders, and of the Allies, faded into insignificance beside this one shocking crime of racial persecution and annihilation. And this was what the Nuernberg Trial was about - it was for the crime against the Jews that the Nazi leaders were punished."

Here the stark and horrid truth of American Foreign Policy is expressed. Hutton, poor brainwashed fellow (like most other publicists), believed the legend of the six million. He writes, "Millions of Jews were rounded up ... driven to prepared killing centers where they were gassed ..."

Because the atrocity story that the Third Reich exterminated six million Jews has been the root cause of the most monstrous peace treaty in history, because it continues to blackmail billions of unjust reparations to the promoters of the atrocity story, and because it continues to generate hatred and lies and perjuries, it must have the top priority of Revisionism. A few courageous historians like Prof. Paul Rassinier, Dr.

Arthur R. Butz, the Jewish Concentration Camp survivor Josef Burg (Munich) and Richard Harwood, Heinrich Haertl, lately Hellmut Diwald, in part David Irving, are beginning to give evidence that the story of the six million exterminated Jews is both the most enormous and the most brazen and unfounded lie in all of recorded history. Dr. Butz called his blockbusting breakthrough *The Hoax of the Twentieth Century*. After 239 pages of evidence he concluded flatly, "The Jews of Europe were not exterminated and there was no German attempt to exterminate them."

Since Dr. Butz wrote those fateful words, there has been such a frantic resurrection of the Holocaust as to resemble a death rattle. What intensified the frenzy was Dr. Butz's corollary that if the extermination was proven false; if the "unspeakable criminal acts" on which the Luxemburg Treaty justified the reparations to Israel and Jews are faked; then the reparations become invalidated. The Anti-Defamation League sponsored an issue of eleven million copies of *The Record: The Holocaust in History*, with the slogan, "The Crime we cannot neglect or forget." A most monumental world-wide propaganda production was the T.V. Holocaust, a hybrid documentary soap opera, in which all the lies of the "Six Million" are regurgitated. The "saintly" Jewish participants are called Weiss (White), the wicked Germans are Schwarz (Black). Fact, fiction, and falsehood are so cleverly mixed that most viewers will carry away only the customary lies and perjuries about the German treatment of Jews.

In *Six Million Did Die* (Arthur Suzman and Denis Diamond, Johannesburg, 1978, 137 pages) announces that "the truth shall prevail," and purposes to refute Richard Harwood's *Did Six Million Really Die?*, which sent and continues to send shockwaves through the circles committed to the lie of the six million. Suzman and Diamond denounce Harwood for writing that Germany is paying reparations "calculated on six million dead;" then insist that the reparations represent valid "material claims ... unaffected by moral-historical claims" (p.53). They then quote Chancellor Adenauer (27 Sept. 1951) justifying the reparations in the Bundestag with the words, "unmentionable crimes were committed in the name of the German people, which call for moral and material compensation" (p.51). Obviously if the Third Reich treated Jews essentially no different from gypsies, or from Roosevelt's treatment of the Japanese Americans, and much less badly than the Soviet-Poles-Czechs treated the Oder-Neisse and Sudeten Germans, then the reparations are totally uncalled for.

A few facts on the Luxemburg Agreement and how Germany became saddled with reparations to Israel and Jews all over the world of eighty million D-Marks, justifies Harwood's contention that the Six Million accusation is "undoubtedly the most profitable atrocity allegation of all time." In 1951, twenty-three Jewish organizations, in the Conference on Jewish Material Claims Against Germany, demanded (1) funds for relief and rehabilitation of Jewish victims of Nazi persecution, (2) indemnification for injuries inflicted upon individual victims of Nazi persecutions.

On 21 March 1952, Bonn and Israel began to negotiate in the Hotel Oud Wassenen in The Hague. On 7 May 1952, the Israeli Foreign Minister, Moshe Scharett, declared in the Knesset that if Bonn did not advance new guarantees and payments, Israel would end negotiations with international consequences that would produce a crisis in Germany. Nahum Goldman, head of World Zionism, warned Adenauer that if

Germany did not pay up there would be "violent reaction of the whole world" expressing "deep sympathy with the martyrdom of the Jewish people during the Nazi period." More impudently, the London *Jewish Chronicle* wrote blackmailingly, "The whole international weight of World Jewry will be mobilized against Germany, if Bonn's offers of reparations remain unsatisfactory." (Echoes of 1933?)

All the while Bonn had presented to Commissioner John McCloy the draft of what was to become the Grundvertrag - the Constitution - of West Germany. But McCloy kept delaying ratification. Adenauer wrote in his Memoirs,

"It was clear to me that, if the negotiations with the Jews failed, the negotiations at the London Debt Conference would also run aground, because Jewish banking circles would exert an influence ... which should not be underestimated."

Thereupon Adenauer, abandoning "democracy" for the time being, went over the heads of his Ministers and of the German people, and committed himself and West Germany to pay Israel 3.45 billion D-Marks reparations; 80 million the first two years. Thereupon Commissioner McCloy, and France and Britain, on 26 May 1952, ratified the Constitution giving West Germany a limited sovereignty. Adenauer had had to agree "that they would not dispute any of the pronouncements of the Allies during and after World War II." This included the Nuremberg pronouncements, and the "acceptance of the legend of the 'extermination' of six million Jews" (Quoted from *The South African Observer*, July 1979).

Then after further negotiations about details, the Luxemburg Agreement was signed on 19 September 1952. It's first Whereas accuses Germans of "unspeakable criminal acts ... perpetrated against the Jewish people during the National Socialistic regime of terror" (*Six Million Did Die*, p. 53). This certainly founds the reparations to Jews and Israel on what came to be called the extermination of six million Jews.

From the beginning, the Federal Republic of Germany was a cleverly disguised and managed satellite "democracy" controlled by Washington (and London) for the prime benefit of Israel. Never were the German people given a chance to vote on these reparations. Nor were they ever asked to vote on whether they approved on continuing war crimes trial or whether they really wanted the Statute of Limitations honored (as in every other democratic country).

Adenauer's first pledge of 3.45 billion D-Marks to Israel, in order to get McCloy to ratify the Constitution, was the Niagara Falls of reparations to Jews all over the world and to Israel, a state non-existent when the "Holocaust" was said to have occurred. This Luxemburg Agreement, under the umbrella of Washington, in the first twelve years provided Israel with the following commodities and services:

"West Germany built an entire merchant marine for Israel (including 59 ships and a drydock), repaired and rebuilt Israel's telephone and telegraph network, constructed a copper plant, steel plant and five power plants in Israel, laid 280 kilometers of irrigation pipeline, laid new railroad tracks over most of the depleted railway system, for which it delivered 400 boxcars, passenger coaches and diesel locomotives. One Kibbutz received \$200,000." (Quoted from *Instauration*, August, 1978.)

This fairy-godmother bonanza to Israel was kept a deep dark secret from the German people, under the shadow of a peculiar Zionistic-Washingtonian democracy. It was

also kept secret from the Arabs, who lost three wars against an attacking enemy equipped with the world's best German war materiel. When the Arabs found out, it created a convulsion that destroyed Chancellor Erhard and ever since proved a millstone for the Christian Democratic Party - to the advantage of the socialistic and pro-Russian Social Democrats of Willy Brandt and Wehner and Bahr.

The enormity of German reparations to Israel has been kept as secret as possible from the German people and the world. One has to assume that the puppet Bonn government is ashamed to reveal that it has been and is distributing possibly a hundred billion D-marks to Jews all over the world, to Israel, to Jewish institutions, and to sponsor pensions to every Jew - not who was "gassed" but who was allowed to leave Hitler's Germany safely and with most of his property. All this while neither the victors nor Bonn have made any realistic attempt to help the fourteen million Oder-Neisse and Sudeten Germans get indemnities from the Communists. Bonn may also fear the end someday of German patience and an outburst of wrath.

Israel too is very secretive about the payments and pensions the Israelis got or are getting from Germany. Perhaps they too are ashamed. More probably, secretly conscious of the enormous blackmail most of these reparations represent, they fear that if the Western world became fully informed of the swindle, it would stop turning the other cheek and demand first of all the full truth, and secondly, justice for the Arabs. But here and there some Jewish writer boasts of the goldmine the defamed and slandered Germans have been to them. Nahum Goldmann, in his book *The Jewish Paradox* (London, 1978), boasted that whereas at the Nuremberg Trials one Jewish organization suggested only the ridiculously small sum of twenty million marks of reparations, he managed to induce Germany to pay **eighty** million D-Marks (p.166-8). Goldmann boasts that without these German reparations Israel would hardly possess half of its "Infrastruktur": "All trains, all ships, all electrical works, as well as a major portion of industry is of German origin." Then he adds "this passes over entirely the individual pensions which are being paid to the survivors. At the present time Israel still collects annually hundreds of millions of dollars in German currency". And ingeniously and unscrupulously, even now, other wartime disadvantages to Jews are presented for claims. *The Washington Observer*, for example, on 15 December 1970, carried the following "Observation":

"The Jewish World Federation of Nazi Victims is pressing another claim for payment from Germany. This time they want \$20 billion for lost wages for two million Jews who were allegedly forced by the Nazis to work in factories during the war."

And Jewish publicists never bother to try to reconcile the alleged gassing of six million with for example the employment of two million working and surviving in the factories!

Surely, the atrocity story of the extermination of six million Jews has been and still is the most profitable invention and swindle in world history. So organized and so supported by perjury is this "manna" from the German taxpayer that one might suspect virtually every Zionist in the world or someone in his family of being a beneficiary of a pension or an indemnity based on the lie of the six million.

The damage this lie of the six million has done is enormous. It ruined the peace; it inspired the awful injustices of the Yalta and Potsdam peace treaties. But a world that wants to lay claim to justice and decency must correct the wrongs of those treaties. The beginning must be made by establishing the truth about the policy of the Third Reich towards Jews.

In 1973, in my booklet, *The Six Million Swindle* (40 pages, Boniface Press, 8207 Flower Ave., Takoma Park, Md. 20012, 50 cents), I entitled one short section: "Eight Incontrovertible Assertions on the Six Million Swindle." Since then brave and scholarly studies have destroyed every foundation for the "Holocaust" and exposed the story of the six million "gassed" as an impudent lie. They have not invalidated, they have confirmed, my assertions. I conclude by quoting them:

First, the Third Reich wanted to get Jews to emigrate, not to liquidate them physically. Had they intended extermination, 500,000 concentration camp survivors would not now be in Israel to collect fancy indemnities from West Germany.

Second, absolutely no Jews were "gassed" in any concentration camps. There were crematoria for cremating corpses who had died from whatever cause, including especially also the victims of the genocidal Anglo-American air raids.

Third, the majority of Jews who died in pogroms and those who disappeared and are still unaccounted for fell afoul in territories controlled by the Soviet Russians, not in territories while under German control.

Fourth, most of the Jews alleged to have met their death at the hands of Germans were subversives, partisans, spies, and criminals, and also often victims of unfortunate but internationally legal reprisals. One reason for my denouncing the Nuremberg prosecutors as lynchers is that they hanged Germans for actions they themselves adopted!

Fifth, if there were the slightest likelihood that the Nazis had in fact executed six million Jews, World Jewry would scream for subsidies with which to do research on the question, and Israel would throw its archives and files open to historians. They have not done so. On the contrary they have persecuted anyone who tries to investigate impartially and even call him an anti-Semite. This is really devastating evidence that the figure is a swindle.

Sixth, the Jews and the media who exploit this figure have never offered a shred of valid evidence for its truth. At most they misquote Hoettl, Hoess, and Eichmann who spoke only casually of what they were in no position to know or to speak on reliably. Nor do the Jews themselves credit these witnesses as reliable even when they comment on what they could know, e.g., that the concentration camps were essentially work camps, not death camps!

Seventh, the burden of proof for the six million figure rests on the accusers, not the accused. This is a principle of all civilized law. Proving true guilt is easier than proving true innocence. It is hardly possible for a man accused of cheating on his wife to prove that he did not cheat on her. Therefore the accuser must prove his charge. This responsibility the Zionists and Bolsheviks have not accepted, and the browbeaten Germans have rather paid billions than to dare to demand proof!

Eighth, obvious evidence that the figure of six million has no scientific foundation is that Jewish scholars themselves present ridiculous discrepancies in their calculations. And honest ones, whom we recognize by the fact that their co-racialists smear-terrorize them, and even beat them up, invariably lower the six million estimate.

Those who throw around large round numbers, like six million gassed, four million in Auschwitz, two million by mobile units in Russia, let them come up with the proofs -

the graves, the bones, the ashes. Six million corpses do not just disappear. They accuse, so they must prove. But in their default, it seems that it is up to us Revisionists to show that the figure of six million is a totally unsubstantiated, brazen lie. What slender means I have had at my disposal, including some ten trips to Europe, including Dachau, Arolsen, and many interviews, induce me to estimate the number of Jewish casualties under the Third Reich at 300,000 in round numbers. Until Jewish publicists come up with solid evidence to the contrary, which so far they have not even realistically tried to do, I will consider 300,000 casualties - some from executions, from reprisals, most of them (like Anne Frank) from diseases.

The following speech was given by Dr. Austin App on April 29, 1978 in the Sheraton Patriot Inn in Williamsburg, Va.

POWER & PROPAGANDA in American Politics & Foreign Affairs

I want to thank the chairman for his gracious introduction. I want to thank the Congress of German-American Clubs and especially Dr. Trutz Foelsche for sponsoring my talk this evening here in historic Williamsburg on the general topic of Power and Propaganda in American Politics and Foreign Affairs. It is in any case an honor and a satisfaction in this beautiful Sheraton Patriot Inn to be able to address a distinguished audience of the Old South.

Williamsburg and the towns in its area are really an appropriate setting for my talk on some of the blunders of U.S. foreign policy, how they were caused by propaganda, and how some of this propaganda and the resultant policies still continue. Williamsburg and the South can appreciate what it is like to lose a war, to suffer a March through Georgia, and a Reconstruction by the victors after surrender.

The Parallel Between the Defeated South and the Defeated Germans

Many Americans from the North-East and Mid- and Far-West cannot easily realize and are reluctant to believe that the foreign policy hatched in New York and Washington could ever have been dishonest and wrong in and after the two world wars -- and that some of this still needs correcting. But I have happily found ever since I started in 1945 denouncing the Morgenthau Plan and Unconditional Surrender, when I was teaching in Texas, that Southerners could understand.

In a Commencement Address at St. Mary's University, May 29, 1945, in San Antonio, I said:

"I envy you graduates ... you need not accept responsibility ... a Europe that was supposed to have been made free for democracy has been turned into the greatest rubble-heap in history and brooding over it all are the spectre of communism and the fear and symptoms of another unjust peace, another caveman peace that degenerated from the Atlantic Charter to the shame of slave labor, dismembered provinces, deported populations and the barbaric consolation of mass war criminal executions." (See A.J. App, *History's Most Terrifying Peace*, pp.1-2)

I continued:

"If we reconstruct Europe and Asia the way the North reconstructed the South -- the next few generations will be ruined. In 1865 Lincoln's malice-toward-none-charity-for-all plan was sabotaged for one of hate and vengeance by men whose plan for dealing with the beaten South was to crush it so that it would never rise again." (Edwin Muller, "They Called It Reconstruction," *Readers Digest*, Oct. 1941, p.121).

Let me ask you, does this not echo the Morgenthau Plan applied to the German people in 1945? Men like Thaddeus Stevens said of the defeated Southerners, "Humble the proud traitors, strip them of their bloated estates ... desolate the section ... reduce them to hopeless feebleness." Is this not similar to the Morgenthau Plan Roosevelt and Churchill and Morgenthau and Harry Dexter White made official policy for Germany, namely, to dismantle all its factories, to divide and shrink its territory, and to convert this into a potato patch!

Atrocity Stories Vitiating the Peace - with the South, and with Germany

I continued my Commencement Address as follows:

"They advanced their vengeance reconstruction on a wave of atrocity stories -- a freedman had been burned to death, Choctaw County stunk with the dead bodies of 'murdered' slaves, yes, the rumor even circulated that Southern Belles had 'worn necklaces strung of Yankee eyeballs.'" (See James Morgan Read, *Atrocity Propaganda*, 1918-19, Yale Press, 1941, p. 31).

What this should suggest to all of us is that if the victorious North could spread such vicious and false atrocity stories against the South, people of their own language and race and religion, is it not probable that the victors of World War II, victors allied to and infiltrated by Communists and non-Christians, would invent even worse atrocity stories against the defeated Germans, who are different in language? Recalling atrocious lies against the defeated South, should we not consider it probable that such atrocity horrors as the recent 9-hour NBC-TV, "Holocaust," sponsored by the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith, is also a compound of vindictive propaganda?

James Morgan Read, in his *Atrocity Propaganda* (p.125), wrote that after victory Northern carpet baggers rushed south to "re-educate" the South forcibly. There was an influx of Yankee school teachers, burning to show the South the error of its ways. They taught that Gen. Robert E. Lee was a perjured traitor, that Sherman's march to the sea was a "glorious crusade."

Perhaps that is where Eisenhower got the idea of calling his invasion of Germany *Crusade in Europe!* If Washington and New York could so "re-educate" the South, our own racial and religious brethren, is it not probable that after Unconditional Surrender hordes of U.S. Liberals and vindictive emigres who between 1933 and 1941 had left Germany -- men like Kempner, Kissinger, Thon, Perl, Ellowitz, Kirschbaum, Rosenfeld, descended like locusts upon the defeated Germans to "re-educate" them in the Gospel of Karl Marx!

Over the years, since 1945, I have found that Southerners can understand more sympathetically than New Yorkers that if the South could have been traduced and victimized by propaganda and by injuries, then it is only likely that the defeated Germans could have been more so.

Wilson and Roosevelt Wanted War, Not the American People

Here are some salient features of U.S. foreign policy in the decades of the world wars. Most important is that the American people did not want to get into those wars.

Wilson got re-elected in the slogan, "He kept us out of war," and Roosevelt pledged solemnly that our boys will never fight on a foreign soil. All the while both Wilson and Roosevelt were applying their whole power and propaganda to trick the American people into the wars, and they denounced congressmen who urged neutrality as "isolationists" and "copperheads." Wilson by running on the slogan, "He kept us out of war," got re-elected. Then he used the torpedoing of the *Lusitania* and claiming the sinking of the *Sussex* as a pretext to get into the war anyhow. Now we know that the *Lusitania* was what the Germans said it was, an armed auxiliary cruiser, and the *Sussex* was not sunk at all. And in any case both were British, not American ships. It was Wilson who said, "a war to end all war," and "to make the world safe for democracy." Then the Allies flooded America with phony atrocity stories, as that the German "Huns" hacked the hands off Belgian babies. All Americans believed this -- a totally unfounded lie -- just as most of them believe the story of the 'Holocaust' now.

By means of such atrocity lies, Wilson's principle of self-determination was used to destroy Austria-Hungary. It was applied only where it could be perverted to take territory from the German people, never where it would give any to Austria and Germany.

World War II Resulted from Unjust Peace in 1919

The victors refused to give Austria and the Sudetenland a plebiscite, and tore the Danzigers and a corridor away from Germany. These were Allied atrocities, which made a hypocrite out of Wilson. What Hitler did was to correct these injustices of Versailles. When he got to the last important one, the wont one, Danzig and the Corridor, England and France declared war on the Third Reich -- and World War II had begun. Here is what Ambassador Joseph P. Kennedy said to James Forrestal (*Diaries*, Dec.27, 1945),

"Neither the French nor the British would have made Poland a cause of war if it had not been for the constant needling from Washington ... Chamberlain, he says, stated that America and the world Jews had forced England into war."

In the second world war the American people again wanted to remain neutral. Roosevelt won an election by pledging over and over again to the mothers of America that their sons would this time not be sent to fight on foreign soil. But once elected he gave England fifty destroyers, he initiated lend-lease, he convoyed British ships, and fired on German submarines. To all these violations of neutrality, Hitler turned the other cheek. Finally in desperation Roosevelt provoked the Japanese to attack at Pearl Harbor. Historian Charles Transill called it Roosevelt's "Back Door to War." The purpose was again to end all war and to make the world safe for democracy -- this time with atheistic Stalin and Soviet Russia as a partner! To make the assault on Germany look like a crusade, Roosevelt and Churchill on August 14, 1941, proclaimed the Atlantic Charter, in imitation of Wilson's Fourteen Points: all people could choose their own government (shouldn't that have meant that if the German people wanted national socialism they had a right to it?), and "no territorial changes that do not accord with the freely expressed wishes of the people concerned."

Roosevelt's Unconditional Surrender Meant Rape and Ruin

Then at Casablanca, in 1943, Roosevelt proclaimed Unconditional Surrender. This means that the winner will massacre the loser until the latter resigns all rights, all those guaranteed in the Atlantic Charter, and even the right to protect wives against rape. The Soviet Russians knew what it meant, and raped a million German, Austrian, and Hungarian women in the worst mass atrocity of this type in European history. Cornelius Ryan, in his *The Last Battle* reported for Berlin alone between 20,000 and 100,000 women raped -- often many times. A married cousin of mine was one of them. Solzhenitsyn wrote somewhere that the Reds on entering Germany took the right to rape for granted.

Anyhow, the policy of Unconditional Surrender ruined every chance of a just peace or of making the world safe for democracy. And Roosevelt knew it. On September 2, 1941 he confided to Cardinal Spellman that after Unconditional Surrender, China was to get the Far East, the U.S. the Pacific, Britain rule her colonies, and "Russia will predominate in Europe." "The European people," he said callously, "will simply have to endure Russian domination, in the hope that in ten or twenty years they will be able to live well with the Russians." (See Robert I. Gannon, *The Cardinal Spellman Story*, 1962, p.222)

Unconditional Surrender made it impossible to induce the Red rapists by the threat of a negotiated peace to stay out of Christian Central Europe. Consequently, "the war to make the world safe for democracy" delivered seven satellites, with a territory of 393,000 square miles and 102 million once proud and free Christian people into Soviet Russian colonialism and persecution. And it confirmed the Soviet Russians as allies, with not even so much as a protest, in its colonialism over 2,053,781 square miles of non-Russian territory within the USSR and its enslavement of 125 million non-Russian people.

Self-Determination Perverted into the Holocaust of Mass Expulsions and Murder

The self-determination Washington pledged the world was thus transformed into Bolshevik tyranny over the Baltic and the Balkan nations. But in Germany self-determination was perverted into the most genocidal treatment of conquered people in Europe's history. The victors of World War I had learned that robbing territories, like the Sudenland and the Corridor and Danzig, while its inhabitants remain there only leads to an eventual uprising for freedom. Therefore their satanic minds conceived of what was unthinkable in European history before. The Soviet-Russian victors, and the Poles and the Czechs, with secret American and British connivance, simply drove fifteen million East- and Sudeten Germans from their homes and farms, totally robbed them, shot anyone who resisted, and did to death three million German men, women, and children during the expulsion. This is the real, the true holocaust of the war. Even *Time Magazine*, on October 2, 1945, describes the mass expulsion of "at least nine million Germans from East Prussia, Danzig, Silesia, Pomerania and the Sudetenland," and comments, "It is a tale of horror, old men starving on the roads, young girls raped in boxcars."

Twelve million surviving Germans, driven from their 700-year-old homelands have not yet got their homes back, have not got a cent of compensation for their property,

but have been paying taxes so that West Germany could pay twenty billion dollars reparations to Israel and to Jews who had suffered much less than these Christian German expellees.

The genocide committed against the fifteen million East- and Balkan Germans is the worst on record: Anne O'Hare McCormick of the *New York Times* called it "a crime against humanity for which history will exact a terrible retribution ... Without precedent in history" (Oct 23, 1946); Senator William Langer, of North Dakota, said, "nowhere in recorded history has such a grim chapter of brutality been written"; the Catholic bishops, November, 1946, called the driving from their homes of these people "new in the annals of recorded history." Cardinal A.J. Muench, North Dakota, wrote, "never has anything so tragic happened on so colossal a scale as in these forced migrations;" and the Catholic *Our Sunday Visitor* denounced "the diabolical measure of compulsory deportation from ancient ancestral homesteads under conditions of misery and suffering ... without example in history."

The Holocaust of the East Germans Given Silent Treatment

Yet whereas Americans are harassed day and night with outpourings on alleged extermination of Jews, they have endured a calculated cover-up on the mass atrocity against the East Germans. In 1947 an excellent short book on it was published, Ralph Frank Keeling's *Gruesome Harvest* (Boniface Press, 8207 Flower Avenue, Takoma Park, Md. 20012) but it was smothered by silence. Otherwise, it was not until a year ago that a fine, hard cover book faintly exposed the horror of the expulsions and U.S. and British complicity with them. A young American attorney, Alfred M. de Zayas, wrote *Nemesis at Potsdam: The Anglo-Americans and the Expulsions of the Germans*. It was published not by an American publisher but by a recognized but small British publisher, Routledge & Keegan Paul (London and Boston, 1977, 268 pages, with 67 splendid illustrations.) Even if the much publicized Jewish "Holocaust" were a fact, not a hoax, the media would have the responsibility to give at least equal time to the horrendous expulsion of fifteen million Germans and the murder of three million of them, mostly women and children.

American Propaganda Unfair for Decades

American propaganda has for years been prejudiced, in fact it has been slyly anti-Christian and pro-communistic, openly pro-Zionistic and anti-German. That is why our foreign policy keeps selling America and Western Europe short. General Douglas MacArthur in 1952 said, "Foreign policy has been as tragically in error as has domestic policy. We practically invited Soviet dominion over the free peoples of Eastern Europe ... permitting them ... to plant the red flag of Communism on the ramparts of Berlin, Vienna and Prague, the capitals of Western civilization." (See *U.S. News*, July 18, 1952)

Vienna now is free again, but in its place the red flag flies over Budapest.

The late Herbert Hoover said, "The souls of one quarter of mankind have been seared by the violation of that American promise. The ghosts of the Four Freedoms and the

Atlantic Charter now wander amid the clanking chains of a thousand slave camps." (*U.S. News*, July 18, 1952)

In the *Washington Star*, (March 17, 1978) Harold M. Forkois, in "Panama and other U.S. mistakes," said that "U.S.A. foreign policy ... has been significantly disastrous for the inhabitants of the U.S.A. and most of the rest of the world."

As for the Wilson-Roosevelt promise of "war to end all war," since Unconditional Surrender there has been a war almost every year, and America has had the costly and bloody wars in Korea and in Vietnam. Even Eisenhower, after Germany had been bombed into rubble, said on August 24, 1952, that America had been brought "in greater peril than at any time in our history."

Pro-Red Wing in Washington Delivered Central Europe to the Reds

There is good reason why America after it had savagely Morgenthauized Germany, should feel itself more endangered than ever before. Pennsylvania Congressman Daniel J. Flood said in Congress:

"The historic functions of Germany and Japan have been to serve as dikes against Russian Expansion in Europe and in the Far East, respectively. World War II destroyed those two great bastions ... the donation of ten formerly independent nations, through secret agreements by pro-Red forces in Washington, assured Soviet domination in East Europe."

The key word here is that Soviet domination of Europe (and most of Asia) was abetted, if not encouraged by "pro-Red forces" in Washington. These pro-Red forces in Washington gave the territory between West Berlin and West Germany to Soviet Russia. When in 1948 Moscow blockaded West Berlin, and our military, like the late General Lucius Clay, advised sending our tanks ahead on the highway, Washington vetoed it and resorted to an expensive airlift. This was at a time when Moscow had no atom bomb. When the people of East Berlin revolted in 1953, and the Hungarians in 1956, the pro-Red forces in Washington did not give any moral support to the freedom-fighters. Pro-Red forces in Washington tipped Moscow off it was free to build the Berlin Wall, to complement the Iron Curtain through all of Germany, Washington had previously accepted without a protest. While Washington was eager to go to war with Germany for placing its Protectorate over Czecho-Slovakia, when in 1968 the Czechs tried to throw off the shackles Of Moscow's tyranny, Washington seemed almost to welcome the brute force of the Reds.

U.S. Pro-Red Forces Continue Appeasing Soviet Russia

The fact is ever since the days of Roosevelt, the pro-Red forces in Washington, of whom Congressman Flood spoke, have continued to appease Soviet Russia, and along with the media thrown a benign cover-up over Soviet Russian crimes against humanity -- in so far as it did not affect the emigration of Jews from Russia -- and encouraged a continued harping on alleged German atrocities in World War II. In all the Washington agitation for human rights, not once has the inhumanity of dividing defeated Germany with an Iron Curtain, and Berlin with a wall, been condemned, not

once has there been human rights propaganda for the Germans who were shot down when at the Wall or the Iron Curtain they tried to cross from one part of Germany to the other. This is by far the worst violation of human rights in our time, yet it is the one over which a pro-Red cover-up is maintained. What we do get is a nine-hour television film on what is called the "Holocaust," about an imaginary Jewish family and about the concentration camps of the Third Reich.

The fact is that with the possible exception of John Foster Dulles, all our Secretaries of State and the State Department have agitated, not to liberate Eastern Germany and the other captive nations but to consolidate Moscow's tyranny over them. In 1965, Henry Kissinger in his book, *The Troubled Partnership*, presumed to tell Bonn to relinquish all claim to one-fourth of East Germany, the Oder-Neisse territories from which the twelve million Germans were expelled and partly murdered, and should give title to Russia and Poland. A few years later, when Germany's wartime traitor, Willi Brandt, became Chancellor he did just that, with the help of the similar traitor, Herbert Wehner, and got the Nobel Prize for it!

Walt Whitman Rostow sent a policy paper to President Kennedy, that the U.S. should not support "uprisings in the Eastern European satellites. If revolts break out in East Germany, Poland or other satellites we should maintain a hands-off posture and urge our allies to do the same." (See *Herold of Freedom*, Nov. 3, 1967.) On October 6, 1966, President Johnson made this betrayal of the Captive Nations official policy, saying, "Our purpose is not to overthrow other governments." Then President Gerald Ford, after he had visited Auschwitz and Warsaw, during an interview insisted that Poland, and the other captive Nations, were not captive at all, that they had the democracy we promised in our world wars. For him, steeped in the Washington's pro-Red propaganda, the Berlin Wall and the Iron Curtain did not exist.

State Officials Call Red Empire a Good Thing

It all echoed official pro-Red Washington policy. In 1963, the U.S. Arms Control and Disarmament Agency gave its official blessing on the Moscow tyranny over one-third of the world. Its document declared:

"Whether we admit it to ourselves or not, we benefit enormously from the capability of the Soviet System to keep law and order over the 200-odd million people in the USSR and the many additional millions in the satellite states.

"The breakup of the Russian Communist empire today would doubtless be conducive to freedom, but would be a good deal more catastrophic for world order than was the breakup of the Austro-Hungarian empire in 1918.",(see Dobriansky, *op. cit.*, p.252)

First, let us remind ourselves that the Wilsonian policy in World War I totally destroyed the Christian Austro-Hungarian empire (which needed no Iron Curtains to keep its citizens from voting with their feet.) Secondly, can you imagine the same U.S. Arms Control Agency proclaiming in 1940 that it would benefit law and order in the world a lot if Hitler would consolidate his control over Europe?

That the State Department continues to connive with Moscow's tyranny over the Captive Nations and to implement Roosevelt's comment to Cardinal Spellman that Christian Europe with America's blessing had to get used to living under Soviet Russia's control, was shockingly made clear in 1975 by the Sonnenfeldt Doctrine. In December 1975, Kissinger convoked a conference of U.S. Ambassadors in Europe, at which his First Counsellor, Helmut Sonnenfeldt as chief speaker said,

"An intervention of the United States in Eastern Europe ... is inconceivable. The best American strategy would be to help Soviet Russia consolidate its influence in that zone." (See *Christian Science Monitor*, April 7, 1976.)

Here is stark proof that, as the *Monitor* comments, for the public the State Department professes "to favor the liberation of the satellite peoples," but its "operating policy is" not to "destabilize the existing condition," that means, that it connives in the tyranny over the Captive Nations.

Pro-Reds All Set to Sacrifice Another Third of Germany

In 1977 columnists Evans and Novak uncovered how the Sonnenfeldt Doctrine translates into a policy of retreat before Soviet Russia. They exposed a Presidential Review Memorandum to the effect that Zbigniew Brezinski, and Chief Disarmament Negotiator Paul Warnke, and even Vice-President Mondale were planning, if Soviet tanks should invade West Germany, not to resist them, but to retreat to the West behind Munich and Hamburg, so that the half that is now left of Germany would be amputated by another third. This immediately named "Carter Line," along the Weser and Lech rivers, would almost make a reality of the old Morgenthau Plan, namely, the blotting out of all of Germany and the proximate delivery of the rest of Christian Europe to the atheistic brutes of Moscow.

Clearly a wing of Washington and almost all of our media continue to appease Bolshevism and Soviet Russia and to indulge in Germanophobia. They glory in such propaganda as the recent 9-hour TV "Holocaust." Yet this Anti-Germanism is in reality building up Soviet-Russia. Dr Harry Elmer Barnes wrote, "Germanophobia is once more becoming the 'transmission belt' for Soviet propaganda in this country." (*Blasting the Historical Blackout*, p.42)

Dr. Barnes Put Third Reich and Soviet Atrocities in Perspective

Dr. Barnes also wrote:

"Hitler's evil deeds have been told and retold" yet "the monstrous and nefarious doings of Stalin ... far exceeded those of Hitler" (*Blasting the Historical Blackout*, p.35). Barnes also indicates the one reason why the monstrous atrocities committed by the Soviet Russians are covered up but the alleged atrocities of the Germans are blown up and harped on day and night. Barnes wrote:

"In short, there is no unique or special case against Nazi Barbarism and horrors unless one assumes that it is far more wicked to exterminate Jews than to massacre Gentiles." (p.35).

He explains:

"There is little in the history of mankind more horrible than the sufferings of the Germans expelled from their eastern provinces, the Sudeten area, and other regions, some four to six million perishing from the butchery, starvation, exposure, and disease in the process." (p.33)

Yet it is probable that many of you in this worthy and well-read audience have not once heard of the expulsion of these millions of Germans and the murder of millions of them! There has been no TV "Holocaust" for them, not even thirty years after the war.

Barnes continued more pointedly:

"Their sufferings were obviously far more hideous and prolonged than those of the Jews said to have been exterminated in great numbers by the Nazis ... if there was to be an Eichmann Trial there should surely have been a Morgenthau Trial." (p.33)

Dr Barnes writes of, "No greater paradox in history than a war in behalf of Poland on the basis of the Jewish issue. There were in Poland, in 1933, six times as many Jews as in Germany, and they were surely treated as badly as were the German Jews under Hitler." (p.35)

What Ruined the Peace Was the Atrocity Propaganda Regarding Jews

There can be no doubt that what converted the World War II "crusade" for the Atlantic Charter into "history's most terrifying peace," the surrender of half of Europe to Soviet Russia, the rape of a million German women, the division of Germany, the Berlin Wall, and the Iron Curtain was what Barnes diagnosed as Hitler's "treatment of German Jews." It precipitated a frenzied vendetta of vengeance at any price, a continuing Germanophobic propaganda, even if in the process it delivered Christian Europe to the barbarians and atheists of the Kremlin -- and endangered the United States so as to force us to spend more on armaments in one year than we needed to spend in peacetime, while Hitler was 'dictator'.

Propaganda Perverted "Final Solution" Resettlement -- Into Extermination

The gist of the propaganda against the Third Reich is that it planned to exterminate all Jews in its power, that it did in fact do so, in a "Final Solution," to the tune of gassing six million of them. This is the propaganda promulgated by the NBC TV "Holocaust," which is being introduced even into our schools, not only public, but even private, and with which Americans are deluged in all the media. It is also the road-block to any proposals for a fair treatment of the Palestinians and much more so for a just treatment of the German people, when anyone advocates the reunification and a restoration of Atlantic Charter boundaries for Germany, the proposal is likely to be greeted angrily by someone in the back of the room, with the shriek, "What about the six million whom Hitler gassed?" Even Attorney Alfred de Zayas, the author of the best book so far on the expulsion, *Nemesis at Potsdam*, told me that to get the book published he had to give lip service to the six million legend.

For that reason we have to come to grips with this touchy problem. We must objectively investigate what of this allegation is fact, and what is propaganda, what is atrocity propaganda, customary in a war, as the Southerners in this country learned in the Civil War.

Some of you may have puzzled why in the last few months there has been such a rash of propaganda foisting the alleged "Holocaust" and the six million legend on the defenseless American public. The reason seems to be that a few scholars have in the last year or two developed enough courage to question the theory of the extermination of Jews by the Third Reich; they question the figure of six million; they find no evidence of gas chambers, none that the concentration camps were death camps rather than work camps, and they find no Third Reich orders to execute Jews merely because they were Jews, as against executing them for being partisans or as reprisals for assassinations and sabotage.

Prof. Butz Found This Propaganda to be "The Hoax of the 20th Century"

The blockbuster that most shattered the old myth of the extermination and of the six million was *The Hoax of the 20th Century*. The blackout of which Prof. Barnes wrote caused it to be published in England by Historical Review Press. It is of course shocking that with all the ballyhoo about freedom of speech for every hoodlum and freedom of the press for every Communist, there is no real freedom of publication for any honest analysis of the story of the six million. What terrorized the many with a vested interest in the figure most was that the author was a respectable University Professor, Dr Arthur R. Butz, from the prestigious Northwestern University of Evanston, Illinois.

After several years of research and 239 pages, Professor Butz comes to this shattering conclusion:

"The Jews of Europe were not exterminated and there was no German attempt to exterminate them. The Germans resettled a certain number and these people were ultimately resettled again in accordance with Allied programs ... The Jews of Europe suffered during the war by being deported to the East, by having much of their property confiscated and, more importantly, by suffering cruelly in the circumstances surrounding Germany's defeat.

"They may have lost a million dead. Everybody in Europe suffered during the war, especially the people of central and eastern Europe. The people who suffered most were the losers, the Germans (and Austrians), who lost 10 million dead due to military casualties, Allied bombings, the Russian terror at the end of the war, Russian expulsions from their homelands, under the most brutal conditions, and the vengeful occupation policies of 1945-1948."

Scholars Calling "The Holocaust" A Hoax Creates Frenzy

The *New York Times* of April 22, 1978, reported that litigation and "'The Hoax of the 20th Century,' book by A.R. Butz that denied that there was a Holocaust, have spurred

local efforts to broaden the teaching about it, according to ... The Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith here" (Chicago).

The fact that Dr Butz and other scholars are beginning to puncture the myth of the six million has driven the Anti-Defamation Leaguers into fever of propaganda to brainwash the pupils of our schools with the lie of the six million before the truth wins out. According to the *New York Times* ("*Interest in Holocaust Study Rising*," April 22, 1978) the Anti-Defamation League has started within the year pilot programs "in at least 50 school districts around the country," and in addition distributed pretty close to 10 million copies of a 16-page A.D.L. publication on the Holocaust called 'The Record.' Furthermore, the whole country was exposed to the NBC TV "Holocaust."

Obviously the most massive propaganda effort is being mobilized to brainwash the American public, and to impregnate' even our school children, with what Professor Butz called "The Hoax of the 20th Century."

And it is a hoax! That the Third Reich had a plan to exterminate the Jews of Europe and that it massacred six million of them is a falsehood: it is in fact the most unfounded and most impudent lie in recorded history.

More Scholars Keep Exploding the Myth of the Six Million

More and more scholars are confirming Dr Butz's *Hoax of the 20th Century*. In 1964 Prof. Paul Rassinier made a beginning when in his *The Drama of the European Jews*, he showed that 4,416,108 European Jews that emigrated early enough to escape arrest and concentration camps, and concludes that the "actual casualty figure from all causes in the Jewish population is closer to 500,000." (p.17, Foreword, Michael Hardesty). Professor Rassinier had been a French Resistance Fighter, was for this reason put in a German concentration camp; he also could testify from experience that the German concentration camps were work camps, not death camps. Other witnesses who talk not only from hearsay but as eyewitnesses confirm Paul Rassinier.

Thies Christophersen, who during 1944 was a plant grower (Pflanzenzuechter) at Auschwitz, in *Auschwitz: Truth or Lie?*, found no gassings there, no killings, but a lot of hard work, and some deaths from typhus. Another eyewitness of Auschwitz was Judge Dr. Wilhelm Staeglich, now in Hamburg, who visited the camp "... three of four times. On none of my visits did I see gassing installations, crematoria, torture instruments, or other such horrid things ... On none of my visits would I see that prisoners were badly, let alone inhumanely treated."

Last year I had a chance to discuss all this with Judge Staeglich personally.

Jewish Writer, Burg, Calls Israel Afraid to Permit Research on the "Holocaust"

A writer who for many people might be most convincing is another survivor of a German concentration camp, a Jew, Josef Burg (Ginsburg), whom I also had the honor of interviewing in Munich. He is the author of such honest books as *Schuld and Schicksal*, 1962, *Suendenboecke*, 1967, and *N.S. Vebrechen: Prozesse*, 1968. He flatly found that those "were killed, lost their lives, or died could be no more than 3,323,000" (*Suendenboecke*, p.237). Be it noted this is the maximum possible number

of deaths from all causes, not from "holocausts." Josef Burg writes, "Today nobody believes any longer the six million figure." Yet this is the figure that the "holocaust" promoters want to force upon our school children -- and on the American TV viewers!

Josef Burg also indirectly gives one of the best proofs that the figure is a deliberate fabrication. He says the true figure will not be known "until world Jewry and Zionism will be ... willing to present to the public exact official statistics of losses" (*Op.cit.*, p.238).

If Zionism is not willing to open its archives on the "holocaust," it can only be because of fear that these researches would show that the six million figure is false and Dr. Butz and Dr. Rassinier and Burg would be proven right. For me this is one of the best proofs that the "holocaust" and the six million are swindles.

Historian David Irving Thinks Hitler Knew Nothing of Any Extermination

The scholar who most recently delivered a body-blow to the six million legend is top-ranking British historian, David Irving. In his *Hitler's War* (Viking Press, 1977) he comes to the startling conclusion that Hitler did not order any extermination, that did not even know of any extermination of Jews. Irving writes categorically, "... the incontrovertible evidence is that Hitler ordered on November 30, 1941, that there was to be no 'liquidation' of Jews" (p.xiv). He further states that in October 1943, "Hitler was still forbidding liquidation."

The very contradictions surrounding the figure of six millions make it wrong to teach it as a fact to our pupils. Whereas, for example the top-ranking British historian, David Irving, insists Hitler knew nothing of any extermination and blames Himmler for whatever may have occurred; a top-ranking American Jewish historian, John Toland, in his best-seller, *Adolf Hitler*, in contradiction puts all the blame on Hitler. He ridiculously has Hitler congratulating Himmler for allegedly reporting that six million Jews have been exterminated. The date he gives is October, 1943. Obviously this is a fraud, for if six million had been killed by 1943, were no more, or were some more millions killed between 1943 and Surrender? The "Holocaust" makes perjurers out of most historians, journalists, and Rabbis.

The horrendous contradictions, even among scholars, and even among Jews, regarding the Alleged "holocaust," simply indicate an absence of facts, a lack of truth, and a reliance on speculations, propaganda, and what Dr. Butz called "a pack of lies."

"The sobering and shocking truth is that, just as the propaganda in World War I that the Germans hacked off the hands of Belgian babies was believed by almost every one, and in the Civil War the propaganda that Southern Belles wore necklaces strung of Yankee eyeballs, so the propaganda of the last thirty years that the Germans exterminated the Jews, six million of them, in a flaming "holocaust," is atrocity propaganda, and nothing else.

A Summary of Third Reich Treatment of Jews

The Third Reich had no plan to exterminate the Jews. No order of extermination has ever been found anywhere. The Third Reich openly admitted that it wanted to resettle

its Jews elsewhere, preferably in Madagascar, that failing, in Poland. It also openly admitted that it put most Jews as aliens and potential enemies in concentration camps, exactly as Roosevelt put the West Coast Issei and Nisei in concentration camps. Interning aliens during a war is standard practice; un-naturalized German-Americans were interned in both world wars.

The German concentration camps were interment and work camps, never, absolutely never, death camps. Inmates were not tortured, nor starved, nor were they "gassed" to death. Sometime ago it was found that concentration camps in German territory had no gas installations.

Now it is being found that the camps on Polish territory -- like Auschwitz -- had no gas installations. Many inmates died of natural causes, mostly in the East of typhus. For the bodies there were crematoria. Most Jewish casualties came from partisan activities and reprisals. Partisans assassinated a half million German soldiers. Partisans, many of whom were Jews, were not protected by international law and the Germans shot them when they caught them, just as the Americans and the British did.

No Jews Killed Only for Being Jews; Perhaps 300,000 Being Partisans and Spies

My own considered estimate of Jewish casualties under the rule of the Third Reich is 300,000. As Josef Burg writes, until Israel encourages research, the exact figures cannot be known. But in any case, it is up to the promoters of the six million figure to give proofs for its validity. They have not done so. So far there is not any evidence whatsoever that millions of Jews died in any holocaust. It is also manifestly a defamation to accuse the Third Reich of a plan to exterminate all Jews. If it had had such a plan, it had five years to exterminate all of them. But it did not do so, for at least a half million concentration camp survivors live in Israel; many in New York. The father of Anne Frank got out alive from Auschwitz; Simon Wiesenthal also came out of a German concentration camp alive, and well [and we might add, is now collecting indemnity payments from the German government for allegedly having lost a toe in the concentration camp!] So did Josef Burg, whom I quoted. These men would have been "gassed," if the Germans had planned to exterminate all Jews.

Every Jewish survivor is just another proof that the Third Reich had not planned to execute its Jews. It is almost funny to keep hearing some "survivor" lament that his whole family, at least ten, were gassed in a German concentration camp, but somehow he escaped! That he escaped may be true, that his relatives were massacred is a lie! And every one who claims "gassed" relatives should specify names and date! The proof is on those who claim the casualties, it is not for the German people or for American school children to disprove the lie of the holocaust.

It is enough for us to insist that so far there is no proof whatever that the Third Reich exterminated or tried to exterminate the Jews. Such claims of a "holocaust" should be rejected as fabrications that are calculated to blackmail more reparations out of the German people, and is in fact constituting a sneak attack on Christianity. They also act as a transmission belt for Communism, and worst of all, poison foreign relations with lies and hate for the benefit of Israel.

[From the *Journal of Historical Review*]

Austin T. App, 1902-1984

By Keith Stimely

One of the titanic figures of postwar revisionist historiography, Professor Austin J. App, died of kidney failure on 4 May 1984. A well-established author and scholar of English literature at the outbreak of World War II, Dr. App was soon appalled at the human suffering and political disaster caused by that "unnecessary conflict," and for the next four decades he was in the very forefront of those courageous scholars who, often in the face of severe academic and press hostility, sought to determine the historical truth about the war, and to publicize that truth far and wide. His engaging and candid 1977 *Autobiography* was subtitled . This he certainly was, right to the end. His career as historical scholar and publicist, as recounted in his memoir and manifest in his many publications, is in essence the story from its very beginnings of the fight for the historical truth about the European war of 1939-45, and for a postwar political justice predicated upon recognition of that truth.

Austin App was born on 24 May 1902 in Milwaukee, Wisconsin, the son of August App, an immigrant from Wuerttemberg, Germany, and Katharina Obermaier, originally from Niederpoering, Lower Bavaria. He spent most of his youth on the family farm outside Milwaukee, learning about the world through voracious reading and, equally important for an impressionable and intelligent young man, through close observation of the life of animals. A lesson he gleaned from his youth on the farm is worth repeating. After an anecdote about two large rival rock roosters, who continually fought each other -- and only each other -- for months on end, one finally succumbing to the other in bloody resolution, App remarked that this "taught me something about individuals and nations":

Why did they fight each other? Because one was less democratic than the other? Or because they did not understand each other? Or could not communicate? Did the final victor fight to make the world safe for democracy? Or to end all wars? Humbug and hypocrisy. They fought each other because of rivalry, because of power politics. That is the truth of it. In World War I the U.S. finally turned on Germany rather than Russia or England, because Germany was, not more wrong, but more strong. In World War II it turned on Hitler, not on Stalin, for the same reason. On every count Stalin was a barbarian, compared to Hitler. Any attempt to equate our intervention against the third Reich with crusadism or idealism is a calculated swindle against the people.

That bloody duel of these two mighty roosters also disqualified for me another myth in the relations of men and nations. It is a myth dear to Americans, namely, that persons and nations fight because they do not know each other well enough, because they do not speak the same language, they do not live contiguous enough. Utter

hogwash. These two roosters were of the same breed, crowed the same way, had the same friends -- and fought each other to the death. So did the North and the South in the Civil War. We fought two wars against our English cousin -- same language, same customs; and two with our next nearest of kin, the Germans, whose language is a cognate of English, and whom we knew and understood best of all peoples in the world after those of the British Isles. We were twice allies of the Russians, whom we do not understand at all and who are the least contiguous to us. If we have not yet fought Ireland, it is not because we understand the Irish, but because they have not yet challenged our supremacy of the sea! One could in fact be cynical and say human nature is intrinsically so inclined to evil that the better they know each other the more likely they are to fight -- and the more bitterly: civil wars are notoriously the most brutal. And since their premature liberation from colonialism, the Africans -- for example, in the Congo and in Nigeria -- have killed more fellow Africans in a few decades than the European Christian colonizers killed in several hundred years! Had the American government lived by the lesson of what the farmyard has taught me, both world wars would have been avoided -- and future generations would have been spared a lot of hypocritical balderdash inflicted on the world as history. (Autobiography, pp. 30, 32.)

After attending public and parochial schools, he entered St. Francis Seminary near Milwaukee, where he received a liberal classical education, graduating in 1921, and returning to the "Major Seminary" there to obtain his B.A. degree in 1923. He entered graduate school at the Catholic University, Washington D.C., receiving his M.A. and Ph.D. degrees in English literature. His doctoral dissertation of 1929, *Lancelot in English Literature*, was published to critical acclaim and became a standard of the literature; it was republished in 1965 by Haskell House of New York, seeing wide use as a college text.

After a period of traveling widely in Central and Western Europe during the trouble-fraught first years of the Depression, Dr. App settled down to teaching posts at Catholic University, Basselin College and Sisters College. He wrote prolifically, his human-interest, literary, and religious articles and book reviews appearing in such publications as *Catholic Educational Review*, *Commonweal*, *Sign Magazine*, *Magnificat*, *Catholic World*, and the *Washington Post*. He co-founded the monthly literary review, *Best Sellers*. From 1934 to 1942 he taught at St. Thomas College, University of Scranton, in Scranton, Pennsylvania. He received the university's Faculty Gold Medal in 1939 as "outstanding educator of men."

Entering the U.S. Army in 1942, he served briefly in the Corps of Engineers before being released to work in private industry. After a period of teaching at Dominican College, St. Mary's of the Woods, in Columbus, Ohio, he secured a professorship at Incarnate Word College in San Antonio, Texas, where he taught from 1944 to 1948.

It was while in San Antonio, learning about the wreckage of Europe caused by the American-Soviet invasion and those two allies' obscene occupation policies, that Dr. App really began his "second career" as a contemporary historian and samizdat publisher of an age of calamity. All through the war he had, in fact -- and in spite of the danger, acute within a nation at war, of stern official disfavor -- kept up a steady

barrage of letters to newspapers, magazines, and public figures, expressing his frank opinions about the origins of the war and the need for a negotiated peace with Germany. In the Spring of 1946, sickened by the Potsdam-sanctioned policy of mass-expulsion of the Eastern Germans, and particularly shocked by the terrible atrocities visited upon helpless German women in the East by the conquering Red hordes, App self-published his first pamphlet, the ten-page *Ravishing the Women of Conquered Europe*. Its success was immediate and unexpected; orders came in by the thousands, periodicals far and wide picked it up, it was translated into four languages -- all this with the "advertising" being almost solely by word-of-mouth. Additionally, invitations by the dozens came to App to speak in front of patriotic groups. There seemed to exist a genuine thirst on the part of a considerable segment of the American public for knowledge of a situation, exposure to a viewpoint, about which the mainline press, by-and-large, was keeping silent. In July 1946 he published the 24-page *Slave-Laboring German Prisoners of War*, and at the end of the year collected 13 original and republished articles and speeches in the book *History's Most Terrifying Peace*, the first major work to bring to the attention of significant numbers of Americans the facts about the Carthaginian treatment being meted out to conquered Germany, by the West as well as the East. The book had to be reprinted only two months after the original press run.

Austin App had touched a nerve. In those dismal postwar years, an America punch-drunk with, and already beginning to feel dubious about, the massed "victory" whoops of the established media, badly needed a corrective balance. This lone scholar in San Antonio found himself supplying a goodly part of it, in his crusade on behalf of the "impolite truths" -- therefore very interesting ones -- about the real, morally and politically bankrupt, results of that war. So it was that Austin App supplemented, quite independently, the efforts of the group of scholars then clustered around historians Charles A. Beard and Harry Elmer Barnes, who were embarking on their own ambitious revisionist "program" to write the true history of the war. Whereas that effort, in its early stages, tended to focus on the diplomatic history of the war's origins, App's emphasis was -- and largely remained for thirty years -- on the unholy trinity of what might be called the "peace crimes" of the victors who had smashed Europe: the Morgenthau Plan for the utter despoilment and pastoralization of Germany (officially disclaimed but largely enacted for two years under the Joint Chiefs of Staff directive 1067); the Expulsions of the German-ethnics of Eastern Europe, and all the atrocities attendant to this massively inhumane action; the Deportations back to Stalinist "care" of the millions of anti-Communist Russians and East Europeans who had fought on the Germans' side. More than any other single man in America, Austin App as writer and speaker was responsible for bringing to the attention of his countrymen these three great crimes against Western civilization and elemental humanity. For this alone he will always be remembered.

App had actually touched more than one brand of nerve. Upon his path-breaking publication efforts, he became a favorite target of such loudmouthed smear-terrorists as Walter Winchell, George Seldes, Drew Pearson, the Anti-Defamation League, and assorted ADL front-groups like the Committee for the Prevention of World War III. ("World War III" being the imminent "next Nazi attempt at world conquest." This in 1946-47, of course.) He was smeared and hounded as an anti-semitic, anti-American,

and pro-Nazi. Commenting on one particularly vicious -- and wildly inaccurate -- propaganda diatribe against App, the eminent columnist Westbrook Pegler wrote:

Dr. App's writing which caused this explosion was a protest against the ravishment of German, Austrian, and Hungarian women by the conquering armies. The Society for the Prevention of World War III... does not appear to deny the truth of Doctor App's charge nor even to deplore the crimes alleged... However the anonymous powers who put out that issue of the Bulletin thought it well nigh seditious of Doctor App to reveal enmity toward Soviet Russia.

Brushing aside the epithets, and welcoming the support that came to him from many Americans, App continued both his revisionist activities and his academic career as professor of literature. In 1948 he accepted a professorship at LaSalle College, Philadelphia, where he remained until his retirement in 1968.

Throughout the 1950s, '60s, and '70s, Dr. App kept a schedule that would wear out less committed men. In addition to teaching, he continued to publish his own books and pamphlets on political/historical topics, and had several literary and human interest books published by other houses. He wrote more than a thousand articles, columns, and book reviews for such publications as *Social Justice Review*, *Nord Amerika*, the *Philadelphia Gazette-Democrat*, the *Manchester (N.H.) Union Leader*, the *Cleveland Waechter und Anzeiger*, *Western Destiny*, *American Mercury*, *Common Sense*, *ABN Correspondence*, *Conservative Viewpoint*, *Amerika: The Ukrainian Catholic Daily*, *Ukrainian Quarterly*, *Deutsche-Amerikaner*, *Reason*, *Deutsche National- Zeitung*, *Nation Europa*, and *Voice of Americans of German Descent*. He was National Chairman of the last-named publication's parent group, the *Federation of American Citizens of German Descent*, from 1960 to 1966, after which he was permanent National Honorary Chairman. He was also Chairman, for ten years, of the *Pastorius Unit of the Steuben Society*, Philadelphia, a longtime honorary member of the *German-American National Congress (D.A.N.K.)*, and Chairman for several years of the *Greater Philadelphia Captive Nations Committee*.

Austin App never failed to champion, whenever and however he could, the cause of the millions of dispossessed Sudeten, Silesian, and other Eastern German expellees. In his frequent trips to Germany he spoke regularly at many of the giant Expellee mass-rallies held yearly in Cologne, Munich, and elsewhere, becoming well-known to patriotic Germans as their principal, quite tireless, American friend and advocate. For his years of scholarship and public relations activity, he was awarded in 1975 the "European Freedom Prize" of 10,000-DM by the German Peoples' Union. The prize was presented in Munich by Dr. Gerhard Frey, editor of the *Deutsche National-Zeitung*.

Dr. App was a member of the Editorial Advisory Committee of *The Journal of Historical Review* from its inception. His last major speech in America was "The Holocaust Put in Perspective," delivered at the first International Revisionist Conference sponsored by the Institute for Historical Review, held in Los Angeles over Labor Day, 1979. The speech was published in Vol. 1, No. 1 of the *JHR* (Spring 1980). In his last years Dr. App several times expressed his satisfaction at the fact that he was able to witness the contemporary worldwide explosion of accomplishment and

interest in revisionist studies of all aspects of the Second World War. Those now engaged in this work owe him, as one of the handful of pioneers in a field where pioneering entailed great risk and therefore great courage, their most heartfelt respect, admiration, and gratitude. He was a fighter and a champion in the cause of truth.

Austin J. App's Principal Revisionist Books And Pamphlets

Ravishing the Women of Conquered Europe. San Antonio: Author, 1946. (10pp.)

The Big Three Deportation Crime. San Antonio: Author, 1946. (4pp.)

Slave-Laboring German Prisoners of War. San Antonio: Author, 1946. (24pp.)

History's Most Terrifying Peace. San Antonio: Author, 1946, 1947; Takoma Park, Md.: Boniface Press, 1970. (110pp.)

Morgenthau Era Letters. Takoma Park, Md.: Boniface Press, 1966, 1974. (128pp.)

Red Genocide in a German Village. Takoma Park, Md.: Boniface Press, 1970, 1976. (6pp.)

The Bombing Atrocity of Dresden. Takoma Park, Md.: Boniface Press, 1970. (6pp.)

The Six Million Swindle. Takoma Park, Md.: Boniface Press, 1973, 1976. (40pp.)

A Straight Look at the Third Reich. Takoma Park, Md.: Boniface Press, 1974. (60pp.)

The Rooseveltian Concentration Camps for Japanese-Americans. Takoma Park, Md.: Boniface Press, 1974. (8pp.)

Anti-Semitism: A Phoney Bogey. Takoma Park, Md.: Boniface Press, 1974. (8pp.)

The Curse of Anglo-American Power Politics. Takoma Park, Md.: Boniface Press, 1974. (6pp.)

Footnote on President Ford's Visit to Auschwitz. Takoma Park, Md.: Boniface Press, 1975. (4pp.)

The Curse of Anti-Anti-Semitism. Takoma Park, Md.: Boniface Press, 1976. (66pp.)

Autobiography: German-American Voice for Truth and Justice. Takoma Park, Md.: Boniface Press, 1977. (308pp.)

"Holocaust": Sneak Attack on Christianity. Reedy, W. Va.: Liberty Bell, 1978. (8pp.)

Hitler-Himmler Order on Jews Uncovered. Reedy, W. Va.: Liberty Bell, 1978. (6pp.)

Soviet Murder of German POW's. Reedy, W. Va.: Liberty Bell, 1978. (8pp.)

Will the Ethnically Polish Pope John Paul II Promote Truth and Justice for the German Expellees? Takoma Park, Md.: Boniface Press, 1978. (4pp.)

Power and Propaganda in American Politics and Foreign Affairs. Reedy, W. Va.: Liberty Bell, 1978. (8pp.)

The Sudeten-German Tragedy. Takoma Park. Md.: Boniface Press, 1979. (84pp.)